NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Elotuzumab with pomalidomide and dexamethasone for treating relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma ID1467

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or |
|---|---|
| | appeal) |
| | |
| Company | <u>General</u> |
| Bristol-Myers Squibb (elotuzumab) | All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology |
| Detient/earen aren | Centre |
| Patient/carer group | Allied Health Professionals Federation Page 1 of Community Line Ith Committee in |
| Anthony Nolan Block Hoolth Agency | Board of Community Health Councils in Wales |
| Black Health AgencyBloodwise | |
| Bloodwise Cancer Black Care | British National FormularyCare Quality Commission |
| Cancer Equality | Department of Health, Social Services |
| Cancer Equality Cancer52 | and Public Safety for Northern Ireland |
| • DKMS | Healthcare Improvement Scotland |
| HAWC | Medicines and Healthcare Products |
| Helen Rollason Cancer Charity | Regulatory Agency |
| Independent Cancer Patients Voice | National Association of Primary Care |
| Leukaemia Cancer Society | National Pharmacy Association |
| Leukaemia CARE | NHS Alliance |
| Lymphoma Action | NHS Confederation |
| Macmillan Cancer Support | Scottish Medicines Consortium |
| Maggie's Centres | Welsh Health Specialised Services |
| Marie Curie | Committee |
| Muslim Council of Britain | Descible comparator comparies |
| Myeloma UK | Possible comparator companies |
| South Asian Health Foundation | Celgene (pomalidomide) Novertia (panabipagetat) |
| Specialised Healthcare Alliance | Novartis (panobinostat) |
| Tenovus Cancer Care | Relevant research groups |
| Drafassianal groups | Cochrane Haematological Malignancies |
| Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians | Group |
| Association of Cancer Physicians | Institute of Cancer Research |
| British Committee for Standards in | • Leuka |
| Haematology | Leukaemia Busters |
| British Geriatrics Society | MRC Clinical Trials Unit |
| British Institute of Radiology | National Cancer Research Institute |
| British Psychosocial Oncology Society | National Cancer Research Network |
| British Society for Haematology | National Institute for Health Research |

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of elotuzumab with pomalidomide and dexamethasone for treating relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma multiple myeloma ID1467. Issue date: August 2018 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2018. All rights reserved Page 1 of 3

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) |
|---|---|
| British Transplantation Society Cancer Research UK NHS Blood and Transplant Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Health Forum UK Myeloma Forum UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Oncology Nursing Society | Associated Public Health Groups • Public Health England • Public Health Wales |
| Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Fareham and Gosport CCG NHS Guildford and Waverley CCG Welsh Government | |

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.