NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Nintedanib for untreated malignant pleural mesothelioma ID1424

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
 <u>Company</u> Boehringer Ingelheim (nintedanib) <u>Patient/carer groups</u> Asbestos Victims Support Groups Forum UK Black Health Agency Bob Tolley Mesothelioma Snowdrop Fund British Lung Foundation Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice John MacDougall Mesothelioma Trust Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Mesothelioma UK Muslim Council of Britain Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation 	 <u>General</u> Allied Health Professionals Federation All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland GMB - Britain's General Union Health and Safety Executive Healthcare Improvement Scotland Joint Union Asbestos Committee Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium
South Asian Health Foundation	Trades Union Congress
Specialised Healthcare AllianceTenovus Cancer Care	UK Asbestos Training Association
Trades Union Congress	Possible comparator companies
UK Lung Cancer Coalition	 Accord (carboplatin, cisplatin, pemetrexed)
Professional groups	• Dr Reddy's Laboratories (pemetrexed)
Association of Cancer Physicians	• Eli Lilly and Company (pemetrexed)
 Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists 	 Hospira (carboplatin, cisplatin, raltitrexed)
British Geriatrics Society	Sandoz (cisplatin)
 British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Thoracic Oncology Group 	 Seacross Pharmaceuticals (pemetrexed)
 British Thoracic Society Cancer Research UK 	<u>Relevant research groups</u>Bart's Mesothelioma Research

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of nintedanib for untreated malignant pleural mesothelioma ID1424 Issue date: June 2018

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2018. All rights reserved.

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 National Lung Cancer Forum for	 Cochrane Lung Cancer Group Institute of Cancer Research June Hancock Mesothelioma Research
Nurses Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Health Forum UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Knowlsey CCG NHS Sandwell and West Birmingham CCG Welsh Government	Fund MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

[©] National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2018. All rights reserved.