NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Apalutamide for treating non-metastatic, hormone-relapsed prostate cancer ID1174

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	
CompanyJanssen (apalutamide)	GeneralAll Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
 Patient/carer groups Black Health Agency Bob Champion Cancer Trust Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Muslim Council of Britain Orchid Pelican Cancer Foundation PCaSO - Prostate Cancer Prostate Cancer UK Prostate Help Association 	 Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services
 South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance 	Committee
 Tackle Prostate Cancer Tenovus Cancer Care 	Comparator companiesAccord (bicalutamide)Astellas Pharma (enzalutamide)
 Professional groups Association of Anaesthetists Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Association of Urological Nurses British Association of Urological Surgeons British Geriatrics Society 	 AstraZeneca UK (bicalutamide, goserelin) Bayer (cyproterone acetate) Concordia International (leuprorelin) Ferring Pharmaceuticals (degarelix, triptorelin) Generics UK T/A Mylan (flutamide) Ipsen (triptorelin) Orion Pharma UK (histrelin) Sanofi (buserelin)

Matrix for the technology appraisal of apalutamide for treating non-metastatic, hormone-relapsed prostate cancer ID1174 Issue date: November 2018

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Institute of Radiology British Prostate Group British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Uro-Oncology Group Cancer Research UK Prostate Cancer Advisory group Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society Urology Foundation 	 Sunpharma (bicalutamide) Takeda UK (leuprorelin) Wockhardt UK (cyproterone acetate) Zentiva (bicalutamide) Relevant research groups Cochrane Urology Everyman Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Ovarian & Prostate Cancer Research Trust Pro Cancer Research Fund Prostate Cancer Research Centre Associated Public Health groups Public Health England Public Health Wales
 Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS North East Hampshire and Farnham CCG NHS Swale CCG Welsh Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland;; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

¹ Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.