NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Atezolizumab with carboplatin and etoposide for untreated extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer ID1504

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Company Roche (atezolizumab) Patient/carer groups Black Health Agency British Lung Foundation Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Muslim Council of Britain Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care UK Lung Cancer Coalition 	 General All Wales Therapeutic and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 Professional groups Association of Anaesthetists Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland BASO – The Association for Cancer Surgery British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Thoracic Oncology Group British Thoracic Society Cancer Research UK 	 Possible comparator companies Accord Healthcare (cisplatin, carboplatin, etoposide, gemcitabine) Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals limited (etoposide) Hospira UK (cisplatin, carboplatin, gemcitabine) Lilly UK (gemcitabine) Medac GmbH (etoposide, gemcitabine) Pfizer (carboplatin, cisplatin, gemcitabine) Sandoz (cisplatin) Sun Pharma (gemcitabine)

Final matrix for the single technology appraisal of atezolizumab with carboplatin and etoposide for untreated extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer ID1504. Issue date: December 2018

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2018. Page 1 of 3

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) Relevant research groups National Lung Cancer Forum for Nurses Primary Care Respiratory Society UK British Association of Lung Research Royal College of Anaesthetists Cochrane Airways Group Royal College of General Practitioners Cochrane Lung Cancer Group Royal College of Nursing Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research Royal College of Pathologists MRC Clinical Trials Unit Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network Royal College of Surgeons National Institute for Health Research Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Associated Public Health Groups Society and College of Radiographers Public Health England **UK Clinical Pharmacy Association** Public Health Wales **UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society Others** Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS North East Essex CCG NHS North Norfolk CCG Welsh Government

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Final matrix for the single technology appraisal of atezolizumab with carboplatin and etoposide for untreated extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer ID1504. Issue date: December 2018

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2018.

Page 3 of 3

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.