NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Rivogenlecleucel for treating haematological non-malignant diseases in children and young people undergoing haploidentical haematopoietic stem cell transplant ID1496

Provisional stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company Bellicum (rivogenlecleucel) Patient/carer groups Action for Sick Children Anthony Nolan Black Health Agency Bloodwise Contact a Family Equalities National Council Genetic Alliance UK Muslim Council of Britain Primary Immunodeficiency UK Sickle Cell Society South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance The Aplastic Anaemia Trust UK Primary Immunodeficiency Network	 General All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Hospital Information Services – Jehovah's Witnesses Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency National Association for Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation
 UK Thalassaemia Society Professional groups Association of Genetic Nurses & Counsellors Association of Anaesthetists Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Blood Transfusion Society British Committee for Standards in Haematology British Institute of Radiology British Society for Gene and Cell Therapy British Society for Genetic Medicine British Society for Haematology 	 Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee Possible comparator companies None Relevant research groups Cochrane Cystic Fibrosis & Genetic Disorders Group Genomics England National Institute for Health Research National Primary Care Research & Development Centre Oxford Biomedical Research Centre MRC Clinical Trials Unit

Provisional stakeholder list for the proposed technology appraisal of rivogenlecleucel for treating haematological non-malignant diseases in children and young people undergoing haploidentical haematopoietic stem cell transplant ID1496. Issue date: March 2019

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation British Society of Immunology British Transplantation Society ESPRIT NHS Blood and Transplant Royal College of Anesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Surgeons Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiology UK Haemophilia Centre Doctors' Organisation UK Health Forum UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Forum on Haemoglobin Disorders UK Genetic Testing Network UK National Screening Committee United Kingdom Primary Immunodeficiency Network 	Associated Public Health Groups • Public Health England • Public Health Wales
 Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Hastings & Rother CCG NHS West Hampshire CCG Welsh Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Provisional stakeholder list for the proposed technology appraisal of rivogenlecleucel for treating haematological non-malignant diseases in children and young people undergoing haploidentical haematopoietic stem cell transplant ID1496. Issue date: March 2019

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.