NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Treosulfan with fludarabine for malignant disease before allogeneic stem cell transplant ID1508

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
General
All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology
Centre
Allied Health Professionals Federation
Board of Community Health Councils in
Wales
British National Formulary
Care Quality Commission
Department of Health, Social Services
and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Hospital Information Services – Jehovah's Miles and a services – Jeh
Witnesses
Medicines and Healthcare products Pagulatan Agency
Regulatory Agency
National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association
National Pharmacy AssociationNHS Alliance
NHS AllianceNHS Blood and Transplant
NHS Confederation
Scottish Medicines Consortium
Welsh Health Specialised Services
Committee
Possible comparator companies
 Accord Healthcare Ltd (fludarabine,
busulfan)
Actavis (fludarabine)
Aspen (busulfan)
Baxter (cyclophosphamide)
Hospira UK (fludarabine)
Pierre Fabre (busulfan)
Sandoz (fludarabine, cyclophosphamide)
Teva (fludarabine)
Polovant research groups
Relevant research groupsCochrane Haematological Malignancies

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of treosulfan with fludarabine before stem cell transplant for people with malignant diseases ID1508. Issue Date: November 2018
© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2018. All rights reserved.

Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Blood Transfusion Society British Committee for Standards in Haematology British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology British Transplantation Society Cancer Research UK ESPIRIT NHS Blood and Transplant Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Forum UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Myeloma Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	Group Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research Leuka Leukaemia Busters Lymphoma Research Trust MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Institute for Health Research Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales
 Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Newark & Sherwood CCG NHS Stoke on Trent CCG Welsh Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of treosulfan with fludarabine before stem cell transplant for people with malignant diseases ID1508. Issue Date: November 2018 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2018. All rights reserved.

¹ Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.