NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Atezolizumab for untreated, locally advanced or metastatic, triple negative, PD-L1 positive breast cancer [ID1522]

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
	аррошу
Company	General
Roche (atezolizumab)	 All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
 Patient/carer groups Black Health Agency Breast Cancer Care Breast Cancer Now Breast Cancer UK Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Haven HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres 	 Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association for Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance
 Marie Curie Muslim Council of Britain South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care 	 NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 Wellbeing of Women Women's Health Concern 	Possible comparator companies Accord Healthcare (docetaxel, doxorubicin, epirubicin, paclitaxel)
 Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists 	 Celgene (nab-paclitaxel, paclitaxel) Genzyme Therapeutics (docetaxel) Hospira UK (docetaxel, paclitaxel) Medac (docetaxel, epirubicin, paclitaxel) Mylan (epirubicin) Pfizer (doxorubicin, epirubicin) Seacross Pharmaceuticals (docetaxel, doxorubicin) Teva (doxorubicin)

Matrix for the technology appraisal of atezolizumab for untreated, locally advanced or metastatic, triple negative, PD-L1 positive breast cancer ID1522

Issue date: January 2019

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Royal College of Physicians	Relevant research groups
Royal College of Radiologists	Against Breast Cancer
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	Breast Cancer Hope
Royal Society of Medicine	 Breast Cancer Research Trust
Society and College of	 Cochrane Breast Cancer Group
Radiographers	Genomics England
UK Breast Cancer Group	 Institute of Cancer Research
 UK Clinical Pharmacy Association 	 MRC Clinical Trials Unit
UK Health Forum	 National Cancer Research Institute
UK Oncology Nursing Society	 National Cancer Research Network
	 National Institute for Health Research
<u>Others</u>	 Pro-Cancer Research Fund
Department of Health and Social Care	
NHS Dudley CCG	Associated Public Health Groups
NHS England	Public Health England
NHS Kingston CCG	Public Health Wales
Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Matrix for the technology appraisal of atezolizumab for untreated, locally advanced or metastatic, triple negative, PD-L1 positive breast cancer ID1522

Issue date: January 2019

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.