NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Lisocabtagene maraleucel for treating large B-cell lymphoma after at least 2 therapies ID1444

Provisional stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
 Celgene (Lisocabtagene maraleucel) Patient/carer groups African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust Anthony Nolan Black Health Agency Bloodwise Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer 52 Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Support Association DKMS 	 All Wales Therapeutic and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care
• HAWC	National Pharmacy Association
 Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Leukaemia Cancer Society Leukaemia CARE Lymphoma Action Macmillan Cancer Support 	 NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Muslim Council of Britain South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care WMUK 	 Possible comparator companies Accord Healthcare (carboplatin, cisplatin, cytarabine, epirubicin, etoposide, gemcitabine) Baxter Healthcare (ifosfamide) Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals (etoposide) Consilient Health (carboplatin)
 Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Committee for Standards in Haematology British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology 	 Generics UK T/A Mylan (epirubicin) Hospira UK (carboplatin, cisplatin, cytarabine, gemcitabine) Jazz Pharmaceuticals UK (cytarabine) medac GmbH (epirubicin, etoposide) Napp Pharmaceuticals (rituximab) Pfizer (cytarabine, epirubicin)

Provisional stakeholder list for the single technology appraisal of lisocabtagene maraleucel for treating large B-cell lymphoma after at least 2 therapies ID1444 Issue date: May 2019
© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2019. All rights reserved.

Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiology UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 Ranbaxy (UK) a Sun Pharmaceutical Company (gemcitabine) Roche Products (rituximab) Sandoz (cisplatin, rituximab) Relevant research groups Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research Leuka Leukaemia Busters Lymphoma Research Trust
 Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Hammersmith and Fulham CCG NHS North Manchester CCG Welsh Government 	 MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.