# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# Proposed Health Technology Appraisal

# Abicipar pegol for treating wet age-related macular degeneration

### Draft scope (pre-referral)

### Draft remit/appraisal objective

To appraise the clinical and cost effectiveness of abicipar pegol within its marketing authorisation for treating wet age-related macular degeneration.

#### Background

The macula is the central part of the retina responsible for colour vision and perception of fine detail. Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) refers to the deterioration in the cells of the retinal pigment layer at the macula area, which can lead to severe visual impairment in the affected eye.

Age-related macular degeneration is a common cause of vision loss in people aged over 50 years and is associated with the loss of central vision and visual distortion. There are two main types of age-related macular degeneration, wet (neovascular) and dry (non-neovascular). Wet age-related macular degeneration usually develops much more quickly than dry age-related macular degeneration and is characterised by choroidal neovascularisation, which describes the formation of immature blood vessels that grow between the retinal pigment epithelial cells and the photoreceptor cells in the centre of the retina. These new blood vessels are fragile and more likely to haemorrhage, which causes scarring of the macula leading to vision impairment. In the UK, prevalence of wet AMD is estimated to be 1.2% (2.5% in those aged 65 or above and 6.3% in those aged 80 or above)<sup>1</sup>. The estimates indicate there may be approximately 26,000 people with wet AMD eligible for treatment in the UK each year<sup>1</sup>. Wet AMD accounts for 10% of all AMD cases, but about 60% of those are considered advanced at presentation<sup>2</sup>. If left untreated, the diagnosis is poor with a significant visual loss occurring within two to three years<sup>2</sup>.

The NICE guideline on age-related macular degeneration (NG82) recommends offering intravitreal anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) treatment. Anti-VEGF medications that are licensed options for the treatment of wet AMD are ranibizumab, and aflibercept solution for injection. NICE <u>TA155</u> and <u>TA294</u> recommend treatment with these options when the best-corrected visual acuity is between 6/12 and 6/96, there is no permanent structural damage to the central fovea, the lesion size is less than or equal to 12 disc areas in greatest linear dimension and there is evidence of recent presumed disease progression. NG82 also recommends considering treatment for wet AMD with best-corrected visual acuity worse than 6/96 if it will benefit the person's overall visual function (e.g. it is the better-seeing eye).

# The technology

Abicipar pegol (brand name unknown, Allergan and Molecular Partners) is an antagonist of vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) that inhibits all relevant subtypes of VEGF-A. It works by inhibiting the growth of immature blood vessels that grow in the retina. It is administered by intravitreal injection<sup>3</sup>.

Abicipar pegol does not currently have a marketing authorisation in the UK for any indication. Abicipar pegol has been studied in clinical trials as monotherapy compared with ranibizumab in people aged over 50 years with wet AMD.

Intervention(s)	Abicipar pegol
Population(s)	Adults with wet age-related macular degeneration
Comparators	<ul> <li>Best supportive care</li> <li>Ranibizumab</li> <li>Aflibercept</li> <li>Brolucizumab (subject to ongoing NICE appraisal)</li> <li>Bevacizumab (does not currently have a marketing authorisation in the UK for this indication)</li> </ul>
Outcomes	<ul> <li>The outcome measures to be considered include:</li> <li>visual acuity (the affected eye)</li> <li>overall visual acuity</li> <li>central subfield foveal thickness (CSFT)</li> <li>adverse effects of treatment</li> <li>health-related quality of life.</li> </ul>

Economic analysis	The reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year.
	If the technology is likely to provide similar or greater health benefits at similar or lower cost than technologies recommended in published NICE technology appraisal guidance for the same indication, a cost comparison may be carried out.
	The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared.
	Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.
	The availability of any commercial arrangements for the intervention, comparator and subsequent treatment technologies will be taken into account.
	Cost effectiveness analysis should include consideration of the benefit in the best and worst seeing eye.
Other considerations	If appropriate, consideration will be given to subgroups for whom the technologies are particularly appropriate. Potential subgroups could be defined according to the composition of the lesion in terms of classic and occult CNV.
	The availability and cost of biosimilar products should be taken into account.
	Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation. Where the wording of the therapeutic indication does not include specific treatment combinations, guidance will be issued only in the context of the evidence that has underpinned the marketing authorisation granted by the regulator.
Related NICE recommendations and NICE Pathways	Related Technology Appraisals:
	Aflibercept solution for injection for treating wet age-related macular degeneration (2013). NICE technology appraisal guidance <u>294</u> . Review date: none stated.
	Ranibizumab and pegaptanib for the treatment of age- related macular degeneration (2008). NICE technology appraisal guidance <u>155</u> . Review date: none stated.

	Deleted Outdelines
	Related Guidelines:
	Age-related macular degeneration (2018). NICE guideline <u>82</u> Review date: None stated.
	Related Interventional Procedures:
	Miniature lens system implantation for advanced age- related macular degeneration (2016). NICE interventional procedures guidance <u>565</u> .
	Epiretinal brachytherapy for wet age-related macular degeneration (2011). NICE interventional procedures guidance <u>415</u> .
	Macular translocation with 360° retinotomy for wet age- related macular degeneration (2010). NICE interventional procedures guidance <u>340</u> .
	Limited macular translocation for wet age-related macular degeneration (2010). NICE interventional procedures guidance <u>339</u> .
	Transpupillary thermotherapy for age-related macular degeneration (2004). NICE interventional procedures guidance <u>58</u> .
	Radiotherapy for age-related macular degeneration (2004). NICE interventional procedures guidance <u>49</u> .
	Related Quality Standards:
	Serious eye disorders (in development). Publication expected February 2019
	Related NICE Pathways:
	Age-related macular degeneration (2018) NICE pathway
	http://pathways.nice.org.uk/
	<b>Appraisals in development</b> (including suspended appraisals)
	Brolucizumab for treating wet age-related macular degeneration [ID1254]. Publication date to be confirmed.
Related National Policy	The NHS Long Term Plan, 2019. <u>NHS Long Term Plan</u>
	The Royal College of Ophthalmologists. Age-Related Macular Degeneration: Guidelines for Management. September 2013. <u>https://www.rcophth.ac.uk/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/2014/12/2013-SCI-318-RCOphth-AMD-</u> <u>Guidelines-Sept-2013-FINAL-2.pdf</u>
	The Royal College of Optometrists and the Royal College of Ophthalmologists. <u>Age-related macular</u> <u>degeneration. Commissioning better eye care - Clinical</u>

Draft scope for the proposed appraisal of abicipar pegol for treating wet age-related macular degeneration Issue Date: May 2019 Page 4 of 7 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2019. All rights reserved.

commissioning guidance. November 2013.
European Society of Retina Specialists (EURETINA). <u>Guidelines for the management of neovascular age-</u> <u>related macular degeneration</u> . 2014.
Department of Health and Social Care, NHS Outcomes Framework 2016-2017: Domains 2. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/nhs- outcomes-framework-2016-to-2017

#### **Questions for consultation**

Have all relevant comparators for abicipar pegol been included in the scope?

Which treatments are considered to be established clinical practice in the NHS for wet AMD?

Are the outcomes listed appropriate?

Are there any subgroups of people in whom abicipar pegol is expected to be more clinically effective and cost effective or other groups that should be examined separately?

Where do you consider abicipar pegol will fit into the existing <u>NICE pathway</u> for AMD?

NICE is committed to promoting equality of opportunity, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people with particular protected characteristics and others. Please let us know if you think that the proposed remit and scope may need changing in order to meet these aims. In particular, please tell us if the proposed remit and scope:

- could exclude from full consideration any people protected by the equality legislation who fall within the patient population for which abicipar pegol will be licensed;
- could lead to recommendations that have a different impact on people protected by the equality legislation than on the wider population, e.g. by making it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology;
- could have any adverse impact on people with a particular disability or disabilities.

Please tell us what evidence should be obtained to enable the Committee to identify and consider such impacts.

Do you consider abicipar pegol to be innovative in its potential to make a significant and substantial impact on health-related benefits and how it might improve the way that current need is met (is this a 'step-change' in the management of the condition)?

Do you consider that the use of abicipar pegol can result in any potential significant and substantial health-related benefits that are unlikely to be included in the QALY calculation?

Please identify the nature of the data which you understand to be available to enable the Appraisal Committee to take account of these benefits.

To help NICE prioritise topics for additional adoption support, do you consider that there will be any barriers to adoption of this technology into practice? If yes, please describe briefly.

NICE intends to appraise this technology through its Single Technology Appraisal (STA) Process. We welcome comments on the appropriateness of appraising this topic through this process. (Information on the Institute's Technology Appraisal processes is available at <u>http://www.nice.org.uk/article/pmg19/chapter/1-Introduction</u>).

NICE has published an addendum to its guide to the methods of technology appraisal (available at <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/Media/Default/About/what-we-do/NICE-guidance/NICE-technology-appraisals/methods-guide-addendumcost-comparison.pdf</u>), which states the methods to be used where a cost comparison case is made.

- Would it be appropriate to use the cost comparison methodology for this topic?
- Is the new technology likely to be similar in its clinical efficacy and resource use to any of the comparators?
- Is the primary outcome that was measured in the trial or used to drive the model for the comparator(s) still clinically relevant?
- Is there any substantial new evidence for the comparator technology/ies that has not been considered? Are there any important ongoing trials reporting in the next year?

#### References

1 Owen, C.G., Jarrar, Z., Wormald, R., Cook, D.G., Fletcher, A.E. and Rudnicka, A.R. (2012). <u>The estimated prevalence and incidence of late stage</u> <u>age related macular degeneration in the UK</u>. British Journal of Ophthalmology, 96: 752-756.

2 Pracz., A. (2017) Macular Drugs Pathways. GMMMG. Available from:

Draft scope for the proposed appraisal of abicipar pegol for treating wet age-related macular degeneration Issue Date: May 2019 Page 6 of 7 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2019. All rights reserved. http://gmmmg.nhs.uk/docs/guidance/GMMMG-Macular-Drugs-Pathways-v-1-2-FINAL.pdf Accessed 27 March 2019.

3 NIHR Briefing (2018) <u>Abicipar pegol for wet age-related macular</u> <u>Degeneration</u>. August 2018 Allergan Ltd & Molecular Partners.