NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Acalabrutinib for treating chronic lymphocytic leukaemia ID1613

Provisional stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 <u>Company</u> AstraZeneca (Acalabrutinib) <u>Patient/carer groups</u> African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust Anthony Nolan Black Health Agency Bloodwise Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer52 Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Support Association DKMS Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Leukaemia Cancer Society Leukaemia CARE Lymphoma Action Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Muslim Council of Britain South Asian Health Foundation 	 <u>General</u> All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Hospital Information Services – Jehovah's Witnesses Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Blood and Transplant NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Blood Transfusion Society British Committee for Standards in Haematology British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology Cancer Research UK 	 <u>Possible comparator companies</u> AAH Pharmaceuticals (chlorambucil) Abbvie (venetoclax) Accord Healthcare (bendamustine, fludarabine) Actavis UK (fludarabine) Aspen (Chlorambucil) Baxter healthcare (cyclophosphamide) Dr Reddy's Laboratories (bendamustine) Gilead Sciences (Idelalisib) Janssen (Ibrutinib) medac (bendamustine)

Provisional stakeholder list for the proposed technology appraisal of acalabrutinib for treating chronic lymphocytic leukaemia ID1613. Issue Date: September 2019

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2019. All rights reserved.

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Forum UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Warrington CCG Welsh Government 	 Napp Pharmaceuticals (Bendamustine, rituximab) Roche (Rituximab, Obinutuzumab) Sandoz (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, fludarabine) Sanofi (fludarabine) Seacross Pharmaceuticals (bendamustine) Zentiva (bendamustine) Zentiva (bendamustine) Relevant research groups Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research Leuka Leukaemia Busters MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research National Institute for Health Research Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

¹ Non- company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.