NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Tisotumab vedotin for treating recurrent or metastatic cervical cancer after chemotherapy ID3753

Provisional Stakeholder List

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company • Genmab A/S (tisotumab vedotin) Patient/carer groups • Black Health Agency • Cancer 52 • Cancer Black Care • Eve Appeal • Go Girls • Helen Rollason Cancer Charity • Independent Cancer Patients Voice • Macmillan Cancer Support • Maggie's Centres • Marie Curie • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • Tenovus Cancer Care • Wellbeing of Women • Women's Health Concern Professional groups • Association of Cancer Physicians • Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland • British Association of Surgical Oncology • British Geriatrics Society • British Gynaecological Cancer Society • British Institute of Radiology • British Oncology Pharmacy Association • British Psychosocial Oncology Society • British Society for Clinical Cytology • British Society for Colposcopy and Cervical Pathology	General • All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Confederation • NHS Wales Joint Commissioning Committee • Scottish Medicines Consortium • Welsh Government Possible comparator companies • Accord Healthcare (paclitaxel) • Amarox (cisplatin) • Bristol Myers Squibb (cisplatin) • Celltrion Healthcare (bevacizumab) • Dr Reddy's Laboratories (bevacizumab) • Genus Pharmaceuticals (paclitaxel) • Hospira UK (carboplatin, cisplatin, paclitaxel) • Merck Sharp & Dohme (pembrolizumab) • Organon Pharma (bevacizumab) • Pfizer (bevacizumab, topotecan)

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
• Cancer Research UK • National Forum of Gynaecological Oncology Nurses • Royal College of Anaesthetists • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal College of Radiologists • Royal College of Surgeons • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • Society and College of Radiographers • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association • UK Oncology Nursing Society <u>Others</u> • Department of Health and Social Care • NHS England	• Roche Products (bevacizumab) • Sandoz (cisplatin, topotecan) • Thornton & Ross (bevacizumab) • Seacross Pharmaceuticals (paclitaxel) • Zentiva (bevacizumab) <u>Relevant research groups</u> • Cochrane Gynaecological Cancer Group • Genomics England • Institute of Cancer Research • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Institute for Health Research • Pro-Cancer Research Fund

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Definitions:

Consultee or commentator stakeholders are provisional until a signed Confidentiality Agreement & Undertaking form is submitted to NICE at the evaluation stage. Participating stakeholders will be listed on the project information page for the evaluation.

<u>Consultees</u>

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the evaluation; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Draft Guidance (FDG).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement relevant to the group they are representing, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Draft Guidance (FDG).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the evaluation process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FDG for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC]); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

