

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

MTA: Ivacaftor–tezacaftor–elexacaftor, tezacaftor–ivacaftor and lumacaftor–ivacaftor for treating cystic fibrosis [ID3834]

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

Consultation

- | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how? |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

During scoping consultation, the following point was raised:

- | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• People without a F508 del mutation make up a higher-proportion of the non-white population with CF. By restricting this HTA to people with one or two F508del, there is a risk of discriminating against ethnic groups with lower frequency of this variant |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

This issue is discussed in section 3.25 of the draft guidance.

- | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

No

- | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

No

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

No

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the draft guidance, and, if so, where?

Yes, see section 3.25 of the draft guidance

Approved by Associate Director (name): Jacoline Bouvy

Date: 26/10/2023