

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE


Single Technology Appraisal

Zanidatamab for treating HER2-positive advanced biliary tract cancer after 1 or more systemic treatments ID6388

Provisional Stakeholder List

Patient/carer groups AMMF - The Cholangiocarcinoma Charity Addenbrookes Liver Transplant Association Black Health Agency for Equality British Liver Trust Cancer 52 Cancer Black Care Gene People Genetic Alliance UK Centre Allied Health F Board of Coming in Wales Care Quality C Department of Ireland Healthcare Im Medicines and Regulatory Ag National Association National Pharm	- /
 Independent Cancer Patients Voice Liver4Life LIVErNorth Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Pelican Cancer Foundation PSC Support South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care Healthcare professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Association for the Study of the Liver British Association for the Study of the Medac (fluoro oxaliplatin) Ranbaxy (oxalication oxaliplatin) Relevant research Cochrane Hep Genomics Eng Foundation for MRC Clinical 	Professionals Federation munity Health Councils If Formulary Commission Health - Northern Provement Scotland Healthcare products ency ciation of Primary Care macy Association ration cines Consortium ty of Gastroenterology ment commissioning Intor companies xaliplatin) Puracil) Puracil, folinic acid, Iplatin) Ingroups Pato-Biliary Group Igland Liver Research

Provisional stakeholder list for the evaluation of zanidatamab for treating HER2-positive advanced biliary tract cancer after 1 or more systemic treatments ID6388 Issue date: March 2025



Provisional Consultees	Provisional Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Oncology Pharmacy Association British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society of Gastroenterology British Transplantation Society Cancer Research UK NHS Blood and Transplant Primary Care Society for Gastroenterology Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 Associated Public Health groups Public Health Wales UK Health Security Agency
OthersDepartment of Health and Social CareNHS England	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Definitions:

Consultee or commentator stakeholders are provisional until a signed Confidentiality Agreement & Undertaking form is submitted to NICE at the evaluation stage. Participating stakeholders will be listed on the project information page for the evaluation.


Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the evaluation; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Draft Guidance (FDG).

Provisional stakeholder list for the evaluation of zanidatamab for treating HER2-positive advanced biliary tract cancer after 1 or more systemic treatments ID6388





All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement relevant to the group they are representing, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Draft Guidance (FDG).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the evaluation process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FDG for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC]); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.