### NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# **Single Technology Appraisal**

# Avapritinib for treating moderate to severe indolent systemic mastocytosis ID6578

### **Provisional Stakeholder List**

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Company	General
Blueprint Medicines (avapritinib)	<ul> <li>All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre</li> </ul>
Patient/carer groups	Allied Health Professionals Federation
African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust     Anthony Nolan	<ul> <li>Board of Community Health Councils in Wales</li> </ul>
<ul><li>Anthony Nolan</li><li>Black Health Agency for Equality</li></ul>	British National Formulary
Blood Cancer UK	Care Quality Commission
Cancer Black Care	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer52	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Support Association	Hospital Information Services -      Information Services -
Chronic Myeloid Leukaemia Support     Croup	Jehovah's Witnesses  Medicines and Healthcare products
<ul><li>Group</li><li>Independent Cancer Patients Voice</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Medicines and Healthcare products</li> <li>Regulatory Agency</li> </ul>
Kevin Kararwa Leukaemia Trust	<ul> <li>National Association of Primary Care</li> </ul>
Leukaemia Cancer Society	National Pharmacy Association
Leukaemia Care	NHS Confederation
Leukaemia UK	NHS Wales Joint Commissioning
Lymphoma Action	Committee
Macmillan Cancer Support	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Maggie's Centres     Maria Contact	Welsh Government
<ul><li>Marie Curie</li><li>MPN Voice</li></ul>	Comparator companies
South Asian Health Foundation	None
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	
Tenovus Cancer Care	Relevant research groups
UK Mastocytosis support group	<ul> <li>Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group</li> </ul>
Healthcare professional groups	Genomics England
Association of Cancer Physicians	Institute of Cancer Research
Association of Genetic Nurses and	Leukaemia Busters
Counsellors	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
British Association of Dermatologists	National Institute for Health Research
British Blood Transfusion Society	

Provisional stakeholder list for the evaluation of avapritinib for treating moderate to severe indolent systemic mastocytosis ID6578

Issue date: July 2025

## Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) Associated Public Health groups **British Geriatrics Society** • Public Health Wales British Institute of Radiology UK Health Security Agency British Oncology Pharmacy Association British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Allergy and Clinical **Immunology** British Society for Genetic Medicine British Society for Haematology British Society for Immunology **British Society of Interventional** Radiology Cancer Research UK Joint Committee on Immunology and Allergy Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers **UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Oncology Nursing Society Others** Department of Health and Social Care NHS Blood and Transplant NHS England

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

#### **Definitions:**

Consultee or commentator stakeholders are provisional until a signed Confidentiality Agreement & Undertaking form is submitted to NICE at the evaluation stage. Participating stakeholders will be listed on the project information page for the evaluation.

Provisional stakeholder list for the evaluation of avapritinib for treating moderate to severe indolent systemic mastocytosis ID6578

Issue date: July 2025

#### Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the evaluation; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Draft Guidance (FDG).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement relevant to the group they are representing, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Draft Guidance (FDG).

#### Commentators

Organisations that engage in the evaluation process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FDG for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC]); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.