

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Health Technology Evaluation

Norucholic acid for treating primary sclerosing cholangitis ID6583

Draft scope

Draft remit/evaluation objective

To appraise the clinical and cost effectiveness of norucholic acid within its marketing authorisation for treating primary sclerosing cholangitis.

Background

Primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) is a chronic and progressive autoimmune disease affecting bile ducts in the body. The bile ducts inside and outside the liver progressively decrease in size due to inflammation and scarring (fibrosis). The cause of PSC is unknown, but current research suggests that the disease may be triggered by an unknown bacteria or virus in people who are genetically predisposed to it.¹ PSC comprises classic, large-duct and the rarer small-duct phenotypes. In people with small-duct PSC, presentation is similar but may not be detectable on diagnostic cholangiography, due to the size of the bile ducts affected.² The prognosis of small duct PSC is significantly better than large duct, but small duct PSC may progress to the large duct variant.²

About half of people with PSC have no symptoms but possible symptoms include abdominal pain, itchiness, jaundice and fatigue.³ PSC is often associated with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).⁴ PSC may lead to advanced cirrhosis, which may require liver transplantation. PSC can also cause cholangiocarcinoma, a cancer of the bile ducts, which is the leading cause of deaths due to PSC.²

A study using the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink found that from 1998 to 2014 that the prevalence of PSC in the UK was 6.12 per 100,000.⁵ This means there are around 3,800 people with PSC in England and Wales. PSC is more common in men, making up around 65-70% of cases, and is most often diagnosed between the ages of 30 and 40.⁶

There are currently no licensed treatments for PSC. Off-label treatment with moderate doses of ursodeoxycholic acid is an option and appears widely used. However, evidence for its impact on long-term outcome is uncertain.⁶ The British Society for Gastroenterology and UK-PSC guidelines recommend that ursodeoxycholic acid should not be routinely used for people with newly diagnosed PSC.² In people with severe narrowing of relevant bile ducts, endoscopic dilation of the bile duct may be performed.⁶ In people with extreme cirrhosis, a liver transplant may be required.

The technology

Norucholic acid (brand name unknown, Dr Falk Pharma) does not currently have a marketing authorisation in the UK for treating primary sclerosing cholangitis. It has been studied in a clinical trial compared with placebo in people with large-duct primary sclerosing cholangitis.

Intervention(s)	Norucholic acid
Population(s)	People with primary sclerosing cholangitis
Subgroups	<p>If evidence allows and appropriate, the following subgroups may be considered:</p> <p>By phenotype:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • People with large-duct PSC • People with small-duct PSC <p>By presence of cirrhosis:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No <p>By presence of concomitant IBD:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No
Comparators	<p>Standard care for primary sclerosing cholangitis without norucholic acid, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ursodeoxycholic acid • Endoscopic dilation
Outcomes	<p>The outcome measures to be considered include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • liver function • liver transplant rate • mortality • adverse effects of treatment • health-related quality of life.
Economic analysis	<p>The reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year.</p> <p>The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared.</p> <p>Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.</p> <p>The availability and cost of biosimilar and generic products should be taken into account.</p>

<p>Other considerations</p>	<p>Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation. Where the wording of the therapeutic indication does not include specific treatment combinations, guidance will be issued only in the context of the evidence that has underpinned the marketing authorisation granted by the regulator.</p>
<p>Related NICE recommendations</p>	<p>None</p>

Questions for consultation

Is the population appropriately defined in the scope?

How is primary sclerosing cholangitis managed in the NHS? What is considered standard care for it in current NHS practice?

Is ursodeoxycholic acid used to treat primary sclerosing cholangitis in the NHS? Is it routinely used?

If it is not routinely used but is used in some cases, please describe the clinical characteristics of the patients in whom clinicians would consider ursodeoxycholic acid.

Is endoscopic dilation routinely used to treat primary sclerosing cholangitis in the NHS?

Where do you consider norucholic acid will fit into the existing care pathway for PSC?

Have all relevant outcomes been included in the scope?

Are the subgroups appropriately defined in the scope?

Are there any other subgroups that may need to be considered?

Are there any additional relevant outcomes that should be included in the scope?

Please select from the following, will norucholic acid be:

- A. Prescribed in primary care with routine follow-up in primary care
- B. Prescribed in secondary care with routine follow-up in primary care
- C. Prescribed in secondary care with routine follow-up in secondary care
- D. Other (please give details):

For comparators and subsequent treatments, please detail if the setting for prescribing and routine follow-up differs from the intervention.

Would norucholic acid be a candidate for managed access?

Do you consider that the use of norucholic acid can result in any potential substantial health-related benefits that are unlikely to be included in the QALY calculation?

Please identify the nature of the data which you understand to be available to enable the committee to take account of these benefits.

Please indicate if any of the treatments in the scope are used in NHS practice differently than advised in their Summary of Product Characteristics. For example, if the dose or dosing schedule for a treatment is different in clinical practice. If so, please indicate the reasons for different usage of the treatment(s) in NHS practice. If stakeholders consider this a relevant issue, please provide references for data on the

efficacy of any treatments in the pathway used differently than advised in the Summary of Product Characteristics.

NICE is committed to promoting equality of opportunity, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people with particular protected characteristics and others. Please let us know if you think that the proposed remit and scope may need changing in order to meet these aims. In particular, please tell us if the proposed remit and scope:

- could exclude from full consideration any people protected by the equality legislation who fall within the patient population for which norucholic acid will be licensed;
- could lead to recommendations that have a different impact on people protected by the equality legislation than on the wider population, e.g. by making it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology;
- could have any adverse impact on people with a particular disability or disabilities.

Please tell us what evidence should be obtained to enable the committee to identify and consider such impacts.

NICE intends to evaluate this technology through its Single Technology Appraisal process. (Information on NICE's health technology evaluation processes is available at <https://www.nice.org.uk/about/what-we-do/our-programmes/nice-guidance/nice-technology-appraisal-guidance/changes-to-health-technology-evaluation>).

References

1. British Liver Trust. [Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis \(PSC\)](#). Accessed February 2026
2. Lazaridis KN and LaRusso NF (2016). Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 375(12), pg 1161–1170
3. Chapman MH et al. (2019). British Society of Gastroenterology and UK-PSC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gut*. 66, pg 1-23
4. [Bàve](#) AL et al. (2021). Increased risk of cancer in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Hepatology International*. 15(5), pg 1174-1182
5. Liang H et al. (2017). Incidence, prevalence, and natural history of primary sclerosing cholangitis in the United Kingdom. *Medicine*. 96(24), e7116
6. Dyson JK et al. (2018). Primary sclerosing cholangitis. *The Lancet*. 391(10139), pg 2547-2559