NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Pazopanib for the second line treatment of advanced and/or metastatic renal cell carcinoma

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
GlaxoSmithKline (pazopanib hydrochloride) Patient/carer groups	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Commissioning Support Appraisals
Afiya Trust	Service
Black Health AgencyBritish Kidney Patient AssociationCANCERactive	 Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Medicines and Healthcare products
Cancer Black Care	Regulatory Agency
Cancer Equality	National Association of Primary Care
Chinese National Healthy Living Centre	 National Public Health Service for Wales
Confederation of Indian Organisations	NHS Alliance
Counsel and Care	 NHS Confederation
Equalities National Council	NHS Purchasing and Supply Agency
Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity	NHS Quality Improvement Scotland
James Whale Fund for Kidney Cancer Alliana	Scottish Medicines Consortium Walsh Kidney Deticate Association
Kidney Alliance Kidney Conser III	Welsh Kidney Patients Association
Kidney Cancer UK Kidney Cancer LUC	Comparator manufacturers
Kidney Research UK Macmillan Cancer Support	Novartis (everolimus)
Macmillan Cancer SupportMaggie's Centres	1 (Ovariae (Ovorollinae)
Marie Curie Cancer Care	Relevant research groups
Muslim Council of Great Britain	Institute of Cancer Research
Muslim Health Network	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
National Cancer Alliance	 National Cancer Research Institute
National Council for Palliative Care	 National Cancer Research Network
National Kidney Federation	 National Institute for Health Research
Rarer Cancers Forum	Policy Research Institute on Ageing and
South Asian Health Foundation	Ethnicity
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Research Institute of the Care of Older Research
Sue Ryder Care	People
Tenovus	Evidence Review Group

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of pazopanib for the second line treatment of advanced and/or metastatic renal cell carcinoma

Issue date: January 2010 Page 1 of 3

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) Professional groups Aberdeen HTA Group Association of Cancer Physicians National Institute for Health Research Association of Renal Industries Health Technology Assessment Programme British Association for Services to the Elderly Associated Guideline Groups **British Association of Urological** National Collaborating Centre for Nurses Cancer British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology (BIR) Associated Public Health Groups British Oncological Association (BOA) tbc British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Renal Society Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Renal Association Renal Pharmacy Group Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians, Medical **Oncology Joint Special Committee** Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Roval Society of Medicine – Intellectual Disabilities Forum Society and College of Radiographers Society for DGH Nephrologists United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Others Central and Eastern Cheshire PCT Department of Health NHS Havering Welsh Assembly Government

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination.

Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of pazopanib for the second line treatment of advanced and/or metastatic renal cell carcinoma

Issue date: January 2010 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Issue date: January 2010 Page 3 of 3

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.