NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Dutasteride for reducing the risk of developing prostate cancer

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
GlaxoSmithKline (dutasteride)	Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
 Patient/carer groups Afiya Trust 	British National Formulary
 Black Health Agency 	Care Quality CommissionCommissioning Support Appraisals
 Bob Champion Cancer Trust 	Service
CANCERactive	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Black Care	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer Equality	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Chinese National Healthy Living Control	Regulatory Agency
Centre Counsel and Care	 National Association of Primary Care NHS Alliance
 Equalities National Council 	NHS Confederation
Everyman	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity	NHS Quality Improvement Scotland
Macmillan Cancer Support	Public Health Wales NHS Trust
Maggie's Centres	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Marie Curie Cancer Care	Comparator manufacturers
 Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network 	None
Orchid	
PCaSO – Prostate Cancer Network	Relevant research groups
Prostate Cancer Charity	Cochrane Prostatic Diseases and
Prostate Help Association	 Urologic Cancers Group Institute of Cancer Research
South Asian Health Foundation	 Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Specialised Healthcare Alliance Sue Duder Core	 National Cancer Research Institute
Sue Ryder CareTenovus	National Cancer Research Network
	National Institute of Health Research
Professional groups	Ovarian & Prostate Cancer Research
Association of Cancer Physicians	Trust
British Association for Services to the Elderly	 Policy Research Institute on Ageing and Ethnicity

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Association of Urological Nurses British Association of Urological Surgeons British Geriatrics Society British Oncological Association British Prostate Group British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Uro-Oncology Group Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians Royal Society of Medicine – Intellectual Disabilities Forum United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society 	 Pro-Cancer Research Foundation Prostate Cancer Research Fund Prostate UK Research Institute of the Care of Older People Evidence Review Group Aberdeen HTA Group National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups None
 NHS Bath and North East Somerset Pembrokeshire LHB Welsh Assembly Government 	

Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a

particular focus on relevant equality issues

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

Consultees can participate in the consultation on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document, they are invited to prepare a submission dossier and all non-manufacturers/sponsors consultee organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee. All consultees are given the opportunity to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare a submission dossier, and that receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.