NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Bevacizumab for the treatment of recurrent glioblastoma

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Manufacturers/sponsors Roche (bevacizumab) Patient/carer groups Afiya Trust Black Health Agency Brain Tumour UK Brain and Spine Foundation 	 Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) General Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
 British Brain Tumour Association CANCERactive Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Chinese National Healthy Living Centre Confederation of Indian Organisations Counsel and Care Equalities National Council Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Great Britain Muslim Health Network National Cancer Alliance National Council for Palliative Care Neurological Alliance Rarer Cancers Forum Samantha Dickson Brain Tumour Trust South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance 	 Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Public Health Service for Wales NHS Alliance NHS Confederation NHS Purchasing and Supply Agency NHS Quality Improvement Scotland Scottish Medicines Consortium
	 Comparator manufacturer(s) Archimedes Pharma (carmustine implants) Bristol-Myers Squibb (carmustine) Cambridge Laboratories (procarbazine) Eli Lilly & Company (vincristine) Hospira (vincristine) Medac UK (lomustine) Schering Plough (temozolomide) Relevant research groups Brain Tumour Research
 Sue Ryder Care Tenovus 	 Institute of Cancer Research Institute of Neurology, UCL MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Matrix for the technology appraisal of bevacizumab for the treatment of recurrent glioblastoma Issue date: November 2009

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) Professional groups National Cancer Research Network Association of British Neurologists National Institute for Health Research Association of Cancer Physicians Policy Research Institute on Ageing Association of Surgeons of Great and Ethnicity Britain and Ireland Research Institute for the Care of British Association for Services to the Older People Elderly **British Association of Surgical Evidence Review Group** National Institute for Health Research Oncology Health Technology Assessment **British Geriatrics Society** Programme British Institute of Radiology School of Health & Related Research **British Oncological Association** Sheffield (ScHARR) British Psychosocial Oncology Society Associated Guideline Groups Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Research UK Cancer Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Associated Public Health Groups **Practitioners** None Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians' Medical **Oncology Joint Special Committee** Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine -Intellectual Disabilities Forum Society and College of Radiographers Society of British Neurological Surgeons United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health NHS Isle of Wight Croydon PCT

Welsh Assembly Government

Appendix B

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

_

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.