NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Multiple Technology Appraisal (MTA)

Entecavir and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B in adults with decompensated liver disease

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Manufacturers/sponsors Bristol Myers Squibb (entecavir) Gilead Sciences (tenofovir disoproxil fumarate) Patient/carer groups Afiya Trust Alliance AIDS Education and Research Trust (AVERT) Black Health Agency British Liver Trust 	 General Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care
 British Organ Donor Society Chinese National Healthy Living Centre Compass UK Counsel and Care Drugs Action Drugscope 	 Public Health Wales NHS Trust NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation NHS Quality Improvement Scotland Scottish Medicines Consortium
 Equalities National Council GMFA - The Gay Men's Health Charity Hemophilia Alliance Hemophilia Society 	 Comparator manufacturer(s) Gilead Sciences (adefovir dipivoxil) GlaxoSmithKline (lamivudine) ViiV Healthcare UK (limivudine)
 Hepatitis A -Z Hepatitis B Foundation UK Mainliners Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network NAM National AIDS Trust Positively UK South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Transplant Support Network Youth Net 	 Relevant research groups Centre for Sexual Health & HIV Research Foundation for Liver Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute of Health Research Policy Research Institute on Ageing and Ethnicity Research Institute for the Care of Older People UCL Centre for Sexual Health & HIV Research

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of entecavir and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B in adults with decompensated liver disease

Issue date: September 2010

Page 1 of 4

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Professional groups	Evidence Review Group Southampton Health Technology Assessment Centre (SHTAC) National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Clincial Guideline Center (NCGC) Associated Public Health Groups None

Issue date: September 2010 Page 2 of 4

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Others Department of Health Bromley PCT Sunderland Teaching PCT Welsh Assembly Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination.

Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

-

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.