NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Lenalidomide for the maintenance treatment of multiple myeloma after autologous stem cell transplantation ID475

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Celgene (lenalidomide)	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
Patient/carer group	Allied Health Professionals Federation
African Caribbean Leukaemia TrustAnthony Nolan	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Black Health Agency	British National Formulary
Bloodwise	Care Quality Commission
Cancer Black Care	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Equality	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer 52	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
DKMS	 Medicines and Healthcare Products
Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity	Regulatory Agency
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	 National Association of Primary Care
Leukaemia Cancer Society	 National Pharmacy Association
Leukaemia CARE	NHS Alliance
Leukaemia UK	NHS Confederation
Lymphoma Action	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Macmillan Cancer Support	 Welsh Health Specialised Services
Maggie's Centres	Dossible comparator manufacturare
Marie Curie	Possible comparator manufacturers Accord (Lenalidomide Accord)
Muslim Council of Britain	Accord (Lenalidomide Accord)Amgen (carfilzomib)
Myeloma UK	Arngeri (carnizornis) Aspen (melphalan)
South Asian Health Foundation	Aspire Pharma (Bortezomib)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tangana Canaga Canaga	Celgene (thalidomide)
Tenovus Cancer Care	Janssen (bortezomib)
Professional groups	Sun Pharma (melphalan)
Association of Cancer Physicians	23
British Blood Transfusion Society	Relevant research groups
British Committee for Standards in	Cochrane Haematological Malignancies
Haematology	Group
British Geriatrics Society	Genomics England

Provisional stakeholder matrix for the technology appraisal of lenalidomide for the maintenance treatment of multiple myeloma after autologous stem cell transplantation ID475 Issue date: November 2019

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology British Transplantation Society Cancer Research UK ESPIRIT NHS Blood and Transplant Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Myeloma Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 Institute of Cancer Research Leuka Leukaemia Busters MRC Clinical Trials Research Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales
Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Islington NHS Liverpool Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Provisional stakeholder matrix for the technology appraisal of lenalidomide for the maintenance treatment of multiple myeloma after autologous stem cell transplantation ID475 Issue date: November 2019

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Provisional stakeholder matrix for the technology appraisal of lenalidomide for the maintenance treatment of multiple myeloma after autologous stem cell transplantation ID475 Issue date: November 2019

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

[©] National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2019. All rights reserved