NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Romidepsin for the treatment of relapsed or refractory peripheral T-cell lymphoma [ID504]

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Manufacturers/sponsors Celgene (romidepsin) Patient/carer groups Afiya Trust Black Health Agency Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer 52 Equalities National Council Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity Independent Age Leukaemia CARE Leukaemia Society (UK) Lymphoma Association Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network Rarer Cancers Foundation South Asian Health Foundation	 General Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Health Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association for Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Public Health Wales NHS Trust Scottish Medicines Consortium Comparator manufacturers Actavis UK (epirubicin, fludarabine,
Specialised Healthcare AllianceSue Ryder CareTenovus	 gemcitabine, prednisolone) Alliance Pharmaceuticals (prednisolone) Amdipharm (prednisolone) Auden McKenzie (Pharma Division)
Professional groups	(dexamethasone)
 Association of Cancer Physicians British Association for Services to the Elderly British Committee for Standards in Haematology British Geriatrics Society British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation 	 Baxter (cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide) Bristol-Myers Squibb (carboplatin, cisplatin, etoposide) Chemidex Pharma (dexamethasone) Genzyme Therapeutics (fludarabine) Goldshield Critical Care (cisplatin) Hameln Pharmaceuticals (epirubicin) Hospira UK (carboplatin, cisplatin, cytarabine, epirubicin, etoposide,

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Final matrix for the technology appraisal of romidepsin for the treatment of relapsed or refractory peripheral T-cell lymphoma

Issue date: April 2012 Page 1 of 4

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) British Society for Haematology fludarabine, gemcitabine) Lilly UK (gemcitabine) Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Medac UK (epirubicin, etoposide, gemcitabine, lomustine) NHS Blood and Transplant Merck Sharp & Dohme Royal College of General Practitioners (dexamethasone) Royal College of Nursing Mylan (epirubicin, gemcitabine) Royal College of Pathologists • Pfizer (cisplatin, cyclophosphamide, Royal College of Physicians cvtarabine, epirubicin, Royal Pharmaceutical Society methylprednisolone) Royal Society of Medicine **Rosemont Pharmaceuticals** United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy (dexamethasone) Association Sandoz (carboplatin, cisplatin, United Kingdom Oncology Nursing epirubicin, fludarabine, gemcitabine) Society Sigma Pharmaceuticals (methylprednisolone) Others Sun Pharmaceuticals UK (carboplatin, Department of Health gemcitabine) NHS Leicestershire County & Rutland Teva UK (carboplatin, cisplatin, NHS Southwark epirubicin, etoposide, fludarabine, Welsh Government gemcitabine) Wockhardt UK (carboplatin, cisplatin, epirubicin, fludarabine, gemcitabine) Relevant research groups Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group Elimination of Leukaemia Fund Institute of Cancer Research Leukaemia & Lymphoma Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Research Institute of the Care of Older People **Evidence Review Group** NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination and Centre for Health Economics - York National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Final matrix for the technology appraisal of romidepsin for the treatment of relapsed or refractory peripheral

T-cell lymphoma

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
	 Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer
	Associated Public Health Groups None

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: April 2012 Page 3 of 4

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Final matrix for the technology appraisal of romidepsin for the treatment of relapsed or refractory peripheral T-cell lymphoma

Issue date: April 2012 Page 4 of 4

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.