NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Pirfenidone for treating idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (review of TA282) [ID837]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
Compony	appeal)
Company	<u>General</u>
Roche (pirfenidone)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Action For Pulmonary Fibrosis	 British National Formulary
Afiya Trust	Care Quality Commission
Black Health Agency	 Department of Health, Social Services
 Equalities National Council 	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Muslim Council of Britain	 Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Muslim Health Network	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Pulmonary Fibrosis Trust	Regulatory Agency
South Asian Health Foundation	National Association of Primary Care
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	National Pharmacy Association
	NHS Alliance
Professional groups	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Association for Respiratory	NHS Confederation
Technology and Physiology	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Association of Respiratory Nurse	
Specialists	Comparator Company(ies)
British Geriatrics Society	 Boehringer Ingelheim (Nintedanib)
British Thoracic Society	
Primary Care Respiratory Society UK	Relevant research groups
Royal College of General Practitioners	Breathing Matters
Royal College of Nursing	British Association for Lung Research
 Royal College of Pathologists 	 Cochrane Airways Group
 Royal College of Physicians 	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
 Royal Pharmaceutical Society 	 National Institute for Health Research
 Royal Society of Medicine – 	
Intellectual Disabilities Forum	Evidence Review Group
 UK Clinical Pharmacy Association 	Evidence Review Group TBC
	 National Institute for Health Research
Others	Health Technology Assessment
	Programme
Department of Health	riogrammo
NHS England	Associated Guideline Groups
NHS Lincolnshire East CCG	 National Clinical Guideline Centre
NHS Greenwich CCG	
Welsh Government	Associated Public Health Groups

Public Health EnglandPublic Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATOR

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.