NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Dinuntuximab for treating high-risk neuroblastoma [ID799]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company ◆ United Therapeutics (dinutuximab)	 General Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in
 Action for Sick Children Afiya Trust Black Health Agency Cancer 52 Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Childhood Cancer Patients Alliance Children's Cancer and Leukaemia Group CLIC Sargent Contact a Family Equalities National Council HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patient Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network National Children's Bureau National Parent Partnership Network Information, Advice and Support Services Network (IASS Network) Neuroblastoma Society Rarer Cancers Foundation Rare Disease UK South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Teenage Cancer Trust Tenovus Together for Shorter Lives WellChild Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicions	 Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Possible comparator companies Alliance Pharma (isotretinoin) Novartis (aldesleukin) Roche (isotretinoin) Sanofi (sargramostim) Relevant research groups Cochrane Childhood Cancer Group Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Evidence Review Group Evidence Review Group Evidence Review Group National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer
Association of Cancer Physicians	

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of dinuntuximab for treating high-risk neuroblastoma

Issue date: November 2014 Page 1 of 3

Consultees		Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
•	British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society	Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales
<u>O</u> :	hers Department of Health NHS England NHS Wirral CCG NHS Redditch and Bromsgrove CCG Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: November 2014 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that manufactures the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that manufactures the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.