NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES EVALUATION PROGRAMME

Early value assessment guidance consultation document

Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for adults with COPD: early value assessment

Guidance development process

Early value assessment (EVA) guidance rapidly provides recommendations on promising health technologies that have the potential to address national unmet need. NICE has assessed early evidence on these technologies to determine if earlier patient and system access in the NHS is appropriate while further evidence is generated.

The medical technologies advisory committee has considered the evidence and the views of clinical and patient experts. EVA guidance recommendations are conditional while more evidence is generated to address uncertainty in their evidence base.

NICE has included advice in this guidance on how to minimise any clinical or system risk of early access to treatment.

Further evidence will be generated over the next 3 years to assess if the benefits of these technologies are realised in practice. NICE guidance will be reviewed to include this evidence and make a recommendation on the routine adoption of this technology across the NHS.

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 1 of 22

Issue date: December 2023

This document has been prepared for public consultation. It summarises the

evidence and views that have been considered, and sets out the recommendations

made by the committee. NICE invites comments from registered stakeholders,

healthcare professionals and the public. This document should be read along with

the evidence (an EVA report).

The advisory committee is interested in receiving comments on the following:

Has all of the relevant evidence been taken into account?

Are the summaries of clinical and cost effectiveness reasonable interpretations of

the evidence?

Are the recommendations sound, and a suitable basis for guidance to the NHS?

Equality issues

NICE is committed to promoting equality of opportunity, eliminating unlawful

discrimination and fostering good relations between people with particular protected

characteristics and others. Please let us know if you think that the recommendations

may need changing to meet these aims. In particular, please tell us if the

recommendations:

• could have a different effect on people protected by the equality legislation than

on the wider population, for example by making it more difficult in practice for a

specific group to access the technology

could have any adverse effect on disabled people.

Please provide any relevant information or data you have about such effects and

how they could be avoided or reduced.

Note that this document is not NICE's final guidance on digital technologies to

deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes. The recommendations in

section 1 may change after consultation.

Draft guidance - Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early Page 2 of 22

value assessment

After consultation, NICE will consider the comments received. The final recommendations will be the basis for NICE's early value guidance.

Key dates:

Closing date for comments: 10 January 2024



Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 3 of 22

Issue date: December 2023

1 Recommendations

Can be used in the NHS while more evidence is generated

- 1.1 Two digital technologies can be used in the NHS while more evidence is generated, to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for adults with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). The technologies are:
 - myCOPD
 - SPACE for COPD.

These technologies can be used once they have appropriate regulatory approval and meet the standards within NHS England's Digital Technology Assessment Criteria (DTAC).

- 1.2 The company (my mhealth) and technology developer (University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust) must confirm that agreements are in place to generate the evidence (as outlined in NICE's evidence generation plan) and contact NICE annually to confirm that evidence is being generated and analysed as planned. NICE may withdraw the guidance if these conditions are not met.
- 1.3 At the end of the evidence generation period (3 years), the company and technology developer should submit the evidence to NICE in a form that can be used for decision making. NICE will review the evidence and assess if the technologies can be routinely adopted in the NHS.

Can only be used in research

1.4 More research is needed on 5 digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for adults with COPD. The technologies are:

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 4 of 22

- Clinitouch
- Kaia COPD
- Rehab Guru
- Wellinks and
- Active+me REMOTE.
- 1.5 Access to the technologies in 1.4 should be through company, research, or non-core NHS fundings and clinical and financial risks should be appropriately managed.

Evidence generation and more research

- 1.6 Evidence generation and more research is needed:
 - on how well the digital technologies work compared with:
 - pulmonary rehabilitation following the <u>British Thoracic Society's</u>
 <u>guideline for face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation programmes</u>
 (PDF)
 - not having or waiting to have a face-to-face programme
 - · measuring the following outcomes:
 - health-related quality of life and exercise capacity (using validated measures)
 - resource use, including technology costs, exacerbation-related costs, and implementation costs
 - uptake rates
 - intervention completion rates
 - patient preference
 - adverse events
 - exacerbation rate
 - hospitalisation from exacerbation

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 5 of 22

Issue date: December 2023

- long-term effect up to 12 months.
- on where the technologies will be used in the care pathway
- reporting outcomes in the following subgroups:
 - people living in urban areas compared with people living in rural areas
 - people with a new COPD diagnosis compared with those with an existing diagnosis
 - people who depend on supplemental oxygen to manage COPD
 - people recently discharged from hospital after an exacerbation.

Potential benefits of use in the NHS with evidence generation

- Access: There is a gap in service provision for pulmonary rehabilitation, with only 13% of people eligible being offered it. Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation may help people who may not be able to access face-to-face sessions. For example, when there is no face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation programme available, for people living in rural areas where there may be limited or no face-to-face sessions, for people unable to travel because of how severe their COPD is, and for people who cannot or do not want to take time off work. The digital technologies will not replace face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation in the care pathway.
- Clinical benefit: Clinical evidence suggests that myCOPD and SPACE for COPD may improve exercise capacity and symptoms of COPD. There are no particular safety concerns with using digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation. The technologies may address an unmet need for people with COPD who are eligible for pulmonary rehabilitation but who are not offered it.
- **Resources:** Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation could be cheaper to provide than face-to-face sessions when comparing the license

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 6 of 22

costs of the technologies and staff time, with the staff time for delivery of faceto-face pulmonary rehabilitation (but this is uncertain because the training and implementation costs are not known).

Equality: Men aged over 50 from deprived areas are more likely to have
 COPD. Increasing access to pulmonary rehabilitation may have the potential to improve their clinical outcomes.

Managing the risk of use in the NHS with evidence generation

- Costs: There may be costs associated with implementation, staff training, integration with NHS systems such as EMIS, and providing smart devices that need an internet connection.
- Equality: Support and resources may be needed for people:
 - unfamiliar with digital technologies
 - without access to smart devices or the internet
 - with visual, hearing, or cognitive impairment, problems with manual dexterity
 or a learning disability
 - with a mental health condition
 - with a lower reading ability (including people unable to read English)
 - experiencing homelessness
 - living in a multiple occupancy household
 - having residential care
 - with cultural, ethnic or religious backgrounds that may affect whether they
 do pulmonary rehabilitation. For example, some people may not want to
 attend a mixed-sex exercise class.

The <u>evidence generation plan</u> gives further information on the prioritised evidence gaps and outcomes, ongoing studies and potential real-world data sources for

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 7 of 22

Issue date: December 2023

myCOPD and SPACE for COPD. It includes how the evidence gaps (see <u>section</u> 3.9) could be resolved through real-world evidence studies.

For more detail on the committee's considerations about the evidence gaps for the technologies in 1.4, see <u>sections 3.9 and 3.10</u>.

2 The technologies

- 2.1 Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) provide parts of face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation. These technologies include at least 1 component of pulmonary rehabilitation:
 - physical training
 - · education about the condition
 - nutritional, psychological or behavioural interventions.

Technologies that replace the before-and-after in-person assessment, or are tele-rehab alone ('live' pulmonary rehabilitation delivered through a mobile phone, tablet or desktop with webcam), were not included in this EVA.

2.2 There were 7 technologies identified for this EVA. The technologies have different features but all provide an exercise intervention.

Active+me REMOTE

2.3 Active+me REMOTE (Aseptika) is a cloud-based platform supporting both the delivery of pulmonary rehabilitation and remote monitoring of COPD at home in adults. The Active+me REMOTE app includes an education programme delivered in short lessons. It also includes interactive exercise

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 8 of 22

videos that increase in difficulty as a person's fitness and strength improves. The technology also collects patient-generated data through an add-on pulse oximeter, spirometer and smart inhaler. The technology can be accessed with a mobile phone, tablet or desktop computer.

Clinitouch

2.4 Clinitouch (Spirit Health) is an online platform that delivers a 6-week digital pulmonary rehabilitation programme and supports remote monitoring of COPD and other conditions in adults. The digital pulmonary rehabilitation programme can be accessed with a mobile phone, tablet or desktop computer. The programme includes exercise sessions 3 times a week and users are asked to complete questionnaires before and after each session. Users are also contacted weekly by local healthcare professionals to monitor their progress and increase the complexity of exercises.

Kaia Health COPD

2.5 Kaia Health COPD (Kaia Health) delivers a personalised pulmonary rehabilitation programme. The technology includes educational modules, customisable daily training sessions and mindfulness exercises. It also supports communication with health coaches. The technology can be accessed with a mobile phone or tablet.

myCOPD

2.6 myCOPD (my mhealth Ltd) is an online education, self-management, symptom reporting and pulmonary rehabilitation system. The myCOPD app includes a 6-week pulmonary rehabilitation course. This consists of an incremental exercise programme with education sessions to help with self-management of COPD. The app also has a dashboard of self-care

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 9 of 22

Issue date: December 2023

tools and educational resources for people with all stages of COPD. The app can be accessed with a mobile phone or tablet.

Rehab Guru

2.7 Rehab Guru (Rehab Guru) is a digital exercise programme management software. Healthcare professionals can use the technology to prescribe a personalised digital pulmonary rehabilitation programme. The technology includes exercise videos. Users can share feedback with their healthcare professional after each exercise and each session. Exercises are adjusted depending on a person's ability and goals. The technology can be accessed with a mobile phone, tablet or desktop computer.

SPACE for COPD

2.8 SPACE for COPD (University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust) is a digital self-management programme designed to help people with COPD manage their condition more effectively. The programme contains educational topics including information about medicine, breathing control, exercise and nutritional advice. Users are encouraged to set goals, progress through a prescribed exercise programme and achieve weekly targets. The technology can be accessed with a mobile phone, tablet or desktop computer.

Wellinks

2.9 Wellinks (Wellinks) is an online platform that delivers a digital pulmonary rehabilitation programme and supports remote monitoring of COPD in adults. The programme includes tailored exercises, education, and motivational support. It also collects patient-generated data through an

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 10 of 22

add-on pulse oximeter and spirometer. Wellinks can be accessed with a mobile phone or tablet.

Care pathway

- 2.10 COPD is a long-term and progressive respiratory condition that causes breathlessness, a persistent chesty cough, persistent wheezing and frequent chest infections. COPD includes chronic bronchitis and emphysema. COPD mainly affects older adults who smoke, and many people do not realise they have it. Breathing problems had with COPD tend to get worse over time and can limit a person's ability to do daily activities. Treatment can help keep the condition under control and includes stopping smoking, using inhalers and tablets, pulmonary rehabilitation, and surgery.
- 2.11 In 2020 to 2021, NHS Digital reported that approximately 1.17 million people (1.9% of the population) in England have been diagnosed with COPD. It is estimated that a further 2 million remain undiagnosed. Incidence of diagnosed COPD has risen from 1.7% to 1.9% of the population over the last 10 years. Chronic lower respiratory diseases were reported as the third most common cause of mortality in England and Wales in 2023 (Office for National Statistics, 2023). COPD is much more common in areas of high deprivation. People living in these areas have a lower life expectancy than the general population, and COPD is

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 11 of 22

- responsible for 8% of this difference in men and 12% in women.

 Managing COPD in the UK costs the NHS over £800 million a year.
- 2.12 <u>The NHS service guidance for pulmonary rehabilitation</u> (PDF; 2020) says that pulmonary rehabilitation should be offered to all people with a confirmed diagnosis of COPD or other chronic respiratory conditions.
- 2.13 NICE's guideline for the diagnosis and management of COPD in over 16s (2019) recommends pulmonary rehabilitation to help better manage symptoms and improve exercise capacity and quality of life for people with COPD who are functionally breathless, or who have had a recent hospitalisation because of an acute exacerbation. NICE's guideline also says that pulmonary rehabilitation should be offered to all people who view themselves as functionally disabled by COPD (usually Medical Research Council [MRC] dyspnoea scale grade 3 and above). But the NHS Long-Term Plan on respiratory disease (2023) recommends that pulmonary rehabilitation should be offered to people with mild COPD and above (MRC dyspnoea scale 2 and above).
- 2.14 NHS's England's policy on pulmonary rehabilitation suggests that 90% of people who complete a face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation programme have increased exercise capacity and improved quality of life. But it is currently only offered to 13% of people eligible, with a focus on those with more severe COPD.
- 2.15 Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes would be offered as an option to adults with COPD who are eligible for a pulmonary rehabilitation course (for example, providing an alternative for

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 12 of 22

those who are unable to attend face-to-face sessions). They would not replace face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation programmes.

The comparator

2.16 The comparators for this EVA were face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation and no treatment or waitlist to have face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation. Pulmonary rehabilitation is defined in NICE's guideline for the diagnosis and management of COPD in over 16s (2019) as a multidisciplinary programme of care for people with chronic respiratory impairment. It should be tailored for the person, and designed in line with people's physical and social needs and capacity and follow the British Thoracic Society's 2013 guidance on pulmonary rehabilitation (PDF).

3 Committee discussion

NICE's medical technologies advisory committee considered evidence on digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) from several sources, including an early value assessment (EVA) report by the external assessment group (EAG), and an overview of that report. Full details are in the project documents for this guidance on the NICE website.

Unmet need

3.1 The 2015 National COPD Audit Programme report suggested an unmet need in the provision of face-to-face rehabilitation, with approximately only 13% of those eligible being offered it. The clinical experts explained that this was despite face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation being recommended by NICE and the British Thoracic Society. The clinical experts explained that digitally supported pulmonary rehabilitation would

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 13 of 22

help people who could not, or do not want to, access face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation. The committee defined the group of people who this guidance will apply to as those who cannot have or do not want face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation.

Implementation

3.2 The committee considered information governance and DTAC

compliance. The companies and technology developer explained that they

were all compliant with GDPR. Some of the technologies were not yet

DTAC compliant, but the companies without DTAC approval were working

towards this.

3.3 The clinical experts explained that barriers to implementation would

include:

digital literacy

language and cultural considerations

staff training needed to deliver digital pulmonary rehabilitation

additional resources needed and

usability of the technologies.

The clinical experts also explained that an additional barrier to implementation of these technologies is ensuring that people who are offered them adhere to the programme. They explained that monitoring COPD remotely takes significant time and resource.

Patient considerations

The patient experts explained that while improved exercise capacity is

one of the key outcomes, the effect of pulmonary rehabilitation on people

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment

Page 14 of 22

with COPD was far-reaching and holistic. They thought that any interventions that deliver potential benefits to people with COPD should be available. One explained that without digital technologies they would not have been able to participate in pulmonary rehabilitation because of their dependence on supplemental oxygen. The other explained that participating in pulmonary rehabilitation provides a means of social support. They explained that digital technologies should not exclude the 'human touch' of the camaraderie and social aspect of pulmonary rehabilitation.

- 3.5 Both patient experts acknowledged the constraints on capacity to deliver face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation, and that these technologies could be useful in addressing this. An example was to provide pulmonary rehabilitation for people who were on a waiting list to start another course of pulmonary rehabilitation after completing a face-to-face programme.
- 3.6 Regarding exercise capacity measures, a patient expert questioned if using validated measures such as the 6-minute walk test was appropriate for people with advanced COPD. But there was no consensus on an alternative measure that was more appropriate for these people.

Benefits of the technologies

3.7 The committee discussed the potential of digital technologies to increase access to pulmonary rehabilitation and to address the unmet need of people who are eligible for face-to-face rehabilitation but are not offered it or cannot have it. Clinical experts explained that digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes should be used to overcome barriers to participation, and not be used to deal with backlogs for face-to-face services. This is in line with the British Thoracic Society's recent

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 15 of 22

clinical statement on pulmonary rehabilitation, which stated that face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation is the preferred treatment option for people with COPD. The committee agreed that digital technologies for pulmonary rehabilitation provide another option for people with COPD, but they will not replace face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation in the care pathway.

3.8 For SPACE for COPD, the committee was aware that this technology is being developed into a new programme that offers both pulmonary and cardiac rehabilitation. The committee will revisit their recommendation if changes are substantial enough that the intervention has changed.

Clinical effectiveness

Evidence from research studies

3.9 The evidence was not evenly distributed across the technologies and clinical outcomes. There were 9 studies across the 7 technologies. myCOPD and SPACE for COPD had well-designed randomised controlled trials that reported outcomes relevant to NICE's scope. The committee noted that the evidence on these 2 technologies supports the concept of non-inferiority between digitally supported pulmonary rehabilitation and face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation, particularly in exercise capacity. This evidence did not raise any particular concerns about adverse events and exacerbations. Overall, this evidence showed potential clinical benefits for people who are eligible for pulmonary rehabilitation, and the potential to address an unmet need (see section 3.7). There were concerns about the generalisability of the results because some effects did not reach clinical significance, and there was potential underperformance of comparators. This could be explained by the comparator arms in some of the trials being suboptimal rather than

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 16 of 22

- 'gold standard' pulmonary rehabilitation. The committee concluded that myCOPD and SPACE for COPD can be used in the NHS while more evidence is generated to address these concerns.
- 3.10 For the other 5 technologies, evidence on effectiveness or safety was limited or lacking. For Kaia COPD, the evidence did not align with NICE's scope. For Clinitouch, the evidence was limited in quality. For Active+me REMOTE, Wellinks and Rehab Guru, there was a lack of evidence. So the committee recommended more research to understand the benefits of these technologies.

Comparison with national audit data

3.11 For walking distance, the trial data suggested that digital pulmonary rehabilitation was non-inferior to face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation. But the outcomes were compared with data from the National COPD Audit Programme, which suggested that digitally supported pulmonary rehabilitation may be slightly less effective than face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation. The EAG explained that this could be because of a difference between some of the 'usual care' arms of the trials and the face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation used in the audit data. They also explained that this was an observational comparison and not statistically robust. A clinical expert explained that this comparison should not be considered definitive, because of differences in how the outcome measures are generated (only people who completed a programme are included in the National COPD Audit Programme). So, more research is

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 17 of 22

needed using comparators that follow the British Thoracic Society's guideline for face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation.

Equality considerations

There were multiple equality considerations noted by the committee.

These included general and digital literacy, speaking a different language, age, access to equipment and internet access. The committee recognised that additional support and resources may be needed for people who are unfamiliar with digital technologies or people who do not have access to smart devices or the internet. Most of the companies stated that they were either making plans to develop or had developed their technology to be available in another language. A clinical expert explained that digital technologies could actually be an enabler for those with language difficulties because it would allow people to rewatch and reread instructions as much as they wanted to.

Costs and resource use

- 3.13 A cost-consequences analysis was done using data from the 2015

 National COPD Audit Programme, with walking distance as the outcome.

 It suggested that Clinitouch, myCOPD, SPACE for COPD and Rehab

 Guru could offer potential cost savings caused by reduced healthcare

 professional time. This was despite these technologies being slightly less

 effective than face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation.
- 3.14 An exploratory cost-effectiveness analysis using 2015 National COPD Audit Programme data as the comparator, suggested that Clinitouch, myCOPD, SPACE for COPD and Rehab Guru were found to be cost

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 18 of 22

- saving and less effective than face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation, when considering walking distance as the outcome.
- 3.15 The committee noted that there were differences in effectiveness when using different comparators and difference sources of data (the trial data and the UK audit data [there were differences in how the outcome measures were generated for the UK audit data]). When taking into account licence costs and healthcare professional time for delivery only, the technologies appeared cheaper to provide than face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation. But this did not take into account other potential costs related to staff training and implementation. The EAG agreed that the difference in effectiveness was uncertain and that the health economic evaluation was for exploratory purposes only. The committee recommended that data be collected, including comparisons with appropriate comparators, to enable a full, robust, health economic evaluation.

Evidence gap review

3.16 For all the technologies, the evidence gaps related to the population, comparator and outcomes.

Population

3.17 The patient experts highlighted that people with advanced COPD who are dependent on supplemental oxygen were underrepresented in the research. The clinical experts agreed. They also stated that people with a recent diagnosis should be considered for future research, along with those recently discharged from hospital following an exacerbation. The

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 19 of 22

committee noted that there was no comparison of outcomes for people living in urban and rural settings.

Comparator

3.18 The comparators in the research were heterogeneous. The committee agreed that all comparators of face-to-face pulmonary rehabilitation should follow the British Thoracic Society standards. The specialist committee members highlighted that there was a gap in the evidence relating to comparison against waiting lists and no treatment.

Outcomes

3.19 Gaps in the outcomes included heterogeneity in the exercise capacity outcome measures, waiting list data, health-related quality of life data (which can be translated into quality-adjusted life years), information on adverse events and hospitalisation because of an exacerbation, and exacerbation rates. The committee noted that long-term data was also needed to evaluate the true effectiveness of these technologies. The companies and technology developer agreed with this.

4 Committee members and NICE project team

Committee members

This topic was considered by <u>NICE's medical technologies advisory committee</u>, which is a standing advisory committee of NICE.

Committee members are asked to declare any interests in the technologies to be evaluated. If it is considered there is a conflict of interest, the member is excluded from participating further in that evaluation.

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 20 of 22

The <u>minutes of the medical technologies advisory committee meetings</u>, which include the names of the members who attended and their declarations of interests, are posted on the NICE website.

Additional specialist committee members took part in the discussions and provided expert advice for this topic:

Specialist committee members

Dr Enya Daynes

Clinical academic physiotherapist, University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust

Professor Nicholas Hopkinson

Professor of respiratory medicine, Imperial College London

Tessa Jelen

Patient expert

Professor William Man

Consultant chest physician and professor of respiratory medicine, Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust

Dr Claire Nolan

Lecturer in physiotherapy, Brunel University London

Dr Nicola Roberts

Associate professor, Edinburgh Napier University

Alan Thomas

Patient expert

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 21 of 22

Issue date: December 2023

NICE project team

Each medical technologies guidance topic is assigned to a team consisting of 1 or more health technology assessment analysts (who act as technical leads for the topic), a health technology assessment adviser and a project manager.

Dr Evan Campbell

Health technology assessment analyst

Xia Li

Health technology assessment analyst

Amy Crossley

Health technology assessment adviser

Elizabeth Islam

Project manager

ISBN:

Draft guidance – Digital technologies to deliver pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for people with COPD: early value assessment Page 22 of 22