

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

**Interventional procedure overview of
Coil embolisation of intracranial aneurysms**

Introduction

This overview has been prepared to assist members of IPAC advise on the safety and efficacy of an interventional procedure previously reviewed by SERNIP. It is based on a rapid survey of published literature, review of the procedure by Specialist Advisors and review of the content of the SERNIP file. It should not be regarded as a definitive assessment of the procedure.

Procedure name

Coil embolisation of intracranial aneurysms

SERNIP procedure number

038

Specialty societies

British Society of Interventional Radiology
Society of British Neurological Surgeons

Indication(s)

Intracranial aneurysm.

Intracranial aneurysms are dilated blood vessels within the skull. Sometimes they are present from birth, or they may develop as a result of by damage to the wall of the blood vessels by high blood pressure or fatty deposits. People with genetic causes of weak blood vessels are more likely to develop aneurysms. Often the cause is unknown.

Rupture of intracranial aneurysms (subarachnoid haemorrhage) has a poor prognosis. About 30% of people die within 24 hours and a further 25-30% more die within four weeks (Source: protocol of the International Subarachnoid Aneurysm trial http://users.ox.ac.uk/~isat/isat_protocol.pdf).

Most western countries have an annual incidence of subarachnoid haemorrhage of between 6 and 12 cases per 100,000 people.¹

Summary of procedure

Traditional treatment for ruptured or unruptured intracranial aneurysm involves open surgery to clip the abnormal blood vessels inside the skull. The coil technique involves approaching the aneurysm from inside the diseased blood vessel, avoiding the need to open the skull (an endovascular technique). This is claimed to be less invasive and risky. The technique is only suitable for people with aneurysms, in which the entrance to the dilated part of the blood vessel (the aneurysm neck) is relatively narrow.

A thin tube, containing the coil on a guidewire, is inserted into a large artery, usually in the groin, and passed up into the skull under X ray control. The coil is placed inside the aneurysm and detached from the guidewire. Multiple coils can be placed the aneurysm through the same tube until the aneurysm is densely packed.

The coil technique is mainly carried out on ruptured aneurysms but may also be used to treat unruptured aneurysms.

Literature review

Appraisal criteria

We included studies of coil technique in the treatment of intracranial aneurysms.

List of studies found

We found one systematic review (described in table).² It found 37 studies.

We found two randomised controlled trials.^{3,4}

We found seven non-randomised controlled studies and 19 case series including 100 or more people. The table describes the two largest non-randomised studies⁵ and the largest case series.⁶

The annex gives the references to the smaller non-randomised controlled studies and the case series including 100 people or more.

Summary of key efficacy and safety findings (1)

Authors, location, date, patients	Key efficacy findings	Key safety findings	Key reliability and validity issues
Brilstra² Systematic review Search dates 1990 to 1997 37 studies; study designs not described Studies included 1256 patients (mean age 51) with ruptured or unruptured intracranial aneurysms receiving treatment with controlled detachable coils; 1136 received Guglielmi coils	Aneurysm radiographic >90% occlusion: 654 people	- aneurysm perforations: 30 - ischaemic complications: 107 - procedure related death: 6	Search strategy described Study design and quality not described
International Subarachnoid Aneurysm Trial Collaborative Group³ Randomised controlled trial 1997 onwards 2143 people with ruptured intracranial aneurysms 1073 Guglielmi coil; median age: 52 (range 18-87) 1070 surgical clipping; median age: 52 (range 18-84) Inclusion criteria: - proven subarachnoid haemorrhage preceding 28 days - demonstrated intracranial aneurysm - uncertainty as to which treatment better Follow up 12 months	No symptoms: - coil: 26% - clipping: 19% Significant restriction of lifestyle: - coil: 10% - clipping: 13% Fully dependent: - coil: 3% - clipping: 3% Dead - coil: 8% - clipping: 10% Relative risk of death at 12 months with coil v clipping: 0.77, 95% confidence interval 0.66 to 0.91		Randomisation appropriate Characteristics of groups similar Blinding of outcomes assessment not described Outcomes appropriate Follow-up length is appropriate – longer follow up planned Follow up complete for 98% of the patients randomised up to Feb 2001

Summary of key efficacy and safety findings (2)

Authors, location, date, patients	Key efficacy findings	Key safety findings	Key reliability and validity issues
Vanninen⁴ Randomised controlled trial Kuopio, Finland 1995 to 1997 111 with ruptured aneurysm • 52 Guglielmi coil mean age 49 • 57 clipping, mean age 50 Exclusion criteria: • age >75 • bleeding >3 days • large haematoma • mass effect causing neurological deficit • previous surgery for aneurysm • neck of aneurysm wider than fundus • fusiform aneurysm • neck and its relationship to the parent vessel not distinguishable • aneurysm diameter <2mm Follow up 3 months	Good/moderate recovery: • coil: 42 people • clipping: 45 people 'not significant' Severe disability/vegetative state: • coil: 4 people • clipping: 6 people 'not significant' Death • coil: 6 people • clipping: 6 people 'not significant'	Surgery required in coil group: 8 people • perforation: 3 people • intracranial haematoma: 1 person • rebleeding: 1 person Stroke: 2 people Transient ischaemic attack: 1 person Coil migration: 1 person	RANDOMISATION method not described Baseline characteristics of the two groups comparable No blinding described Outcomes appropriate Small; may lack power Follow up short; longer follow up planned
Richling⁵ Non-randomised controlled study Vienna & Salzburg, Austria Published 2000 470 with ruptured aneurysms • 173 coil • 297 clipping Treated according to aneurysm shape Exclusion criteria: • multiple or unruptured aneurysms Mean follow-up 44 months (range: 3-79)	Asymptomatic or minimal functional deficit (by site of aneurysm): Posterior communicating artery: • coil: 19/31 (61%) • clipping: 26/40 (65%) Anterior communicating artery: • coil: 29/45 (64%) • clipping: 86/120 (72%) Middle cerebral artery: • coil: 14/18 (78%) • clipping: 45/73 (62%)	None provided	Treatment decided by neurosurgeons Outcomes appropriate Follow up fairly long

Summary of key efficacy and safety findings (3)

Authors, location, date, patients	Key efficacy findings	Key safety findings	Key reliability and validity issues
Leber⁶ Retrospective comparison of case series Graz, Austria 1992 to 1995 248 people with ruptured or unruptured aneurysms 106 (134 aneurysms) coil, mean age 54 142 (162 aneurysms) clipping, mean age 49 Mean follow-up - coil: 2.6 years - clipping: 1 year	Death: Unruptured (61 people) - coil: 5% - clipping: 6% 'not significant' Rupture (187 people) - coil: overall figures not provided - clipping: overall figures not provided 'not significant'	Complications not described in detail Coil: 2 fatal ruptures during procedure	Not clear how people were selected for treatment groups Follow up different for different group
Vinuela⁷ Case series Los Angeles & Houston, USA 1990 to 1995 403 with ruptured intracranial aneurysms, unclippable or considered poor operative risk, mean age 58 Variable follow up 6 to 36 months	Neurological outcomes: - improved or unchanged: 342/403 (85%) - deterioration: 36/403 (9%) - death: 25/403 (6%)	Complications: - perforations: 11/403 (3%) - cerebral embolisations: 10/403 (2%) - parent artery occlusions: 12/403 (3%) - coil migration: 2/403 (0.5%) - arterial vasospasm: 2/403 (0.5%)	Uncontrolled case series Variable length of follow-up

Validity and generalisability of the studies

The studies were carried out in settings applicable to the UK.

We found one large high quality randomised controlled trial.³ The other randomised controlled trial may have lacked power to show clinically important differences between people who had a coil inserted and people who had neurosurgical clipping.

Both randomised controlled trials included only people with ruptured aneurysms.^{3,4}

The retrospective comparison of case series was the only study to have included people with unruptured aneurysms.⁶

The case series provided useful information on the risk of complications.⁷

Bazian comments

None.

Specialist advisor's opinion / advisors' opinions

Specialist advice was sought from the British Society of Interventional Radiology and the Society of British Neurological Surgeons.

- Procedural death (1-3%) and stroke (5-8%) are the main adverse effects
- There is uncertainty about long term durability of coils and long term rebleeding from a treated aneurysm
- Training in coil insertion important

Issues for consideration by IPAC

None other than those discussed above.

References

1. Linn FH, Rinkel GJ, Algra A, van Gijn J. Incidence of subarachnoid haemorrhage: role of region, year, and rate of computed tomography: a meta-analysis. *Stroke*. 1996 Apr;27(4):625-9.)
2. Brilstra, E. H., Rinkel, G. J., van der, Graaf Y., van Rooij, W. J., and Algra, A. Treatment of intracranial aneurysms by embolization with coils: a systematic review. *Stroke* 1999; 30: 470-476.
3. International Subarachnoid Aneurysm Trial (ISAT) Collaborative Group. International Subarachnoid Aneurysm Trial (ISAT) of neurosurgical clipping versus endovascular coiling in 2143 patients with ruptured intracranial aneurysms: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2002; 360: 1267-74.
4. Vanninen, R., Koivisto, T., Saari, T., Hernesniemi, J., and Vapalahti, M. Ruptured intracranial aneurysms: acute endovascular treatment with electrolytically detachable coils - a prospective randomized study. *Radiology* 1999; 211: 325-336.
5. Richling, B., Gruber, A., Killer, M., and Bavinzski, G. Treatment of ruptured saccular intracranial aneurysms by microsurgery and electrolytically detachable coils: Evaluation of outcome and long-term follow-up. *Operative Techniques in Neurosurgery* 2000; 3: 282-299.
6. Leber, K. A., Klein, G. E., Trummer, M., and Eder, H. G. Intracranial aneurysms: a review of endovascular and surgical treatment in 248 patients. *Minimally Invasive Neurosurgery* 1998; 41: 81-85.
7. Vinuela, F., Duckwiler, G., and Mawad, M. Guglielmi detachable coil embolization of acute intracranial aneurysm: perioperative anatomical and clinical outcome in 403 patients. *Journal of Neurosurgery* 1997; 86: 475-482.

Annex: References to studies not described in the table

Reference	Number of study participants
Comparative studies	
Li, T., Duan, C., and Wang, Q. Endovascular embolization treatment of intracranial aneurysms. [Chinese] <i>Chinese Medical Journal</i> 2000; 80: 503-506	194
Sturaitis, M. K., Rinne, J., Chaloupka, J. C., Kaynar, M., Lin, Z., and Awad, I. A. Impact of Guglielmi detachable coils on outcomes of patients with intracranial aneurysms treated by a multidisciplinary team at a single institution. <i>Journal of Neurosurgery</i> 2000; 93: 569-580	176
Kahara, V. J., Seppanen, S. K., Kuurne, T., and Laasonen, E. M. Patient outcome after endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms with reference to microsurgical clipping. <i>Acta Neurologica Scandinavica</i> 1999; 99: 284-290.	150
Marchal, J. C., Lescure, J. P., Bracard, S., Auque, J., Hepner, H., Audibert, G., Hummer, M., and Picard, L. Subarachnoid hemorrhage caused by aneurysm rupture. Surgery or embolization? [French] <i>Annales Francaises d Anesthesie et de Reanimation</i> 1996; 15: 342-347	140
Raftopoulos, C., Mathurin, P., Boscherini, D., Billa, R. F., Van Boven, M., and Hantson, P. Prospective analysis of aneurysm treatment in a series of 103 consecutive patients when endovascular embolization is considered the first option. <i>Journal of Neurosurgery</i> 2000; 93: 175-182	127
Case series	
Duan, C., Li, T., and Han, Z. Short-term and long-term effectiveness of endovascular embolization in treating intracranial aneurysms. [Chinese] <i>Chinese Medical Journal</i> 2001; 81: 579-582.	362
Houdart, E. Treatment of 315 intracranial aneurysms using electrically controlled detachable coils. [French] <i>Bulletin de l Academie Nationale de Medecine</i> 1996; 180: 1173-1183	290
Sluzewski, M., Bosch, J. A., van Rooij, W. J., Nijssen, P. C., and Wijnalda, D. Rupture of intracranial aneurysms during treatment with Guglielmi detachable coils: incidence, outcome, and risk factors. <i>Journal of Neurosurgery</i> 2001; 94: 238-240.	239
Casasco, A. and George, B. Endovascular treatment of saccular intracranial aneurysm. <i>Journal of Neurosurgical Sciences</i> 1998; 42: 125-126	217
McDougall, C. G., Halbach, V. V., Dowd, C. F., Higashida, R. T., Larsen, D. W., and Hieshima, G. B. Causes and management of aneurysmal hemorrhage occurring during embolization with Guglielmi detachable coils. <i>Journal of Neurosurgery</i> 1998; 89: 87-92.	200
Cognard, C., Weill, A., Castaings, L., Rey, A., and Moret, J. Intracranial berry aneurysms: angiographic and clinical results after endovascular treatment. <i>Radiology</i> 1998; 206: 499-510.	182
Cognard, C., Weill, A., Spelle, L., Piotin, M., Castaings, L., Rey, A., and Moret, J. Long-term angiographic follow-up of 169 intracranial berry aneurysms occluded with detachable coils. <i>Radiology</i> 1999; 212: 348-356	169
Eskridge, J. M. and Song, J. K. Endovascular embolization of 150 basilar tip aneurysms with Guglielmi detachable coils: results of the Food and Drug Administration multicenter clinical trial. <i>Journal of Neurosurgery</i> 1998; 89: 81-86	150
Debrun, G. M., Aletich, V. A., Kehrli, P., Misra, M., Ausman, J. I., Charbel, F., Rosenwasser, R. H., Solomon, R. A., Connolly, E. S., Jr., Guterman, L. R., and Hopkins, L. N. Selection of cerebral aneurysms for treatment using Guglielmi detachable coils: The preliminary university of Illinois at Chicago experience. <i>Neurosurgery</i> 1998; 43: 1281-1297	144
Ng, P., Khangure, M. S., Phatouros, C. C., Bynevelt, M., ApSimon, H., and McAuliffe, W. Endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms with Guglielmi detachable coils: analysis of midterm angiographic and clinical outcomes. <i>Stroke</i> 2002; 33: 210-217	144
Gurian, J. H., Martin, N. A., King, W. A., Duckwiler, G. R., Guglielmi, G., and Vinuela, F. Neurosurgical management of cerebral aneurysms following unsuccessful or incomplete endovascular embolization. <i>Journal of Neurosurgery</i> 1995; 83: 843-853	141

Picard, L., Bracard, S., Anxionnat, R., Prada, E., Per, A., Burdin, D., Hummer, M., Audibert, G., Marchal, J. C., and Auque, J. Endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms. [French] <i>Annales Francaises d Anesthesie et de Reanimation</i> 1996; 15: 348-353	135
Derdeyn, C. P., Cross, D. T., III, Moran, C. J., Brown, G. W., Pilgram, T. K., Diringier, M. N., Grubb, R. L., Jr., Rich, K. M., Chicoine, M. R., and Dacey, R. G., Jr. Postprocedure ischemic events after treatment of intracranial aneurysms with Guglielmi detachable coils. <i>Journal of Neurosurgery</i> 2002; 96: 837-843	133
Horowitz, M., Purdy, P., Kopitnik, T., Dutton, K., Samson, D., Stoodley, M. A., Steinberg, G. K., Solomon, R. A., McDougall, C. G., Day, A. L., and Chang, D. J. Aneurysm retreatment after Guglielmi detachable coil and nondetachable coil embolization: Report of nine cases and review of the literature. <i>Neurosurgery</i> 1999; 44: 712-720	124
Roy, D., Milot, G., and Raymond, J. Endovascular treatment of unruptured aneurysms. <i>Stroke</i> 2001; 32: 1998-2004	116
Murayama, Y., Vinuela, F., Duckwiler, G. R., Gobin, Y. P., and Guglielmi, G. Embolization of incidental cerebral aneurysms by using the Guglielmi detachable coil system. <i>Journal of Neurosurgery</i> 1999; 90: 207-214	115
Lempert, T. E., Malek, A. M., Halbach, V. V., Phatouros, C. C., Meyers, P. M., Dowd, C. F., and Higashida, R. T. Endovascular treatment of ruptured posterior circulation cerebral aneurysms. Clinical and angiographic outcomes. <i>Stroke</i> 2000; 31: 100-110	112
Matsumaru, Y., Sonobe, M., Nakai, Y., Takahashi, S., and Nose, T. Rupture of aneurysms during and after embolization with Guglielmi detachable coils. <i>Interventional Neuroradiology</i> 2001; 7: 83-87	104

Overview prepared by:
Bazian Ltd
December 2002