

Cryotherapy for recurrent prostate cancer

Interventional procedures guidance

Published: 25 May 2005

www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg119

Your responsibility

This guidance represents the view of NICE, arrived at after careful consideration of the evidence available. When exercising their judgement, healthcare professionals are expected to take this guidance fully into account. However, the guidance does not override the individual responsibility of healthcare professionals to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient and/or guardian or carer.

Commissioners and/or providers have a responsibility to implement the guidance, in their local context, in light of their duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations. Nothing in this guidance should be interpreted in a way that would be inconsistent with compliance with those duties.

Commissioners and providers have a responsibility to promote an environmentally sustainable health and care system and should assess and reduce the environmental impact of implementing NICE recommendations wherever possible.

1 Guidance

1.1 Current evidence on the safety and efficacy of cryotherapy, as measured by a

reduction of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels and biopsy findings, appears adequate to support the use of this procedure in patients with recurrent prostate cancer provided that the normal arrangements are in place for consent, audit and clinical governance.

- 1.2 The effects of cryotherapy for recurrent prostate cancer on quality of life and long-term survival remain uncertain. Clinicians should therefore ensure that patients understand the uncertainties and the alternative treatment options. Use of the Institute's [information for the public](#) is recommended.
- 1.3 Further research and audit should address quality of life, clinical outcomes and long-term survival.

2 The procedure

2.1 Indications

- 2.1.1 Cryotherapy may be used to treat locally recurrent carcinoma of the prostate that has been refractory to other treatments, such as radiotherapy or hormone therapy.
- 2.1.2 Treatment options for locally recurrent prostate cancer after radiotherapy are limited and include salvage radical prostatectomy, salvage cryotherapy and salvage brachytherapy.

2.2 Outline of the procedure

- 2.2.1 Cryotherapy may be performed under general or spinal anaesthesia. A warming catheter is initially inserted into the urethra to prevent it being damaged by the cold. Cryoneedles or probes are inserted into the prostate, using imaging for guidance. Temperature monitor probes may also be placed percutaneously through the perineum. Argon gas is then circulated through these needles or probes, generating very low temperatures and causing the formation of ice around the prostate gland which destroys the affected tissue. Newer cryotherapy techniques allow these needles to be removed or repositioned so that the frozen zone conforms to the exact size and shape of the target tissue. After the procedure, a suprapubic catheter is inserted and left in place for 1–2 weeks, depending on the post-void residual urine volume.

2.3 Efficacy

- 2.3.1 Various efficacy outcome measures were used in the studies identified, making comparisons of efficacy across studies difficult. A frequently used marker was PSA, a protein produced by both normal and cancerous cells in the prostate gland. Reduction in the PSA level is used as a marker for ablation of malignant tissue in studies of prostate cancer treatment, together with negative prostatic biopsies.
- 2.3.2 In one study, lowest level PSA < 0.5 ng/ml was reported in 97% (114/118) of patients who had undergone cryotherapy; in another study, a level of < 0.1 ng/ml was reported in 60% (26/43) of patients. These studies included patients with recurrent prostate cancer or rising PSA levels, and those who were undergoing salvage therapy. After medial retropubic prostatectomy, PSA levels are expected to be < 0.1 ng/ml.
- 2.3.3 In a study of 43 patients, biochemical-recurrence-free survival (recurrence defined as an increase in PSA level of > 0.2 ng/ml above nadir) was reported as 79% at 6 months and 66% at 12 months; and in a study of 38 patients (recurrence defined as an increase in PSA level of > 0.3 ng/ml above nadir), as 86% at 12 months and 74% at 24 months. One case series reported negative biopsy in 100% (38/38) of patients followed up for a median 82 months. Another case series reported negative biopsies in 79% (87/110) of patients at 6-month follow-up. For more details, refer to the Sources of evidence.

2.4 Safety

- 2.4.1 Complication rates varied substantially among the studies and there is some evidence to suggest that complications have decreased with improvements in technique and instrumentation. Among the studies identified, the following complications were reported: impotence in 72% (108/150) and 86% (12/14) of patients; incontinence in 8% (3/38) of patients; and perineal and/or rectal pain in 18% (27/150) to 39% (15/38) of patients. Other reported complications from the case series included fistula formation in 1% (2/150) to 3% (4/118 and 2/59) of patients. For more details, refer to the Sources of evidence.
- 2.4.2 The Specialist Advisors listed the main complications as urinary incontinence, impotence, rectal injury and fistula formation. However, severe complications

are rare and comparison needs to be made with the complication rates in alternative options.

2.5 Other comments

- 2.5.1 In recommending that further research and audit should address long-term survival, it is noted that prostate cancer patients frequently die from unrelated causes.
- 2.5.2 There are different types of cryotherapy device, and these may have different safety profiles.
- 2.5.3 The technology for this procedure is continuing to evolve.

3 Further information

- 3.1 The Institute has issued guidance on [urological cancer services](#), which includes prostate cancer. The Institute has also issued interventional procedures guidance on [laparoscopic radical prostatectomy](#) and [high-intensity ultrasound for prostate cancer](#).

Andrew Dillon
Chief Executive
May 2005

Sources of evidence

The following document, which summarises the evidence, was considered by the Institute when making its recommendations.

['Interventional procedure overview of cryotherapy for recurrent prostate cancer'](#), June 2004.

Information for patients

NICE has produced [information on this procedure for patients and carers](#). It explains the nature of the procedure and the guidance issued by NICE, and has been written with patient consent in mind.

4 Other NICE recommendations on cryotherapy for the treatment of prostate cancer

Further recommendations have been made as part of the clinical guideline on prostate cancer published in February 2008, as follows:

High intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) and cryotherapy are not recommended for men with localised prostate cancer other than in the context of controlled clinical trials comparing their use with established interventions.

Clinical and cost-effectiveness evidence was reviewed in the development of this guideline which has led to this more specific recommendation. More information is [available](#). The IP guidance on cryotherapy for recurrent prostate cancer remains current, and should be read in conjunction with the clinical guideline.

5 About this guidance

NICE interventional procedure guidance makes recommendations on the safety and efficacy of the procedure. It does not cover whether or not the NHS should fund a procedure. Funding decisions are taken by local NHS bodies after considering the clinical effectiveness of the procedure and whether it represents value for money for the NHS. It is for healthcare professionals and people using the NHS in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland, and is endorsed by Healthcare Improvement Scotland for implementation by NHSScotland.

This guidance was developed using the NICE [interventional procedure guidance](#) process.

It has been incorporated into the [NICE pathway on prostate cancer](#), along with other related guidance and products.

We have produced a [summary of this guidance for patients and carers](#). Information about the evidence it is based on is also [available](#).

Changes since publication

24 January 2012: minor maintenance.

Your responsibility

This guidance represents the views of NICE and was arrived at after careful consideration of the available evidence. Healthcare professionals are expected to take it fully into account when exercising their clinical judgement. This guidance does not, however, override the individual responsibility of healthcare professionals to make appropriate decisions in the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient and/or guardian or carer.

Implementation of this guidance is the responsibility of local commissioners and/or providers. Commissioners and providers are reminded that it is their responsibility to implement the guidance, in their local context, in light of their duties to avoid unlawful discrimination and to have regard to promoting equality of opportunity. Nothing in this guidance should be interpreted in a way which would be inconsistent with compliance with those duties.

Copyright

© National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence 2005. All rights reserved. NICE copyright material can be downloaded for private research and study, and may be reproduced for educational and not-for-profit purposes. No reproduction by or for commercial organisations, or for commercial purposes, is allowed without the written permission of NICE.

Contact NICE

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence
Level 1A, City Tower, Piccadilly Plaza, Manchester M1 4BT

www.nice.org.uk

nice@nice.org.uk

0845 033 7780

Endorsing organisation

This guidance has been endorsed by [Healthcare Improvement Scotland](#).