

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

374 – Laser transmyocardial revascularisation for refractory angina pectoris

Consultation Comments table

IPAC date: Thursday 12th March

Comment number	Consultee name and organisation	Sec. no.	Comments	Response Please respond to all comments
1	Consultee 1 Private Sector Professional	1.1	Bupa agrees.	Thank you for your comment.
2	Consultee 1 Private Sector Professional	2.1	Does CBT need mentioning as one of the possible managements? Ill chase up my memory of evidence from the Nat Angina Centre in ?Liverpool? Manchester? and let you know. (I grew up between those two cities, so Im not being geographist in not being able to remember which, just having a senior moment.)	Thank you for your comment. The Committee considered this comment but decided not to change the guidance to include cognitive behavior therapy because it is intended to deal with the main treatments only.
3	Consultee 1 Private Sector Professional	2.2	Fine.	Thank you for your comment.
4	Consultee 1 Private Sector Professional	2.3	Overall survival has to be a key efficacy outcome, too.	Thank you for your comment. Survival was considered by the Committee and mortality up to 30 days was included as a safety outcome. The guidance will not be changed.
5	Consultee 1 Private Sector Professional	2.4	Agree	Thank you for your comment.

"Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by NICE are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that NICE has received, and are not endorsed by NICE, its officers or advisory committees."