NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

Interventional procedure overview of percutaneous mitral valve annuloplasty

Mitral regurgitation occurs when one of the four valves in the heart – the mitral valve – does not close properly, allowing blood to leak backwards. It may lead to shortness of breath and eventually heart failure (where the heart cannot pump enough blood to meet the body's needs). In this procedure, a catheter is inserted into a large vein in the groin or neck and passed through to the heart. A device is placed into a large vein that sits next to the mitral valve to constrict the valve, with the aim of making it close properly.

Introduction

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) has prepared this overview to help members of the Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee (IPAC) make recommendations about the safety and efficacy of an interventional procedure. It is based on a rapid review of the medical literature and specialist opinion. It should not be regarded as a definitive assessment of the procedure.

Date prepared

This overview was prepared in January 2009.

Procedure name

• Percutaneous mitral valve annuloplasty

Specialty societies

- British Cardiovascular Intervention Society
- Society for Cardiothoracic Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland.

Description

Indications and current treatment

Mitral regurgitation is characterised by backward flow of blood from the left ventricle to the left atrium during systole. It causes the left ventricle to become enlarged because of the additional workload required to maintain normal blood flow. Left untreated, moderate to severe mitral regurgitation can cause progressive congestive heart failure and eventually lead to death.

Mitral regurgitation results from failure of apposition of the mitral valve leaflets, which may be due to abnormalities of the mitral leaflets, their subvalvar apparatus (chordae and papillary muscles), or from dilation of the mitral annulus (usually due to left ventricular enlargement and often termed 'functional' mitral regurgitation).

Surgical treatment of severe mitral regurgitation may include partial leaflet resection, annuloplasty, chordal repair or a combination of these. Annuloplasty involves surgical placement of a supporting ring around the base of the mitral valve to reduce annular dilation, bringing the mitral valve leaflets closer together so that valve competence can be restored.

The degree of mitral regurgitation is commonly graded on a scale from 1 to 4, where grade 1 is mild, grade 2 is moderate, grade 3 is moderate to severe and grade 4 is severe.

What the procedure involves

The mitral annulus is anatomically closely related to the coronary sinus (the large vein that forms at the level of the posterior mitral annulus and drains into the right atrium at its other end). The aim of the procedure is to percutaneously place an intravascular device into the coronary sinus, which reduces the diameter of the coronary sinus when contracted and in doing so, reduces the adjacent mitral annulus.

The procedure is carried out with the patient under general or local anaesthesia, and a catheter is advanced under fluoroscopic control, usually through the jugular or femoral vein towards the coronary sinus. The device is advanced through the catheter and deployed in the coronary sinus under fluoroscopic and transoesophageal echocardiographic guidance. It is then usually anchored within the coronary sinus to minimise the likelihood of subsequent displacement. Subsequent technique details may vary according to the type of device used, but all techniques involve the percutaneous manipulation of the coronary sinus to change the shape and size of the mitral valve annulus. Transoesophageal echocardiography is used to assess the device position and whether mitral regurgitation has been reduced, and the device may be repositioned as necessary.

Literature review

Rapid review of literature

The medical literature was searched to identify studies and reviews relevant to percutaneous mitral valve annuloplasty. Searches were conducted of the following databases, covering the period from their commencement to 9 April 2010: MEDLINE, PREMEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library and other databases. Trial registries and the Internet were also searched. No language restriction was applied to the searches (see appendix C for details of search strategy). Relevant published studies identified during consultation or resolution that are published after this date may also be considered for inclusion.

The following selection criteria (table 1) were applied to the abstracts identified by the literature search. Where selection criteria could not be determined from the abstracts the full paper was retrieved.

Characteristic	Criteria
Publication type	Clinical studies were included. Emphasis was placed on identifying good quality studies.
	Abstracts were excluded where no clinical outcomes were reported, or where the paper was a review, editorial, or a laboratory or animal study.
	Conference abstracts were also excluded because of the difficulty of appraising study methodology, unless they reported specific adverse events that were not available in the published literature.
Patient	Patients with mitral regurgitation.
Intervention/test	Percutaneous mitral valve annuloplasty.
Outcome	Articles were retrieved if the abstract contained information relevant to the safety and/or efficacy.
Language	Non-English-language articles were excluded unless they were thought to add substantively to the English-language evidence base.

List of studies included in the overview

This overview is based on approximately 93 patients from 5 case series and 2 case reports (all but one case series were reports of the first experience in humans).

Other studies that were considered to be relevant to the procedure but were not included in the main extraction table (table 2) have been listed in appendix A.

Table 2 Summary of key efficacy and safety findings on percutaneous mitral valve annuloplasty

Study details	Key efficacy finding	S				Key safety findings		Comments
Schofer J (2009) ¹				did not receive a device		Complications		Follow-up issues:
Case series Germany, Poland, Netherlands	because of issues with access, insufficient functional mitral regurgitation or coronary artery compromise) <i>MR reduction</i>				13% (6/46) of patients had 7 major adverse events within the first 30 days after the procedure		 2 patients withdrew before 30-day follow-up. At 6-month follow-up 2	
Recruitment period: not reported	This was assessed a standards.	ccording to th	e American	Society of Echocardiog	raphy	Event	Rate (No.)	patients had died, 1 patient received a transplant (they were on
Study population: patients with functional MR demonstrated by echocardiogram and	Mitral regurgitant jet	(n = 30)	(n = 29) 30.1	months (n = 26) 32.3		Death from multisystem organ failure*	2.2 (1/46)	a waiting list before the procedure) and 3 declined to return for the
moderate heart failure $n = 48$	and left atrial area (%)	47.0	30.1	52.5		MI (defined as creatine kinase ≥ 3 times the upper limit of normal measured in 8 hour	6.5 (3/46)	follow-up visit. Study design issues : • These are results from
Mean age: 64 years (implanted) and 65	Regurgitant volume (ml)	35.1	22.6	24.3		intervals for 24 hours after the procedure)**		the Mitral Annuloplasty Device European Union
years (not implanted) Sex: 83% male	Effective regurgitant orifice area (cm ²)	0.25	0.17	0.17		Coronary sinus dissection/perforation**	6.5 (3/46)	Study (AMADEUS)All events were
NYHA class III (37), II (9), IV (2) Patient selection criteria: > 18 years, dilated ischaemic or non-	Vena contracta (narrowest central flow region of a jet) (cm) All differences were s	0.71	0.58	0.53		(This was based on intention-to-t which included patients who were implanted with the device, but it a have excluded the 2 patients who from the study.)	e not appears to	 adjudicated by an independent committee. 1 patient was treated with an earlier CARILLON device.
ischaemic cardiomyopathy, moderate to severe functional MR, NYHA class II to IV, 6-minute walk distance 150–	(p < 0.001). Of the 24 patients wit	h data availat uction across	ble for follow the 5 quan	v-up at 6-months there v titative echocardiograph		*In a 56-year old man with a history vessel coronary artery disease, of insufficiency, and COPD 22 days procedure. The patient had had a coronary angiogram with a normal day after the implant because of	chronic renal s after the a repeat al result the rise in	The report includes some information about temporary or permanent devices but it was not clear which patients received each device. It is possible that it
450m, LVEF< 40%, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter > 55mm. Exclusion criteria:	NYHA Base class (n = 3	-	th 6 mor			creatine kinase-MB level. The pa developed acute renal failure bef system organ failure. **These did not require hospitalis	fore multi-	 includes the patients treated with a temporary implant in Duffy (2006)². The KCCQ is a 23-item

Study details	Key efficacy findings			Key s	safety findings	Comments
hospitalisation in last 3 months for MI, history of CABG, unstable angina, percutaneous coronary intervention in last 30 days, moderate MR or NYHA class II, requirement for cardiac surgery within 1 year, pacing lead in CS, moderate or severe MV degeneration, rheumatic disease, severe mitral annular calcification, compromised renal function, severe tricuspid regurgitation, chronic AF Technique: percutaneous mitral valve annuloplasty with CARILLON device (Cardiac Dimensions Inc) under general anaesthetic Follow-up: 6 months	I $0 (0/30)$ II $20 (6/30)$ III $20 (6/30)$ III $73 (22/30)$ IV $7 (2/30)$ The NYHA classification v baseline to 1.8 at 6 month6-minute walk testThis improved from 307 m months (p < 0.001 from billing ≥ 25 m at 6 months.Quality of life measures Results of the KCCQ sign month follow-up (0–100 sign 0.001).The patient component of Patient portion of the global assessment scoreMarkedly improvedModerately improved	s (p < 0.001). a at baseline to a at baseline to 6 m ificantly impro- cale; higher so the global ass % Baseline (n = 30) 26.9 (7/26) 30.8 (8/26)	b 387m at 1 month onths). 82% (19/2) wed from 47 to 69 cores reflect better sessment had the 5 (No.) 6 months (n = 26) 36.0 (9/25) 24.0 (6/25)	**The withou occurr stiff gu and or perica erage of 2.9 at 1 403 m at 6 ad improved by h baseline to 6- lth status; p <	Safety findings CS dissection (1 patient) resolved ut specific therapy. The 2 perforations red early in the study: one was from a uidewire and did not require therapy one from diagnostic catheter required ardial drainage e were no cases of device embolisation vice fracture on follow-up radiographs.	 self-administered instrument which assesses physical function, symptoms, social function, self- efficacy and knowledge and quality of life (it has been suggested that a 10 point reduction in KCCQ scores has important prognostic significance). Study population issues: History of coronary artery disease in 35, COPD in 11 and CABG in 17 (though history of CABG was exclusion criteria). Significantly more patients treated with a device had coronary artery disease than those without the implant (this was the only significant
Conflict of interest/source of funding: funded by Cardiac Dimensions Inc, 5 authors have stocks in the company; 2 are consultants and 3 are employees.	Slightly improved No change	19.2 (5/26) 11.5 (3/26)	24.0 (6/25) 8.0 (2/25)			 only significant difference). 88% were on diuretics, 94% were on ACE
	Slightly worse Moderately worse Markedly worse	7.7 (2/26) 0.0 (0/26) 3.8 (1/26)	8.0 (2/25) 0.0 (0/25) 0.0 (0/25)		 94% were on ACE inhibitors and 96% were on beta blockers. Those with a successful implantation had a more distal placement of the device. 	

Study details	Key efficacy findings	Key safety findings		Comments
Sack S (2009)7	Number of patients analysed: 27	Complications		Follow-up issues:
Case series	Technical success	Event	Rate (No.)	• Echocardiography, clinical examination and
Germany, Belgium, Canada Recruitment period:	<u>Diagnostic / temporary implant</u> Of 27 patients, 8 were unable to have a diagnostic procedure because of unsuccessful venous access (6), anatomical exclusion (1), guidewire	Death from progressive heart failure (not attributed to procedure)	3% (1/29)	laboratory assessments at 24 hours, 14, 30, 180 and 360 days. QOL at 30 and 180 days.
2006 - 2007	perforation (1; as in safety section).	Subclavicular haematoma	3% (1/29)	
Study population:	Of the 19 who had a diagnostic procedure, 6 were unsuccessful in reducing $MR \ge 1$ grade.	Pneumonia	3% (1/29)	Study design issues:
symptomatic patients with functional MR	Procedural success rate of diagnostic PTMA: 48% (13/27) of all patients and	Transient renal dysfunction	3% (1/29)	Patients recruited from 4
(NYHA class 2 or 3)	68% (13/19) of those with successful placement.	Circumflex stent implantation*	3% (1/29)	European and 1
n = 27 (29 procedures)	<u>Permanent implant</u> Of the remaining 13 patients with a successful diagnostic procedure, 9 went	Pericardial effusion (centrum semiovale related)**	3% (1/29)	Canadian sites.Echocardiography
Mean age: 70.4 years Sex: 56% male Inclusion criteria:	o have the permanent implant (of the 4 in whom the permanent implant not attempted, 2 had an unstable device and 2 had technical delivery culties).	PTMA implant device fracture (removal percutaneously after 7 days)	3% (1/29)	assessed by both enrolling centres and the core laboratory in the USA.
moderate to severe functional MR, grade 2+ to 4+ (measured by	One of 9 with permanent implant had device fracture at 7 days as noted in safety section), therefore overall 30-day success rate of implanted devices: 62% (8/13)	PTMA migration requiring percutaneous removal and subsequent annuloplasty	10% (3/29)	 Trial was done in 4 chronological periods with each group of
TOE) and LVEF 20-50% Exclusion criteria: organic mitral valve disease, leaflet prolapse, significant renal dysfunction (CR > 2.3 mg/dL), proximal coronary circumflex stent and left dominant	Three additional devices were removed percutaneously and patients were treated with surgical annuloplasty at 84, 197 and 216 days (1 for lack of efficacy and 2 because of device migration as mentioned in the safety section). Apart from 1 patient who died (see safety section), 4 patients still had the implant in place at 17, 11, 11, and 2.5 months after the procedure.	Guidewire perforation*** * this was in the first patient with circumflex abnormality directly a the device, during and after intra ultrasound there was abrupt ves with ST elevation so it was treated implantation without further clinic myonecrosis.	djacent to wascular sel closure ed with stent	 patients having incremental technical enhancements of the device. Study population issues: 17 patients had
coronary circulation. Technique: diagnostic PTMA implant (Viacor) with TOE to measure treatment effect; if		** pericardiocentesis was perform procedure terminated and the P not inserted no PTMA used *** this occurred before the diag procedure; the patient was unab	TMA device	 ischaemic aetiology 10 had previous CABG procedure

Key efficacy findings	Key safety findings	Comments
	diagnostic procedure.	
	None of events had permanent sequelae.	
	Key efficacy findings	diagnostic procedure.

Study details	Key efficacy findings				Key safety findings	Comments
Webb JG (2006) ²	Number of patients analysed	5			Mortality	
0	Technical success			1 patient died on postoperative day 148 due to progressive heart failure. The		
Case series Canada, Sweden Recruitment period: not reported Study population: patients with chronic	Successful device implantation In all 4 patients, the distal and locations. In 1 patient, the device could because of difficulty in obtain month later was uneventful b	chors were cor not be advanc ing coaxial guid	ed fully into the cor de position. A seco	due to progressive heart failure. The patient was considered a nonsurgical candidate because of morbid obesity and other comorbidities. Postmortem showed a well-positioned device, patent CS and patent circumflex artery.		
ischaemic MR (≥ grade 2+) with previous MI n = 5 Mean age: 67 ± 10 years Sex: 3 male, 2 female Exclusion criteria: LVEF	Mitral regurgitation and syn Mitral annulus diameter (mm) LVEF (%) NYHA class Mitral regurgitation grade*	mptoms Baseline 36 ± 3 42 ± 11 2.4 ± 0.5 3.0 ± 0.5	3 months 35 ± 1 50 ± 6 2 ± 0.7 1.6 ± 1.1		 Complications In the patient who had an unsuccessful procedure, attempts to implant the device led to wire perforation of the anterior interventricular vein and pericardial effusion. Another patient developed transient AF 	
Exclusion criteria: LVEF < 30%, structural MV disease, mitral annular calcification, prior endocarditis or mitral surgery, creatinine > 2.0 mg/dL, CS pacing leads, anticipated revascularization, inability to take aspirin or clopidogrel or life expectancy < 1 year. Technique: percutaneous transvenous implantation of an annuloplasty device (Viking, Edwards	 *3 out of 4 patients had reduct Follow-up (3 months) In the 3 patients who had follow-up, coronary angiog circumflex coronary artery adjacent GCV. 	ed MR grade a successful pro gram showed n	at hospital discharg cedures and were a to evidence of com	alive at last promise of the	during cannulation of the coronary sinus. Separation of the nitinol bridge segment of the device was documented in 3 of 4 patients who had successful procedures on routine follow-up chest radiographs at 22, 28 and 81 days. Migration of the anchors was not observed. Although there were no adverse events associated with device separation, feasibility study enrolment was discontinued.	

Abbreviations used: ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; AF, atrial fibrillation; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CS, coronary sinus; GCV, great cardiac vein; KCCQ, Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MI, myocardial infarction; MR, mitral regurgitation; MV, mitral valve; NYHA, New York Heart Association; PCA, percutaneous coronary intervention; PTMA, percutaenous transvenous mitral annuloplasty TOE, transoesophageal echocardiography; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography						
Study details	Key efficacy findings	Key safety findings	Comments			
Lifesciences Inc) in the coronary sinus with local anaesthesia						
Follow-up: at least 3 months						
Conflict of interest/source of funding: 5 authors are consultants to the manufacturer						

TTE, transtitoracic echoca						-
Study details	Key efficacy findings				Key safety findings	Comments
Duffy SJ (2006) ³	Number of patients and	alysed: 5			There were no reported complications.	Follow-up issues:
						Not described.
Case series	Technical success					
Australia	The CS was successfu	lly cannulated	in all but 1 (in whom	the GCV was too		Study design issues:
Recruitment period: not	small).					This was a feasibility
reported						study to test safety,
Study population:	Presence of MR					deployability, tensioning and recapturing of a
patients undergoing scheduled coronary		Baseline	Postoperatively			specially designed
angiography with heart				value		temporary implant (the
failure and functional	Mitral annular	35.5 ± 4.7	32.2 ± 4.6	0.02		Schofer (2009) ¹ publication used the
MR (grade 2+ to 4+)	dimension (mm)	00.0 . 10.0	00.0.05.4			permanent version of
n = 5	MR area mm ² (as measured by colour	98.3 ± 43.6	83.3 ± 35.1	0.09		the implant from this
Mean age: 52.2 years	Doppler)					manufacturer).
Sex: 4 male, 1 female	MR jet (% of left	36 ± 18	30 ± 14	0.11		 Patients in this study may be included in
Patient selection: ≥ 18	atrial area)					Schofer publication.
years, NYHA class II or III, LVEF 30–45%.	I	I	1	I		 It was not reported
Exclusion criteria:	Procedural outcomes	;				when each implant was
decompensate heart		1	value			removed and if the patients were then
failure < MV prosthesis	Procedure time (min)		44 ± 10	-		implanted with the
or annuloplasty ring,	Fluoroscopy time (mir)	16.7 ± 3.9	-		permanent implant.
pacing electrode, MR pathology, severe mitral	Contrast volume (ml)	1)	58 ± 54	-		
calcification, coronary			50 ± 54			Study population issues:
stent adjacent to CS or						 1 patient had previous CABG
GCV, heart failure (non- dilated), cerebrovascular						3 ischaemic
event within 3 months,						cardiomyopathy, 1 non-
potential pregnancy,						ischaemic, 1 unknown
supine systolic BP < 85						2 patients were in AF
mm Hg, serum						4 were being treated

Study details	Key efficacy findings	Key safety findings	Comments
creatinine > 0.18 mmol/L, epicardial vessels crossing within 75% of proximal end of CS or GCV, anatomical CS abnormality, CS diameter < 3.5mm, hospitalization in last 3 months for MR, unstable angina, CABG, or PCI			with beta blockers, 4 with diuretics, 2 with digoxin and all were receiving ACE inhibitors or angiotensin receptor antagonists. The authors noted that all patients were receiving the maximum tolerated medical therapy at the
Technique: placement of temporary Percutaneous Mitral Annuloplasty Device (PMAD) with 2 components: a distal anchor and central element (Cardiac Dimensions, Inc); using light sedation			time of the procedure.
Follow-up: not reported (appears to be postoperatively)			
Conflict of interest/source of funding: funded by The National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia; primary author disclosed a 'financial or other interest' in the subject; 1 author was employed by Cardiac Dimensions Inc; 1 author is supported by			

Study details	Key efficacy findings	Key safety findings	Comments
ne Atherosclerosis Trust (UK)			

Study details	Key efficacy findings			Key safety findings	Comments	
Dubreuil O (2007) ⁴	Number of patients analysed	: 3		Mortality	Follow-up issues:	
Case series Canada Recruitment period:			ase. S ostium so a sheath could not	1 of the patients who was successfully cannulated and later treated with the Edwards Mitral annuloplasty ring and tricuspid annuloplasty, died 16 days after surgery from complications. (no other details	 Not described. Study design issues: This was a pilot study to 	
2005 to 2006 Study population: patients with ischaemic MR (grade 2+ to 4+) and NYHA functional class II or III		toperatively (det	R was reduced from grade 2+ to ermined by echocardiography). ssfully cannulated:	provided)	 test safety and efficacy; it is the first published report of this device used in humans. TTE and TOE at baseline, TOE after each rod adjustment. 	
n = 4		Baseline	Postoperatively		Final determination of	
Mean age: 63 years Sex: 75% male	Mean effective regurgitation orifice (cm ³)	0.25 ± 0.06	0.07 ± 0.03		change in MR was made by independent unblended	
Patient selection criteria:	Mean regurgitant volume (ml)	45.4 ± 24.4	13.3 ± 7.3		echocardiologist.After temporarily	
TTE and angiography confirming grades ≥ 2+ mitral regurgitation, type	Mean mitral annulus diameter on diastole (mm)	40.1	35.6		placement of rods, measurements were taken and patients who were successful underwent further MV surgery (1 had Edwards	
II and/or IIIB Carpentier's pathophysiological	Mean mitral annulus diameter on systole (mm)	40.3	35.2			
classification with annulus diameter > 35 mm.	Procedural outcomes	va	lue		Mitral annuloplasty ring, another had a mammary and venous graft and	
Exclusion criteria:	Procedure time (min)	93 :	± 33		MV replacement, another had Edwards	
abnormal leaflets or morphological mitral	Fluoroscopy time (min)	32	± 6		Mitral annuloplasty ring	
apparatus abnormality,	Contrast volume (ml)	99 :	± 33		and tricuspid	
creatinine > 2.5 mg/dl. Technique: temporary	The patients were treated wi	th an average of	2 rods.		annuloplasty).Carpentier's pathophysiological	

Study details	Key efficacy findings	Key safety findings	Comments
percutaneous transvenous mitral annuloplasty (PTMA rods, Viacor) placed in the coronary sinus under general anaesthesia Follow-up: not reported			classification includes stat of leaflets (ie. type I is normal leaflet motion, type II is leaflet prolase and type III is restricted leaflet motion) and the restriction in diastole and systole (A – both diastolic and systolic
(appears to be immediately postoperatively)			restrictions; B – systolic restrictions)
Conflict of interest/source of funding: funded with a grant from the French Federation Cardiology, PTMA catheters were provided free of charge from Viacor.			 Study population issues: The first 3 patients went on to have MV surgery immediately afterwards. Medical management was not described.

Study details	Key efficacy findings	Key safety findings	Comments
Palacios IF (2007) ⁵	Number of patients analysed: 2	There were not procedural complications.	Follow-up issues:
			Not reported.
Multiple case report			
USA	Patient 1		Study design issues:
Recruitment period: not	This patient had a decrease in MR grade from 2+ to 1+ after the procedure.		• This was the first-in-
reported	Septal sinus systolic reduction by 29%.		human study for this device which intended
Study population: patients with functional			to evaluate the safety
ischaemic mitral	Patient 2		and efficacy of the
regurgitation (NYHA II to	This patient had a decrease in MR grade from 3+ to 1+ after the procedure.		system in patients immediately prior to
IV) about to have clinically indicated mitral	Septal-lateral systolic reduction by 31%.		surgical mitral valve
valve repair			repair.
n = 2			
Age: 48 and 56 years			Study population issues:
Sex: 1 male, 1 female			• Patient 2 had a prior MI.
Patient selection criteria:			
> 18 years old, grades			
2+ to 4+ mitral regurgitation with			
preserved leaflet			
anatomy, LVEF > 30%			
Exclusion criteria:			
history of CABG, MI or PCI in last 3 months,			
calcified mitral annulus			
or subvalvular			
apparatus, prior MV surgery, CS pacing			
leads, left atrial			
thrombus or left atrial			

tudy details	Key efficacy findings	Key safety findings	Comments
iameter < 40 mm and			
ody weight < 50 kg.			
echnique:			
ercutaneous septal			
nus shortening using			
le PS3 System (Ample ledical) inserted under			
irect visualisation with			
eneral anaesthesia or			
onscious sedation			
ollow-up: not reported			
onflict of			
iterest/source of			
Inding: not reported			

Study details	Key efficacy findings	Key safety findings	Comments
Mueller D (2008) ⁶	Number of patients analysed: 1		Follow-up issues:
Case report Germany Recruitment period: 2007 Study population: 58- year-old male with history of coronary artery disease complicated by inapparent inferior myocardial infarction n = 1	A 58-year-old patient who was treated with a dual-chamber implant LVEF was treated with a Monarc TM device 4 years later to address impairment of LVEF. Initially, the patient's mitral reflow was reduce and NYHA class improved to II (initial classification not reported). D degree atrioventricular block, becoming pacemaker dependent. Ec right ventricular stimulation and severe left ventricular asynchrony. The patient was then treated with a cardiac resynchronisation devic and an improved 6-minute walking test (175m to 240m).	increasing mitral regurgitation which accompanied furthe d (on both echocardiography and clinical assessments) During the follow-up period, the patient developed third- hocardiography revealed left-bundle-branch block under	r
Technique: percutaneous mitral valve annuloplasty with MONARC TM device (Edward Lifesciences)			
Follow-up: Follow-up: event occurred at unspecified follow-up			
Conflict of interest/source of funding: not reported			

Efficacy

Reduction in mitral regurgitation assessed by echocardiography

A case series of 48 patients of whom 30 patients were successfully treated with a mitral annuloplasty device reported significantly less mitral jet regurgitant, volume, effective regurgitant orifice area and vena contracta (narrowest central flow region of a jet) from baseline to 6 months (p < 0.001 for all)¹.

In addition to this case series, a number of small case series reported on the first experience of device implantation in humans. A case series of 5 patients reported that of the 4 who had an annuloplasty device successfully implanted, mitral regurgitation grade decreased from an average of 3.0 at baseline to 1.6 at 3-month follow-up (significance not stated)².

Another case series of 5 patients treated with a temporary implant reported a significantly improved mitral annular dimension and mitral regurgitant area (as measured by colour Doppler) postoperatively (p = 0.02 and p = 0.09). There was also a decrease in mitral regurgitant jet but this was not statistically significant³.

A case series of 4 patients reported that mitral regurgitation grade decreased in the 3 patients successfully treated with a temporary implant (from 2+ to 3+ at baseline to 1 to 1+ postoperatively). The same study reported a postoperative reduction in mean regurgitant volume, mean effective regurgitation orifice and mitral annulus diameter on diastole and systole in the 3 patients successfully treated with a temporary implant (significance not given)⁴.

In a multiple case report of 2 patients treated with septal sinus shortening, both patients had a reduction in mitral regurgitation from grades 2+ and 3+, respectively, to $1+^{5}$.

Clinical outcomes

The case series of 48 patients reported that New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification was significantly reduced from an average of 2.9 at baseline to 1.8 at 6-month follow-up (p < 0.001). The same study reported that 6-minute walk test results improved significantly from an average of 307 m at baseline to 403 m at 6 months (p < 0.001)¹.

The case series of 5 patients reported NYHA class improved from an average of 2.4 at baseline to 2 at 3-month follow- up^2 .

Patient-reported quality of life

The case series of 48 patients reported significantly increased scores from the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (assesses physical function, symptoms, social function, self-efficacy and knowledge and quality of life) from 47 at baseline to 69 at 6-month follow-up (scale 0–100 with higher scores better, $p < 0.001)^1$.

Device removal

A case series of 27 patients, in which the permanent device was successfully implanted in 9 patients, reported that 1 patient required percutaneous removal of the device and subsequent surgical annuloplasty because of a lack of efficacy (time of event not clear)⁷.

Safety

Death

The case series of 48 patients reported 1 death 22 days after the procedure in a patient with a history of coronary artery disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic renal insufficiency. The patient initially presented with an increased creatine kinase level and then developed acute renal failure followed by multisystem organ failure¹.

The case series of 27 patients, in which the permanent device was successfully implanted in 9 patients, reported that 1 patient died from progressive heart failure 180 days after the procedure, but this was not attributed to the device⁷.

The case series of 5 patients reported progressive heart failure resulting in death 148 days after the procedure in 1 patient who was not eligible for surgical treatment because of morbid obesity and other comorbidities. The device was shown to be well-positioned with a patent coronary sinus and circumflex artery on a postmortem examination².

The case series of 4 patients reported death in 1 patient who was initially treated with a temporary implant followed by ring annuloplasty and tricuspid annuloplasty 16 days after the procedure⁴.

Other

The case series of 48 patients reported coronary sinus dissection in 1 patient which resolved without treatment and coronary sinus perforation in 2 patients -1 from a stiff guidewire, which did not require treatment, and the other from the diagnostic catheter, which required pericardial drainage¹.

The same study reported myocardial infarction (defined as an increase in creatine kinase by greater than or equal to 3 times the upper normal limit) in 6.5% (3/46) of patients within 24 hours after the procedure; these patients did not require admission to hospital.

The case series of 27 patients reported that 3 patients had the device removed percutaneously and were treated with subsequent surgical annuloplasty. This was because of device fracture in 1 patient after 7 days and device migration in 2 patients. Another patient was unable to have the diagnostic procedure because of guidewire perforation (no other details provided)⁷.

The same study reported pericardial effusions requiring pericardiocentesis, transient renal dysfunction, pneumonia, and subclavicular haematoma in 1 patient each. The patient who received the first device implantation appeared to have a circumflex abnormality directly adjacent to the device. On intravascular ultrasound, there was an abrupt vessel closure and ST elevation, which was successfully treated with stent implantation.

The case series of 5 patients reported that attempts to implant the device in the 1 patient who was not successfully implanted led to wire perforation of the anterior interventricular vein and pericardial effusion. Another patient in this study developed transient atrial fibrillation during cannulation of the coronary sinus².

A case report described a patient who was treated with an annuloplasty device 4 years after treatment with a cardioverter-defibrillator to address impaired left ventricular ejection fraction. Despite initially responded favourably (NYHA class improved to class II), the patient developed atrioventricular block during the follow-up period resulting in the patient becoming dependent on a pacemaker. The patient was treated with a cardiac resynchronisation device which resulted in an improved 6-minute walk test (175 to 240 m) and the NYHA functional class returning from III to II (the time of occurrence after implantation was not reported)⁶.

Validity and generalisability of the studies

- There are no comparative studies between best medical management and the procedure.
- The existing case series include very few patients and the maximum period of follow-up is 6 months.
- There are a number of different types of devices that can be used to manipulate the coronary sinus percutaneously to change the shape and size of the mitral valve annulus. These include rings, rods and nitinol ribbons that attach at anchors on each end.
- A number of studies included were pilot studies which tested the use of a temporary implant.
- The use of anaesthetic varied from the use of local sedation to local and general anaesthesia.

Existing assessments of this procedure

There were no published assessments from other organisations identified at the time of the literature search.

Related NICE guidance

Below is a list of NICE guidance related to this procedure. Appendix B gives details of the recommendations made in each piece of guidance listed.

Interventional procedures

- Percutaneous mitral valve leaflet repair for mitral regurgitation. NICE interventional procedures guidance 309 (2009). Available from <u>http://www.nice.org.uk/IPG309</u>
- Thoracoscopically assisted mitral valve surgery. NICE interventional procedures guidance 245 (2007). Available from <u>www.nice.org.uk/IPG245</u>

Specialist Advisers' opinions

Specialist advice was sought from consultants who have been nominated or ratified by their Specialist Society or Royal College. The advice received is their individual opinion and does not represent the view of the society.

Dr Michael O'Sullivan, British Cardiovascular Intervention Society, Mr Clifford Barlow, Mr Peter Braidley, Mr Ramesh Patel, Society for Cardiothoracic Surgery in Great Britain and Ireland.

- One Specialist Adviser highlighted that this procedure will be performed on its own in rare cases when mitral continence is type I (failure of apposition); otherwise, it will require additional procedures, either on the leaflet or at submitral valvular level. Other Specialist Advisers also highlight that this procedure only addresses annular dilation, only 1 aspect of the pathology of mitral regurgitation.
- One Specialist Adviser mentioned specifically the importance of addressing the downward displacement of the papillary muscle, which is not addressed with this procedure.
- One Specialist Adviser, who performs this procedure surgically, stated that this
 procedure does not encircle all of the valve annulus as proposed but brings a
 portion of the posterior annulus anteriorly. The same Specialist Adviser
 commented that the bridge spring-wire may shorten at body temperature and
 that this may have implications for ensuring correct placement at body
 temperature.

- Comparator procedures include surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass and surgical mitral valve annuloplasty (for selected patients). One Adviser commented that this procedure will be used in patients who are not suitable for surgery, so the comparator is pharmacological therapy.
- Key efficacy outcomes include mitral valve competence in the short (1 year), medium (5 years), and long term (10 years) for patients with degenerative disease, reduction in mitral regurgitation and mitral annular area, improved left ventricular function, improved NHYA classification, increase in 6-minute walk distance, increase in quality of life scores and survival and reduced hospital admissions for patients with advanced heart failure.
- Theoretical adverse events include compression of the coronary artery while accessing through the coronary sinus causing ischaemia or death, coronary sinus rupture, cardiac perforation, compromise of coronary venous return, bleeding from coronary sinus, coronary sinus and cardinal vein thrombosis, coronary sinus erosion, progressive stenosis and deformation of the mitral valve orifice, progressive elongation and rupture of the chordal apparatus supporting the mitral valve leaflet where the mechanism of the regurgitation has not been evaluated in detail, fixed posterior mitral annulus (a dynamic structure changing its shape and orifice size during the entirety of the cardiac cycle), undetected stress fracture that may endure due to long-term deformation forces in action in the annulus, inability to remove the device, and device migration, embolisation, or fracture.
- Anecdotal adverse events include cardiac tamponade.
- The Specialist Advisers highlighted the importance of a multidisciplinary team for the selection of patients for this procedure and also for performing the procedure (there should also be access to standby cardiac surgery).

Patient Commentators' opinions

NICE's Patient and Public Involvement Programme was unable to obtain patient commentary for this procedure.

Issues for consideration by IPAC

- Please see 'Validity and generalisability of the studies' section.
- Long-term echocardiographic follow-up (more than 1 year) needed to assess residual mitral regurgitation.

References

- 1. Schofer J, Siminiak T, Haude M et al. (2009) Percutaneous mitral annuloplasty for functional mitral regurgitation: Results of the CARILLON mitral annuloplasty device european union study. Circulation 120: 326–33.
- 2. Webb JG, Harnek J, Munt BI et al. (2006) Percutaneous transvenous mitral annuloplasty: Initial human experience with device implantation in the coronary sinus. Circulation 113: 851–5.
- 3. Duffy SJ, Federman J, Farrington C et al. (2006) Feasibility and short-term efficacy of percutaneous mitral annular reduction for the therapy of functional mitral regurgitation in patients with heart failure. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions 68: 205–10.
- 4. Dubreuil O, Basmadjian A, Ducharme A et al. (2007) Percutaneous mitral valve annuloplasty for ischemic mitral regurgitation: first in man experience with a temporary implant. Catheterization & Cardiovascular Interventions 69: 1053–61.
- 5. Palacios IF, Condado JA, Brandi S et al. (2007) Safety and feasibility of acute percutaneous septal sinus shortening: First-in-human experience. Catheterization and cardiovascular interventions 69: 513–8.
- 6. Mueller D, Tschoepe C, and Spencker S. (2008) Cardiac resynchronization therapy in a patient with a mitral annuloplasty device. Pacing & Clinical Electrophysiology 31: 1074–6.
- 7. Sack S, Kahlert P, Bilodeau L et al. (2009) Percutaneous transvenous mitral annuloplasty: initial human experience with a novel coronary sinus implant device. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions 2:27–284.

Appendix A: Additional papers on percutaneous mitral valve annuloplasty

The following table outlines the studies that are considered potentially relevant to the overview but were not included in the main data extraction table (table 2). It is by no means an exhaustive list of potentially relevant studies.

Article	Number of patients/follow-up	Direction of conclusions	Reasons for non- inclusion in table 2
Jerzykowska O, Kalmucki P, Woloszyn M et al. (2010) Echocardiographic evaluation of percutaneous valve repair in patients with mitral regurgitation using the CARILLON system. Kardiologia Polska 68:57–63.	Case series n = 9 (with Carillon system) Follow-up = 1 month	Statistically significant improvement in vena contracta and ratio of the jet area to the left atrial area (p < 0.05 and 0.005).	Larger studies in table 2.
Siminiak T, Firek L, Jerzykowska O et al. (2007) Percutaneous valve repair for mitral regurgitation using the Carillon Mitral Contour System. Description of the method and case report. Kardiologia Polska 65: 272–8.	Case report n = 1 Follow-up = at least 4 months	Significant reduction of mitral regurgitation jet was observed. The patient was discharged 4 days after the procedure. During follow-up visits, the patient continued to improve generally and in exercise capacity. The patient was NHYA class 1 at last follow-up.	Patient was part of AMADEUS trial so likely already included in Schofer (2009) ¹ .
Siminiak T, Hoppe UC, Schofer J et al. (2009) Effectiveness and safety of percutaneous coronary sinus-based mitral valve repair in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy (from AMADEUS trial). American Journal of Cardiology 104:565–70.	Case series n = 43 (30 treated with implants) Follow-up = not reported	Mitral regurgitation decreased from 3.0 at baseline to 2.0 after implantation. (not clear why this study reports less patients with attempted implantation than Schofer 2009 ¹ ; also follow-up for outcomes is not reported in this study).	Patients from AMADEUS trial already reported on in Schofer (2009) ¹ .

Appendix B: Related NICE guidance for percutaneous

mitral valve annuloplasty

Guidance	Recommendations
Interventional procedures	 Percutaneous mitral valve leaflet repair. NICE interventional procedures guidance 309 (2009). 1.1. Evidence on the safety and efficacy of percutaneous mitral valve leaflet repair for mitral regurgitation is currently inadequate in quality and quantity. Therefore, this procedure should only be used:
	 with special arrangements for clinical governance, consent and research for patients who are well enough for surgical mitral valve leaflet repair to treat their mitral regurgitation, or in the context of research for patients who are not well enough for surgical mitral valve leaflet repair to treat their mitral regurgitation. 1.2. Clinicians wishing to undertake percutaneous mitral valve leaflet repair for mitral regurgitation in patients who are well enough for surgical mitral valve leaflet repair to treat their mitral regurgitation.
	 Inform the clinical governance leads in their Trusts. Ensure that patients and their carers understand the uncertainty about the procedure's safety and efficacy and provide them with clear written information. In addition, the use of NICE's information for patients ('Understanding NICE guidance') is recommended (available from <u>www.nice.org.uk/IPG309publicinfo</u>). 1.3. The NHS Information Centre for Health and Social Care runs the UK Central Cardiac Audit Database. Clinicians should enter details about patients who are well enough to have surgical mitral valve leaflet repair to treat their mitral regurgitation and who are treated by percutaneous mitral valve leaflet repair onto this database (www.ccad.org.uk).
	1.4. Clinicians wishing to undertake percutaneous mitral valve leaflet repair for mitral regurgitation in patients who are not well enough for surgical mitral valve leaflet repair should do so in the context of research studies. Research outcomes should include the effect on symptoms, change in functional status and effective measures of cardiac function, in addition to clear documentation of adverse events and survival.
	1.5. Patient selection and treatment should be carried out in specialist units (with access to emergency cardiac surgery) by a multidisciplinary team, including an interventional cardiologist (with expertise in echocardiography or with

support from an echocardiologist) and a cardiac surgeon.
1.6. This procedure should only be carried out by clinicians with specific training.
1.7. NICE is aware of current clinical trials involving this procedure, and may review the procedure on publication of further evidence.
Thoracoscopically assisted mitral valve surgery. NICE interventional procedures guidance 245 (2007).
1.8. Evidence from large case series supports the safety and efficacy of thoracoscopically assisted mitral valve surgery. Therefore, clinicians wishing to use this procedure should do so with normal arrangements for clinical governance and consent.
1.9. Thoracoscopically assisted mitral valve surgery is technically demanding. Surgeons undertaking it should have special expertise and specific training in thoracoscopic cardiac surgery, and should perform their initial procedures with an experienced mentor.

Appendix C: Literature search for percutaneous mitral

valve annuloplasty

Database	Date searched	Version/files
Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews – CDSR	09/04/2010	April 2010
(Cochrane Library)		
Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects – DARE (CRD website)	09/04/2010	-
HTA database (CRD website)	09/04/2010	-
Cochrane Central Database of Controlled Trials – CENTRAL (Cochrane Library)	09/04/2010	April 2010
MEDLINE (Ovid)	09/04/2010	1950 to March Week 5 2010
MEDLINE In-Process (Ovid)	09/04/2010	April 8, 2010
EMBASE (Ovid)	09/04/2010	1980 to 2010 Week 13
CINAHL (NLH Search 2.0)	09/04/2010	-
BLIC (Dialog DataStar)	23/11/2009	-

The following search strategy was used to identify papers in MEDLINE. A similar strategy was used to identify papers in other databases.

 (mitral adj3 regurgit*).tw. (mr adj3 regurgit*).tw. (mitral adj3 incompet*).tw. (mitral adj3 insuff*).tw. Mitral valve Insufficiency/ ((mitral or cardiac or heart or bicuspid or left atrioventricular) adj valve*).tw. (incompet* or insuff*).tw. 6 and 7 or/1-5 8 or 9 ((endovascular* or percutaneous* or transcatheter* or catheter* or reconstruct* or repair*)).tw. PTMA.tw. (percutaneous* adj3 coron* adj3 sinus*).tw. 		
 3 (mitral adj3 incompet*).tw. 4 (mitral adj3 insuff*).tw. 5 Mitral Valve Insufficiency/ 6 ((mitral or cardiac or heart or bicuspid or left atrioventricular) adj valve*).tw. 7 (incompet* or insuff*).tw. 8 6 and 7 9 or/1-5 10 8 or 9 11 ((endovascular* or percutaneous* or transcatheter* or catheter* or transvenous*) adj3 (annuloplasty or surg* or reconstruct* or repair*)).tw. 12 PTMA.tw. 13 (percutaneous* adj3 coron* adj3 sinus*).tw. 	1	(mitral adj3 regurgit*).tw.
 4 (mitral adj3 insuff*).tw. 5 Mitral Valve Insufficiency/ 6 ((mitral or cardiac or heart or bicuspid or left atrioventricular) adj valve*).tw. 7 (incompet* or insuff*).tw. 8 6 and 7 9 or/1-5 10 8 or 9 11 ((endovascular* or percutaneous* or transcatheter* or catheter* or transvenous*) adj3 (annuloplasty or surg* or reconstruct* or repair*)).tw. 12 PTMA.tw. 13 (percutaneous* adj3 coron* adj3 sinus*).tw. 	2	(mr adj3 regurgit*).tw.
 5 Mitral Valve Insufficiency/ 6 ((mitral or cardiac or heart or bicuspid or left atrioventricular) adj valve*).tw. 7 (incompet* or insuff*).tw. 8 6 and 7 9 or/1-5 10 8 or 9 11 ((endovascular* or percutaneous* or transcatheter* or catheter* or transvenous*) adj3 (annuloplasty or surg* or reconstruct* or repair*)).tw. 12 PTMA.tw. 13 (percutaneous* adj3 coron* adj3 sinus*).tw. 	3	(mitral adj3 incompet*).tw.
 6 ((mitral or cardiac or heart or bicuspid or left atrioventricular) adj valve*).tw. 7 (incompet* or insuff*).tw. 8 6 and 7 9 or/1-5 10 8 or 9 11 ((endovascular* or percutaneous* or transcatheter* or catheter* or transvenous*) adj3 (annuloplasty or surg* or reconstruct* or repair*)).tw. 12 PTMA.tw. 13 (percutaneous* adj3 coron* adj3 sinus*).tw. 	4	(mitral adj3 insuff*).tw.
 adj valve*).tw. (incompet* or insuff*).tw. 6 and 7 or/1-5 8 or 9 ((endovascular* or percutaneous* or transcatheter* or catheter* or transvenous*) adj3 (annuloplasty or surg* or reconstruct* or repair*)).tw. PTMA.tw. (percutaneous* adj3 coron* adj3 sinus*).tw. 	5	Mitral Valve Insufficiency/
 8 6 and 7 9 or/1-5 10 8 or 9 ((endovascular* or percutaneous* or transcatheter* or catheter* or transvenous*) adj3 (annuloplasty or surg* or reconstruct* or repair*)).tw. 12 PTMA.tw. 13 (percutaneous* adj3 coron* adj3 sinus*).tw. 	6	
 9 or/1-5 10 8 or 9 11 ((endovascular* or percutaneous* or transcatheter* or catheter* or transvenous*) adj3 (annuloplasty or surg* or reconstruct* or repair*)).tw. 12 PTMA.tw. 13 (percutaneous* adj3 coron* adj3 sinus*).tw. 	7	(incompet* or insuff*).tw.
 10 8 or 9 11 ((endovascular* or percutaneous* or transcatheter* or catheter* or transvenous*) adj3 (annuloplasty or surg* or reconstruct* or repair*)).tw. 12 PTMA.tw. 13 (percutaneous* adj3 coron* adj3 sinus*).tw. 	8	6 and 7
 ((endovascular* or percutaneous* or transcatheter* or catheter* or transvenous*) adj3 (annuloplasty or surg* or reconstruct* or repair*)).tw. PTMA.tw. (percutaneous* adj3 coron* adj3 sinus*).tw. 	9	or/1-5
 11 catheter* or transvenous*) adj3 (annuloplasty or surg* or reconstruct* or repair*)).tw. 12 PTMA.tw. 13 (percutaneous* adj3 coron* adj3 sinus*).tw. 	10	8 or 9
13 (percutaneous* adj3 coron* adj3 sinus*).tw.	11	catheter* or transvenous*) adj3 (annuloplasty or surg* or
	12	PTMA.tw.
14 monarc*.tw.	13	(percutaneous* adj3 coron* adj3 sinus*).tw.
	14	monarc*.tw.

15	viking*.tw.
16	cardiac dimensions carillon.tw.
17	carillon mitral contour system.tw.
18	viacor.tw.
19	st jude.tw.
20	mitraclip.tw.
21	or/11-20
22	10 and 21
23	Animals/ not Humans/
24	22 not 23