## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

#### INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

### **Equality impact assessment**

# IPG451 Peripheral nerve-field stimulation for chronic low back pain

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

### Scoping

1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the scoping process (development of the scope or discussion at the Committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?

Age: Studies have shown that the risk of low back pain increases as a patient gets older, but once one reaches the age of about 65 the risk stops increasing. Back pain is the most frequent cause of the limitation of activity in people younger than 45 years of age.

Gender: Some studies have shown that males are at greater risk for low back pain, while other studies suggest that females are more likely to develop this type of pain. Women who have had two or more pregnancies have a higher risk of developing low back pain.

Disability: Chronic low back pain may be covered by equalities legislation if the adverse effect on the individual is substantial and lasts at least 12 months.

2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the Committee? (If there are exclusions listed in the scope (for example, populations, treatments or settings), are these justified?)

This was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure.

3. Has any change to the scope (such as additional issues raised of the Committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equal issues?  No  Consultation  1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?  No specific data relating to [potential issues mentioned earlier] was ide in the literature presented in the overview.  2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?  No  3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?	No exclusions were applied.		
1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?  No specific data relating to [potential issues mentioned earlier] was ide in the literature presented in the overview.  2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overv specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if show has the Committee addressed these?  No  3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?	Ŭ		
1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?  No specific data relating to [potential issues mentioned earlier] was ide in the literature presented in the overview.  2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so how has the Committee addressed these?  No  3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?			
process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?  No specific data relating to [potential issues mentioned earlier] was ide in the literature presented in the overview.  2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so how has the Committee addressed these?  No  3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?			
in the literature presented in the overview.  2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if show has the Committee addressed these?  No  3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?			
specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if show has the Committee addressed these?  No  Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?	entified		
Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?	•		
Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?			
No	∍?		
4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in pra for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compa with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties we access for the specific group?	oared		

No	
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
Not	applicable
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?
Not	applicable
7.	Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?
No	
Final	interventional procedures document
1.	Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?
No	
2.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access

for the specific group?	
Not applicable	

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable

5. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so, where?

No

### **Approved by Associate Director**

Date: 05.02.13