## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

## **Specialist Adviser questionnaire**

Before completing this questionnaire, please read <u>Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers</u>. Certain conflicts exclude you from offering advice, however, please return the questionnaire to us incomplete for our records.

Plea	Please respond in the boxes provided.				
Pleas	se complete and return to:	Sally.Jones@nice.or.uk or Hawra.Abugulal@nice.org.uk			
Proc	edure Name:	Epiduroscopic lumbar discectomy via the sacral hiatus for sciatica (IP733/2)			
Nam	e of Specialist Advisor:	Mr John O'Dowd			
Spec	cialist Society:	British Association of Spinal Surgeons			
1	Yes.	wledge of this procedure to provide advice?			
1.1	Does the title used above d	escribe the procedure adequately?			
	Yes.				
2	Your involvement in the pro	ocedure			
2.1	Is this procedure relevant t	o your specialty?			
	Yes				
Com	ments:				

The next 2 questions are about whether you carry out the procedure, or refer patients for it. If you are in a specialty that normally carries out the procedure please answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a specialty that normally selects or refers patients for the procedure, please answer question 2.2.2.

2.2.1	If you are in a specialty that does this procedure, please indicate your experience with it:				
	I have never done this procedure.				
Com	ments:				
2.2.2	If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure, please indicate your experience with it.				
	I have never taken part in the selection or referral of a patient for this procedure.				
Com	ments:				
2.3	Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure (please choose one or more if relevant):				
	I have had no involvement in research on this procedure.				
Com	Comments:				
3	Status of the procedure				
3.1	Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):				
	Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.				
Com	Comments:				

3.2	What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?		
	Open lumbar microdiscectomy		
3.3	Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):		
	Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.		
Com	ments:		
4	Safety and efficacy		
4.1	What is the potential harm of the procedure?		
	se list adverse events and major risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, atte their incidence, as follows:		
1. A	dverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature)		
2. A	necdotal adverse events (known from experience)		
3. T	heoretical adverse events		
4.2	What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?		
ODI (	Relief of leg pain on VAS, and improvement in disease specific PROMS, either or RMDQ		
4.3	Are there uncertainties or concerns about the <i>efficacy</i> of this procedure? If so, what are they?		
lumb	It seems very unlikely that its success rate will ever reach the success rate for ar microdiscectomy		
4.4	What training and facilities are needed to do this procedure safely?		

Cadaveric course, fellowship or proctorship, Normal operating theatre environment

4.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.

No

4.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been *recently* presented/ published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, for example PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.

Please note that NICE will do a literature search: we are only asking you for any very recent or potentially obscure abstracts and papers. Please do not feel the need to supply a comprehensive reference list (but you may list any that you think are particularly important if you wish).

No

4.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

This is a very rare procedure, performed by a tiny handful of enthusiasts, probably no more that 5 surgeons in the UK, and results are very uncertain

5 Audit Criteria

Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

VAS leg

**VAS** back

**ODI** 

EQ5D

**Complication rate** 

- 5.1 Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical outcomes, both short and long term; and quality-of-life measures):
- 5.2 Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications):
- 6 Trajectory of the procedure
- 6.1 In your opinion, how quickly do you think use of this procedure will spread?

I think it very unlikely it will spread in the UK, or anywhere

6.2 This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in (choose one):

	Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.				
Comm	Comments:				
6.3 of pati	The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers ients eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:				
	Minor.				
Comm	nents:				
7	Other information				
7.1 NICE i	Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist n assessing the possible need to investigate its use?				
8	Data protection and conflicts of interest				
8. Data	a protection, freedom of information and conflicts of interest				
8.1 Da	ta Protection				
8.1 Data Protection  The information you submit on this form will be retained and used by the NICE and its advisers for the purpose of developing its guidance and may be passed to other approved third parties. Your name and specialist society will be published in NICE publications and on the NICE website. The specialist advice questionnaire will be published in accordance with our guidance development processes and a copy will be sent to the nominating Specialist Society. Please avoid identifying any individual in your comments.  I have read and understood this statement and accept that personal information sent to us will be retained and used for the purposes and in the manner specified					
above	above and in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.				

Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

8.2

required by law (including in particular, but without limitation, the Finformation Act 2000).		
Please submit a conflicts of interest declaration form _listing any potential interest including any involvement you may have in disputes or complaints this procedure.		
Please use the "Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers" policy (attaction guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers shadvice if needed from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.		
Do you or a member of your family <sup>1</sup> have a <b>personal pecuniary</b> interest? examples are as follows:	The	main
Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional payments in cash or kind		YES NO
Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry – this includes income earned in the course of private practice		YES NO
<b>Shareholdings</b> – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry		YES NO
<b>Expenses and hospitality</b> – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences		YES NO
Investments – any funds that include investments in the healthcare industry		YES NO
Do you have a <b>personal non-pecuniary</b> interest – for example have you made a public statement about the topic or do you hold an office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the		YES
topic?  Do you have a <b>non-personal</b> interest? The main examples are as follows:		NO
Fellowships endowed by the healthcare industry		YES NO
Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts		YES NO
If you have answered YES to any of the above statements, please des nature of the conflict(s) below.	cribe	
Comments: As previously Thank you very much for your help.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 'Family members' refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

Professor Bruce Campbell, Chairman, Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee Professor Carole Longson, Director, Centre for Health Technology Evaluation.

April 2014

#### **Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers**

- 1 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee
- 1.1 Any conflicts of interest set out below should be declared on the questionnaire the Specialist Adviser completes for the procedure.
- 1.2 Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director Interventional Procedures.

## 2 Personal pecuniary interests

- 2.1 A personal pecuniary interest involves a current personal payment to a Specialist Adviser, which may either relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as 'specific' or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as 'non-specific'. The main examples are as follows.
- 2.1.1 **Consultancies** any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for the healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
- 2.1.2 **Fee-paid work** any work commissioned by the healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
- 2.1.3 **Shareholdings** any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry that are either held by the individual or for which the individual has legal responsibility (for example, children, or relatives whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual). This does not include shareholdings through unit trusts, pensions funds, or other similar arrangements where the member has no influence on financial management.
- 2.1.4 **Expenses and hospitality** any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond that reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place.
- 2.1.5 **Investments** any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 2.2 No personal interest exists in the case of:
- 2.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
- 2.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

#### 3 **Personal family interest**

- 3.1 This relates to the personal interests of a family member and involves a current payment to the family member of the Specialist Adviser. The interest may relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as 'specific', or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as 'non-specific'. The main examples include the following.
- 3.1.1 Any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for a healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.2 Any fee-paid work commissioned by a healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.3 Any shareholdings, or other beneficial interests, in a healthcare industry which are either held by the family member or for which an individual covered by this Code has legal responsibility (for example, children, or adults whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual).
- 3.1.4 Expenses and hospitality provided by a healthcare industry company (except where they are provided to a general class of people such as attendees at an open conference)
- 3.1.5 Funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 3.2 No personal family interest exists in the case of:
- 3.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
- 3.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

#### 4 Personal non-pecuniary interests

These might include, but are not limited to:

- 4.1 a clear opinion, reached as the conclusion of a research project, about the clinical and/or cost effectiveness of an intervention under review
- 4.2 a public statement in which an individual covered by this Code has expressed a clear opinion about the matter under consideration, which could reasonably be interpreted as prejudicial to an objective interpretation of the evidence
- 4.3 holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the matter under consideration
- 4.4 other reputational risks in relation to an intervention under review.

#### 5 Non-personal interests

A non-personal interest involves payment that benefits a department or organisation for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible, but that is not received by the Specialist Advisor personally. This may either relate to the product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as 'specific,' or to the manufacturer or owner of the product or service, but is unrelated to the matter under consideration, in which case it is regarded as 'non-specific'. The main examples are as follows.

- 5.1.1 **Fellowships** the holding of a fellowship endowed by the healthcare industry.
- 5.1.2 **Support by the healthcare industry or NICE** any payment, or other support by the healthcare industry or by NICE that does not convey any pecuniary or material benefit to a member personally but that does benefit his/her position or department. For example:
- a grant from a company for the running of a unit or department for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible
- a grant, fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post or member of staff in the unit for which a Specialist Adviser is responsible. This does not include financial assistance for students
- the commissioning of research or other work by, or advice from, staff who work in a unit for which the specialist advisor is responsible
- one or more contracts with, or grants from, NICE.
- 5.2 Specialist Advisers are under no obligation to seek out knowledge of work done for, or on behalf of, the healthcare industry within departments for which they are responsible if they would not normally expect to be informed.

# \*

## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

## Specialist Adviser questionnaire

Before completing this questionnaire, please read <u>Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers</u>. Certain conflicts exclude you from offering advice, however, please return the questionnaire to us incomplete for our records.

Please respond in the boxes provided.

Please complete and return to:		Sally.Jones@nice.or.uk or Hawra.Abugulal@nice.org.uk	
Prod	cedure Name:	Epiduroscopic lumbar discectomy via the sacral hiatus for sciatica (IP733/2)	
Nam	e of Specialist Advisor:	Nihal Gurussinghe	
Spec	cialist Society:	Society of British Neurological Surgeons	
1	Do you have adequate know	rledge of this procedure to provide advice?	
X	Yes.		
	No – please return the form/	answer no more questions.	
1.1 ☑ □ Com		piduroscopic is confusing why not Endo scopic?  Saval haitus is micterdisa. I assume it to be not titles below.  To be Clarified.  The Later transmitted of the later to be characted.  The later to be considered.  The later to be considered to be down at the later to be considered.  The companion considered to be down at the later to be considered.  The companion considered to be down at the later to be considered.	
2	Your involvement in the pro		
2.1	Is this procedure relevant to	your specialty?	
X	Yes.		
П	Is there any kind of inter-spe	cialty controversy over the procedure?	

		No. If no, then answer no more questions, but plyou can about who is likely to be doing the process.	
	Comm	nents:	
	patien please	ext 2 questions are about whether you carry on its for it. If you are in a specialty that normally a answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a special patients for the procedure, please answer que	carries out the procedure ty that normally selects or
	2.2.1	If you are in a specialty that does this proced experience with it:	ure, please indicate your
	$\boxtimes$	I have never done this procedure.	Probably done by
		I have done this procedure at least once.	very few neurosingeons
		I do this procedure regularly.	Probably done by very few remosurgeons in UK (if any).
	Comn	nents:	
	2.2.2	If your specialty is involved in patient sele specialty for this procedure, please indicate your taken part in the selection or referre	your experience with it.
	X	I have never taken part in the selection or referra procedure.	aror a patient for this
		I have taken part in patient selection or referred least once.	a patient for this procedure at
		I take part in patient selection or refer patients for	r this procedure regularly.
(3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	Comn	nents: No one in my department doe	s the procedure.
		Please indicate your research experience relat (please choose one or more if relevant):	ing to this procedure
ramer j	X	I have done bibliographic research on this proce	dure.
·		I have done research on this procedure in labora related research).	atory settings (e.g. device-
		I have done clinical research on this procedure i volunteers.	nvolving patients or healthy
		I have had no involvement in research on this pr	ncedure

	Other (please comment)				
Comments:					
3	Status of the procedure				
3.1	Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):				
	Established practice and no longer new.				
	A minor variation on an existing procedure, which is unlikely to alter the procedure's safety and efficacy.				
X	Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.				
	The first in a new class of procedure.				
Com	nments:				
3.2	18//				
.7 /	what would be the comparator (standard bractice) to this procedure?				
3.2	What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?				
3.2	Open Lumbar Microsungery Discectomy				
3.3					
	Open Lumbar Microsungery Discectomy  Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing				
	Open Lumbar Microsungery Discectomy  Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):				
	Open Lumbar Microsungery Discectomy  Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):  More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.				
3.3	Open Lumbar Microsungery Discectomy  Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):  More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.				
3.3  □ □ □ □ □	Open Lumbar Microsungery Discectomy  Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):  More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.				
3.3  □ □ □ □ □	Open Lumbar Microsungery Discectomy  Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):  More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  Cannot give an estimate.				
3.3  □ □ □ Com	Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):  More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  Cannot give an estimate.				
3.3	Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):  More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  Cannot give an estimate.  Imments:				
3.3  □ □ □ Com	Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):  More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.  Cannot give an estimate.				

1. Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature)

- 2. Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience) no experience

  3. Theoretical adverse events Nerve Root damage Failure Spinal fluid Leak wrong lavel Infection

  4.2 What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?

   Reduced Length of Stay

   Reduced Length of Stay

   Reduced Line off work

  4.3 Are there uncertainties or concerns about the efficacy of this procedure? If so, what are they?

  I have concerns on Effectiveness of the extent of Disc removal.

  I find it difficult to understand how this method will deal with a (1) Lange disc prolapse (2) Filateral prolapse (3) How to remove disc.

  4.4 What training and facilities are needed to do this procedure safely? from IV space.

   Workshop with hands on experience.

   Iraining in a Specialised Ceuter.

   Cadaved work

  4.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.
  - Dont Know
- 4.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been recently presented/published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, for example PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.

  Please note that NICE will do a literature search: we are only asking you for any very recent or potentially obscure abstracts and papers. Please do not feel the need to supply a comprehensive reference list (but you may list any that you think are particularly important if you wish).

No

4.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

Dont Know

5 Audit Criteria
Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

5.1 outco	Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical mes, both short and long - term; and quality-of-life measures):  • VAScore for Pain (suafica)  • Oswestry Disability Index for Pain
5.2	Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications):  New Newsbyrical deficit • Infection.  Spinal fluid leak • Recurrence of Symptoms.
6	Trajectory of the procedure Symptoms.
6.1 sprea	In your opinion, how quickly do you think use of this procedure will
Spread	Moderate
6.2 (choo	This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in se one):
	Most or all district general hospitals.
	A minority of hospitals, but at least 10 in the UK.
	Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.
X	Cannot predict at present.
Comm	nents: Will he done in Specialish Centres ONLY.
	The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers ients eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:
X	Major.
•	Moderate.
	Minor.
Comm	nents:
7	Other information

Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist

NICE in assessing the possible need to investigate its use? Disc removal can be done by open surgery very Safely. This operation also removes disc from within the disc space to reduce risk of reunence. The Endoscopic method may not achieve this extent of disc excision. Nerve decompression with Open Suggery also includes Facetectomy. This cannot be done with an endoscopic laser approach.

## 8 Data protection and conflicts of interest

## 8. Data protection, freedom of information and conflicts of interest

#### 8.1 Data Protection

The information you submit on this form will be retained and used by the NICE and its advisers for the purpose of developing its guidance and may be passed to other approved third parties. Your name and specialist society will be published in NICE publications and on the NICE website. The specialist advice questionnaire will be published in accordance with our guidance development processes and a copy will be sent to the nominating Specialist Society. Please avoid identifying any individual in your comments.

I have read and understood this statement and accept that personal informat	ior
sent to us will be retained and used for the purposes and in the manner specified	
above and in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.	

# 8.2 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Nothing in your submission shall restrict any disclosure of information by NICE that is required by law (including in particular, but without limitation, the Freedom of Information Act 2000).

Please submit a conflicts of interest declaration form \_listing any potential conflicts of interest including any involvement you may have in disputes or complaints relating to this procedure.

Please use the "Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers" policy (attached) as a guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers should seek advice if needed from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

Do you or a member of your family have a **personal pecuniary** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional payments in cash or kind	☐ YES ☐ NO
Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry – this includes income earned in the course of private practice	☐ YES
Shareholdings – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry	☐ YES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 'Family members' refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

	industry company beyond those reasonably	benses and hospitality – any expenses provided by a healthcare ustry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation,			
	meals and travel to attend meetings and co	nd travel to attend meetings and conferences			
	Investments – any funds that include inves	stments in the healthcare		YES	
	industry			NO	
	Do you have a <b>personal non-pecuniary</b> in made a public statement about the topic or	do you hold an office in a		YES	
	professional organisation or advocacy groutopic?	p with a direct interest in the		NO	
	Do you have a non-personal interest? The	main examples are as follows:			
	Fellowships endowed by the healthcare in-	dustry		YES	
				NO	
	Support by the healthcare industry or NI			YES	
position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts				NO	
If you have answered YES to any of the above statements, please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.				the	
	Comments:				
	Thank you very much for your help.				
Professor Bruce Campbell, Chairman, Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee  Professor Carole Longson, Director, Centre for Health Technology Evaluation.			or,		
	April 2014				

#### **Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers**

- 1 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee
- 1.1 Any conflicts of interest set out below should be declared on the questionnaire the Specialist Adviser completes for the procedure.
- 1.2 Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director

   Interventional Procedures.

## 2 Personal pecuniary interests

- 2.1 A personal pecuniary interest involves a current personal payment to a Specialist Adviser, which may either relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as 'specific' or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as 'non-specific'. The main examples are as follows.
- 2.1.1 Consultancies any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for the healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
- 2.1.2 **Fee-paid work** any work commissioned by the healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
- 2.1.3 Shareholdings any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry that are either held by the individual or for which the individual has legal responsibility (for example, children, or relatives whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual). This does not include shareholdings through unit trusts, pensions funds, or other similar arrangements where the member has no influence on financial management.
- 2.1.4 **Expenses and hospitality** any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond that reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place.
- 2.1.5 Investments any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 2.2 No personal interest exists in the case of:
- 2.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
- 2.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

#### 3 Personal family interest

- 3.1 This relates to the personal interests of a family member and involves a current payment to the family member of the Specialist Adviser. The interest may relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as 'specific', or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as 'non-specific'. The main examples include the following.
- 3.1.1 Any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for a healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.2 Any fee-paid work commissioned by a healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.3 Any shareholdings, or other beneficial interests, in a healthcare industry which are either held by the family member or for which an individual covered by this Code has legal responsibility (for example, children, or adults whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual).
- 3.1.4 Expenses and hospitality provided by a healthcare industry company (except where they are provided to a general class of people such as attendees at an open conference)
- 3.1.5 Funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 3.2 No personal family interest exists in the case of:
- 3.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
- 3.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

#### 4 Personal non-pecuniary interests

These might include, but are not limited to:

- 4.1 a clear opinion, reached as the conclusion of a research project, about the clinical and/or cost effectiveness of an intervention under review
- 4.2 a public statement in which an individual covered by this Code has expressed a clear opinion about the matter under consideration, which could reasonably be interpreted as prejudicial to an objective interpretation of the evidence
- 4.3 holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the matter under consideration
- 4.4 other reputational risks in relation to an intervention under review.

#### 5 Non-personal interests

5.1 A non-personal interest involves payment that benefits a department or organisation for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible, but that is not received by the Specialist Advisor personally. This may either relate to the product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as 'specific,' or to the manufacturer or owner of the product or service, but is unrelated to the matter under consideration, in which case it is regarded as 'non-specific'. The main examples are as follows.

- 5.1.1 **Fellowships** the holding of a fellowship endowed by the healthcare industry.
- 5.1.2 **Support by the healthcare industry or NICE** any payment, or other support by the healthcare industry or by NICE that does not convey any pecuniary or material benefit to a member personally but that does benefit his/her position or department. For example:
- a grant from a company for the running of a unit or department for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible
- a grant, fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post or member of staff in the unit for which a Specialist Adviser is responsible. This does not include financial assistance for students
- the commissioning of research or other work by, or advice from, staff who work in a unit for which the specialist advisor is responsible
- one or more contracts with, or grants from, NICE.
- 5.2 Specialist Advisers are under no obligation to seek out knowledge of work done for, or on behalf of, the healthcare industry within departments for which they are responsible if they would not normally expect to be informed.

## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

## **Specialist Adviser questionnaire**

Before completing this questionnaire, please read <u>Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers</u>. Certain conflicts exclude you from offering advice, however, please return the questionnaire to us incomplete for our records.

Plea	se respond in the boxes pro	ovided.
Plea	se complete and return to:	Sally.Jones@nice.or.uk or Hawra.Abugulal@nice.org.uk
Procedure Name:		Epiduroscopic lumbar discectomy via the sacral hiatus for sciatica (IP733/2)
Nam	ne of Specialist Advisor:	Mr Lennel Lutchman
Spe	cialist Society:	Society of British Neurological Surgeons
1	Do you have adequate kno	wledge of this procedure to provide advice?
	Yes.	
1.1	Does the title used above of	lescribe the procedure adequately?
	Yes.	
Con	nments:	
2	Your involvement in the pr I have never performed this p technique.	ocedure procedure but am aware of anecdotal reports of the
2.1	Is this procedure relevant	to your specialty?
	Yes.	
П	Is there any kind of inter-so	pecialty controversy over the procedure? Yes

Comments:
-----------

The approach to the epidural space via the sacral hiatus is commonplace in the administration of caudal epidural injections for back/leg pain. Introduction of instrumentation into the epidural canal via this approach is however a novel concept.

The next 2 questions are about whether you carry out the procedure, or refer patients for it. If you are in a specialty that normally carries out the procedure please answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a specialty that normally selects or refers patients for the procedure, please answer question 2.2.2.

2.2.1	If you are in a specialty that does this procedure, please indicate your experience with it:
	I have never done this procedure.
Com	ments:
of ep	risks of this procedure would, appear in my opinion to be considerable. The risk bidural venous injury and subsequent haematoma must be considered. The risk eural injury has been demonstrated in cadaveric studies.
2.2.2	If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure, please indicate your experience with it.
	I have never taken part in the selection or referral of a patient for this procedure. I will not be referring patients for this procedure. The limited literature is of poor quality and I am of the opinion that there is little evidence to support it's efficacy.
Com	iments:
2.3	Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure (please choose one or more if relevant):
	I have done bibliographic research on this procedure.
the F	published literature appears to come mainly from Korea. One publication from Pain Journal notes the risk of neural damage from laser application. In general, terature is of poor quality.
Com	iments:
3	Status of the procedure
3.1	Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):

	Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.
Com	ments:
I wo	uld regard this as a potentially highly unsafe procedure.
3.2	What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?
None	e exists.
3.3	Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):
	Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
Com	iments:
Ther	e is likely to be no spinal specialist in the UK undertaking this procedure.
4	Safety and efficacy
4.1	What is the potential harm of the procedure?
	se list adverse events and major risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, nate their incidence, as follows:
	Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature) ral injury demonstrated in a cadaveric study
2. A	Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience)
3. T	heoretical adverse events
Epid	ural haematoma, cauda equina syndrome and neural injury
4.2	What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?
Res	olution of back or leg pain, Oswestry Disability Index, SF-36 and EQ-5D
4.3	Are there uncertainties or concerns about the efficacy of this procedure?

No level 1 evidence exists to support the efficacy and the publications appear limited to a few centres.

4.4 What training and facilities are needed to do this procedure safely?

Not to be encouraged. Minimum cadaveric training and mentor supervision

4.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.

None.

4.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been *recently* presented/ published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, for example PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.

Please note that NICE will do a literature search: we are only asking you for any very recent or potentially obscure abstracts and papers. Please do not feel the need to supply a comprehensive reference list (but you may list any that you think are particularly important if you wish).

No

4.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

I am unaware of any UK practitioners undertaking this procedure.

#### 5 Audit Criteria

Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

5.1 Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical outcomes, both short and long - term; and quality-of-life measures):

Resolution of back or leg pain, Oswestry Disability Index, SF-36 and EQ-5D

5.2 Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications):

As above. Haematoma, cauda equina syndrome, nerve injury

- 6 Trajectory of the procedure
- 6.1 In your opinion, how quickly do you think use of this procedure will spread?

I anticipate that few, if any, UK practitioners will adopt this procedure.

	This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in se one):
	Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.
Comm	nents:
6.3 of pati	The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers ents eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:
	Minor.
Comm	nents:
7	Other information
7.1 NICE i	Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist n assessing the possible need to investigate its use?  Data protection and conflicts of interest
	Data protection and connects of interest
8. Data	a protection, freedom of information and conflicts of interest
8.1 Da The initis adv approv publica publish be sen	a protection, freedom of information and conflicts of interest

# 8.2 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Nothing in your submission shall restrict any disclosure of information by NICE that is required by law (including in particular, but without limitation, the Freedom of Information Act 2000). Please submit a conflicts of interest declaration form listing any potential conflicts of interest including any involvement you may have in disputes or complaints relating to this procedure. Please use the "Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers" policy (attached) as a guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers should seek advice if needed from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures. Do you or a member of your family have a **personal pecuniary** interest? The main examples are as follows: Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional payments in cash or kind NO Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry – this includes income earned in the course of private practice NO **Shareholdings** – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry NO **Expenses and hospitality** – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences NO **Investments** – any funds that include investments in the healthcare industry NO Do you have a **personal non-pecuniary** interest – for example have you made a public statement about the topic or do you hold an office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the NO topic? Do you have a **non-personal** interest? The main examples are as follows: **Fellowships** endowed by the healthcare industry NO Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts NO If you have answered YES to any of the above statements, please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below. Comments:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 'Family members' refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

Thank you very much for your help.

Professor Bruce Campbell, Chairman, Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee Professor Carole Longson, Director, Centre for Health Technology Evaluation.

April 2014

#### **Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers**

- 1 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee
- 1.1 Any conflicts of interest set out below should be declared on the questionnaire the Specialist Adviser completes for the procedure.
- 1.2 Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director Interventional Procedures.

## 2 Personal pecuniary interests

- 2.1 A personal pecuniary interest involves a current personal payment to a Specialist Adviser, which may either relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as 'specific' or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as 'non-specific'. The main examples are as follows.
- 2.1.1 **Consultancies** any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for the healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
- 2.1.2 **Fee-paid work** any work commissioned by the healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
- 2.1.3 **Shareholdings** any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry that are either held by the individual or for which the individual has legal responsibility (for example, children, or relatives whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual). This does not include shareholdings through unit trusts, pensions funds, or other similar arrangements where the member has no influence on financial management.
- 2.1.4 **Expenses and hospitality** any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond that reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place.
- 2.1.5 **Investments** any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 2.2 No personal interest exists in the case of:
- 2.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
- 2.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

#### 3 **Personal family interest**

- 3.1 This relates to the personal interests of a family member and involves a current payment to the family member of the Specialist Adviser. The interest may relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as 'specific', or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as 'non-specific'. The main examples include the following.
- 3.1.1 Any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for a healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.2 Any fee-paid work commissioned by a healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.3 Any shareholdings, or other beneficial interests, in a healthcare industry which are either held by the family member or for which an individual covered by this Code has legal responsibility (for example, children, or adults whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual).
- 3.1.4 Expenses and hospitality provided by a healthcare industry company (except where they are provided to a general class of people such as attendees at an open conference)
- 3.1.5 Funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 3.2 No personal family interest exists in the case of:
- 3.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
- 3.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

#### 4 Personal non-pecuniary interests

These might include, but are not limited to:

- 4.1 a clear opinion, reached as the conclusion of a research project, about the clinical and/or cost effectiveness of an intervention under review
- 4.2 a public statement in which an individual covered by this Code has expressed a clear opinion about the matter under consideration, which could reasonably be interpreted as prejudicial to an objective interpretation of the evidence
- 4.3 holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the matter under consideration
- 4.4 other reputational risks in relation to an intervention under review.

#### 5 Non-personal interests

A non-personal interest involves payment that benefits a department or organisation for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible, but that is not received by the Specialist Advisor personally. This may either relate to the product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as 'specific,' or to the manufacturer or owner of the product or service, but is unrelated to the matter under consideration, in which case it is regarded as 'non-specific'. The main examples are as follows.

- 5.1.1 **Fellowships** the holding of a fellowship endowed by the healthcare industry.
- 5.1.2 **Support by the healthcare industry or NICE** any payment, or other support by the healthcare industry or by NICE that does not convey any pecuniary or material benefit to a member personally but that does benefit his/her position or department. For example:
- a grant from a company for the running of a unit or department for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible
- a grant, fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post or member of staff in the unit for which a Specialist Adviser is responsible. This does not include financial assistance for students
- the commissioning of research or other work by, or advice from, staff who work in a unit for which the specialist advisor is responsible
- one or more contracts with, or grants from, NICE.
- 5.2 Specialist Advisers are under no obligation to seek out knowledge of work done for, or on behalf of, the healthcare industry within departments for which they are responsible if they would not normally expect to be informed.