# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

## Equality impact assessment

# IPG571 Extracorporeal shockwave therapy for Achilles tendinopathy

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

## Briefing

1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the scoping process (development of the scope or discussion at the Committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?

Achilles tendinopathy affects people of all ages but it is more common in middle aged people. It is also more common in men than women and in those who participate in sports.

Some patients with tendinopathy may be covered by the disability provision of the Equality Act 2010 if their condition lasts more than 12 months and has a significant impact on day-to-day activities. People with diabetes and higher than average body weight have an increased risk of developing a tendinopathy.

2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the Committee? If there are exclusions listed in the scope (for example, populations, treatments or settings), are these justified?

This was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure. No exclusions were applied.

3. Has any change to the scope (such as additional issues raised during the Committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equality issues?

No

## Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor

Date: 01/11/16

## Consultation

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?

No specific data relating to the potential equality issues were identified in the literature presented in the overview.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

No

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to access for the specific group?

No

5. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to access identified in question 4, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?

Not applicable

6. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?

Not applicable

#### Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor

#### Date: 01/11/16

#### Final interventional procedures document

	any additional potential equality issues been raised during the tation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?
No	

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to access for the specific group?

Not applicable

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to access identified in question 2, or otherwise

fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable

5. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so, where?

No

## Approved by Programme Director

Date: 16 November 2016