# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

#### INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

### **Equality impact assessment**

# IPG596 Extracranial to intracranial bypass for intracranial atherosclerosis

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

#### Scoping

1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the scoping process (development of the scope or discussion at the Committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?

Age: Increasing age is a risk factor for atherosclerosis.

Gender: Risk of atherosclerotic disease may be increased with menopause.

Ethnicity: south Asian, African and African-Caribbean descent are more at risk of atherosclerosis.

Socioeconomic Status: There is evidence of association between low socioeconomic status and increased risk of atherosclerotic disease. Family history of atherosclerosis is also a known risk factor for the condition.

Disability: People that have had a stroke may meet the criteria for disability under the Equality Act 2010 if the condition has a substantial adverse impact on day-to-day activities and has lasted longer than 12 months or is likely to do so.

2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the Committee? (If there are exclusions listed in the scope (for example, populations, treatments or settings), are these justified?)

This was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure. No exclusions were applied.

3.	Has any change to the scope (such as additional issues raised during the Committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equality issues?
No	
Approv	ved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor
Oate: 0	94/10/2017
Consi	ultation
1.	Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?
	ost of the included studies, the mean age f the patients was over 55 (range 53 to 62).
	ost of the included studies there were more men being treated by cranial to intracranial bypass.
	lata specific to ethnicity, socioeconomic status and disability were ified in the literature or presented in the overview.
2.	Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?
No	
3.	Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?
No	

4.	Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?	
No		
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?	
No		
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?	
Not applicable		
7.	Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?	
No		

## **Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor**

**Date:** 04/10/2017

## Final interventional procedures document

1.	Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?
No	
2.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
No	
3.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
No	
4.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?
Not a	pplicable
5.	Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so, where?

No
----

### **Approved by Programme Director**

Date: 5 October 2017