NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional procedure consultation document

Hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea

Obstructive sleep apnoea causes breathing to repeatedly stop for short periods during sleep. It happens because the muscles and soft tissues in the throat relax too much during sleep. The tongue may fall backwards and contribute to the narrowing of the upper airway. In this procedure a device is implanted under the skin in the chest. It is connected by a lead to a nerve under the tongue (hypoglossal nerve), which controls muscles in the tongue and airway. The aim is to keep the airway open during sleep.

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) is examining hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea and will publish guidance on its safety and efficacy to the NHS. NICE's interventional procedures advisory committee has considered the available evidence and the views of specialist advisers, who are consultants with knowledge of the procedure. The advisory committee has made draft recommendations about hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea.

This document summarises the procedure and sets out the draft recommendations made by the advisory committee. It has been prepared for public consultation. The advisory committee particularly welcomes:

- comments on the draft recommendations
- the identification of factual inaccuracies
- additional relevant evidence, with bibliographic references where possible.

Note that this document is not NICE's formal guidance on this procedure. The recommendations are provisional and may change after consultation.

The process that NICE will follow after the consultation period ends is as follows.

IPCD: Hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea Page 1 of 11

- The advisory committee will meet again to consider the original evidence and its draft recommendations in the light of the comments received during consultation.
- The advisory committee will then prepare draft guidance which will be the basis for NICE's guidance on the use of the procedure in the NHS.

For further details, see the <u>Interventional Procedures Programme process</u> <u>guide</u>, which is available from the NICE website.

Through its guidance NICE is committed to promoting race and disability equality, equality between men and women, and to eliminating all forms of discrimination. One of the ways we do this is by trying to involve as wide a range of people and interest groups as possible in the development of our interventional procedures guidance. In particular, we aim to encourage people and organisations from groups who might not normally comment on our guidance to do so.

In order to help us promote equality through our guidance, we should be grateful if you would consider the following question:

Are there any issues that require special attention in light of NICE's duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations between people with a characteristic protected by the equalities legislation and others?

Please note that NICE reserves the right to summarise and edit comments received during consultations or not to publish them at all where in the reasonable opinion of NICE, the comments are voluminous, publication would be unlawful or publication would otherwise be inappropriate.

Closing date for comments: 23 August 2017

Target date for publication of guidance: November 2017

1 Draft recommendations

1.1 Current evidence on the safety and efficacy of hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea is limited in quantity and quality. Therefore, this procedure should only be used with special arrangements for clinical governance, consent and audit or research.

IPCD: Hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea Page 2 of 11

- 1.2 Clinicians wishing to do hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea should:
 - Inform the clinical governance leads in their NHS trusts.
 - Ensure that patients understand the uncertainty about the procedure's safety and efficacy and provide them with clear written information to support shared decision making. In addition, the use of NICE's information for the public [[URL to be added at publication]] is recommended.
 - Audit [URL to audit tool to be added at publication] and review clinical outcomes of all patients having hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea (see section 6.3).
- Patient selection and the procedure should be done by clinicians with special expertise in the management of obstructive sleep apnoea.
- 1.4 Further research including the use of observational data from registries should provide information on patient selection, safety outcomes, quality of life, long-term outcomes and the position of the procedure in the treatment pathway. NICE may update the guidance on publication of further evidence.

2 Indications and current treatments

2.1 Obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) is characterised by repeated episodes of apnoea and hypopnoea during sleep, loud snoring and excessive daytime sleepiness. The main cause is collapse of the upper airway during sleep. OSA has a big impact on quality of life

IPCD: Hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea Page 3 of 11

and increases the risk of having a stroke and developing conditions such as hypertension and atrial fibrillation.

2.2 OSA may be improved by lifestyle changes such as weight loss, avoiding alcohol or sedative medication, and change of sleeping position. The most common treatment for severe OSA is continuous positive airway pressure, applied through a face mask during sleep. Surgical interventions include tonsillectomy, adenoidectomy, uvulopalatopharyngoplasty and, rarely, tracheostomy and bariatric surgery.

3 The procedure

3.1 Hypoglossal nerve stimulation aims to treat obstructive sleep apnoea by preventing the tongue prolapsing backwards and causing upper airway obstruction during sleep. It works by delivering an electrical current to the hypoglossal nerve. This contracts the genioglossus muscle, the major muscle responsible for tongue protrusion, and all other intrinsic muscles of the tongue. Using general anaesthesia, a neurostimulator is implanted in an infraclavicular subcutaneous pocket and a stimulating lead is placed on the main trunk of the hypoglossal nerve. The neurostimulator delivers electrical pulses to the hypoglossal nerve. With some devices, stimulation can be synchronised with respiration using sensing leads that measure changes in breathing. The respiratory-sensing leads are positioned between the external and internal intercostal muscle. The stimulator is programmed and controlled wirelessly to adapt to specific patient needs.

IPCD: Hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea Page 4 of 11

4 Efficacy

This section describes efficacy outcomes from the published literature that the committee considered as part of the evidence about this procedure. For more detailed information on the evidence, see the <u>interventional procedure</u> <u>overview</u>.

- In a systematic review and meta-analysis of 200 patients, there was a statistically significant decrease in the apnoea–hypopnoea index (AHI; a normal AHI is less than 5 events per hour). At 3-, 6-, and 12-month follow-up the mean differences from baseline were -23.94 (95% confidence interval [CI] -31.45 to -16.43, 34 patients), -25.60 (95% CI -31.18 to -20.01, 60 patients) and -17.51 (95% CI -20.69 to -14.34, 170 patients) respectively (p<0.001 for all time points).
- 4.2 In a randomised controlled therapy-withdrawal trial of 46 'responders' from a prospective case series of 126 patients (23 therapy-maintenance responders compared to 23 therapywithdrawal responders), there was a statistically significant increase in the mean AHI from 7.6 at 1-year follow-up (before randomisation into the trial) to 25.8 at 1 week after randomisation, in the group where the device was turned off for 1 week (p<0.001). There was no statistical difference in mean AHI within the therapymaintenance group, who continued to use the device (7.2 compared to 8.9). At 18-month follow-up, the mean AHI scores were 9.6 in the therapy-maintenance group and 10.7 in the group who had the device turned off for 1 week (p<0.05 for the differences compared with baseline within groups). There was a statistically significant difference between the therapy-withdrawal group and the therapy-maintenance group for change in mean AHI.

IPCD: Hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea Page 5 of 11 from assessment at 1 year to assessment at the end of the therapy-withdrawal study (p<0.001).

- 4.3 In a follow-up study of 98 patients from the prospective case series of 126 patients, there was a statistically significant decrease in the mean AHI (± standard deviation) from 30.4±10.4 at baseline to 11.5±13.9 at 3-year follow-up (change 18.8, 95% CI 16.1 to 21.6, p<0.001). Treatment success at 3 years (defined as an AHI decrease of more than 50%, to a score of less than 20) was 74% (73/98).</p>
- In the systematic review and meta-analysis of 200 patients, there was a statistically significant decrease in the oxygen desaturation index (defined as the number of times per hour of sleep that the blood oxygen level drops by 4 or more percentage points from baseline). At 3-, 6-, and 12-month follow-up the mean differences from baseline were -10.04 (CI -16.31 to -3.78, 34 patients), -11.68 (95% CI -17.16 to -6.19, 60 patients) and -13.73 (95% CI -16.87 to -10.58, 170 patients) respectively (p<0.01 at 3 months and p<0.001 at 6 and 12 months).
- In the systematic review and meta-analysis of 200 patients, there was a statistically significant decrease in the Epworth sleepiness scale (scores range from 0 to 24 with higher scores indicating more daytime sleepiness). At 3-, 6-, and 12-month follow-up the mean differences from baseline were -4.17 (CI -6.45 to -1.90, 34 patients), -3.82 (95% CI -5.37 to -2.27, 60 patients) and -4.42 (95% CI -5.39 to -3.44, 170 patients) respectively (p<0.001 for all time points).

In the prospective case series of 126 patients, there was a statistically significant increase in the mean functional outcomes of IPCD: Hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea

sleep questionnaire score (FOSQ, ranging from 5 to 20 with higher scores indicating better subjective sleep quality) from 14.3 \pm 3.2 at baseline to 17.3 \pm 2.9 at 1-year follow-up (p<0.001). There was a statistically significant increase in the mean FOSQ score from 14.6 \pm 3.0 at baseline to 17.4 \pm 3.5 at 3-year follow-up (change -2.7, 95% CI -3.4 to -1.9, 98 patients, p<0.001).

- 4.7 In the follow-up study of 98 patients from the prospective case series of 126 patients, the rates of bed-partner reported 'no snoring' or 'soft snoring' were 17% (18/108) at baseline, 86% (89/103) at 1-year follow-up and 80% (78/97) at 3-year follow-up.
- 4.8 In a prospective case series of 46 patients, there was a statistically significant improvement in the mean sleep apnoea quality of life index from 4.3±1.0 at baseline to 4.7±1.2 at 6-month follow-up (p=0.019).
- 4.9 The specialist advisers listed the key efficacy outcomes as: reduction in severity of obstructive sleep apnoea, improved sleep and reduced daytime sleepiness.

5 Safety

This section describes safety outcomes from the published literature that the committee considered as part of the evidence about this procedure. For more detailed information on the evidence, see the <u>interventional procedure</u> <u>overview</u>.

5.1 Transient ipsilateral hemi-tongue paresis was reported in 15%
(2/13) of patients in a prospective case series of 13 patients from a systematic review and meta-analysis of 200 patients.

IPCD: Hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea Page 7 of 11

- 5.2 Tongue abrasion was reported in 25% (32/126) of patients in a prospective case series of 126 patients within 3 years of the procedure. In 9 patients, a tooth guard was used to resolve the tongue soreness or abrasion related to the device.
- 5.3 Dysarthria was reported in 1 patient in a prospective case series of 60 patients. It resolved after 2 months. In the same study, speech difficulty was reported in 1 patient; this was resolved by reprogramming the stimulation energy field parameters.
- 5.4 Bleeding was reported in 1 patient within 30 days of implantation in a prospective case series of 46 patients. This was caused by a hypertensive crisis and surgical intervention was needed; hypertension was treated with medication. In the same study, haematoma was reported in 7% (3/46) of patients. One of the 2 cases classified as non-serious occurred within 30 days of implantation and the other occurred more than 30 days after implantation. The third case was classified as a serious event and occurred within 30 days of implantation.
- 5.5 Rupture of a vein was reported in 6% (2/31) of patients during cervical tunnelling in a prospective case series of 31 patients; 1 of the patients needed 1 further cervical incision.
- 5.6 Seroma at an incision site was reported in 10% (2/20) of patients immediately after the procedure in a retrospective case series of 20 patients. One seroma occurred at the sensing-lead incision 1 week after surgery and the other occurred at the implantable pulse-generator incision 4 weeks after surgery. Both resolved uneventfully with percutaneous needle drainage.

IPCD: Hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea Page 8 of 11

- 5.7 Headache was reported in 6% (8/126) of patients in the prospective case series of 126 patients within 1 year of the procedure.
- 5.8 Infection was reported in 1 patient in a prospective case series of
 22 patients from the systematic review and meta-analysis of
 200 patients; the device was removed.
- 5.9 Dry mouth was reported in 13% (16/126) of patients in the prospective case series of 126 patients within 3 years of the procedure.
- 5.10 Discomfort due to electrical stimulation was reported in 56% (70/126) of patients in the prospective case series of 126 patients within 3 years of the procedure. In the same study, discomfort related to incisions was reported in 29% (37/126) of patients and discomfort not related to incisions was reported in 27% (34/126) of patients within 3 years after the procedure.
- 5.11 Paraesthesia was reported in 13% (6/46) of patients (within 30 days of implantation in 5 patients, and more than 30 days after implantation in 1 patient) in the prospective case series of 46 patients.
- 5.12 Device migration more than 30 days after implantation was reported in 1 patient in the prospective case series of 46 patients. Cuff dislodgement was reported in 2 patients in a prospective case series of 31 patients, and in 1 patient in a prospective case series of 21 patients, from the systematic review and meta-analysis of 200 patients; all 3 patients needed a new procedure to replace it.
- 5.13 Device removal was reported in 4 patients in the prospective case series of 31 patients, and in 2 patients in the prospective case

IPCD: Hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea Page 9 of 11

series of 21 patients, from the systematic review and meta-analysis of 200 patients. Device removal was also reported in 2 patients, 1 to 3 years after the procedure, in the prospective case series of 126 patients. The reasons for removal were insomnia for 1 patient and device-unrelated septic arthritis for the other patient.

- 5.14 Leads breaking was reported in 15% (2/13) of patients in the prospective case series of 13 patients from the systematic review and meta-analysis of 200 patients.
- 5.15 Defective implanted pulse-generator connector was reported in
 1 patient in the prospective case series of 13 patients from the systematic review and meta-analysis of 200 patients.
- 5.16 Other complications reported in the systematic review and metaanalysis of 200 patients included postoperative pain and stiffness, sore throat, stitch abscess, local swelling, fever, lack of tongue response to stimulation and minor tongue abrasion.
- 5.17 In addition to safety outcomes reported in the literature, specialist advisers are asked about anecdotal adverse events (events which they have heard about) and about theoretical adverse events (events which they think might possibly occur, even if they have never done so). For this procedure, the specialist advisers did not list any anecdotal adverse events. They considered that the following were theoretical adverse events: fatigue of the upper airway dilator muscles leading to worsening sleep apnoea, and hypoglossal nerve damage.

6 Committee comments

6.1 There is more than 1 device available for this procedure.

IPCD: Hypoglossal nerve stimulation for moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnoea Page 10 of 11

7 Further information

- 7.1 For related NICE guidance, see the <u>NICE website</u>.
- 7.2 No patient commentary was sought because the procedure is not currently done in the UK.
- 7.3 This guidance requires that clinicians doing the procedure make special arrangements for audit. NICE has identified relevant audit criteria and is developing an audit tool (which is for use at local discretion). This tool will be available when the guidance is published.

Tom Clutton-Brock Chairman, interventional procedures advisory committee August, 2017