Introduction

This overview has been prepared to assist members of the Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee advise on the safety and efficacy of an interventional procedure previously reviewed by SERNIP. It is based on a rapid survey of published literature, review of the procedure by specialist advisors and review of the content of the SERNIP file. It should not be regarded as a definitive assessment of the procedure.

Date prepared

This overview was prepared by ASERNIP-S in October 2002

Procedure name

Posterolateral thoracic discectomy, more usually referred to as:

- endoscopic laser thoracic discectomy
- percutaneous endoscopic thoracic discectomy (with laser)
- endoscopic microdecompressive thoracic discectomy with laser thermodiskoplasty

Specialty societies

British Orthopaedic Association.

Description

Executive Summary

There is very little literature pertaining to percutaneous endoscopic thoracic discectomy with laser (posterolateral thoracic discectomy). Only three case series were identified from searches of grey literature and bibliographies, and the quality of reporting in these studies is poor, with subject numbers, lengths of follow up and losses to follow up not stated in every case. No operative or other complications were reported in the identified studies, and virtually all patients were reported to have experienced symptomatic relief. However, the group of patients for whom this procedure is indicated is very small and the procedure does not appear to be used routinely, other than by the two authors included in this review.
Indications
Symptomatic thoracic disc herniation occurs when a portion of the intervertebral disc protrudes into the spinal canal and impinges on a nerve root. In comparison with asymptomatic thoracic disc herniation, which has a prevalence of approximately 7–15%, symptomatic thoracic disc herniation is rare, accounting for between 0.25 and 0.57% of all disc herniations reported in the literature. Typically, symptoms appear up to 2 years before the patient presents for treatment. Symptoms include back pain, radicular pain, nondermatomal leg pain, bladder dysfunction, and lower extremity weakness. If left untreated serious neurological sequelae may occur. The choice of operative approach may be guided by a number of factors, including the location and size of the disc involved, degree of calcification and spinal cord deformation, patient medical condition and presenting signs and symptoms, presence of dura surrounding the postlateral margins of the disc, and surgeon’s experience with varying techniques. As Stillerman and coworkers note, “No universally accepted selection criteria exist to help the surgeon choose the best operation for disc removal. Moreover, no single surgical approach is best suited for all situations.” (p.627).

A number of endoscopic techniques have been described. However, these techniques all use multiple portal incisions and are performed under general anaesthetic. By contrast, endoscopic laser thoracic discectomy aims to decompress the disc using a percutaneous needle and laser ablation. As a consequence it is only indicated where the disc herniation is contained inside the nucleus pulposus and is contraindicated where free disc fragmentation is evident. Unlike open procedures, which are indicated for persistent severe myelopathy, endoscopic laser thoracic discectomy is contraindicated in patients with myelopathy, or other vascular pathologies, as well as in patients with cancer, degenerative or congenital bony defects of the spine and severe spinal cord compression.

What the procedure involves
The procedure as described by Chiu was developed from the similar application of percutaneous laser discectomy for the lumbar and cervical spine (for example Choy, and Chui et al.). After intraoperative pain provocation test (with fluoroscopic dye and spinal needle) confirms the patient’s eligibility for surgery, the disc is decompressed under endoscopic magnification using curettes, microforceps and discectome inserted through a small cannula. Small osteophytes are removed using microcurettes and rasps, and then a Holmium:YAG laser (500 J, 8.5 W, 10 Hz, 5 seconds on/5 seconds off) is used to ablate disc material and then at a lower power setting (300 J, 5 W) to shrink and contract the disc further (laser thermodiskoplasty). Any charred debris is removed via the discectome and the probe and cannula are removed after inspection shows that the site is clear. Neurological status is monitored intraoperatively, and a postoperative chest X-ray is used to rule out pneumothorax.

Standard discectomy for TDH may be either by open postero-lateral or anterior approaches. Percutaneous endoscopic approaches lessen the morbidity of the procedure by allowing access and visualisation of the anterior and lateral aspects of the disc. The choice of approach will depend upon the characteristics of the disc herniation and the surgeon's experience with the above techniques. Percutaneous endoscopic laser thoracic discectomy is purported to reduce morbidity and promote earlier return to work. The advantages of the technique are that it does not interfere with the bones or joints of the spine, or require manipulation of nerves or the spinal cord. Postoperative scarring is said to be lower due the use of micro-instruments inserted through the small cannula.
Literature reviews

A systematic search of MEDLINE, PREMEDLINE, EMBASE, Current Contents, PubMed, Cochrane Library and Science Citation Index using Boolean search terms was conducted, covering the period from the inception of the databases until October 2002. The York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, Clinicaltrials.gov, National Research Register, SIGLE, Grey Literature Report (2002), relevant online journals and the Internet were also searched in October 2002. Searches were conducted without language restriction.

The searches of online databases retrieved no studies that contained safety and efficacy data on percutaneous endoscopic thoracic discectomy with laser. References were obtained from grey literature searches of the Internet, hand-searching of bibliographies, and one book chapter provided by NICE. Included studies are highlighted in bold in the reference list.

List of studies found
Total number of studies: 3

- Case series 3
  (in one\textsuperscript{14}, the patients are likely a subset of the 100 patients reported in another by the same author\textsuperscript{10})

Summary of key efficacy and safety findings
See following tables.

Abbreviations
CT computed tomography.
MRI magnetic resonance imaging.
Ho:YAG holmium:yttrium-aluminium-garnet.
### Table 2 Summary of key efficacy and safety findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study details</th>
<th>Key efficacy findings</th>
<th>Key safety findings</th>
<th>Appraisal/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Case series</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiu &amp; Clifford 2000, Chiu 200210,11, USA</td>
<td>96% reported good to excellent results/ symptomatic relief</td>
<td>No operative complications.</td>
<td>Potential for bias: Although reported outcomes are the same, mean length of follow up is longer in 2002 presentation than in 2000 paper.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 patients (152 discs)</td>
<td>4 patients persistent thoracic discomfort and paresthesia (pain improved 80% overall)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up, mean (months): 24 (range 4–47)</td>
<td>Average time to return to work 10 days (for non-Worker’s Compensation cases)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection criteria: Consecutive patients with pain in thoracic spine, MRI or CT confirmed fully contained thoracic disc herniation, no relief from ≥ 12 wks conservative therapy and positive intraoperative discogram and pain provocation disc injection test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiu 200214, USA</td>
<td>All patients had symptomatic relief</td>
<td>No postoperative complications</td>
<td>Potential for bias: Could not be determined due to lack of detailed information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients not stated</td>
<td>1 patient had persistent thoracic pain and paresthesia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up: not stated</td>
<td>Average time to work 10 days for non-worker’s compensation patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection criteria: patients with high (T1–4) thoracic disc herniation without myelopathy with 12 weeks failed conservation therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choy 199811, USA</td>
<td>All patients free of symptoms, 3 immediately, 1 at 2 weeks, 2 at 4 weeks post-operatively.</td>
<td>No complications</td>
<td>Potential for bias: Could not be determined due to lack of detailed information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 patients (subset of 512 patients undergoing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abstract from Chiu’s website.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study details</td>
<td>Key efficacy findings</td>
<td>Key safety findings</td>
<td>Appraisal/Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser discectomy for lumbar, cervical and thoracic herniated disc)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Outcome measures and their validity:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow up: up to 12 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MacNab criteria (which is validated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection criteria: Patients with MRI documented disc herniations and pain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other comments:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>syndrome, with 3 months failed conservative therapy, with contained disc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Choy used Nd:YAG laser rather than Holmium:YAG laser.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>herniation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Specialist Advisor’s opinions

Specialist advice was sought from the British Orthopaedic Association.

- the incidence of herniated thoracic discs requiring treatment is very low
- patients may be treated with percutaneous endoscopic thoracic discectomy unnecessarily.

Issues for consideration by IPAC

The use of endoscopic techniques for thoracic discectomy is a relatively new application of minimally invasive surgery for the spine. As Chiu & Clifford note, surgical knowledge of the anatomy around the thoracic spine is essential for successful application of this technique. As they state, “...a probe placed too closely to the midline may cause neurological damage, and too interiorly, injury to the major vessels or the sympathetic chain. A pneumothorax is a possibility if the pleura or lungs are penetrated.” (p.216). The steep learning curve for endoscopic techniques, the high cost of specialised instrumentation and the relatively low incidence of suitable surgical candidates are all factors contributing to the very small number of surgeons who appear to be using this technique at present.
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