## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

#### INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

### **Equality impact assessment**

# IPG612 Microinvasive subconjunctival insertion of a trans-scleral gelatin stent for primary open-angle glaucoma

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

### Scoping

1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the scoping process (development of the scope or discussion at the Committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?

Primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) is the most common form of glaucoma in the West and affects about 2% of the population but about half are unaware of it.

The incidence increases with age, most commonly presenting after the age of 65 (and rarely before the age of 40).

POAG is three to four times more common in people of Afro-carribean family origin, in whom it tends to present earlier and is more severe.

People with POAG are likely to be covered by the Equality Act 2010 if their condition has had a substantial adverse impact on normal day to day activities for over 12 months or is likely to do so. Also regulations provide for a person who is certified as blind, severely sight impaired, sight impaired or partially sighted by a consultant ophthalmologist to be deemed to have a disability. POAG is responsible for 10-12% of all cases of registrations for severe visual impairment in the UK.

The gel stent used for this procedure contains animal collagen so may not be acceptable to certain faith groups or strict vegetarians.

2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the Committee? (If there are exclusions listed in the scope (for example, populations, treatments or settings),

	are these justified?)			
	was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure. xclusions were applied.			
3.	Has any change to the scope (such as additional issues raised during the Committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equality issues?			
No				
4.	Have any additional stakeholders related to potential equality issues been identified during the committee meeting, and, if so, have changes to the stakeholder list been made?'			
No				
Appro	oved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor			
Date: 28/02/2018				
Cons	sultation			
1.	Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?			
Not a	pplicable			
2.	Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?			
No				

3.	Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?		
No			
4.	Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?		
No			
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?		
Not applicable			
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?		
Not applicable			
7.	Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?		
No			

**Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor** 

Date: 28/02/2018

### Final interventional procedures document

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these? No 2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group? Not applicable 3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability? Not applicable 4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality? Not applicable

Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been

described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so,

5.

	where?	
No		

### **Approved by Acting Centre Director**

**Date:** 17 April 2018