NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment

IPG634 Transcutaneous neuromuscular electrical stimulation for oropharyngeal dysphagia in adults

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

Briefing

1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the briefing process (development of the brief or discussion at the committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?

Gender: Dysphagia is as common among men as it is among women.

Age: Dysphagia affects as many as 20-40% of people between 50-80 years of age but can occur at any age.

Disability: Some people with dysphagia may be covered by the Equality Act 2010 if their symptoms have had a substantial adverse effect on day to day activities for longer than 12 months. People with MS and cancer are covered from point of diagnosis.

Ethnicity: The risk of having a stroke is higher amongst people in certain ethnic groups, including South Asian, African and Caribbean.

2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the committee? (If there are exclusions listed in the brief (for example, populations, treatments or settings), are these justified?)

This was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure. No exclusions were applied.

3. Has any change to the brief (such as additional issues raised during the committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equality issues?

No

4. Have any additional stakeholders related to potential equality issues been identified during the committee meeting, and, if so, have changes to the stakeholder list been made?'

No

Kevin Harris

Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor

Date: 30/10/2018

Consultation

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the briefing process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

Gender: 69% (552/803) of patients included in the overview (for whom data on gender were reported) were male.

Age: Mean 65 years for patients who had oropharyngeal dysphagia included in the overview (for whom data on age were reported).

No specific data relating to ethnicity was identified in the literature presented in the overview.

2.	Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?	
No		
3.	Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?	
No		
4.	Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?	
No		
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?	
Not applicable		
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?	
Not applicable		

7.	Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?	
No		
Kevin	Harris	
Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor		
)ate: 3	30/10/2018	
Einal	intorrentianal procedures decument	
- IIIai	interventional procedures document	
1.	Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?	
No		
2.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?	
Not a	applicable	
3.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?	
Not a	annlicable	

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable

5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so, where?

No

Mark Campbell

Approved by Acting Programme Director

Date: 12/12/2018