# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

## INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

## Interventional procedure overview of high-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

Glaucoma is usually caused by an increase in the pressure of the fluid that fills the inside of the eye. This damages the nerve that connects the eye to the brain (optic nerve) and can gradually lead to permanent loss of sight.

In this procedure, a device uses high-intensity focused ultrasound to destroy a small amount of the tissue that produces the fluid. The aim is to reduce the amount of fluid released into the eyeball, to reduce the eye pressure. Reduced eye pressure may slow or stop further damage to vision.

## Contents

Introduction Description of the procedure Efficacy summary Safety summary The evidence assessed Validity and generalisability of the studies Existing assessments of this procedure Related NICE guidance Additional information considered by IPAC References Literature search strategy Appendix

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

## Introduction

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) prepared this interventional procedure overview to help members of the interventional procedures advisory committee (IPAC) make recommendations about the safety and efficacy of an interventional procedure. It is based on a rapid review of the medical literature and specialist opinion. It should not be regarded as a definitive assessment of the procedure.

## Date prepared

This overview was prepared in February 2019.

### Procedure name

• High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma.

## Specialist societies

- Royal College of Ophthalmologists (RCO)
- UK and Éire Glaucoma Society (UKEGS).

## **Description of the procedure**

### Indications and current treatment

Glaucoma is usually a chronic condition associated with elevated intraocular pressure. The most common type of glaucoma in the UK is primary open-angle glaucoma, also known as chronic open-angle glaucoma. It leads to progressive damage to the optic nerve. Early stages are usually asymptomatic but as the condition progresses it causes visual impairment and, if untreated, blindness.

NICE's guideline on <u>glaucoma</u> describes its diagnosis and management. Treatment is usually eye drops containing drugs that either reduce the production of aqueous humor (fluid) or increase its drainage. Surgical procedures such as trabeculectomy, drainage tubes, deep sclerectomy, viscocanalostomy or laser trabeculoplasty may also be used.

### What the procedure involves

This procedure uses high intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) to partially destroy the ciliary body to reduce the production of aqueous humor and thereby decrease the intraocular pressure (IOP).

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

The procedure can be done using local or general anaesthesia, or topical anaesthesia. One device available for this procedure consists of a compact operator console and a disposable probe (which includes a coupling cone and a therapy probe that generates the ultrasound beams). The coupling cone is placed directly on the centre of the patient's cornea and held in place by a low vacuum suction ring. A ring shaped therapy probe (connected to the console) that generates ultrasound beams is inserted into the cone. The space between the eye, cone and the probe is filled with saline solution to ensure dissemination of ultrasound energy. By pressing the foot switch, miniature transducers in the ring-shaped probe are sequentially activated to deliver HIFU beams directly into the ciliary body. These beams pass through the scleral tissue without disruption of ocular tissue to reach the ciliary body. The ultrasound heats and inactivates tissue within the ciliary body to decrease the production of aqueous humor.

## **Efficacy summary**

### Intraocular pressure

In a meta-analysis of 251 patients (7 studies), the mean IOP reduction 6 months after the HIFU procedure was 29% for the first generation device and 35% for the second generation device; 31% for refractory glaucoma and 33% for nonrefractory glaucoma.<sup>1</sup> In a case series of 61 patients with end-stage refractory glaucoma, the mean reduction in IOP was 23% at 3-month follow-up (from 41.1 mmHg at baseline to 31.6 mmHg at 3 months).<sup>3</sup> In a case series of 30 patients with refractory glaucoma, the mean reduction in IOP was 33% at 6month follow-up (from 30.1 mmHg at baseline to 20.2 mmHg at 6 months, p<0.0001).<sup>4</sup> In a case series of 47 patients with glaucoma, the mean reduction in IOP was 32% at 6-month follow-up and 29% at 1 year (from 27.7 mmHg at baseline to 19.8 mmHg at 1 year, p<0.0001).<sup>5</sup> In a case series of 40 patients, the mean IOP reduced from 32.5 mmHg at baseline to 23.4 mmHg at 120 days after HIFU (p<0.001). The mean IOP reduction for patients with complete success after 1 procedure was 46% at 1 year. In 20 patients who had a second HIFU procedure, the IOP reduced from 29.6 mmHg before the procedure to 23.6 mmHg at 120 days follow-up (p<0.01). In 12 patients who had a third procedure, the mean IOP reduced from 26.5 mmHg to 16.8 mmHg at 12-month follow-up (p<0.01).6

### Success rate

In the meta-analysis of 251 patients, the success rate at 6-month follow-up (defined as IOP reduction of at least 20% compared with baseline with no medication added) was 54% for the first generation device and 64% for the second generation device.<sup>1</sup> In the case series of 61 patients with end-stage refractory glaucoma, the success rate was 50% (26/52) at 3-month follow-up.<sup>3</sup> In the case series of 30 patients with refractory glaucoma, the complete success IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

rate (defined as an IOP reduction of more than 20% and more than 5 mmHg, and IOP 21 mmHg or less, without adjunctive hypotensive medication) was 47% (14/30) at 6-month follow-up.<sup>4</sup> In the case series of 47 patients with glaucoma, the complete success rate was 43% (21/49) of eyes at 6-month follow-up.<sup>5</sup> In the case series of 40 patients, the complete success rate after 1 procedure was 45% (18/40). After a maximum of 3 procedures, the complete success rate was 85% (34/40) at 12-month follow-up.<sup>6</sup>

### Failure rate

In a case series of 73 patients, the failure rate (IOP was not reduced by 20%, IOP reduction was 5 mmHg or less on 2 consecutive follow-up visits, and additional intervention was necessary) at 6-month follow-up was 20% (5/25) for patients who had 8 seconds HIFU exposure time and 23% (10/43) for patients who had 10 seconds HIFU exposure time. At 12 months, the failure rates were 21% (4/19) and 22% (9/41) respectively.<sup>2</sup> In the case series of 30 patients with refractory glaucoma, the failure rate (defined as increase in the number of hypotensive medications after the procedure, regardless of the IOP, or if the patient needed filtering surgery) was 7% (2/30) at 6-month follow-up.<sup>4</sup> In the case series of 47 patients with glaucoma, the failure rate (defined as an increase in the number of postoperative daily hypotensive medications or the need for subsequent surgery during the study period) was 25% (12/49) of eyes at 6-month follow-up.<sup>5</sup>

### Pain

In the case series of 61 patients with end-stage refractory glaucoma, the mean pain score reduced from 1.0 at baseline to 0.1 at 3-month follow-up and the proportion of patients reporting local pain reduced from 23% (14/61) to 2% (1/52).<sup>3</sup>

### **Reduction in medication use**

In the case series of 47 patients, the mean number of daily hypotensive drops reduced from 3.2 at baseline to 2.3 at 1 year follow-up and the mean number of acetazolamide tablets reduced from 0.5 to 0.2 (p<0.05 for both). The proportion of patients using acetazolamide tablets decreased at 1 year after the procedure from 53% to 17%.<sup>5</sup> In the case series of 40 patients, the mean number of hypotensive therapy classes reduced from 3.6 at baseline to 2.4 at 12 month follow-up (p<0.01).<sup>6</sup>

### Need for additional surgery

In the case series of 30 patients, 1 patient needed a trabeculectomy 3 months after the HIFU procedure.<sup>4</sup> In the case series of 47 patients, 7 patients needed

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

additional surgery after an average interval of 3 months (6 trabeculectomy and 1 implantation of Ahmed valve)<sup>5</sup>. In the case series of 52 patients, 23% (12/52) of patients needed retreatment; 9 patients had trabeculectomy, 2 had diode laser cyclodestruction, and 1 had Ahmed valve surgery. These treatments were done between 6 and 12 months after the HIFU procedure.<sup>7</sup>

## Safety summary

### Intraoperative complications

Intraoperative corneal pain was reported in 2% (4/251) of patients and subconjunctival haemorrhage was reported in 6% (14/251) of patients in a metaanalysis of 251 patients.<sup>1</sup> Intraoperative pain and subconjunctival haemorrhage were each reported in 21% (13/61) of patients in a case series of 61 patients.<sup>3</sup> Subconjunctival haemorrhage was reported in 10% (3/30) of patients in a case series of 30 patients.<sup>4</sup> Intraoperative pain was reported in 75% (30/40) of patients and subconjunctival haemorrhage in 38% (15/40) of patients in a case series of 40 patients.<sup>6</sup>

### Postoperative complications

### Loss of visual acuity

Loss of visual acuity (>2 Snellen lines) was reported in 2% (6/251) of patients in the meta-analysis of 251 patients.<sup>1</sup> Loss of more than 2 lines of visual acuity was reported in 2 patients in the case series of 40 patients: 1 was caused by cataract evolution and 1 was caused by glaucoma disease progression.<sup>6</sup> Fluctuation of visual acuity within 2 lines during the first postoperative month was reported in 14% of patients (actual numbers not reported) in a case series of 47 patients.<sup>5</sup>

### Transient macular oedema

Transient macular oedema was reported in 2% (4/251) of patients in the metaanalysis of 251 patients. This was treated with steroids (not further described) and resolved within a few months without a further decline in visual acuity.<sup>1</sup>

### Corneal abrasion or epithelial defect

Corneal abrasion or epithelial defect was reported in 2% (4/251) of patients in the meta-analysis of 251 patients. The corneal abrasions 'healed several weeks after treatment'.<sup>1</sup>

### Corneal ulcer

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

Corneal ulcer was reported in 1 patient in the meta-analysis of 251 patients.<sup>1</sup> It was reported in 5% (2/40) of patients in the case series of 40 patients (treated with medication, not further described).<sup>6</sup>

### Hypotony

Early hypotony (<10 mmHg) was reported in 2% (4/251) of patients in the metaanalysis of 251 patients. Early transient hypotony (<6 mmHg) was reported in 1 patient and early transient hypotony with choroidal detachment was reported in 1% (3/251) of patients in the same review. The hypotony resolved within 1 month in all patients after steroid treatment (not further described).<sup>1</sup> Hypotony was reported in 3% (2/61) of patients in the case series of 61 patients.<sup>3</sup>

### **Retinal detachment**

Retinal detachment was reported in 1 patient in the case series of 61 patients. The causality was not confirmed because of dense cataract and no ultrasound scan of the fundus before treatment.<sup>3</sup>

#### Induced astigmatism

Induced astigmatism was reported in 1% (3/251) of patients in the meta-analysis of 251 patients. This improved over 3 to 6 months after the procedure.<sup>1</sup> Astigmatism (>1 dioptre) was reported in 1 patient in the case series of 61 patients.<sup>3</sup>

### Conjunctival hyperaemia

Conjunctival hyperaemia (<7 days) was reported in 69% (173/251) of patients in the meta-analysis of 251 patients.<sup>1</sup> Conjunctival hyperaemia was reported in 25% (15/61) of patients in the case series of 61 patients.<sup>3</sup> It was reported in all patients in a case series of 40 patients.<sup>6</sup>

### Superficial punctate keratitis

Superficial punctate keratitis was reported in 24% (61/251) of patients in the meta-analysis of 251 patients.<sup>1</sup> Superficial punctate keratitis was reported in 7% (4/61), 13% (4/30) and 45% (18/40) of patients in the case series of 61, 30 and 40 patients respectively.<sup>3,4,6</sup>

### Anterior chamber inflammation

Anterior chamber inflammatory reaction (>7 days) was reported in 21% (53/251) of patients in the meta-analysis of 251 patients.<sup>1</sup> Anterior chamber inflammatory reaction was reported in 36% (22/61) of patients in the case series of 61 patients.<sup>3</sup> Transient anterior chamber inflammatory reaction was reported in

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

20% (6/30) and 18% (actual numbers not reported) of patients in the case series of 30 and 47 patients respectively.<sup>4,5</sup>

#### Ocular pain

Transient ocular pain was reported in 8% (20/251) of patients in the metaanalysis of 251 patients.<sup>1</sup>

#### Corneal oedema

Corneal oedema was reported in 8% (20/251) of patients in the meta-analysis of 251 patients.<sup>1</sup> It was reported in 3% (2/61) of patients in the case series of 61 patients.<sup>3</sup>

#### Chemosis

Chemosis was reported in 3% (7/251) of patients in the meta-analysis of 251 patients.<sup>1</sup> Chemosis was reported in 23% (14/61) of patients in the case series of 61 patients.<sup>3</sup> Chemosis was reported in 43% of patients in a case series of 47 patients.<sup>5</sup>

#### Goniosynechiae

Goniosynechiae was reported in 1 patient in the meta-analysis of 251 patients.<sup>1</sup>

#### Posterior synechiae

Irido-crystalline lens synechiae was reported in 1 patient in the meta-analysis of 251 patients.<sup>1</sup>

#### Mydriasis

Mydriasis was reported in 1 patient in the meta-analysis of 251 patients.<sup>1</sup> Semimydriasis was reported in 4% of patients in the case series of 47 patients; it reversed after a median time interval of 3.5 weeks.<sup>5</sup>

#### Pupil irregularities

Minor pupil irregularities were reported in 3% (7/251) of patients in the metaanalysis of 251 patients.<sup>1</sup>

#### Scleral thinning

Scleral thinning was reported in 20% (12/61) and 25% (10/40) of patients in the case series of 61 and 40 patients respectively.<sup>3,6</sup> Focal areas of scleral thing were reported in 8% of patients in the case series of 47 patients.<sup>5</sup>

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

### Scleral marks

Scleral marks were reported in 10% (26/251) of patients in the meta-analysis of 251 patients.<sup>1</sup>

### Foreign body sensation

Foreign body sensation was reported in 8% (5/61) of patients in the case series of 61 patients.<sup>3</sup>

### Anecdotal and theoretical adverse events

In addition to safety outcomes reported in the literature, specialist advisers are asked about anecdotal adverse events (events which they have heard about) and about theoretical adverse events (events which they think might possibly occur, even if they have never happened). For this procedure, specialist advisers listed the following anecdotal adverse events: refractive change (including induced astigmatism), persistent inflammation after the procedure, fixed mid-dilated pupil, unusual macular oedema, intraoperative pain, and failure to fit the device onto the eye. They did not describe any additional theoretical adverse events.

## The evidence assessed

## Rapid review of literature

The medical literature was searched to identify studies and reviews relevant to high-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma. The following databases were searched, covering the period from their start to 9 January 2019: MEDLINE, PREMEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library and other databases. Trial registries and the Internet were also searched. No language restriction was applied to the searches (see the <u>literature search strategy</u>). Relevant published studies identified during consultation or resolution that are published after this date may also be considered for inclusion.

The following selection criteria (table 1) were applied to the abstracts identified by the literature search. Where selection criteria could not be determined from the abstracts the full paper was retrieved.

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

Characteristic	Criteria
Publication type	Clinical studies were included. Emphasis was placed on identifying good quality studies.
	Abstracts were excluded where no clinical outcomes were reported, or where the paper was a review, editorial, or a laboratory or animal study.
	Conference abstracts were also excluded because of the difficulty of appraising study methodology, unless they reported specific adverse events that were not available in the published literature.
Patient	Patients with glaucoma.
Intervention/test	High-intensity focused ultrasound.
Outcome	Articles were retrieved if the abstract contained information relevant to the safety and/or efficacy.
Language	Non-English-language articles were excluded unless they were thought to add substantively to the English-language evidence base.

 Table 1 Inclusion criteria for identification of relevant studies

## List of studies included in the IP overview

This IP overview is based on about 430 patients from 1 meta-analysis and 6 case series (2 of which were also included in the meta-analysis).<sup>1–7</sup>

Other studies that were considered to be relevant to the procedure but were not included in the main extraction table (table 2) are listed in the <u>appendix</u>.

## Table 2 Summary of key efficacy and safety findings on high-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

### Study 1 Denis P (2016)

#### Details

Study type	Meta-analysis
Country	Not reported for individual studies
Recruitment period	Not reported
Study population and	<b>n=251</b> (7 studies)
number	Patients with refractory or non-refractory glaucoma
Age and sex	Mean 63 years; 64% male
Patient selection criteria	Patients with refractory or non-refractory glaucoma with IOP >21 mmHg.
Technique	High-intensity focused ultrasound device consisted of a probe with 6 active piezoceramic transducers and a positioning cone. A second generation device was used in 2 studies. This differed from the first generation in having a broader active transducer area (4 mm instead of 2.5 mm) and more precise temperature calibration of each single transducer. Other enhancements included optimised suction and centring on the eye globe, improved coupling of ultrasound by removal of air bubbles in the liquid, optimised ergonomics and improved clip to attach the probe into the cone.
Follow-up	Up to 12 months
Conflict of interest/source of funding	Publication of the article was supported by Eye Tech Care. Medical writing assistance was funded by Eye Tech Care. The author has been a consultant to Alcon, Alimera, Allergan, Eye Tech Care, Istar and Thea.

#### Analysis

**Follow-up issues**: Follow-up in the individual studies ranged from 6 to 12 months. Losses to follow-up are not addressed in the review.

**Study design issues**: The review provides no details of the search strategy or methods used for identifying studies for inclusion. There is no discussion on the quality of the included studies. There are no details of the statistical methods used and no assessment of heterogeneity. Of the 7 studies included in the review, 6 were described as prospective multicentre trials and 1 was a prospective single centre trial.

**Study population issues**: Four studies included only patients with refractory glaucoma (at least 1 attempt at filtering surgery), 2 included only patients with non-refractory glaucoma and 1 study included both. Of the 251 patients, 53% had refractory glaucoma. Most patients (84%) had primary open-angle glaucoma.

#### Key efficacy and safety findings

Number of patients analysed: 251	Safety results for first and second generation devices						
		First generation		Second gen		Total	
Mean intraocular pressure (IOP) reduction		n	%	n	%	n	%
at 6 months	Patients	141		110		251	
<ul> <li>First generation device=29%</li> </ul>	Intraoperative						
<ul> <li>Second generation device=35%</li> </ul>	Corneal pain	4	3	0	0	4	
<ul> <li>Refractory glaucoma=31%</li> </ul>	Corneal burn	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-refractory glaucoma=33%	Subconjunctival haemorrhage	6	4	8	7	14	
Success rate at 6 months (defined as IOP	Postoperative	•		•			
eduction of at least 20% compared with	Conjunctival	86	61	87	79	173	6
aseline with no medication added)	hyperaemia						
<ul> <li>First generation device=54%</li> </ul>	(<7 days)						
<ul> <li>Second generation device=64%</li> </ul>	Superficial	44	31	17	15	61	2
	punctate keratitis						
	Anterior chamber	41	29	12	11	53	2
	reaction (>7 days)						
	Transient ocular	13	9	7	6	20	
	pain						
	Corneal oedema*	16	11	4	4	20	
	Corneal ulcer	1	1	0	0	1	
	Corneal	1	1	3	3	4	
	abrasion/epithelial						
	defect						
	Chemosis	7	5	0	0	7	
	Transient macular	3	2	1	1	4	
	oedema						
	Astigmatism	1	1	2	2	3	
	Goniosynechiae	1	1	0	0	1	v
	Scleral marks	4	3	22	20	26	
	Irido-crystalline synechiae	1	1	0	0	1	
	Early hypotony (<10 mmHg)	4	3	0	0	4	
	Early transient hypotony (<6 mmHg)	0	0	1	1	1	<
	Early transient	2	1	1	1	3	
	hypotony with choroidal	_					
	detachment						
	Mydriasis	0	0	1	1	1	
	Minor pupil	0	0	7	6	7	
	irregularities						
	Loss of visual acuity (>2 lines)	6	4	0	0	6	
	Phthisis bulbi	0	0	0	0	0	
	Cataract induced	0	0	0	0	0	
	Hypotony (<6 mmHg) (not	0	0	0	0	0	
	further described) * Patients with high locornea Conjunctival hyperae medication. Scleral n	emia was frec	quently pro	e-existing fron	n long-ter	m treatme	ent wit

	darkly pigmented sclera. In some patients the mark others they got darker.	s faded over time, whereas in
	Serious complications	
	Complication	n
	Loss of visual acuity (>2 Snellen lines)	6
	Transient macular oedema	4
	Corneal abrasion – epithelial defect resulting	4
	from mechanical effect due to placement of	
	cone	
	Hypotony with choroidal detachment	3
	Induced astigmatism	3
	The transient macular oedema was treated with ste resolved within a few months without a further decli	ne in visual acuity.
	The corneal abrasions 'healed several weeks after	treatment.
	The hypotony resolved within 1 month in all patients further described).	s after steroid treatment (not
	The induced astigmatism improved over 3 to 6 mon	ths.
Abbreviations used: IOP, intraocular pressure		

### Study 2 Deb-Joarder N (2018)

#### Details

Study type	Case series (ETC-IND-02)
Country	India
Recruitment period	Not reported
Study population and	n=73 (73 eyes)
number	Patients with open-angle glaucoma
Age and sex	Mean 62 years; 74% (54/73) male
Patient selection criteria	Inclusion criteria: primary open-angle glaucoma, pseudoexfoliative or pigmentary glaucoma with or without previous trabeculectomy; average baseline IOP between 21 and 45 mmHg, not adequately controlled with glaucoma medication; age between 18 and 90 years; no intraocular surgery or laser treatment during the 90 days before the procedure.
	Exclusion criteria: angle-closure glaucoma or narrow anatomical anterior chamber; normal tension glaucoma, secondary glaucoma and aphakia; history of cyclo-destructive procedure or glaucoma drainage device implantation; any ocular or retrobulbar tumour or ocular infection within past 2 weeks; ocular disease other than glaucoma that may affect assessment of visual acuity or IOP.
Technique	Device: EyeOP1 (Eye Tech Care, France); second generation probe. Patients were divided into 2 groups. Group 1 (n=28) had 8 seconds of exposure time for each transducer and group 2 (n=45) had 10 seconds. The treatment parameters were as follows: frequency=21 MHz, sectors activated=6, acoustic power=2.45w, time between shots=20 seconds. All patients were treated under peribulbar anaesthesia.
	Preoperative hypotensive medications were maintained unchanged after the procedure unless a favourable IOP response made their withdrawal necessary.
Follow-up	12 months
Conflict of interest/source of funding	The trial was funded by Eye Tech Care, France.

#### Analysis

**Follow-up issues**: Patients were followed up at day 1, 7 and month 1, 2, 3, 6 and 12. In group 1, 89% (25/28) of patients were followed up at 6 months and 68% (19/28) at 12 months; 4 patients were lost to follow-up and 4 withdrew. In group 2, 96% (43/45) of patients were followed up at 6 months and 89% (40/45) at 12 months; 2 patients were lost to follow-up and 1 withdrew.

**Study design issues**: Prospective, single-centre case series. The primary endpoint was IOP reduction at 6 and 12 months. Treatment response was defined as 'IOP reduction from baseline more than 20% and final IOP more than 5 mmHg without supplemental hypotensive medications and without reintervention (complete success)', whereas qualified success was defined as achieving the same with supplemental hypotensive medications. Failure was considered where IOP was not reduced by 20%, IOP was 5 mmHg or less on 2 consecutive follow-up visits, and additional intervention was necessary.

**Study population issues**: 89% (65/73) of patients had primary open-angle glaucoma. The remaining patients had pigmentary (n=1) or pseudoexfoliative (n=7) glaucoma. Of 72 patients, 69 (95%) did not have a previous trabeculectomy. The preoperative mean IOP was 23.5 mmHg.

**Other issues**: A conference abstract reporting results from this study was included in the meta-analysis by Denis P (2016).

#### Key efficacy and safety findings

Efficacy		
Number of patients ana	alysed: <b>73</b>	

# Intraocular pressure (IOP) at baseline and during follow-up – all patients

Follow-up	Mean±SD IOP mmHg (n); mean glaucoma medications	Relative IOP reduction (%)	Response rate (%)	p value
Baseline	23.5±3.9 (73); 0.7	-	-	-
Day 1	14.2±5.1 (73); 0.7	39.6	82	<0.001
Day 7	12.4±4.4 (73); 0.7	47.1	93	<0.001
Month 1	14.5±5.0 (73); 0.6	37.6	85	<0.001
Month 2	15.6±4.0 (71); 0.7	33.1	76	<0.001
Month 3	15.5±3.8 (72); 0.8	33.7	81	<0.001
Month 6	15.8±3.5 (68); 0.9	32.3	78	<0.001
Month 12	15.7±5.4 (59); 1.0	32.6	78	<0.001

## Intraocular pressure (IOP) at baseline and during follow-up – group 1 (8 seconds exposure time)

Follow-up	Mean±SD IOP mmHg (n); mean glaucoma medications)	Relative IOP reduction (%)	Response rate (%)	p value
Baseline	23.3±2.4 (28); 0.5	-	-	-
Day 1	15.3±5.4 (28); 0.5	34.6	75	<0.001
Day 7	13.2±4.3 (28); 0.5	43.4	89	<0.001
Month 1	15.9±5.0 (28); 0.5	31.3	75	<0.001
Month 2	16.8±4.3 (27); 0.7	26.6	67	<0.001
Month 3	16.1±3.5 (27); 0.9	30.5	70	<0.001
Month 6	15.4±3.5 (25); 1.0	34.0	80	<0.001
Month 12	14.3±3.8 (19); 1.2	37.4	79	<0.001

## Intraocular pressure (IOP) at baseline and during follow-up – group 2 (10 seconds exposure time)

Follow-up	Mean±SD IOP	Relative	Response	р
	mmHg (n); mean	IOP	rate (%)	value
	glaucoma	reduction		
	medications	(%)		
Baseline	23.7±3.4 (45); 0.8	-	-	-
Day 1	13.4±4.7 (45); 0.8	42.7	87	<0.001
Day 7	11.9±4.4 (45); 0.8	49.4	96	<0.001
Month 1	13.7±5.0 (45); 0.7	41.5	91	<0.001
Month 2	14.8±3.6 (44); 0.7	37.1	82	<0.001
Month 3	15.1±3.9 (45); 0.7	35.6	87	<0.001
Month 6	16.1±3.5 (43); 0.8	31.3	77	<0.001
Month 12	16.4±5.9 (40); 1.0	30.4	78	<0.001

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

© NICE 2019. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

Safety				
Intraoperative an	d postop	erative co	omplicatio	ns, n (%)
Ocular complication	All	Group 1	Group 2	р
Intraoperative				
Subconjunctival haemorrhage	4 (7)	2 (7)	2 (4)	0.6349
Postoperative				
Hyperaemia	68 (93)	26 (93)	43 (93)	0.6349
Anterior chamber reaction (<7 days)	67 (92)	25 (89)	42 (92)	0.6691
Ocular pain (<1 day)	36 (49)	21 (75)	15 (33)	0.0007
Scleral marks	22 (30)	6 (21)	16 (35)	0.2945
Minor pupil peak	10 (14)	5 (18)	5 (11)	0.4923
Superficial punctate keratitis	4 (7)	4 (14)	-	-
Transient hypotony	3 (5)	1 (4)	2 (4)	1
Corneal epithelial defect or oedema	3 (5)	2 (7)	1 (2)	0.5543
Astigmatism (>1 dioptre)	2 (4)	-	2 (4)	-
Transient hypotony with choroidal detachment	1 (1)	1 (4)	-	-
Transient macular oedema	1 (1)	1 (4)	-	-
Mild mydriasis	1 (1)	-	1 (2)	-
Phthisis	-	-	-	-
Induced cataract	-	-	-	-

Of the 3 patients with postoperative hypotony, 1 patient, who had choroidal detachment, was treated with systemic steroids and choroidal drainage and the other 2 had conservative management.

One patient had branch retinal vein occlusion with macular oedema and was treated with an anti-VEGF injection and argon laser photocoagulation.

	Visual acuity loss of 2 or more lines=8% (6/73)
<ul> <li>Complete success at 6 month follow-up:</li> <li>Group 1 (8-second exposure)=56% (14/25)</li> </ul>	(Caused by progression of pre-existing cataract in 2 patients, persistent superficial punctate keratitis in 2
• Group 2 (10-second exposure)=65% (28/43)	patients, persistent uveitis in 1 patient and worsening of end-stage glaucoma in 1 patient.)
Complete success at 12 month follow-up:	
• Group 1=42% (8/19)	
• Group 2=51% (21/41)	
Qualified success at 6 month follow-up:	
• Group 1=24% (6/25)	
• Group 2=12% (5/43)	
Qualified success at 12 month follow-up:	
• Group 1=37% (7/19)	
• Group 2=27% (11/41)	
Failure rate at 6 month follow-up:	
• Group 1=20% (5/25)	
• Group 2=23% (10/43)	
Failure rate at 12 month follow-up:	
• Group 1=21% (4/19)	
• Group 2=22% (9/41)	
Abbreviations used: IOP, intraocular pressure; sd, standard deviati	l

### Study 3 Hu D (2018)

#### Details

Study type	Case series
Country	China
Recruitment period	2016 to 2017
Study population and	n=61 (61 eyes)
number	Patients with end-stage refractory glaucoma
Age and sex	Mean 57 years (range 22 to 86); 56% (34/61) male
Patient selection criteria	Inclusion criteria: end-stage glaucoma, according to the Glaucoma Severity Staging (GSS) system; IOP≥21 mmHg despite the use of glaucoma medications; age older than 18 years and younger than 90 years.
	Exclusion criteria: previous cyclophotocoagulation or other cyclodestructive surgery; the presence of a glaucoma drainage device; ocular infection; other diseases that can affect intraocular pressure; pregnancy or serious systemic disease.
Technique	Device: EyeOP1 (Eye Tech Care, France). 8 seconds (2 W) of ultrasound exposure time per sector were applied, with a 20 second interval between exposures. The entire procedure lasted 2 minutes and 28 seconds. There were 2 treatment modes used during the study. The first 43 patients had a 6-sector activated modality and the last 18 patients were treated with 8 sectors.
Follow-up	3 months
Conflict of interest/source of funding	No conflicts of interest. The study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

#### Analysis

Follow-up issues: Follow-up was completed by 85% (52/61) of patients.

**Study design issues**: Retrospective single-centre case series with consecutive recruitment. Success was defined as 'IOP reduced by 20% or more but still 5 mmHg or higher (despite the presence of ocular hypotensive agents)'. The 2 treatment modes (6 and 9 sectors) were compared and outcomes were also stratified by glaucoma type.

**Study population issues**: 16% (10/61) of patients had primary open angle glaucoma, 30% (18/61) had primary angle-closure glaucoma, 48% (29/61) had neovascular glaucoma and 7% (4/61) had traumatic glaucoma. Of the 61 patients, 55 (90%) presented with no light perception, while the other 6 patients ranged from finger counting to light perception; 21% (13/61) of patients had previous glaucoma surgery (including 12 who had trabeculectomy). The mean (±SD) IOP at baseline was 41.1±10.7 mmHg.

#### Key efficacy and safety findings

Efficacy

Number of patients analysed: 61

#### IOP trend for all patients

Follow-up	Mean IOP±SD (mmHg)	Percentage reduction in IOP
Baseline	41.1±10.7	-
1 day	29.1±10.1	29.2%
7 days	23.4±11.4	43.2%
1 month	26.8±12.4	34.8%
3 months	31.6±14.6	23.1%

#### Success rate at 3 months

- All patients=50.0% (26/52)
- 6-sector group=48.6% (17/35)
- 8-sector group=52.9% (9/17), p=0.768 between 6-sector and 8-sector groups
- Primary open angle glaucoma (POAG)=55.6% (5/9)
- Primary angle closure glaucoma (PACG)=80.0% (12/15)
- Neovascular glaucoma (NVG)=29.2% (7/24)
- Traumatic glaucoma=50.0% (2/4), p=0.013 between glaucoma subgroups

#### IOP levels in 6-sector group of patients

Follow-up	n	Mean IOP±SD (mmHg)	р	IOP range	IOP reduction (%)	Mean no. of medications±SD	р
Baseline	43	40.1±10.2	-	22 to 59	-	2.6±1.1	-
1 day	41	30.9±10.1	<0.001	11 to 55	22.2	2.6±1.1	1.00
7 days	43	25.4±11.1	<0.001	8 to 48	36.6	2.6±1.1	1.00
1 month	42	28.3±12.9	<0.001	8 to 58	29.4	2.6±1.2	0.59
3 months	34	31.7±12.6	<0.001	2 to 55	22.0	2.4±1.2	0.34

#### IOP levels in 8-sector group of patients

Follow-up	n	Mean IOP±SD (mmHg)	р	IOP range	IOP reduction (%)	Mean no. of medications±SD	р
Baseline	18	43.6±11.6	-	26 to 64	-	2.7±0.7	-
1 day	18	25.0±9.2	<0.001	5 to 36	42.0	2.7±0.7	1.00
7 days	18	18.5±10.7	<0.001	4 to 37	57.7	2.7±0.7	1.00
1 month	17	23.0±10.2	<0.001	9 to 40	50.2	2.6±0.7	0.32
3 months	17	31.6±18.4	0.019	3 to 79	28.5	2.3±1.1	0.04

#### IOP levels by type of glaucoma

Subgroup	n	Mean baseline IOP±SD (mmHg)	Mean IOP reduction at 3 months±SD (mmHg)	Percentage IOP reduction
POAG	9	37.8±9.2	6.7±8.2	17.7
PACG	15	44.0±11.9	15.9±13.7	36.1
NVG	24	41.8±11.1	7.8±17.1	18.6
Traumatic	4	39.3±14.2	8.5±15.2	21.6

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

#### Mean pain scores

Follow-up	Mean pain score		Number of patients reporting local pain
Baseline		1.0	23.0% (14/61)
Day 1		1.3	34.4% (21/61)
Day 7		0.6	19.7% (12/61)
1 month		0.2	6.6% (4/61)
3 months		0.1	1.9% (1/52)

#### Safety

#### Intraoperative and postoperative complications

Complication	6-sector (n=43)	8-sector (n=18)	Total (n=61)
Anterior chamber reaction	13	9	22 (36.1%)
Conjunctival hyperaemia	10	5	15 (24.6%)
Keratic precipitates	9	6	15 (24.6%)
Chemosis	8	6	14 (23.0%)
Intraoperative pain	10	3	13 (21.3%)
Subconjunctival haemorrhage	6	7	13 (21.3%)
Scleral thinning	7	5	12 (19.7%)
Foreign body sensation	4	1	5 (8.2%)
Superficial punctate keratitis	3	1	4 (6.6%)
Corneal oedema	1	1	2 (3.3%)
Hypotony	1	1	2 (3.3%)
Astigmatism (>1 dioptre)	1	0	1 (1.6%)
Retinal detachment (causality not confirmed because of dense cataract and no ultrasound scan of the fundus before treatment)	1	0	1 (1.6%)

Abbreviations used: IOP, intraocular pressure; NVG, neovascular glaucoma; PACG, primary angle closure glaucoma; POAG, primary open angle glaucoma; sd, standard deviation

### Study 4 Giannaccare G (2017)

#### Details

Study type	Case series
Country	Italy (2 centres)
Recruitment period	2014 to 2015
Study population and	n=30 (30 eyes)
number	Patients with refractory glaucoma
Age and sex	Mean 73 years; 53% (16/30) male
Patient selection criteria	Inclusion criteria: age older than 18 years and diagnosis of advanced glaucoma with uncontrolled baseline IOP 21 mmHg or above, refractory to maximum medical topical and systemic treatment.
	Exclusion criteria: pregnancy, normal-tension glaucoma, laser trabeculoplasty or incisional glaucoma surgery within the previous 6 months, ocular infection in the previous 3 months.
Technique	Device: EyeOP1 HIFU device (Eye Tech Care, France). Treatment consisted of the sequential activation of each transducer for 4, 6 or 8 seconds exposure time. The other surgical parameters were as follows: frequency=21 MHz, number of sectors activated=6, acoustic power=2.45 W, time between each shot=20 seconds. The procedure itself lasted between 124 to 148 seconds.
	Topical and systemic hypotensive medications were stopped after the procedure and only started again if postoperative IOP was higher than 21 mmHg at any of the follow-up visits.
Follow-up	180 days
Conflict of interest/source of funding	None

#### Analysis

**Follow-up issues**: No patients were lost to follow-up. One patient exited the study 3 months after the procedure and had a trabeculectomy.

**Study design issues**: Prospective, multicentre case series. Patients were randomly allocated to the 4, 6 or 8 second exposure time group by using computer-generated random numbers. The main outcomes were the mean reduction in IOP at the last follow-up in the overall population and by exposure time group, and the rates of complete success, qualified success and failure. Qualified success was defined as 'a reduction in IOP≥20% and ≥5 mmHg without adjunctive hypotensive medication'. Complete success was defined as 'an IOP reduction >20% and >5 mmHg, and IOP≤21 mmHg, without adjunctive hypotensive medication'. Failure was considered if the number of hypotensive medications was increased after the procedure, regardless of the IOP, or if the patient needed filtering surgery.

**Study population issues**: 53% (16/30) of patients had open-angle glaucoma, 33% (10/30) had angle-closure glaucoma and 13% (4/30) had neovascular glaucoma. The mean preoperative IOP was 30.1±10.5 mmHg. 20% (6/30) of patients had previous selective laser trabeculoplasty and 13% (4/30) had previous incisional surgery for glaucoma. Preoperative mean visual acuity was 1.46±0.85 logMAR.

Other issues: There may be some patient overlap with Giannaccare G et al, 2018 (study 5).

Efficacy					Safety		
·	atients analys				One patient had a fixed and dilated pupil with concomitant accommodation deficit, which spontaneously resolved within 3 months.		
Baseline and postoperative IOP, with the corresponding number of hypotensive drops and acetazolamide tablets used, mean values					Complications diagnosed during first postoperative visit		
Follow-up	IOP (mmHg)	Percentage drop in IOP	Number of drops	Number of tablets	<ul> <li>(day 1)</li> <li>Transient anterior chamber inflammatory reaction=2</li> </ul>		
Baseline	30.1	-	2.7	0.8	(6/30)		
Day 1	18.4*	39%	0	0	Superficial punctate keratitis=13.3% (4/30)		
Day 7	18.4	39%	0.2	2 0	Subconjunctival haemorrhage=10% (3/30)		
Day 14	18.6	38%	0.5	0.1			
Day 30	19.5	35%	0.9	0.1	All these complications resolved without the need for additionate		
Day 90	19.5	35%	1.4	0.2	treatment and were not detected during subsequent visits.		
Day 180	20.2*	33%	2.0^	0.3*			
*p<0.0001 c	ompared with	baseline	<u> </u>				
^p=0.005 co	mpared with I	baseline					
There was n visual field m	o statistically nean deviation	significant diff n on day 180 c					
There was n visual field m Qualified su Complete s	o statistically nean deviation uccess=23.39 uccess=46.7	n on day 180 c % (7/30)	compared w	isual acuity or /ith baseline.			
visual field m Qualified su Complete s Failure=6.79 hypotensive	o statistically nean deviation uccess=23.39 uccess=46.7 % (2/30) (1 pa medications	n on day 180 c % (7/30) % (14/30)	compared w ncrease the edure and th	isual acuity or vith baseline. number of ne other			
There was n visual field m Qualified su Complete su Failure=6.7 <sup>6</sup> hypotensive needed a tra	no statistically nean deviation uccess=23.39 uccess=46.7 % (2/30) (1 pa medications abeculectomy	n on day 180 c % (7/30) % (14/30) atient had to in after the proce	compared w acrease the edure and the er the proces	isual acuity or vith baseline. number of ne other dure.)			
There was n visual field m Qualified su Complete s Failure=6.79 hypotensive needed a tra Mean (±SD) group	o statistically nean deviation uccess=23.39 uccess=46.7 % (2/30) (1 pa medications abeculectomy reduction in	n on day 180 c % (7/30) % (14/30) atient had to in after the proce 3 months afte	compared w acrease the edure and th er the proces by exposu	isual acuity or vith baseline. number of ne other dure.)			
There was n visual field m Qualified su Complete su Failure=6.79 hypotensive needed a tra Mean (±SD) group • Gro	o statistically nean deviation uccess=23.39 uccess=46.7 % (2/30) (1 pa medications abeculectomy reduction in pup 1 (4 secon	n on day 180 c % (7/30) % (14/30) atient had to in after the proce 3 months afte tIOP (mmHg)	compared w increase the edure and th or the proced by exposu 5 (n=9)	isual acuity or vith baseline. number of ne other dure.)			
There was n visual field m Qualified su Complete su Failure=6.70 hypotensive needed a tra Mean (±SD) group • Group	o statistically nean deviation uccess=23.39 uccess=46.7 % (2/30) (1 pa medications abeculectomy reduction in pup 1 (4 second pup 2 (6 second	n on day 180 c % (7/30) % (14/30) atient had to in after the proce 3 months afte n IOP (mmHg) nds)=-3.7±6.5	compared w increase the edure and the er the proces by exposu 5 (n=9) 6 (n=9)	isual acuity or vith baseline. number of ne other dure.)			
There was n visual field m Qualified su Complete s Failure=6.79 hypotensive needed a tra Mean (±SD) group Group Group Group	o statistically nean deviation uccess=23.39 uccess=46.7 % (2/30) (1 pa medications abeculectomy reduction in oup 1 (4 secon oup 2 (6 secon oup 3 (8 secon roup 3 versus	n on day 180 c % (7/30) % (14/30) atient had to in after the proce 3 months afte h IOP (mmHg) nds)=-3.7±6.5 nds)=-8.8±6.6	compared w acrease the edure and the r the proces <b>by exposu</b> 5 (n=9) 5 (n=9) .3 (n=12)	isual acuity or vith baseline. number of ne other dure.) <b>ire time</b>			
There was n visual field m Qualified su Complete su Failure=6.74 hypotensive needed a tra Mean (±SD) group • Group • Group • Group • Group • Group • Group	to statistically nean deviation uccess=23.39 uccess=46.7 % (2/30) (1 pa medications abeculectomy reduction in oup 1 (4 secon oup 2 (6 secon oup 3 (8 secon roup 3 versus o 1	n on day 180 c % (7/30) % (14/30) atient had to in after the proce 3 months afte h IOP (mmHg) nds)=-3.7±6.5 nds)=-8.8±6.6 nds)=-16.2±8.	compared w accrease the edure and the r the process <b>by exposu</b> 5 (n=9) 3 (n=12) p<0.001 for	isual acuity or vith baseline. number of ne other dure.) <b>Ire time</b> group 3			
There was n visual field m Qualified su Complete s Failure=6.79 hypotensive needed a tra Mean (±SD) group Group Group Group Group Correlation b reduction (p= IOP	to statistically nean deviation uccess=23.39 uccess=46.7 % (2/30) (1 pa medications abeculectomy reduction in oup 1 (4 secon oup 2 (6 secon oup 3 (8 secon roup 3 versus o 1	n on day 180 c % (7/30) % (14/30) atient had to in after the proce 3 months afte n IOP (mmHg) nds)=-3.7±6.5 nds)=-8.8±6.6 nds)=-16.2±8. s group 2 and p sound exposur	compared w acrease the edure and the r the proces <b>by exposu</b> 5 (n=9) 5 (n=9) .3 (n=12) p<0.001 for re time and	isual acuity or vith baseline. number of ne other dure.) <b>Ire time</b> group 3			
There was n visual field m Qualified su Complete s Failure=6.79 hypotensive needed a tra Mean (±SD) group Group Group Group Failure=6.79 hypotensive needed a tra Mean (±SD) group Group Group Correlation k reduction (performance)	o statistically nean deviation uccess=23.39 uccess=46.7 % (2/30) (1 pa medications abeculectomy reduction in oup 1 (4 secon oup 2 (6 secon oup 3 (8 secon roup 3 versus o 1 between ultras =0.03)	n on day 180 c % (7/30) % (14/30) atient had to in after the proce 3 months afte n IOP (mmHg) nds)=-3.7±6.5 nds)=-8.8±6.6 nds)=-16.2±8. s group 2 and p sound exposur	compared where $a$ the edure and the edure and the process $a$ <b>by exposu</b> $5$ (n=9) 3 (n=9) .3 (n=12) p<0.001 for re time and $b = 2$	isual acuity or vith baseline. number of ne other dure.) <b>ire time</b> group 3			
There was n visual field m Qualified su Complete s Failure=6.79 hypotensive needed a tra Mean (±SD) group Group Group Group Group Correlation b reduction (p= 10P	o statistically nean deviation uccess=23.39 uccess=46.7 % (2/30) (1 pa medications abeculectomy reduction in oup 1 (4 secon oup 2 (6 secon oup 3 (8 secon roup 3 versus o 1 between ultras =0.03) Group 1 n=9	n on day 180 c % (7/30) % (14/30) atient had to in after the proce 3 months afte n IOP (mmHg) nds)=-3.7±6.5 nds)=-8.8±6.6 nds)=-16.2±8. s group 2 and p sound exposur Group n=9	compared where $a$ the edure and the edure and the process $a$ <b>by exposu</b> $5$ (n=9) 3 (n=9) .3 (n=12) p<0.001 for re time and $b = 2$	isual acuity or vith baseline. number of ne other dure.) <b>Ire time</b> group 3 IOP Group 3			
There was n visual field m Qualified su Complete su Failure=6.76 hypotensive needed a tra Mean (±SD) group • Group • G	o statistically nean deviation uccess=23.39 uccess=46.7 % (2/30) (1 pa medications abeculectomy reduction in oup 1 (4 secon oup 2 (6 secon oup 3 (8 secon roup 3 versus o 1 between ultras =0.03) Group 1 n=9 6 (60	n on day 180 c % (7/30) % (14/30) atient had to in after the proce 3 months afte n IOP (mmHg) nds)= $-3.7\pm6.5$ nds)= $-8.8\pm6.6$ nds)= $-16.2\pm8.5$ group 2 and p sound exposure Group n=9 6.7%) 1	compared w compared w compared the compared the compared the compared the procession <b>by exposu</b> <b>by exposul</b> <b>by exposed</b> <b>by expo</b>	isual acuity or vith baseline. number of te other dure.) <b>tre time</b> group 3 IOP Group 3 t=12			

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

2 (22.2%)

7 (63.6%)

© NICE 2019. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

2 (22.2%)

Abbreviations used: IOP, intraocular pressure

≥40%

### Study 5 Giannaccare G (2018)

#### Details

Study type	Case series
Country	Italy (3 centres)
Recruitment period	2014 to 2016
Study population and	n=47 (49 eyes)
number	Patients with glaucoma
Age and sex	Mean 74 years (range 33 to 98); 51% (24/47) male
Patient selection criteria	Inclusion criteria: age older than 18 years, a diagnosis of glaucoma with an uncontrolled baseline IOP ≥21 mmHg while on maximum medical therapy or intolerance to glaucoma medications despite well-controlled IOP.
	Exclusion criteria: pregnancy, diagnosis of normal-tension glaucoma, a laser or surgical procedure within the last 6 months, ocular infections and uveitis in the previous 3 months.
Technique	Device: EyeOP1 (Eye Tech Care, France). Two generations of probes were used. The first allowed a choice of 4 or 6 seconds of treatment time; the second used an exposure time of 8 seconds. The first generation probe was used for 71% (35/49) of eyes and the second generation probe for 29% (14/49) of eyes.
	All procedures were done under peribulbar anaesthesia.
Follow-up	1 year
Conflict of interest/source of funding	None

#### Analysis

Follow-up issues: No losses to follow-up were described.

**Study design issues**: Prospective multicentre case series. Primary outcomes were the mean IOP reduction and the rates of success after 1 year. Qualified success was defined as an IOP reduction ≥20% and 5 mmHg without adjunctive hypotensive medication. Complete success was defined as qualified success plus IOP <21 mmHg. Failure was defined as an increase in the number of postoperative daily hypotensive medications or the need for subsequent surgery during the study period.

**Study population issues**: 49% (24/49) of eyes had open angle glaucoma, 18% (9/49) had exfoliative glaucoma, 23% (11/49) had angle closure glaucoma and 10% (5/49) had neovascular glaucoma. 27% (13/49) of eyes had been treated previously by laser trabeculoplasty and 25% (12/49) by trabeculectomy. The mean (±SD) IOP at baseline was 27.7±9.2 mmHg. Preoperative mean best corrected visual acuity was 1.65±1.14 logMAR.

Other issues: There may be some patient overlap with Giannaccare G et al, 2017 (study 4).

#### Key efficacy and safety findings

Efficacy
----------

Number of patients analysed: 47 (49 eyes)

#### Reduction in IOP during follow-up

	<b>č</b> i						
Follow-up	Mean IOP (mmHg)	Mean IOP reduction (%)	Mean number of daily hypotensive drops	Mean number of acetazolamide tablets used			
Baseline	27.7	-	3.2	0.5			
1 day	17.9	35.5	0	0			
7 days	17.1	38.4	0.4	0			
14 days	17.9	35.4	0.7	0.1			
1 month	18.7	32.7	1.2	0.1			
3 months	18.5	33.2	1.8	0.2			
6 months	19.0	31.7	2.1	0.2			
9 months	18.7	32.6	2.1	0.2			
1 year	19.8	28.7	2.3	0.2			
				1 141			

 $p{<}0.0001$  for reduction in IOP at 1 day and 1 year compared with baseline.

p<0.05 for reduction in daily hypotensive drops and acetazolamide tablets at 1 year.

Percentage of patients using acetazolamide tablets decreased at 1 year after the procedure from 53.1% to 16.7%.

Linear regression analysis showed that postoperative IOP reduction was statistically significantly related to preoperative IOP ( $r^2=0.5034$ , p<0.0001).

# Percentage of IOP reduction at follow-up according to the generation of the probe used

Follow-up	First generation probe	Second generation probe
1 day	32.2	42.3
7 days	34.3	46.7
14 days	30.8	44.5
1 month	26.3	45.6
3 months	27.8	43.8
6 months	26.5	41.9
9 months	28.0	41.7
12 months	25.6	35.0

p<0.05 for comparison between probes

The mean IOP reduction at last follow-up visit did not statistically significantly differ according to type of glaucoma or lens status, but the highest reduction was in eyes with angle closure glaucoma (-13.3 mmHg from baseline to 1 year follow-up; 41% reduction) followed by open angle glaucoma (-7.3 mmHg; 29%), neovascular

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

© NICE 2019. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

#### Minor complications

Safety

- Conjunctival hyperaemia=71.4%
- Conjunctival chemosis=42.9%
- Superficial punctate keratitis=38.8%
- Subconjunctival haemorrhage=24.5%
- Transient anterior chamber uveal reaction=18.4%
- Focal areas of scleral thinning=8.2%
- Fluctuation of visual acuity within 2 lines during the first postoperative month=14.3%
- Semi-mydriasis=4% (reversed after a median time interval of 3.5 weeks)

glaucoma (-7.1 mmHg; 21%) and exfoliative glaucoma (-4.3 mmHg; 18%).	
Qualified success=51.1% (25/49)	
Complete success=42.9% (21/49)	
Failure=24.5% (12/49)	
Of the 12 failures, 9 eyes were treated with the first generation probe and 3 with the second generation probe. 7 eyes needed additional surgery after an average interval of 3 months (trabeculectomy in 6 eyes and implantation of Ahmed valve in 1 eye). The remaining 5 patients had to increase the number of daily hypotensive medications. 7 failures were classified as early (within 6 months of the procedure) and the other 5 were late.	
No patients had vision loss of 1 line of more at 1 year and postoperative final visual acuity and visual field mean deviation did not statistically significantly differ from preoperative values.	
Abbreviations used: IOP, intraocular pressure	

### Study 6 De Gregorio A (2017)

#### Details

Study type	Case series
Country	Italy
Recruitment period	Not reported
Study population and	n=40 (40 eyes)
number	Patients with glaucoma
Age and sex	Mean 72 years (range 29 to 94); 50% (20/40) male
Patient selection criteria	Inclusion criteria: age 18 years or older with primary or secondary glaucoma with a baseline IOP>21 mmHg under maximal tolerated medical therapy.
	Exclusion criteria: pregnancy, concomitant systemic medications that could affect IOP, history of ocular tumour, ocular infection, ocular surgical or laser procedure in the previous 6 months.
Technique	Device: second generation EyeOP1. Standard parameters: 21 MHz operating frequency, 6 activated sectors, 2 to 3 W acoustic power, 8 seconds of the HIFU for each sector.
	All procedures were done under sub-Tenon's anaesthesia.
	Preoperative hypotensive medications were maintained during follow-up if IOP was >15 mmHg, otherwise they were gradually reduced.
	Patients were retreated with HIFU at 4 months if IOP was >21 mmHg and there were no adverse major complications related to the initial HIFU procedure.
Follow-up	1 year
Conflict of interest/source of funding	None

#### Analysis

Follow-up issues: All patients were evaluated at 1, 4, 10 days and each month until 1 year after the last treatment.

**Study design issues**: Prospective single-centre case series. The main efficacy outcome was based on IOP reduction at 4 and 12 months from each treatment. Complete success was defined as 'final IOP higher than 5 mmHg and less than or equal to 21 mmHg without adding hypotensive medications and without major or vision-threatening complications'.

**Study population issues**: 35% (14/40) of patients had primary open angle glaucoma, 8% (3/40) had chronic closed angle glaucoma, 20% (8/40) had exfoliative glaucoma, 30% (12/40) had neovascular glaucoma and 8% (3/40) had uveitic glaucoma. 43% (17/40) of patients had previous filtering surgery and 63% (25/40) had previous phacoemulsification and intraocular lens implant. The mean preoperative IOP was 32.5±9.9 mmHg.

#### v. ff: 4 - 5 findi

Efficacy			Safety
mean IOP reductio months)	analysed: <b>40</b> s after 1 procedure=45 n of 44.3% at 4 months e first HIFU procedure Mean IOP (mmHg) 32.5 23.9 18.5 18.0	and 45.7% at 12	Safety         Intraoperative ocular complications <ul> <li>Pain=75% (30/40)</li> <li>Subconjunctival haemorrhage=37.5% (15/40)</li> </ul> Postoperative ocular complications <ul> <li>Conjunctival hyperaemia=100% (40/40)</li> <li>Superficial punctate keratitis=45% (18/40)</li> <li>Subconjunctival haemorrhage=30% (12/40)</li> <li>Scleral thinning=25% (10/40)</li> <li>Loss of visual acuity (&gt;2 lines)=5% (2/40) (1 caused by cataract evolution and 1 caused by glaucoma disease progression)</li> <li>Corneal ulcer=5% (2/40) (treated with medication)</li> <li>Corneal oedema=0% (0/40)</li> </ul>
30 days	20.7	< 0.001	Choroidal detachment=0% (0/40)
60 days	20.9	< 0.001	• Phtisis=0% (0/40)
120 days	23.4	< 0.001	<ul> <li>Anterior chamber reaction=0% (0/40)</li> </ul>
Preoperative	(mmHg) 29.6	- Not significant	
1 day	25.1	Not significant	
4 days	20.1	< 0.01	
10 days 30 days	21.3	<0.01 <0.01	
60 days	21.3	<0.01	
120 days	23.6	<0.01	
at 12 months.	DP reduction of 33.1% at e third HIFU procedure Mean IOP (mmHg) 26.5		
1 month	19.7	<0.01	
4 months	17.5	<0.01	
12 months	16.8	<0.01	
	=67% (8/12) of patients t mplete success=85% (3 num of 3 procedures.		
eyes with a maxin	of hypotensive therapy o 2.4 at 12 month follow-up		

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

### Study 7 Denis P (2015)

#### Details

Study type	Case series (EyeMUST 1 study)				
Country	France				
Recruitment period	2011 to 2012				
Study population and	n=52				
number	Patients with refractory glaucoma				
Age and sex	Mean 63 years (range 37 to 89); 50% (26/52) male				
Patient selection criteria	Age 18 years or older, IOP >21 mmHg under maximum medical therapy, with at least 1 failed filtering surgery. Patients included in the study had not had surgical or laser treatment within the 3 months preceding the study treatment, and no previous ciliary body interventions or drainage implants were included.				
Technique	Device: EyeOP1 (Eye Tech Care, France). Two consecutive groups of patients were treated with 2 different exposure times. The patients in group 1 (n=24) were treated with a 4-second exposure time and those in group 2 (n=28) were treated with a 6-second exposure time. All procedures were done under peribulbar anaesthesia (n=38), general anaesthesia (n=7) or topical anaesthesia combined with short sedation (n=7), depending on patient and physician preference.				
	Glaucoma medication remained unchanged for the 2 months after the procedure. After the 2-month period, adjustment of medication was allowed. Also, after 2 months, retreatment was allowed for patients with either IOP remaining above 28 mmHg despite initial treatment efficacy, or for patients who did not have a 20% decrease versus preoperative values.				
	44 patients had 1 procedure and 8 patients had 2 procedures.				
Follow-up	12 months				
Conflict of interest/source of funding	6 authors have been consultants for Eye Tech Care.				

#### Analysis

**Follow-up issues**: Patients were followed up at 1 day, 1 week and then 1, 2, 3, 6 and 12 months. Of the 52 patients, 6 (12%) were lost to follow-up and 12 withdrew from the study. 65% (34/52) of patients completed the 1-year follow-up.

**Study design issues**: Prospective multicentre case series. The primary efficacy outcome was based on IOP reduction at 6 and 12 months. Surgical success was 'IOP reduction from baseline ≥20% or more and final IOP >5 mmHg without adding hypotensive medications and with possible HIFU retreatment'. If a patient needed additional treatment such as filtering surgery or cyclodestruction to lower ocular pressure, the HIFU procedure was considered to have failed.

**Study population issues**: 69% (36/52) of patients had primary open angle glaucoma, 6% (3/52) had uveitic, 6% (3/52) had exfoliative, 2% (1/52) had pigmentary, 2% (1/52) had traumatic, 4% (2/52) had aphakic and 12% (6/52) had other types of glaucoma. The mean number of previous glaucoma surgeries was 1.5. The mean IOP at baseline was 29.7 mmHg in group 1 and 29.0 mmHg in group 2.

Other issues: this study was also included in the meta-analysis by Denis P, 2016 (study 1).

#### Key efficacy and safety findings

Efficacy
----------

Number of patients analysed: 52

The procedure was incomplete in 2 patients; 1 patient had chemosis, impairing the ability to deliver the planned treatment and for another patient, only 4 of the 6 planned sectors were treated.

IOP	results	for all	patients -	aroup 1	(n=24)
101	results	ioi uii	putionto –	group i	(11-4-4)

Follow-	n	Mean	Relative	Number	Success
up		±SD IOP	IOP	of re-	rate, %
		(mmHg)	reduction (%)	treated patients	
Baseline	24	29.7±7.7	-	-	-
Day 1	24	23.5±9.5	20.7	-	54.2
Day 7	24	18.9±7.4	36.3	-	70.8
Month 1	24	21.8±7.7	26.4	-	66.7
Month 2	21	22.4±11.4	24.5	-	66.7
Month 3	21	22.4±8.3	24.7	1	54.5
Month 6	19	21.3±6.7	28.3	2	61.9
Month 12	17	20.1±6.7	32.2	5	57.1

#### IOP results for all patients - group 2 (n=28)

Follow-	n	Mean	Relative	Number	Success
up		±SD IOP	IOP	of re-	rate, %
		(mmHg)	reduction	treated	
			(%)	patients	
Baseline	28	29.0±7.4	-	-	-
Day 1	28	22.4±7.1	22.8	-	64.3
Day 7	28	17.6±9.2	39.4	-	85.7
Month 1	27	20.8±10.9	28.2	-	66.7
Month 2	25	21.5±7.0	25.8	1	61.5
Month 3	24	22.5±10.0	22.4	-	68.0
Month 6	22	20.2±7.4	30.2	2	65.4
Month	17	18.5±6.6	36.0	3	48.0
12					

#### Sub group analysis – primary open angle glaucoma (POAG)

#### IOP results for patients with POAG - group 1 (n=14)

Follow-	n	Mean	Relative	Number	Success
up		±SD	IOP	of re-	rate, %
		IOP	reduction	treated	
		(mmHg)	(%)	patients	
Baseline	14	28.0±5.0	-	-	-
Day 1	14	22.3±8.5	20.2	-	57.1
Day 7	14	17.1±5.6	38.8	-	78.6
Month 1	14	19.4±5.7	30.7	-	78.6
Month 2	13	20.7±6.2	25.9	-	69.2
Month 3	13	19.3±4.3	31.1	-	76.9
Month 6	13	20.3±5.7	27.5	1	78.6
Month	13	19.0±5.6	32.0	2	78.6
12					

Safety					
Ocular complications					
Complication	Group 1, n=24	Group 2, n=28			
Intraoperative	0.000 ., 2	0.000 2, 20			
Pain	1 (4.2%)	3 (10.7%)			
Corneal burn	0 (0%)	0 (0%)			
Subconjunctival	1 (4.2%)	1 (3.6%)			
haemorrhage					
Postoperative					
Hyperaemia	9 (37.5%)	16 (57.1%			
Superficial	6 (25.0%)	11 (39.3%)			
punctate keratitis					
Corneal oedema	2 (8.3%)	2 (7.1%)			
Ocular pain	1 (4.2%)	2 (7.1%)			
Anterior chamber	6 (25.0%)	7 (25.0%)			
inflammatory					
reaction					
Transient	0 (0%)	1 (3.6%)			
hypotony,					
choroidal					
detachment	0 (00()	4 (0,00())			
Transient macular oedema	0 (0%)	1 (3.6%)			
Phthisis	0 (0%)	0 (0%)			
Cataract	0 (0%)	0 (0%)			
Intravitreous	0 (0%) 0 (0%)	0 (0%) 0 (0%)			
haemorrhage	0 (0%)	0(0%)			
Loss of visual	3 (12.5%)	3 (10.7%)			
acuity (>2 lines)	3 (12.3%)	3(10.7%)			

The superficial punctate keratitis resolved spontaneously within a few days.

For the patient with transient hypotony, antiglaucoma drugs were tapered off, additional topical steroid treatment was given, and the event resolved within 30 days.

The transient macular oedema appeared 1 month after the procedure and resolved after 1 month with topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents. There was no impact on visual acuity.

The loss of visual acuity in 2 patients in group 1 was deemed unrelated to the study treatment (1 cataract worsened after vitrectomy for retinal detachment and 1 patient had central venous occlusion at 12 months). In group 2, 1 patient with a loss of visual acuity had corneal decompensation of his corneal graft and 1 patient presented reactivation of toxoplasmosis choroiditis 1 month after the procedure.

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

## Validity and generalisability of the studies

- There were no randomised controlled trials.
- The evidence includes studies from Europe, India and China. Results from 1 country may not be generalisable to another because the pattern of glaucoma types may differ. For example, primary angle closure glaucoma is more common in China than in Europe.
- The studies included patients with different types of glaucoma. Some studies only included patients with refractory glaucoma, some included only nonrefractory glaucoma and some included a combination of refractory and nonrefractory.
- The evidence included patients treated by the first and second generation of a device. Treatment parameters varied between studies.
- There was variation between the studies with regard to how antiglaucoma medications were continued or not after the procedure.
- The longest follow-up period was 1 year.

## Existing assessments of this procedure

There were no published assessments from other organisations identified at the time of the literature search.

## **Related NICE guidance**

Below is a list of NICE guidance related to this procedure.

### Interventional procedures

 Microinvasive subconjunctival insertion of a trans-scleral gelatin stent for primary open-angle glaucoma. NICE interventional procedures guidance 612 (2018). Available from <u>http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/IPG612</u>

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

- Ab externo canaloplasty for primary open-angle glaucoma. NICE interventional procedures guidance 591 (2017). Available from <u>http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/IPG591</u>
- Trabecular stent bypass microsurgery for open-angle glaucoma. NICE interventional procedures guidance 575 (2017). Available from <u>http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/IPG575</u>
- Trabeculotomy ab interno for open angle glaucoma. NICE interventional procedures guidance 397 (2011). Available from <u>http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/IPG397</u>

### NICE guidelines

 Glaucoma: diagnosis and management. NICE guideline 81 (2017). Available from <u>http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/NG81</u>

## Additional information considered by IPAC

## Specialist advisers' opinions

Specialist advice was sought from consultants who have been nominated or ratified by their Specialist Society or Royal College. The advice received is their individual opinion and is not intended to represent the view of the society. The advice provided by Specialist Advisers, in the form of the completed questionnaires, is normally published in full on the NICE website during public consultation, except in circumstances but not limited to, where comments are considered voluminous, or publication would be unlawful or inappropriate. Two Specialist Advisor Questionnaires for high-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma were submitted and can be found on the <u>NICE website</u>.

## Patient commentators' opinions

NICE's Public Involvement Programme will send questionnaires to NHS trusts for distribution to patients who had the procedure (or their carers). When NICE has received the completed questionnaires, these will be discussed by the committee.

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

## Company engagement

A structured information request was sent to 1 company who manufactures a potentially relevant device for use in this procedure. NICE did not receive any completed submissions.

### Issues for consideration by IPAC

 Ultrasound has been used for cyclodestruction since the 1980s but it was not widely adopted. Interest was recently revived with the development of a circular device with miniaturised transducers to produce high-intensity focused ultrasound. This uses a higher operating frequency than the earlier device. Older papers (pre 2000) and papers reporting outcomes with the older device that is no longer available were not included.

## References

- 1. Denis P (2016) Clinical research of ultrasound ciliary plasty and implications for clinical practice. European Ophthalmic Review 10: 108–12
- Deb-Joardar N, Reddy KP (2018) Application of high intensity focused ultrasound for treatment of open-angle glaucoma in Indian patients. Indian Journal of Ophthalmology 66: 517–23
- Hu D, Tu S, Zuo C et al. (2018) Short-Term Observation of Ultrasonic Cyclocoagulation in Chinese Patients with End-Stage Refractory Glaucoma: A Retrospective Study. Journal of Ophthalmology 4950318
- 4. Giannaccare G, Vagge A, Gizzi C et al. (2017) High-intensity focused ultrasound treatment in patients with refractory glaucoma. Graefe's archive for clinical and experimental ophthalmology 255: 599–605
- 5. Giannaccare G, Vagge A, Sebastiani S et al. (2018) Ultrasound Cyclo-Plasty in Patients with Glaucoma: 1-Year Results from a Multicentre Prospective Study. Ophthalmic Research 1–6
- De Gregorio A, Pedrotti E, Stevan G et al. (2017) Safety and efficacy of multiple cyclocoagulation of ciliary bodies by high-intensity focused ultrasound in patients with glaucoma. Graefes Archive for Clinical & Experimental Ophthalmology 255: 2429–35
- 7. Denis P, Aptel F, Rouland JF et al. (2015) Cyclocoagulation of the ciliary bodies by high-intensity focused ultrasound: a 12-month multicenter study. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science 56: 1089–96

## Literature search strategy

Databases	Date searched	Version/files
Cochrane Database of Systematic	09/01/2019	Issue 1 of 12, January 2019
Reviews – CDSR (Cochrane		
Library)		
Cochrane Central Database of	09/01/2019	Issue 1 of 12, January 2019
Controlled Trials – CENTRAL		
(Cochrane Library)		
HTA database (CRD website)	09/01/2019	n/a
MEDLINE (Ovid)	09/01/2019	1946 to January 08, 2019
MEDLINE In-Process (Ovid) &	09/01/2019	January 07, 2019
MEDLINE ePubs ahead of print		
(Ovid)		
EMBASE (Ovid)	09/01/2019	1974 to 2019 January 08
BLIC	09/01/2019	n/a

Trial sources searched

- Clinicaltrials.gov
- ISRCTN
- WHO International Clinical Trials Registry

Websites searched

- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)
- NHS England
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA) MAUDE database
- Australian Safety and Efficacy Register of New Interventional Procedures Surgical (ASERNIP – S)
- Australia and New Zealand Horizon Scanning Network (ANZHSN)
- EuroScan
- General internet search

The following search strategy was used to identify papers in MEDLINE. A similar strategy was used to identify papers in other databases.

1	Glaucoma/ (34410)
2	Glaucoma, Open-Angle/ (12586)
3	glaucom*.tw. (48776)
4	Ciliary Body/ (7986)
5	(ciliar* adj4 (body or bodies)).tw. (5391)
6	POAG.tw. (2862)
7	COAG.tw. (191)
8	OAG.tw. (1377)

9	PXF.tw. (92)
10	Ocular Hypertension/ (6124)
11	Intraocular Pressure/ (35123)
12	((ocular* or intraocul* or eye*) adj4 (hypertens* or tension or pressur*)).tw. (32413)
13	IOP.tw. (14815)
14	or/1-13 (87052)
15	High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound Ablation/ (1321)
16	(High* adj4 intens* adj4 focus* adj4 ultrasound*).tw. (2166)
17	HIFU.tw. (1657)
18	(Cyclocoagulat* or Cyclo-coagulat* or "Cyclo coagulat*").tw. (41)
19	exp Ultrasonic Therapy/ (11047)
20	Ultrasonography, intervention/ (20473)
21	Ultrasonic waves/ (1278)
22	Ultrasonic surgical procedures/ (360)
23	(Ultrasound* adj4 (Cyclo-Plast* or Cycloplast* or "Cyclo Plast*")).tw. (2)
24	(Ultrasound* adj4 (Ciliary-Plast* or Ciliaryplast* or "Ciliary Plast*")).tw. (0)
25	UCP.tw. (1798)
26	(ultrasound* adj4 coagulation*).tw. (82)
27	(Cycloablat* or Cyclo-ablat* or "Cyclo ablat*").tw. (43)
28	(Focus* adj4 ultrasound* adj4 (treatment* or therap* or surger*)).tw. (1185)
29	or/15-28 (35363)
30	14 and 29 (181)
31	EyeOP*.tw. (3)
32	"Eye Tech Care".tw. (2)
33	30 or 31 or 32 (181)
34	animals/ not humans/ (4501022)
35	33 not 34 (159)
36	limit 35 to english language (118)

## Appendix

The following table outlines the studies that are considered potentially relevant to the IP overview but were not included in the main data extraction table (table 2). It is by no means an exhaustive list of potentially relevant studies.

Article	Number of patients/ follow-up	Direction of conclusions	Reasons for non- inclusion in table 2
Aptel F, Charrel T, Lafon C et al. (2011). Miniaturized high- intensity focused ultrasound device in patients with glaucoma: a clinical pilot study. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 52: 8747–53	Case series n=12 FU=12 months	Ultrasonic circular cyclocoagulation using high- intensity focused ultrasound delivered by a circular miniaturised device containing six piezoceramic transducers seems to be an effective and well-tolerated method to reduce intraocular pressure in patients with refractory glaucoma.	Larger or more recent studies are included.
Aptel F, Lafon C (2012) Therapeutic applications of ultrasound in ophthalmology. International Journal of Hyperthermia 28: 405–18	Review	For the treatment of glaucoma, the specific advantage of HIFU, particularly when compared to the laser, is that the energy can be focused through optically opaque media, especially through the sclera which is a strongly light-scattering medium. HIFU is therefore a possible method for partial coagulation of the ciliary body (an anatomical structure responsible for the production of the liquid filling the eye) and, hence, reducing intraocular pressure and the risk of glaucoma.	More recent studies are included.
Aptel F, Dupuy C, Rouland JF (2014) Treatment of refractory open-angle glaucoma using ultrasonic circular cyclocoagulation: a prospective case series. Current Medical Research & Opinion 30: 1599– 605	Case series n=28 FU=12 months	The procedure seems to be an effective and well tolerated method to reduce intraocular pressure in patients with primary open- angle glaucoma. Studies directly comparing the efficacy and safety of the procedure with that of trabeculectomy or diode laser are needed.	Larger or more recent studies are included. Study is included in meta-analysis by Denis P, 2016 (study 1).
Aptel F, Lafon C (2015) Treatment of glaucoma with high intensity focused ultrasound. International Journal of Hyperthermia 31: 292–301	Review	A commercially available device was marketed in the 1980s, but later abandoned, essentially for technical reasons. A smaller circular	More recent studies are included.

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

		device using miniaturised transducers was recently developed and proposed for	
		clinical practice. Experimental studies have shown selective coagulation necrosis of the treated ciliary body. The first 3 clinical trials in humans have shown that this device was well tolerated and allowed a significant, predictable and sustained reduction of IOP.	
Aptel F, Denis P, Rouland J et al. (2016) Multicenter clinical trial of high-intensity focused ultrasound treatment in glaucoma patients without previous filtering surgery. Acta ophthalmologica 94: e268–77	Case series n=30 FU=12 months	Intra-ocular pressure was significantly reduced (p<0.05) from a mean pre- operative value of $28.2 \pm 7.2$ mmHg (n=3.6 hypotensive medications) to $19.6 \pm 7.9$ mmHg at 12 months (n=3.1 hypotensive medications and n=1.1 procedures) (mean IOP reduction of 30%). Qualified success was achieved in 63% of eyes (19/30) (mean IOP reduction of 37% in these eyes) and complete success in 47% of eyes (14/30) (mean IOP reduction of 37% in these eyes) at the last follow-up. No major intra- or post- operative complications occurred.	Larger or more recent studies are included. Study is included in meta-analysis by Denis P, 2016 (study 1).
Dastiridou AI, Katsanos A, Denis P et al. (2018) Cyclodestructive Procedures in Glaucoma: A Review of Current and Emerging Options. Advances in Therapy 35: 2103–27	Review	Confirmation of the first promising data with larger studies with adequate follow-up is needed before these modalities are more widely adopted in clinical practice.	No meta-analysis – all the studies have been included in table 2 or the appendix.
Fernandez A, Raez-Balbastre J, Elipe V et al. (2017) Canthotomy and cantholysis prior to ultrasound circular cyclocoagulation (UC3® Eye Tech Care) in refractory glaucoma. Archivos de la Sociedad Espanola de Oftalmologia 92: 382–85	Case report n=1	In complex cases of refractory glaucoma in which cyclo-destructive surgery is limited for anatomical reasons, a complementary oculoplasty technique may be a good option.	Case report of patient who needed a canthotomy and cantholysis to correctly position the ultrasound probe.
Graber M, Khoueir Z, Beauchet A et al. (2017) High intensity focused ultrasound as first line treatment in patients with chronic angle closure glaucoma at risk for malignant glaucoma. Journal Francais d Opthalmologie 40: 264–69	Case series n=7 FU=mean 6 months	The mean IOP was reduced from 18.4+/-3.5mmHg preoperatively to 14.8+/- 4.1mmHg 6 months postoperatively. The average number of glaucoma medications decreased from 3.4+/-1.1 at baseline to 3.3+/-0.7. Visual	Studies with more patients or longer follow-up are included.

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma

Mastropasqua R, Agnifili L,	Case series	acuity remained stable (median 0.17 log MAR preoperatively and 0.19 log MAR at last follow-up visit). No significant side effects occurred during the follow- up period. Ultrasonic cyclocoagulation	Study focuses on
Fasanella V et al. (2016) Uveo- scleral outflow pathways after ultrasonic cyclocoagulation in refractory glaucoma: an anterior segment optical coherence tomography and in vivo confocal study. British Journal of Ophthalmology 100: 1668–75	n=44 FU=1 month	induced anatomical modifications of sclera and conjunctiva, which suggested that the trans- scleral aqueous humor outflow enhancement is one of the possible mechanisms exploited by ultrasound to reduce IOP.	imaging to investigate the modifications of the uveo-scleral outflow pathway after the procedure.
Mastropasqua R, Fasanella V, Mastropasqua A et al. (2017) High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound Circular Cyclocoagulation in Glaucoma: A Step Forward for Cyclodestruction? Journal of ophthalmology 7136275	review	To date, no comparative study between ultrasound circular cyclocoagulation and other cyclodestructive procedures has been published. Therefore, whether it represents a better solution for refractory glaucoma with respect to standardised cycloablative techniques needs to be addressed.	No meta-analysis – all the studies have been included in table 2 or the appendix.
Melamed S, Goldenfeld M, Cotlear D et al. (2015) High- intensity focused ultrasound treatment in refractory glaucoma patients: results at 1 year of prospective clinical study. European Journal of Ophthalmology 25: 483–9	Case series n=20 FU=12 months	Intraocular pressure was significantly reduced (p<0.01) from a mean preoperative value of 36.4 +/- 5.7 mmHg to a mean postoperative value of 22.5 +/- 10.3 mm Hg at 12 months. Four patients needed to be retreated. The mean IOP reduction achieved was 38%. Surgical success was achieved in 13 of 20 eyes (65%). No major intraoperative or postoperative complications occurred.	Larger or more recent studies are included. Study is included in meta-analysis by Denis P, 2016 (study 1).

IP overview: High-intensity focused ultrasound for glaucoma