# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

# Interventional procedure overview of open prenatal repair for open neural tube defects in the fetus

An open neural tube defect (open spina bifida) happens while the baby (fetus) is developing in the womb. Part of the spinal column does not form properly, leaving a gap that allows the spinal cord and nerves to develop outside the body. This may result in the baby being born with spina bifida and can cause lifelong disability. Up to 26 weeks of pregnancy open prenatal surgery can be done, through the mother's abdomen, an incision in the womb to close the gap in the baby's spine. The baby continues to grow and develop until birth. The aim is to prevent further damage to the brain, spinal cord and nerves.

# Contents

Introduction

Description of the procedure

Efficacy summary

Safety summary

The evidence assessed

Validity and generalisability of the studies

Existing assessments of this procedure

**Related NICE guidance** 

Additional information considered by IPAC

References

Additional relevant papers

Literature search strategy

# Introduction

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) prepared this interventional procedure overview to help members of the interventional procedures advisory committee (IPAC) make recommendations about the safety and efficacy of an interventional procedure. It is based on a rapid review of the

medical literature and specialist opinion. It should not be regarded as a definitive assessment of the procedure.

## Date prepared

This overview was prepared in June 2019.

## Procedure name

• Open prenatal repair for open neural tube defects in the fetus

## **Specialist societies**

- Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health (RCPCH)
- Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG)
- Society of British Neurological Surgeons (SBNS)- paediatric neurosurgery
- British Maternal and Fetal medicine Society (BMFMS).

# **Description of the procedure**

## Indications and current treatment

Neural tube defects happen because the neural tube doesn't fuse during early embryonic development. Open neural tube defects are those in which the affected region of the neural tube is exposed on the body's surface. The most common neural tube defect is spina bifida where the defect is in the spine. Myelomeningocele (open spina bifida) is the most severe type of spina bifida, in which the baby's spinal canal remains open along several vertebrae in the back. The spinal cord and protective membranes around it push out and form a sac which is exposed on the baby's back. Children born with myelomeningocele may experience motor neurological deficits including muscle weakness and paralysis of the lower limbs, sensory deficit, bowel, bladder and sexual dysfunctions and learning difficulties. The condition can be associated with Chiari II malformation (hindbrain herniation) and hydrocephalus.

Conventional treatment for myelomeningocele (open spina bifida) is immediate surgical repair of the defect within days of birth to prevent further damage to nervous tissue and reduce the risk of central nervous system infection. The immediate management may also include ventricular-peritoneal shunt placement to relieve hydrocephalus. The condition can also be treated prenatally with the aim of decreasing morbidity in the child.

## What the procedure involves

Open prenatal repair for open neural tube defects is done before 26 weeks of pregnancy. Using general anaesthesia, a low transverse laparotomy incision is done and the gravid uterus is exposed and exteriorised. The fetus and placenta are visualised by ultrasound and the fetus is manually positioned to allow a uterine incision (hysterotomy) over the centre of the myelomeningocele sac. The hysterotomy location is either anterior, fundal or posterior depending on the location of the placenta. The hysterotomy is made large enough to allow the neural tissue in the meningomyelocele to be dissected from surrounding tissue so that it can drop into the spinal canal. The defect is then closed. If there is insufficient dura or skin for closure, a dermal regeneration patch substitute can be used for repair. The uterine incision is closed and a sodium lactate solution with antibiotics is added to the uterus until the amniotic fluid index is normal. The maternal abdominal wound is then closed.

A number of variations to the procedure have been described.

# **Efficacy summary**

## Improvement in motor function of lower body

## Prenatal repair compared with postnatal repair

A systematic review and meta-analysis of 2 studies (1 randomised controlled trial [RCT] and 1 prospective controlled study) compared infants with spina bifida aperta treated prenatally to the condition treated postnatally. It reported that independent ambulation at 30 months was seen in 38% (41/109) of children who had prenatal repair compared with 19% (21/111) of those who had postnatal repair (odds ratio [OR] 2.59, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.39 to 4.86, p=0.003).<sup>2</sup>

#### Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair

A systematic review and meta-analysis of 11 observational studies compared fetoscopic surgery with open surgery for fetal repair of myelomeningocele. It reported that both these surgical approaches were associated with comparable rates of motor response relative to myelomeningocele anatomical level. The mean effect size (ES) was 70% in fetoscopic repair (95% CI 49% to 89%) compared with 56% in open repair (95% CI 46T to 67%); p=0.24. The outcomes were similar when fetoscopic data were combined with those of fetoscopic surgery through a maternal laparotomy. The mean ES was 72% in modified fetoscopic repair (95% CI 57% to 84%) compared with 56% in open repair (95% CI 46% to 67%); p=0.09.<sup>3</sup>

A systematic review and meta-analysis of 19 studies (n=908) compared fetal open and endoscopic surgery for myelomeningocele. It reported that the pooled rate of improvement of lower extremity function (walking independently without

assistive appliances) assessed in 7 studies was 47% (161/315), (95% CI 30% to 64%) after open surgery. The pooled rate in the endoscopic surgery group (assessed in 1 small study) was 86% (6/7), (95% CI 49% to 97%). The authors noted that the estimate in this group is very imprecise because there were only 7 procedures in the study.<sup>4</sup>

## Improvement in hindbrain herniation

## Prenatal repair compared with postnatal repair

A randomised controlled trial of 158 patients compared prenatal surgery (n=78) with postnatal surgery (n=80). It reported that prenatal surgery was associated with a significant decrease in the risk of moderate to severe hindbrain herniation at 12 months compared with postnatal surgery (25% compared with 67%, relative risk [RR] 0.56, 95% CI 0.38 to 0.81, p=0.0002). The proportion of infants who had no evidence of hindbrain herniation was higher in the prenatal surgery group (36%) than in the postnatal surgery group (4%). There were also lower rates of brain-stem kinking (20% compared with 48%, p<0.001), abnormal fourth-ventricle location (46% compared with 72%, p=0.002), and syringomyelia (39% compared with 58%, p=0.03) in the prenatal group compared to the postnatal group.<sup>1</sup>

The systematic review and meta-analysis of 2 studies (1 RCT and 1 prospective controlled study) compared infants with spina bifida aperta treated prenatally with the condition treated postnatally. It reported that no signs of hindbrain herniation were detected at first postnatal MRI in 32% (28/88) children who had prenatal repair compared with 4.5% (4/89) of those who had postnatal repair (OR 9.45, 95% CI 3.12 to 28.64, p<0.0001).<sup>2</sup>

## Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair

The systematic review and meta-analysis of 11 observational studies compared fetoscopic surgery with open surgery for fetal repair of myelomeningocele. This reported that both these surgical approaches were associated with comparable rates of reversal of hindbrain herniation. The mean ES was 86% (95% CI 53% to 100%) in fetoscopic repair compared with 54% (95% CI 21% to 86%) in the open repair; p=0.18. The outcomes were similar when the fetoscopic data were combined with those of fetoscopic surgery through a maternal laparotomy (mean ES 69% [95% CI 39% to 93%] in modified fetoscopic repair compared with 54% [95% CI 21% to 86%] in open repair; p=0.52).<sup>3</sup>

The systematic review and meta-analysis of 19 studies (n=908) compared fetal open and endoscopic surgery for myelomeningocele. It reported that the pooled rate of hindbrain herniation reversal (assessed in 3 studies) was 34% (48/134), (95% CI 23% to 46\%, p=0.163) in the open surgery group. The pooled rate in the endoscopic surgery group (assessed in 1 small study of 7 procedures) was 86% (6/7), (95% CI 49\% to 97%). The authors noted that the estimate in this group is very imprecise because there were only 7 procedures in the study.<sup>4</sup>

# Ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunt placement or ventriculostomy within 12 months of birth

## Prenatal repair compared with postnatal repair

A randomised controlled trial of 158 patients compared prenatal surgery (n=78) with postnatal surgery (n=80). It reported that prenatal surgery was associated with a reduction in VP shunt placement compared with postnatal surgery (40% [31/78] compared with 82% [66/80], RR 0.48, 95% CI 0.36 to 0.64; p<0.001).<sup>1</sup>

The systematic review and meta-analysis of 2 studies (1 RCT and 1 prospective controlled study) compared infants with spina bifida aperta treated prenatally to the condition treated postnatally. This reported that the need for VP shunt placement at 1 year was lower in the prenatal group (41% [45/109]) than in the postnatal repair group (83% [93/112]); OR 0.14 (95% CI 0.08 to 0.26, p<0.0001).<sup>2</sup>

#### Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair

The systematic review and meta-analysis of 11 observational studies compared fetoscopic approach with open surgical approach for fetal repair of myelomeningocele. It reported that there was no significant difference in the rate of VP shunt placement or ventriculostomy within 12 months of birth between fetoscopic and open repair approaches. The mean ES was 43% (95% CI 33% to 53%) in fetoscopic repair compared with 40% (95%CI 32% to 49%) in open repair, p=0.71. The outcomes were similar when fetoscopic data were combined with those of fetoscopic surgery through a maternal laparotomy (mean ES 42% [95% CI 33% to 52%] in modified fetoscopic repair compared with 40% [95%CI 32% to 49%] in open repair, p=0.73).<sup>3</sup>

The systematic review and meta-analysis of 19 studies (n=908) compared fetal open and endoscopic surgery for myelomeningocele. This reported that the pooled rate of VP shunt placement (assessed in 12 studies, 10 open surgery and 2 endoscopic surgery) was similar in infants followed for at least 12 months for both groups (40% [229/529], 95% CI 29% to 51%, p<0.0001, compared with 45% [35/78], 95% CI 34% to 56%, p=0.93).<sup>4</sup>

## Neurodevelopment impairment

#### Prenatal repair compared with postnatal repair

A randomised controlled trial of 158 patients compared prenatal surgery (n=64) with postnatal surgery (n=70). It reported that prenatal surgery resulted in improvement in the child composite score for mental development and motor function at 30 months of age compared with postnatal surgery (148±57.5 compared with 122.6±57.2; p=0.007).<sup>1</sup> This was derived from the Bayley Mental Development Index (BMDI) (89.7±14.0 compared with 87.3±18.4, p=0.53) and the difference between motor function and anatomical levels (0.58±1.94

compared with 0.69 $\pm$ 1.99, p=0.001). The mean Bayley Psychomotor Development Index was 64.0 $\pm$ 17.4 compared with 58.3 $\pm$ 14.8, p=0.03. Higher scores on BMDI indicate better performance, and positive values on the motor function indicate function that is better than expected.

Infants in the prenatal surgery group were more likely to have a level of function that was 2 or more levels better than expected according to the anatomical level (32% [20/62] compared with 12% [8/67], p=0.005). They were less likely to have a level of function that was 2 or more levels worse than the expected level (13% [8/62] compared with 28% [19/67], p=0.03) compared with those in the postnatal surgery group. Children in the prenatal surgery group were more likely than those in the postnatal surgery group to be able to walk without orthotics or devices (42% [26/62] compared with 21% [14/67], RR 2.01, 95% CI 1.16 to 3.48; p=0.01).

On the Peabody motor scales, the prenatal surgery group had better motor function than the postnatal surgery group. Parent-reported self-care and mobility, as measured by the Wee Functional Independence Measure for Children (WeeFIM) instrument, were significantly better in the prenatal surgery group (p=0.02, p=0.003). There were no significant between-group differences in cognitive scores (p=0.67).<sup>1</sup>

The systematic review and meta-analysis of 2 studies (1 RCT and 1 prospective controlled study) compared the neurological outcome of infants with spina bifida aperta. It reported that neurodevelopment impairment at 1 year was similar between children who had prenatal (23.8% [25/105]) and those who had postnatal (27.8% [30/108]) repair (OR, 0.82 [95% CI 0.43 to 1.56], p=0.54). This was assessed between 14 and 53 months of age by the Bayley Scales of Infant Development II (BSID-II) mental development index (MDI) for chronological age, with a cut-off of 70 or more (representing no more than 2 standard deviations below the mean). The RCT in this review also reported that the likelihood for impairment was similar between children in the prenatal surgery group who had shunt placement (18% [7/39]) and those who did not (8.3% [4/48], p=0.21).<sup>2</sup>

## Bladder and bowel function

## Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair

The systematic review and meta-analysis of 19 studies (n=908) compared fetal open and endoscopic surgery for myelomeningocele. It reported that the pooled rate of bladder dysfunction (assessed in 8 studies) was 72% (188/274), (95% CI 53% to 88%, p<0.0001) in the open surgery group. The pooled rate in the endoscopic surgery group (assessed in 1 small study) was 29% (2/7), (95% CI 8% to 64%). The authors noted that the estimate in this group is very imprecise because there were only 7 procedures in the study.<sup>4</sup>

## Completion of surgery through the intended access

Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair IP overview: Open prenatal repair for open neural tube defects in the fetus © NICE 2019. All rights reserved. Subject to <u>Notice of rights</u> Page 6 of 43 The systematic review and meta-analysis of 11 observational studies compared fetoscopic approach with open surgical approach for fetal repair of myelomeningocele. This reported that there was no significant difference in the rate of cases completed through the originally intended access between the fetoscopic and open approaches. The mean ES was 92% (95% CI 74% to 100%) in fetoscopic repair compared with 99.8% (95% CI 99% to 100%) in open repair, p=0.08. However, when fetoscopic data were combined with those of fetoscopic surgery through a maternal laparotomy the difference between the groups was statistically significant. The mean ES was 90% (95% CI 72% to 99%) in modified fetoscopic repair compared with 99.8% (95% CI 99% to 100%) in open repair, p=0.02.<sup>3</sup>

# Safety summary

## Combined fetal and postnatal mortality

## Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair

A systematic review and meta-analysis of 11 observational studies compared fetoscopic approach with open surgical approach for fetal repair of myelomeningocele. It reported that there was no statistically significant difference in combined fetal and postnatal mortality between percutaneous fetoscopic and open repair approaches. The mean effect size (ES) was 9% (95% confidence interval [CI] 5% to 14%] in fetoscopic repair compared with 6% (95% CI 3% to 9%) in open repair, p=0.20. The outcomes were similar when fetoscopic data were combined with those of fetoscopic surgery through a maternal laparotomy. The mean ES was 7% (95% CI 2% to 15%) in modified fetoscopic repair compared with 6% (95% CI 3% to 9%) in open repair, p=0.65.<sup>3</sup>

## Perinatal or neonatal death

## Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair

A meta-analysis of procedure-related complications of open fetal surgery (in 4 studies) compared with endoscopic fetal surgery (in 3 studies) for intrauterine myelomeningocele repair reported the rate of perinatal death. This was lower in the open surgery group compared with the endoscopic group (5%, 95% CI 3% to 8%, p=0.76 compared with 14%, 95% CI 1% to 38%, p=0.008).<sup>5</sup>

## Prenatal surgery compared with postnatal surgery

A randomised controlled trial of 158 patients compared prenatal surgery (n=78) compared with postnatal surgery (n=80). It reported no difference in perinatal or neonatal death in the prenatal surgery group compared with the postnatal surgery group. Perinatal death was 2% (2/78) compared with 2% (2/80), relative risk (RR) 1.03, 95% CI 0.14 to 7.10, p=1.00. Neonatal death was 1% (1/78) compared with 2% (2/80), RR 0.51, 95% CI, 0.05 to 5.54, p=0.58.<sup>1</sup>

## Premature birth/preterm delivery

#### Prenatal repair compared with postnatal repair

A systematic review and meta-analysis of 2 studies (1 RCT and 1 prospective controlled study) compared infants with spina bifida aperta treated prenatally to the condition treated postnatally. This reported that the risk of prematurity (birth before 34 weeks) was higher in the prenatal repair group (52.6% [71/135]) than in the postnatal repair group (5.7% [7/122]); OR 17.62 [95% CI 7.60 to 40.87], p<0.0001).<sup>2</sup>

## Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair

The systematic review and meta-analysis of 11 observational studies compared fetoscopic approach with open surgical approach for fetal repair of myelomeningocele. This reported that the rate of preterm birth (less than 37 weeks gestational age) was significantly higher in the percutaneous fetoscopic approach compared with the open repair approach. The mean ES was 96% (95% CI 88% to 100%) in fetoscopic repair compared with 81% (95% CI 66% to 92%) in open repair, p=0.04. When fetoscopic data were combined with those of fetoscopic surgery through a maternal laparotomy, the difference in the rate of preterm birth was not statistically significant between the 2 approaches. The mean ES was 90% (95% CI 69% to 100%) in modified fetoscopic repair compared with 81% (95% CI 66% to 92%) in open repair, p=0.43.<sup>3</sup>

The systematic review also reported that the difference between fetoscopic and open approaches were not statistically significant for premature delivery at less than 30 weeks gestational age. The mean ES was 22% (95% CI 8% to 39%) in fetoscopic repair compared with 13% (95% CI 3% to 28%) in open repair, p=0.39. When fetoscopic data were combined with those of fetoscopic surgery through a maternal laparotomy, the difference in the rate of delivery at less than 30 weeks was also not statistically significant between the 2 approaches. The mean ES was 17% (95% CI 7% to 32%) in modified fetoscopic repair compared with 13% (95% CI 3% to 28%) in open repair, between the 13% (95% CI 3% to 28%) in open repair, p=0.61.<sup>3</sup>

The meta-analysis of procedure-related complications of open fetal surgery (in 4 studies) compared with endoscopic fetal surgery (in 3 studies) for intrauterine myelomeningocele repair reported the rate of preterm delivery (at less than 34 weeks). This was lower in the open surgery group compared with the endoscopic group (45%, 95% CI 38% to 53%, p=0.21, compared with 80%, 95% CI 41% to 100%, p<0.0001).<sup>5</sup>

#### **Uterine dehiscence**

#### Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair

The systematic review and meta-analysis of 11 observational studies compared fetoscopic approach with open surgical approach for fetal repair of

myelomeningocele. This reported that the rate of uterine dehiscence was significantly higher in the open repair approach compared with the percutaneous fetoscopic approach. The mean ES was 11% (95% CI 5% to 20%) in open repair compared with 0% (95% CI 0% to 2%) in fetoscopic repair, p<0.01. When fetoscopic data were combined with those of fetoscopic surgery through a maternal laparotomy, the difference in the rates was also statistically significant between the 2 approaches. The mean ES was 11% (95% CI 5% to 20%) in modified fetoscopic repair compared with 0% (95% CI 0% to 1%) in open repair, p<0.01.<sup>3</sup>

The meta-analysis of procedure-related complications of open fetal surgery (in 4 studies) compared with endoscopic fetal surgery (in 3 studies) for intrauterine myelomeningocele repair reported the rate of complete dehiscence, focal dehiscence and/or markedly thin hysterectomy scar. This was higher in the open surgery group compared with the endoscopic group (26%, 95% CI 12% to 42%, p<0.0001 compared with 1%, 95% CI 0% to 4%, p=0.84).<sup>5</sup>

## Premature rupture of membranes (PROM)

## Prenatal repair compared with postnatal repair

A randomised controlled trial of 158 patients compared prenatal surgery (n=78) with postnatal surgery (n=80). This reported that prenatal repair was associated with an increased risk of the women experiencing preterm ruptured membranes (46% [36/78] compared with 8% [6/80], RR, 6.15 [95% CI 2.75 to 13.78], p<0.001) and subsequent preterm birth (both before 34 and 37 weeks).<sup>1</sup>

#### Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair

The systematic review and meta-analysis of 11 observational studies compared fetoscopic approach with open surgical approach for fetal repair of myelomeningocele. It reported that the rate of premature rupture of membranes was significantly higher in the percutaneous fetoscopic approach compared with open repair approach. The mean ES was 91% (95% CI 74 to 99) in fetoscopic repair compared with 36% (95% CI 24 to 49) in open repair, p<0.01. When fetoscopic data were combined with those of fetoscopic surgery through a maternal laparotomy, the difference in the rates were also statistically significant between the 2 approaches. The mean ES was 79% (95% CI 40 to 99) in modified fetoscopic repair compared with 36% (95% CI 24 to 49) in open repair, p=0.04.<sup>3</sup>

The meta-analysis of procedure-related complications of open fetal surgery (in 4 studies) compared with endoscopic fetal surgery (in 3 studies) for intrauterine myelomeningocele repair reported the rate of premature rupture of membranes. This was lower in the open surgery group compared with endoscopic group (38%, 95% CI 26% to 50%, p=0.005 compared with 67%, 95% CI 12 to 100, p<0.0001).<sup>5</sup>

## Oligohydramnios

## Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair

The meta-analysis of procedure-related complications of open fetal surgery (in 4 studies) compared with endoscopic fetal surgery (in 3 studies) for intrauterine myelomeningocele repair reported the rate of oligohydramnios. This was lower in the open surgery group compared with the endoscopic group (14%, 95% CI 7 to 24, p=0.004 compared with 39%, 95% CI 9 to 75, p=0.0001).<sup>5</sup>

#### Chorioamniotic membrane separation

#### Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair

The systematic review and meta-analysis of 11 observational studies compared fetoscopic approach with open surgical approach for fetal repair of myelomeningocele. It reported that there was no significant difference in the rate of chorioamniotic membrane separation between percutaneous fetoscopic and open repair approaches. The mean ES was 17% (95% CI 0 to 61) compared with 9% (95% CI 0 to 32), p=0.70. The outcomes were similar when fetoscopic data were combined with those of fetoscopic surgery through a maternal laparotomy (mean ES 21% [95% CI 2 to 52] compared with 9% [95% CI 0 to 32], p=0.46).<sup>3</sup>

## Placental abruption

#### Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair

The systematic review and meta-analysis of 11 observational studies compared fetoscopic approach with open surgical approach for fetal repair of myelomeningocele. It reported that there was no significant difference in the rate of placental abruption between percutaneous fetoscopic and open repair approaches. The mean ES was 2% (95% CI 0 to 18) compared with 3% (95% CI 1 to 5), p=0.83. The outcomes were similar when fetoscopic data were combined with those of fetoscopic surgery through a maternal laparotomy (mean ES 3% [95% CI 0 to 17] compared with 3% [95% CI 1 to 5], p=0.85).<sup>3</sup>

The meta-analysis of procedure-related complications of open fetal surgery (in 4 studies) compared with endoscopic fetal surgery (in 3 studies) for intrauterine myelomeningocele repair reported the rate of placental abruption. There was no difference in the rate in the open surgery group compared with the endoscopic group (3%, 95% CI 0 to 8, p=0.02 compared with 2%, 95% CI 0 to 9, p=0.18).<sup>5</sup>

#### Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leakage needing postnatal treatment of repair site

#### Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair

The systematic review and meta-analysis of 11 observational studies compared fetoscopic approach with open surgical approach for fetal repair of myelomeningocele. It reported that the rate of CSF leakage or dehiscence needing postnatal operative revision or nonoperative treatment at the repair site

was significantly higher in the percutaneous fetoscopic approach compared with the open repair approach. The mean ES was 28% (95% CI 19% to 38%) compared with 7% (95% CI 2% to 13%), p<0.01. When fetoscopic data were combined with those of fetoscopic surgery through a maternal laparotomy, the difference in the rates were also statistically significant between the 2 approaches (mean ES 30% [95% CI 21% to 39%] compared with 7% [95% CI 2% to 13], p<0.01).<sup>3</sup>

## Chorioamnionitis

## Open fetal repair compared with fetoscopic repair

The meta-analysis of procedure-related complications of open fetal surgery (in 4 studies) compared with endoscopic fetal surgery (in 3 studies) for intrauterine myelomeningocele repair reported the rate of chorioamnionitis. There was no difference in the rate in the open surgery group compared with the endoscopic group (3%, 95% CI 2 to 6, p=0.44 compared with 6%, 95% CI 2 to 13, p=0.37).<sup>5</sup>

## Lethal pulmonary hypoplasia

Lethal pulmonary hypoplasia after open fetal repair of myelomeningocele through a fundal hysterotomy at 24 weeks gestation was reported in a case report of 1 infant. The postoperative course for the mother was complicated by pulmonary oedema, abdominal pain, chronic oligohydramnios and preterm labour at 33 weeks gestation. The infant died from severe respiratory distress and cardiopulmonary arrest 9 hours after birth.<sup>6</sup>

## Anecdotal and theoretical adverse events

In addition to safety outcomes reported in the literature, specialist advisers are asked about anecdotal adverse events (events which they have heard about) and about theoretical adverse events (events which they think might possibly occur, even if they have never happened). For this procedure, specialist advisers listed the following anecdotal adverse events: bleeding, wound infection, and amniotic fluid leakage through the port insertion into the maternal abdomen. They considered that the following were theoretical adverse events: hysterectomy, haemorrhage, complications related to general anaesthesia and maternal death.

# The evidence assessed

## Rapid review of literature

The medical literature was searched to identify studies and reviews relevant to fetal surgery for myelomeningocele. The following databases were searched, covering the period from their start to 01.02.2019: MEDLINE, PREMEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library and other databases. Trial registries and the Internet were also searched. No language restriction was applied to the searches (see appendix C for details of search strategy). Relevant published studies identified during consultation or resolution that are published after this date may also be considered for inclusion.

The following selection criteria (table 1) were applied to the abstracts identified by the literature search. Where selection criteria could not be determined from the abstracts the full paper was retrieved.

| Characteristic    | Criteria   |
|-------------------|--|
| Publication type  | Clinical studies were included. Emphasis was placed on identifying good quality studies.   |
|                   | Abstracts were excluded where no clinical outcomes were<br>reported, or where the paper was a review, editorial, or a<br>laboratory or animal study.   |
|                   | Conference abstracts were also excluded because of the difficulty of appraising study methodology, unless they reported specific adverse events that were not available in the published literature. |
| Patient           | Fetus with open neural tube defects (open spina bifida/myelomeningocele).  |
| Intervention/test | Open prenatal surgical repair  |
| Outcome           | Articles were retrieved if the abstract contained information relevant to the safety and/or efficacy.  |
| Language          | Non-English-language articles were excluded unless they were thought to add substantively to the English-language evidence base.   |

Table 1 Inclusion criteria for identification of relevant studies

## List of studies included in the IP overview

This IP overview is based on 1734 patients from 4 systematic reviews, 1 randomised controlled trial and 1 case reports. There is an overlap of patients between the studies<sup>1-5</sup> included in the overview.

Other studies that were considered to be relevant to the procedure but were not included in the main extraction table (table 2) have been listed in the appendix.

# Table 2 Summary of key efficacy and safety findings on open prenatal repair for open neuraltube defects in the fetusStudy 1 Adzick S (2011)

#### Details

| Study type                                   | Randomised controlled trial   |
|--|---|
| Country                                      | USA (3 centres) (Management of Myelomeningocele Study-MOMS)   |
| Recruitment period                           | 2003 to 2010  |
| Study population and                         | n=183 foetuses or infants with a myelomeningocele   |
| number                                       | (91 prenatal [in-utero] repair versus 92 postnatal repair [standard postnatal care])  |
| Age and sex                                  | Mean maternal age: Prenatal repair:29.3 years; Postnatal repair: 28.8 years   |
|  | Gestational age at randomisation: Prenatal repair: 23.6±1.4 weeks; Postnatal repair: 23.9±1.3 weeks   |
|  | Fetal sex female: Prenatal repair 45% (35/78); Postnatal repair 64% (51/80)   |
| Patient selection criteria                   | Inclusion criteria were a singleton pregnancy, myelomeningocele at level between T1 and S1, evidence of hindbrain herniation, gestational age of 19.0 to 25.9 weeks at randomisation, normal karyotype, US residency, maternal age ≥18 years.   |
|  | Exclusion criteria included fetal anomaly unrelated to myelomeningocele, severe kyphosis, risk of preterm birth, placenta praevia or placental abruption, BMI ≥35, contraindication to surgery or general anaesthesia, insulin dependent pregestational diabetes, maternal HIV or Hepatitis B test positive, known Hepatitis C positive, maternal hypertension, uterine anomaly, previous spontaneous singleton delivery before 37 weeks, short cervix, history of incompetent cervix or cerclage, maternal-fetal Rh isoimmunization, lack of a support person. |
| Technique                                    | <b>Prenatal surgery group:</b> maternal laparotomy and uterine incision (hysterotomy)was performed and then the lesion repaired using standardized techniques. Birth was by subsequent caesarean section at 37 weeks. Study involved multidisciplinary approach and participants remained near the center for the duration of pregnancy.  |
|  | <b>Postnatal surgery group:</b> women and their infants in the standard care group underwent caesarean delivery at 37 weeks and then postnatal repair of MMC.   |
|  | All surgeons used a stapling device with absorbable staples for uterine entry. The hysterotomy was closed in two layers. The first layer was incorporated with the absorbable staples and uterine membranes, and the second layer was tied with imbricating layer of suture. Patients were monitored closely postoperatively and the use of tocolytics was planned until 36 <sup>th</sup> weeks of gestation.   |
| Follow-up                                    | 12 months, 30 months  |
| Conflict of<br>interest/source of<br>funding | Funded by the National Institute of Health (NIH).   |

#### Analysis

**Follow-up issues**: Of 183 women, 158 randomised before July 2009 were included in the analysis for the primary outcome at 12 months and only 134 women randomised before December 2007 were included in the analysis at 30 months. 24 children were not included as they did not reach 30 months of age.

**Study design issues**: the methodological quality of the study was good, randomisation based on a computer-generated allocation sequence and a website for allocation concealment. Inclusion criteria were rigorous, blinding was not possible with participants and clinicians, but neurological outcome assessors were blinded. Selective reporting of data noted. Primary outcomes assessed were fetal/neonatal death and shunt placement at 12 months and the secondary primary outcome was neurodevelopment outcome at 30 months (a composite score of Mental Development Index [MDI] of the Bayley Scales of Infant Development II [BSID II] and the child motor function adjusted for the lesion level). The secondary outcomes included maternal, fetal and neonatal complications related to pregnancy and surgery, and neonatal morbidity and mortality.

Intention-to-treat principle was used for analysis. Time to shunt placement or meeting shunt criteria were analysed using Kaplan-Meier survival curves. Four interim analyses were performed for each primary end point. For the first primary end point, 97.7% CI was used for reporting relative risk.

**Study population issues**: The postnatal group had higher proportion of fetuses with lesion level L3 or lower on ultrasonography compared to the prenatal group (84% vs 68%, p=0.02). The postnatal surgery group also had more female fetuses (64% vs 45%, p=0.02).

**Other issues**: The trial was stopped after the recruitment of 183 patients of planned 200 based on efficacy of the prenatal surgery. The study outcomes may not be reproducible outside a rigorous trial setting.

#### Key efficacy and safety findings

| Efficacy   |                                 |                                   |                        |                | Safety                                   |                                    |                                  |                          |         |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| lumber of patie                                  | ents analyse                    | d: <b>158 (pren</b>               | atal = 78              | 8,             | Maternal adverse                         | events                             |                                  |                          |         |
| ostnatal= 80)<br>Primary outco                   | imary outcomes                  |                                   |                        |                | Prenatal<br>surgery %<br>(n=78)          |                                    | Postnatal<br>surgery<br>% (n=80) | RR (95%<br>Cl)           | P value |
|  | Prenatal<br>surgery             | Postnata<br>I surgery             | RR<br>(95%             | P<br>value     | Maternal deaths                          | 0                                  | % (II-80)<br>0                   |                          |         |
|  | %<br>(n=78)                     | % (n=80)                          | (33 /1<br>CI)          | value          | Chorioamniotic<br>membrane<br>separation | 26 (20/78)                         | 0                                | NA                       | <0.001  |
| VP shunt<br>placement                            | 40                              | 82<br>(66/80)                     | 0.48<br>(0.36          | <0.00<br>1     | Pulmonary<br>oedema                      | 6 (5/78)                           | 0                                | NA                       | 0.03    |
| No hindbrain                                     | (31/78)                         | 4                                 | -<br>0.64)             |                | Oligohydramnios                          | 21 (16/78)                         | 4 (3/80)                         | 5.47<br>(1.66-<br>18.04) | 0.001   |
| herniation<br>Moderate to                        | 36                              | 67                                | 0.56                   | 0.000          | Placental<br>abruption                   | 6 (5/78)                           | 0                                | NA                       | 0.03    |
| severe<br>hindbrain<br>herniation<br>after birth | 25/78)                          | (46/80)                           | (0.38<br>-<br>0.81)    | 2              | Gestational<br>diabetes                  | 5 (4/78)                           | 6 (5/80)                         | 0.82<br>(0.23-<br>2.94)  | 1.00    |
| Any  |                                 | 96                                | 0.76                   | <0.00          | Pre-eclampsia<br>or hypertension         | 4 (3/78)                           | 0                                | NA                       | 0.12    |
| hindbrain<br>herniation                          | 64<br>(45/70)                   |                                   | (0.56<br>-             | 1              | Chorioamnionitis                         | 3 (2/78)                           | 0                                | NA                       | 0.24    |
| Brainstem  | (43/70)                         | 48 33/69)                         | 0.81)<br>0.42          | <0.00          | Preterm<br>spontaneous                   | 46 (36/78)                         | 8 (6/80)                         | 6.15<br>(2.75-           | <0.001  |
| kinking (any<br>degree)                          | 20(14/70<br>)                   | ,                                 | (0.25<br>-             | 1              | membrane<br>rupture                      | 20 (20/70)                         | 14                               | 13.78)                   | -0.001  |
| Abnormal location of                             | 40                              | 72(49/68                          | 0.71)<br>0.63<br>(0.47 | 0.002          | Spontaneous<br>Iabour                    | 38 (30/78)                         | 14<br>(11/80)                    | 2.80<br>(1.51-<br>5.18)  | <0.001  |
| fourth<br>ventricle                              | 46<br>(32/70)                   | )                                 | (0.47<br>-<br>0.85)    |                | Blood<br>transfusion at<br>delivery      | 9 (7/78)                           | 1 (1/80)                         | 7.18<br>(0.90-<br>57.01) | 0.03    |
| Syringomyeli<br>a                                | 39                              | 58<br>(39/67)                     | 0.47-<br>0.96)         | 0.03           | Hysterotomy site status                  | Intact 64<br>(49/76)               |                                  |                          |         |
|  | (27/69)                         |                                   |                        |                |  | Very thin<br>25 (19/76)            |                                  |                          |         |
| econd Prima                                      | ry Outcome                      | s                                 |                        |                |  | Area of dehiscence                 |                                  |                          |         |
|  | Prenatal<br>surgery<br>% (n=64) | Postnata<br>I surgery<br>% (n=70) | RR<br>(95%<br>CI)      | P<br>valu<br>e |  | 9 (7/76)<br>Complete<br>dehiscence |                                  |                          |         |
| Composite<br>score for<br>mental                 | 148±57.5                        | 122.6<br>±57.2                    |                        | 0.00<br>7      |  | 1 (1/76)                           |                                  |                          |         |
| score for  |                                 |                                   |                        |                |  |                                    |                                  | 1                        |         |

| and motor  |                                |                                     |               |                   | Fetal/neonatal a                                    | adverse event  | S   |                          |              |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------|--------------|
| function at 30<br>months*  |                                |                                     |               |                   |   | Prenatal surgery   | Postnatal surgery   | RR (95%<br>CI)           | P value      |
| BMDI<br>Difference<br>between<br>motor<br>function and<br>anatomical | 89.7±14.<br>0<br>0.58±1.9<br>4 | 87.3±18.<br>4<br>-<br>0.69±1.9<br>9 |               | 0.53<br>0.00<br>1 | Perinatal<br>death                                  | 3 (2/78)<br>Still birth at<br>26 weeks<br>Neonatal<br>death at 23<br>weeks | 2 (2/80)<br>Due to<br>severe Chiari<br>II<br>malformation         | 1.03<br>(0.14-<br>7.10)  | 1.00         |
| levels<br>Difference<br>between                                      | 32<br>(20/62)                  | 12 (8/67)                           | 0.005         | 0.00<br>5         | Neonatal<br>death                                   | 1 (1/78)   | 2 (2/80)  | 0.51<br>(0.05-<br>5.54)  | 0.58         |
| motor<br>function and<br>anatomical<br>level of<br>lesion at 30      |                                |                                     |               | Ŭ                 | Deaths after<br>1 year                              | 1 (due to<br>coxsackie<br>septicaemia<br>)                                 | 1 (due to<br>chemotherap<br>y for choroid<br>plexus<br>carcinoma) |                          |              |
| months -2 or<br>more levels<br>better<br>2 or more                   | 13 (8/62)                      | 28                                  |               | 0.03              | Fetal/neonata<br>I bradycardia<br>during<br>surgery | 10 (8/78)  | 0   | NA                       | 0.003        |
| levels worse<br>Walking<br>independentl                              | 42 (26/62)                     | (19/67)                             | 2.01<br>(1.16 | 0.01              | Preterm birth<br>before 37<br>weeks                 | (62/78)  | (12/80)   | 5.30<br>(3.11-<br>9.04)  | <0.0000<br>1 |
| y (on<br>examination)<br>Mean  | 64.0±17.                       | 58.3±14.                            | -<br>3.48)    | 0.03              | Preterm birth<br>before 34<br>weeks                 | (36/78)  | (4/80)  | 9.23<br>(3.45-<br>24.71) | <0.0000<br>1 |
| Bayley<br>Psychomotor<br>Development<br>Index ^                      | 4                              | 8                                   |               | 0.00              | Dehiscence<br>at repair site                        | 13 (10/77)   | 6 (5/80)  | 2.05<br>(0.73-<br>5.73)  | 0.16         |
| *derived from E<br>motor function                                    | and anatomic                   |                                     |               |                   | Apnoea  | 36 (28/77)   | 22 (18/80)  | 1.62<br>(0.98-<br>2.67)  | 0.06         |
| indicate better<br>^The scores ar<br>of 100±15, with                 | e scaled to ha<br>a minimum s  | core of 50 and                      | d maxin       |                   | Pneumothora<br>x                                    | 1 (1/77)   | 1 (1/80)  | 1.05<br>(0.07-<br>16.53) | 1.00         |
| 150. Higher sco<br>Peabody Dev                                       |                                |                                     |               | )^^               | Respiratory<br>distress<br>syndrome*                | 21 (16/77)   | 6 (5/80)  | 3.32<br>(1.28-<br>8.63)  | 0.008        |
| Scores   | Prenatal                       | Postnatal                           | P va          | ue                | Patient   | 4 (3/77)   | 0   | NA                       | 0.12         |
| Stationary   | 7.4±1.1                        | 7.0±1.2                             | 0.04          |                   | ductus<br>arteriosus                                |  |   |                          |              |
| Locomotion<br>Object<br>manipulation                                 | 3.0±1.8<br>5.1±2.6             | 2.1±1.5<br>3.7±2.1                  | 0.002         | )1                | Sepsis  | 5 (4/77)   | 1 (1/80)  | 4.16<br>(0.48-<br>36.36) | 0.20         |
| <sup>^</sup> the scores and<br>score is 0 and<br>indicate better     | the maximum                    | score is 20. H                      |               |                   | Necrotising<br>enterocolitis <sup>^</sup>           | 1 (1/77)   | 0   | NA                       | 0.49         |
| WeeFIM Score   |                                | Dectrotal                           | 0             |                   | Periventricula<br>r<br>leukomalacia                 | 5 (4/77)   | 2 (2/80)  | 2.08<br>(0.39-<br>11.02) | 0.44         |
| Scores   | Prenatal                       | Postnatal                           | P va          |                   | Foot  | 50 (39/78)   | 45 (36/80)  | 1.11(0.80                | 0.53         |
| Self-care  | 20.5±4.2                       | 19.0±4.2                            | 0.02          |                   | deformity   | 33 (00/10)   | 10 (00/00)  | -1.54)                   | 0.00         |
| Mobility<br>Cognitive  | 19.9±6.4<br>23.9±5.2           | 16.5±5.9<br>24.1±5.9                | 0.00          |                   | Surgery for   | 8 (6/77)   | 1 (1/80)  | 6.15                     | 0.06         |
| The degree of  | parent-reporte                 |                                     | measu         | red by            | spinal<br>tethered cord                             | - ()   | ( /   | (0.76-<br>50.00)         |              |

IP overview: Open prenatal repair for open neural tube defects in the fetus © NICE 2019. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights

Children) instrument. The score on the self-care

| surement ranges from 8 to 56, on the mobility and<br>itive measurements range from 5 to 35, with higher                 | *defined as a clinical diagnosis of type 1 distress syndrome and the need for oxygen therapy at 24 hours or more.   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| scores indicating greater independence.   | ^defined as confirmed on blood culture, confirmed UTI, meningitis, or pneumonia.  |  |  |  |  |
|   | <b>^^de</b> fined as a confirmed diagnosis of unequivocal presence of intramural air, perforation, erythema and induration of the abdominal wall, intraabdominal abscess, the formation of stricture after an episode of suspected necrotising enterocolitis at the time of surgery or autopsy. |  |  |  |  |
|   | There was no significant difference between groups in terms of identification of epidermoid cyst, Chiari decompression surgery and shunt infection.   |  |  |  |  |
| Abbreviations used: CI, confidence interval; BMDI, Bayley standard deviation; UTI= Urinary tract infection; VP, ventrie | Mental Development Index; NA, not available; RR, relative risk; SD, culoperitoneal.   |  |  |  |  |

## Study 2 Inversetti A (2019)

#### Details

| Study type                                   | Systematic review and meta-analysis   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Country                                      | 1 study in USA, 1 in Poland   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Study period                                 | Search period: Inception to March 2018; Databases searched: PubMed/MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Science and The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials. Bibliography lists and topic-related reviews were also hand-searched.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Study population and                         | n= 213 children with Spina Bifida Aperta (SBA) in 2 studies   |  |  |  |  |  |
| number                                       | (1 RCT [Farmer 2018] and 1 prospective cohort study [Zamlynski 2014])   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prenatal repair (n=105) versus post-natal repair (n=108)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age and sex                                  | Age of children at neurological evaluation: 14 to 53 months in cohort study and 30 months in the RCT.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sex of the children not reported.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Study selection criteria                     | Randomized controlled trials and non-randomised prospective controlled studies comparing prenatal with post-natal repair of spina bifida in children (either through an open or fetoscopic approach), evaluating neurodevelopmental impairment after the age of 1 year, with large complete datasets in English language were included. The comparator/controls were SBA cases repaired in neonatal period. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Studies with duplicate records, lack of data, overlapping studies, reviews, book chapters, opinion papers, conference abstract, letters, animal model studies, non-English language studies, retrospective cohort studies and studies with only post-natal repair were excluded.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technique                                    | Both studies in this systematic review used open repair technique for prenatal repair. They were performed at gestational age between 19 and 27 weeks.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | One study reported that postnatal repair was also performed 48 hours after birth.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-up                                    | Varied (14 to 53 months after birth)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conflict of<br>interest/source of<br>funding | The authors received support and funding from Erasmus+ Programme of the European Commission,<br>Innovative Engineering for Health award the Welcome Trust and Engineering and Physical Sciences<br>Research Council, Great Ormond Street Hospital Charity Fund.   |  |  |  |  |  |

#### Analysis

**Follow-up issues**: The RCT study evaluated the neurodevelopment outcomes at 30 months of age. The prospective cohort studies evaluated the outcomes between 14 to 53 months of age.

**Study design issues**: Systematic review of the literature was done according to PRISMA guidelines, the protocol was registered with PROSPERO, comprehensive search strategy was used. High quality studies with limited number of patients were included. The risk of bias and the quality of the was assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool 2.0 for the RCT and the ROBINS-I tool for the cohort study. The Bayley Scales of Infant Development II (BSID-II) mental development index (MDI) was used to assess neurodevelopment outcomes in children. The secondary outcomes were preterm birth, need for ventriculoperitoneal shunts by 12 months age, absence of hindbrain herniation and motor functions in terms of independent ambulation.

The meta-analysis was performed for all variables and the incidence of the outcomes were calculated by pooling the number of events in the total number of cases. An estimated odds ratio (OR) for each outcome was reported with 95% CI, using random effects model. The heterogeneity among studies was tested using the I<sup>2</sup> test. The number needed to treat (NNT) or number needed to harm (NNH) was calculated when there are significant differences between the two groups. Sensitivity analysis was also performed to assess whether the effect was dependent on the study type or study quality.

**Study population issues**: Neurodevelopment impairment was compared between prenatal and post-natal group despite the prenatal group had higher rate preterm birth (OR 17.62, 95% CI 7.60 – 40.87, p<0.0001).

Other issues: There is an overlap of patients between the studies<sup>1-5</sup>.

#### Key efficacy and safety findings

|  |  |  |   | Safety           |                    |                |     |
|--|--|--|---|------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----|
|  |  | 13 (105 prenatal r   | epair versus  | Incidence of p   | oreterm birth (n=  | 257)           |     |
| 108 post-natal i   | repair)  |  |   | (defined as birt | h before 34+6 we   | eks gestation) |     |
| Neurodevelopn  | nent impairmei   | nt at 1 year or late   | er (n=213)  | Prenatal         | Post-natal         | OR (95% CI)    | Р   |
|  | Assessed between 14 and 53 months of age, using BSID-II  |  |   |                  | n=122              |                |     |
|  |  | <sup>1</sup> corrected for chr   |   | n=135<br>52.6%   | 5.7%               | 17.62          | <0. |
|  |  | n 2 SD below the r   | ,   | (71/135)         | (7/122)            | (7.60-40.87)   | -0. |
| Prenatal   | Post-natal   | OR (95% CI)  | P   | 、 <i>,</i>       | foetuses operated  | · /            |     |
| (n=105)  | (n=108)  |  |   |                  | nature birth (numb |                |     |
| 23.8%  | 27.8%  | 0.82   | 0.54  | 1-3]).           |                    |                | [   |
| (25/100)   | (30/108)   | (0.43-1.56)  |   | -/               |                    |                |     |
| MDI evaluates c<br>and social skills.  |  | ige, memory, prob  | lem solving   |                  |                    |                |     |
| and social skills.   |  |  |   |                  |                    |                |     |
| No od for vortei   |  |  |   |                  |                    |                |     |
| after birth  | culoperitorieal  | shunt placement  | by 1 year   |                  |                    |                |     |
| Prenatal   | Post-natal   | OR (95% CI)  | Р   |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=109)  | (n=112)  |  |   |                  |                    |                |     |
| 41.3%  | 83.0%  | 0.14   | <0.0001   |                  |                    |                |     |
|  | 83.0% (93/112)   |  | <0.0001   |                  |                    |                |     |
| (45/109)   | , ,  | (0.08-0.26)  |   |                  |                    |                |     |
| Every 2 foetuses<br>[95% CI 1 to3]).   | s operated pren  | atally, 1 required a   | shunt (NNT=2  |                  |                    |                |     |
| /  | ne of bindhasia  | hemistics of MC  |   |                  |                    |                |     |
| Absence of sign<br>months  | ns of hindbrair  | n herniation at MF   | Ri at 12  |                  |                    |                |     |
|  | Post-  |  | Р   |                  |                    |                |     |
|  | Post-  | OR (95% CI)  | P   |                  |                    |                |     |
| Prenatal<br>(n=88)   | natal  |  |   |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)   | natal<br>(n=89)  |  |   |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)   | natal<br>(n=89)<br>4.5%  | 9.45   | <0.0001   |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%  | (n=89)   |  | <0.0001   |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)   | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)   | (3.12-28.64)   |   |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child  | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated pr   |  | s 1 additional  |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child  | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated puns of hindbrain  | (3.12- 28.64)<br>renatally, there wa   | s 1 additional  |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without sign  | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated puns of hindbrain  | (3.12- 28.64)<br>renatally, there wa   | s 1 additional  |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without sign<br>evaluation (NNT   | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated pr<br>ns of hindbrain<br>=4).  | (3.12- 28.64)<br>renatally, there wa   | s 1 additional  |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without sign<br>evaluation (NNT<br>Independent an<br>Prenatal   | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated pins of hindbrain<br>=4).<br>nbulation at 30<br>Post-  | (3.12- 28.64)<br>renatally, there wa<br>nerniation at first p  | s 1 additional  |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without sign<br>evaluation (NNT<br>Independent an   | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated p<br>ns of hindbrain<br>=4).<br>nbulation at 30<br>Post-<br>natal  | (3.12- 28.64)<br>renatally, there wa<br>nerniation at first p<br>months of age   | s 1 additional<br>ostnatal MRI  |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without sign<br>evaluation (NNT<br>Independent an<br>Prenatal<br>(n=109)  | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated prins of hindbrain<br>=4).<br>nbulation at 30<br>Post-<br>natal<br>(n=111)   | (3.12-28.64)<br>renatally, there wa<br>nerniation at first p<br>months of age<br>OR (95% CI)   | s 1 additional<br>ostnatal MRI  |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without sign<br>evaluation (NNT<br>Independent an<br>Prenatal<br>(n=109)<br>37.6%   | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated provided<br>and the second seco | (3.12- 28.64)<br>renatally, there wa<br>herniation at first p<br>months of age<br>OR (95% CI)<br>2.59  | s 1 additional<br>ostnatal MRI  |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without sign<br>evaluation (NNT<br>Independent an<br>Prenatal<br>(n=109)  | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated prins of hindbrain<br>=4).<br>nbulation at 30<br>Post-<br>natal<br>(n=111)   | (3.12-28.64)<br>renatally, there wa<br>nerniation at first p<br>months of age<br>OR (95% CI)   | s 1 additional<br>ostnatal MRI  |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without sign  | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated puns of hindbrain  | (3.12- 28.64)<br>renatally, there wa   | s 1 additional  |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without sign<br>evaluation (NNT<br>Independent an<br>Prenatal<br>(n=109)<br>37.6%   | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated provided<br>and the second seco | (3.12- 28.64)<br>renatally, there wa<br>herniation at first p<br>months of age<br>OR (95% CI)<br>2.59  | s 1 additional<br>ostnatal MRI  |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>or every 4 child<br>hild without sign<br>valuation (NNT<br>ndependent an<br>Prenatal<br>(n=109)<br>37.6%<br>(41/109)<br>or every 5 babi  | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated p<br>ns of hindbrain<br>=4).<br>nbulation at 30<br>Post-<br>natal<br>(n=111)<br>18.9%<br>(21/111)<br>es operate prer   | (3.12-28.64)<br>renatally, there wa<br>herniation at first p<br><b>months of age</b><br><b>OR (95% CI)</b><br>2.59<br>(1.39-4.86)<br>hatally, there was 1  | s 1 additional<br>ostnatal MRI<br>P<br>0.003  |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without signer<br>evaluation (NNT<br>Independent an<br>Prenatal<br>(n=109)<br>37.6%<br>(41/109)<br>For every 5 babi   | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated p<br>ns of hindbrain<br>=4).<br>nbulation at 30<br>Post-<br>natal<br>(n=111)<br>18.9%<br>(21/111)<br>es operate prer   | (3.12-28.64)<br>renatally, there wa<br>herniation at first p<br>months of age<br>OR (95% CI)<br>2.59<br>(1.39-4.86)  | s 1 additional<br>ostnatal MRI<br>P<br>0.003  |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without signed<br>evaluation (NNT<br>Independent an<br>Prenatal<br>(n=109)<br>37.6%<br>(41/109)<br>For every 5 babi<br>baby that could of<br>Prenatal (n=109)   | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated prins of hindbrain (<br>=4).<br>nbulation at 30<br>Post-<br>natal<br>(n=111)<br>18.9%<br>(21/111)<br>es operate prerivalk independe  | (3.12-28.64)<br>renatally, there was<br>merniation at first p<br>months of age<br>OR (95% CI)<br>2.59<br>(1.39-4.86)<br>natally, there was<br>ntly (NNT=5 [95%)  | P<br>0.003<br>additional<br>ci 3 to 14]).   |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without signed<br>evaluation (NNT<br>Independent an<br>Prenatal<br>(n=109)<br>37.6%<br>(41/109)<br>For every 5 babit<br>baby that could without signed<br>Neurodevelopm   | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated prins of hindbrain<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated prins<br>(1)<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10   | (3.12-28.64)<br>renatally, there was<br>merniation at first p<br>months of age<br>OR (95% CI)<br>2.59<br>(1.39-4.86)<br>natally, there was 7<br>ntly (NNT=5 [95%<br>of the in prenatal groups of the second | s 1 additional<br>ostnatal MRI<br>P<br>0.003<br>I additional<br>ci 3 to 14]).<br>up with or |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without signer<br>evaluation (NNT<br>Independent and<br>Prenatal<br>(n=109)<br>37.6%<br>(41/109)<br>For every 5 babit<br>baby that could without shunt pression<br>Neurodevelopming the shunt pression<br>without shunt pression<br>Neurodevelopming the shunt pression<br>without shunt pression<br>Neurodevelopming the shunt p | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated p<br>ns of hindbrain f<br>=4).<br>nbulation at 30<br>Post-<br>natal<br>(n=111)<br>18.9%<br>(21/111)<br>es operate prer<br>walk independe<br>nent impairment<br>blacement by 1  | (3.12- 28.64)<br>renatally, there was<br>merniation at first p<br><b>months of age</b><br><b>OR (95% CI)</b><br>2.59<br>(1.39-4.86)<br>matally, there was 1<br>ntly (NNT=5 [95%<br><b>ot in prenatal gro</b><br><b>year (</b> only reported  | P<br>0.003<br>additional<br>ci 3 to 14]).   |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without signed<br>evaluation (NNT<br>Independent and<br>Prenatal<br>(n=109)<br>37.6%<br>(41/109)<br>For every 5 babit<br>baby that could without shunt points<br>Prenatal with  | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated p<br>ns of hindbrain f<br>=4).<br>nbulation at 30<br>Post-<br>natal<br>(n=111)<br>18.9%<br>(21/111)<br>es operate prer<br>walk independe<br>nent impairment<br>olacement by 1<br>Prenatal –  | (3.12-28.64)<br>renatally, there was<br>merniation at first p<br>months of age<br>OR (95% CI)<br>2.59<br>(1.39-4.86)<br>natally, there was 7<br>ntly (NNT=5 [95%<br>of the in prenatal groups of the second | s 1 additional<br>ostnatal MRI<br>P<br>0.003<br>I additional<br>ci 3 to 14]).<br>up with or |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without signer<br>evaluation (NNT<br>Independent and<br>Prenatal<br>(n=109)<br>37.6%<br>(41/109)<br>For every 5 babit<br>baby that could without shunt pression<br>Neurodevelopming the shunt pression<br>without shunt pression<br>Neurodevelopming the shunt pression<br>without shunt pression<br>Neurodevelopming the shunt p | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated p<br>ns of hindbrain f<br>=4).<br>nbulation at 30<br>Post-<br>natal<br>(n=111)<br>18.9%<br>(21/111)<br>es operate prer<br>walk independe<br>nent impairment<br>blacement by 1<br>Prenatal –<br>no shunt  | (3.12- 28.64)<br>renatally, there was<br>merniation at first p<br><b>months of age</b><br><b>OR (95% CI)</b><br>2.59<br>(1.39-4.86)<br>matally, there was 1<br>ntly (NNT=5 [95%<br><b>ot in prenatal gro</b><br><b>year (</b> only reported  | P<br>0.003<br>additional<br>ci 3 to 14]).   |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without sign<br>evaluation (NNT<br>Independent an<br>Prenatal<br>(n=109)<br>37.6%<br>(41/109)<br>For every 5 babi<br>baby that could without shunt p<br>Prenatal with<br>shunt (n=39)   | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated puns of hindbrain f<br>=4).<br>nbulation at 30<br>Post-<br>natal<br>(n=111)<br>18.9%<br>(21/111)<br>es operate prer<br>walk independe<br>nent impairmer<br>placement by 1<br>Prenatal –<br>no shunt<br>(n=48)  | (3.12-28.64)<br>renatally, there was<br>herniation at first p<br><b>months of age</b><br><b>OR (95% CI)</b><br>2.59<br>(1.39-4.86)<br>natally, there was<br>ntly (NNT=5 [95%<br>nt in prenatal gro<br>year (only reported<br><b>RR (95% CI)</b>  | P<br>0.003<br>additional<br>ci 3 to 14]).<br>up with or<br>ed in the RCT)                   |                  |                    |                |     |
| (n=88)<br>31.8%<br>(28/88)<br>For every 4 child<br>child without signed<br>evaluation (NNT<br>Independent and<br>Prenatal<br>(n=109)<br>37.6%<br>(41/109)<br>For every 5 babit<br>baby that could without shunt points<br>Prenatal with  | (n=89)<br>4.5%<br>(4/89)<br>dren operated p<br>ns of hindbrain f<br>=4).<br>nbulation at 30<br>Post-<br>natal<br>(n=111)<br>18.9%<br>(21/111)<br>es operate prer<br>walk independe<br>nent impairment<br>blacement by 1<br>Prenatal –<br>no shunt  | (3.12- 28.64)<br>renatally, there was<br>merniation at first p<br><b>months of age</b><br><b>OR (95% CI)</b><br>2.59<br>(1.39-4.86)<br>matally, there was 1<br>ntly (NNT=5 [95%<br><b>ot in prenatal gro</b><br><b>year (</b> only reported  | P<br>0.003<br>additional<br>ci 3 to 14]).   |                  |                    |                |     |

Abbreviations used: BSID-II, Bayley Scales of Infant Development II; CI, confidence interval; VP, ventriculoperitoneal; NNT, number needed to treat; OR, odds ratio; RCT, randomised controlled trial; RR= relative risk; SD, standard deviation.

## Study 3 Kabagambe 2018

#### Details

| Study type                                   | Systematic review and meta-analysis  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Country                                      | 4 studies in USA, 3 in Germany, 2 in Brazil, 1 in France and 1 in Poland.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Study period                                 | Search period 2011 to 2016. Databases searched: Pubmed and Embase. One further study on fetoscopic MMC repair published in 2017 was also added after completion of the systematic review.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Study population and                         | n= 436 foetuses with myelomeningocele in 11 retrospective or prospective observational studies   |  |  |  |  |  |
| number                                       | Fetoscopic repair (n=179 in 5 studies) [Graf 2016, Pedreira 2016, Degenhardt 2014, Verbeek 2012, Belfort 2017],  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <b>Open fetal repair (n=257 in 6 studies)</b> [Danzer 2016, Friszer 2016, Moldenhauer 2015, Zamlynski 2014, Bennet 2014, Hisaba 2012]).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age and sex                                  | Maternal age, gestational age at the time of repair and sex of the fetuses were not reported.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Study selection criteria                     | English or French studies (of all types) that report fetal, obstetrical, or post-natal outcomes of the prenatal repair of myelomeningocele published since January 2011(MOMS), with varied follow-up periods were included.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Studies focusing on the postnatal repair or medical management of myelomeningocele, and prenatal diagnosis, summary and review of myelomeningocele and its treatment, summary of perioperative care, management of urological mobility, ethical issues surrounding fetal surgery, epidemiology and translational research were excluded. Editorial articles t and duplicate studies were excluded. One case report of prenatal repair using cryopreserved umbilical vein was also excluded.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technique                                    | Prenatal repair of myelomeningocele:   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <b>Fetoscopic repair</b> (in 5 studies) was done in two different approaches; percutaneous fetoscopic repair<br>and fetoscopic repair via maternal laparotomy. The percutaneous fetoscopic technique was varied by<br>centre to centre; some used collagen/Teflon patch to cover the spinal cord and mobilized skin to cover<br>free edges of the patch. At least 3 studies used this technique. Others used a bio cellulose patch over the<br>cord and performed closure of the skin over the patch. One study from Brazil used this technique. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | In <b>fetoscopic repair via maternal laparotomy procedure (in 1 study)</b> , the primary closure was done by incorporating dura and skin.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Open fetal repair (in 6 studies) also slightly varied by centre.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-up                                    | Varied in studies (0 to 10 years)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conflict of<br>interest/source of<br>funding | The authors declared no conflicts of interest.   |  |  |  |  |  |

#### Analysis

Follow-up issues: Follow-up varied in studies. Only short-term follow-up reported.

**Study design issues**: comprehensive search strategy was used; data was extracted into a database. Two authors reviewed and analysed the data. The risk of bias and the quality of the studies (retrospective and prospective observational studies) were assessed using the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, version 5.1.0. Studies were heterogenous and varied in surgical techniques by centre, with selective reporting of outcomes within each study and incomplete outcome data from some studies making comparison difficult to interpret. The analysis also included a more recent modified percutaneous fetoscopic approach via maternal laparotomy and combined the outcomes of both fetoscopic approaches. There is no standardised approach used in the studies. A sub analysis of the studies conducted since 2010 was also done to account for the impact of recent advances in both open and fetoscopic technique. Statistical heterogeneity was observed among the studies.

**Study population issues**: The selected studies were mainly observational studies from different countries. Each centre used only one surgical approach; therefore, it is not possible to compare fetoscopic vs open repair within a centre. There is an overlap of patients between the studies<sup>1-5</sup>.

#### Key efficacy and safety findings

#### Number of patients analysed: 436 (179 fetoscopic repair 257 open fetal repair)

Safety

The outcomes for fetoscopic and open repair were compared after a weighted proportion for each outcome was obtained for all studies based on the sample size.

| Outcomes   | Percutaneous<br>fetoscopic repair<br>mean ES % (95%<br>CI) <sup>1</sup> | Percutaneous<br>fetoscopic +via<br>maternal<br>laparotomy mean<br>ES% (95% Cl) | Open fetal<br>repair<br>mean ES %<br>(95% CI) | P value (with<br>maternal<br>laparotomy) | P value (without<br>maternal<br>laparotomy) |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| VP shunt placement<br>or ventriculostomy<br>within 12 months of<br>birth | 43 (33, 53)   | 42 (33, 52)  | 40 (32, 49)                                   | 0.71                                     | 0.73  |
| Function vs anatomic level <sup>2</sup>                                  | 70 (49,89)  | 72 (57,84)   | 56(46,67)                                     | 0.24                                     | 0.09  |
| Completion via intended access   | 92(74, 100)   | 90(72, 99)   | 99.8 (99,<br>100)                             | 0.08                                     | 0.02  |
| Reversal of hindbrain herniation   | 86(53,100)  | 69(39,93)  | 54(21,86)                                     | 0.18                                     | 0.52  |

#### Adverse events

| Complications   | Fetoscopic<br>repair mean ES<br>% (95% CI) <sup>1</sup> | Percutaneous<br>fetoscopic +via a<br>maternal laparotomy<br>mean ES% (95%Cl) | Open fetal<br>repair<br>Mean ES<br>% (95% CI) | P value (with<br>maternal<br>laparotomy) | P value<br>(without maternal<br>laparotomy) |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| Mortality (combined<br>fetal and postnatal<br>mortality)                  | 9 (5, 14)   | 7 (2, 15)  | 6 (3,9)                                       | 0.20                                     | 0.65  |
| CSF leakage at the<br>MMC repair site<br>(needing postnatal<br>treatment) | 28(19, 38)  | 30(21, 39)   | 7(2,13)                                       | <0.01                                    | <0.01                                       |
| Delivery <30 weeks GA   | 22 (8, 39)  | 17 (7, 32)   | 13 (3, 28)                                    | 0.39                                     | 0.61  |
| Preterm birth (<37<br>weeks)  | 96 (88, 100)  | 90 (69, 100)   | 81 (66, 92)                                   | 0.04                                     | 0.43  |
| PROM  | 91 (74, 99)   | 79 (40, 99)  | 36 (24, 49)                                   | <0.01                                    | 0.04  |
| CA membrane<br>separation   | 17 (0, 61)  | 21 (2, 52)   | 9 (0, 32)                                     | 0.70                                     | 0.46  |
| Placental abruption   | 2 (0, 18)   | 3 (0, 17)  | 3 (1, 5)                                      | 0.83                                     | 0.85  |
| Uterine dehiscence  | 0 (0, 2)  | 0 (0, 1)   | 11 (5, 20)                                    | <0.01                                    | <0.01                                       |

Abbreviations used: CA, chorioamniotic; CI, confidence interval; ES, effect size; GA, gestational age; PROM, premature rupture of membranes; MMC, myelomeningocele; VP, ventriculoperitoneal.

## Study 4 Junior E (2016)

#### Details

| Study type                                   | Systematic review and meta-analysis   |
|--|---|
| Country                                      | 15 studies in USA, 2 in Brazil, 1 in Germany, 1 in Poland.  |
| Study period                                 | Search period: 2003 to October 2015; Databases searched: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled<br>Trials, Literatura Latino-American e do Caribe em Ciencias da Saude (LILACS), PubMed and SCOPUS.<br>No restrictions applied on language and publication status. |
| Study population and                         | n= 908 infants in 19 studies for fetal open and endoscopic surgery for myelomeningocele   |
| number                                       | (2 RCTs, 13 retrospective case series, 4 retrospective case-control studies)  |
|  | Open fetal surgery (n=827 in 17 studies], Endoscopic fetal surgery (n=81 in 2 studies)  |
| Age and sex                                  | Maternal age, gestational age at the time of surgery and delivery, and sex of the fetuses were not reported in this systematic review.  |
| Study selection criteria                     | Randomised controlled trials and observational studies (with at least 10 cases) on treatment of myelomeningocele by endoscopic or open fetal surgery techniques, with follow-up of more than 12 months were included.   |
|  | Studies with less than 10 cases, multiple publications and overlapping cases were excluded.   |
| Technique                                    | All studies in this systematic review are fetal repair of myelomeningocele: 827 women had open fetal MMC surgery and 81 women had endoscopic fetal MMC surgery.   |
| Follow-up                                    | Varied in studies (range 12 to 129.6 months)  |
| Conflict of<br>interest/source of<br>funding | The authors declared no conflicts of interest.  |

#### Analysis

Follow-up issues: Follow-up varied in studies, but all studies had minimum of 12 months follow-up.

**Study design issues**: Systematic review was registered with the prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO). comprehensive search strategy was used, studies were screened and selected by 2 authors, data was extracted into a standardised form. Two authors assessed the risk of bias of observational studies using Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. The quality of evidence was evaluated following the GRADE Working group recommendation. Except for the 2 RCTs, the evidence was considered of low quality because of serious limitations and inconsistencies in the studies. High heterogeneity in studies was reported so it was difficult to interpret results. The primary outcome assessed was ventriculoperitoneal shunt placement rate, and secondary outcomes were hindbrain hernia reversal, lower extremity function and bladder dysfunction. Meta-analysis was done using MEdCalc version 12.7, using random effects model. Heterogeneity was assessed among studies by the I<sup>2</sup> statistic.

**Study population issues**: Sample size was significantly smaller for endoscopic surgery groups compared to open repair. Some studies presented similar cases, but the outcomes assessed were different.

**Other issues**: Authors state that results were not analysed according to short- and long-term follow-up periods and foetuses were not separated according the fetal upper level of MMC which could have potentially interfered with the outcomes. There is an overlap of patients between the studies<sup>1-5</sup>.

# Key efficacy and safety findings

| urgery)  | analysed: 908 (827 open f  | etal surgery vers   | us 81 endoscopic fetal   | Safety<br>One study with endoscopic repair<br>(n=10) reported 1 fetal death and 2 |
|--|--|---|--|---|
|  | al shunt placement rate (  | n=607 [12 studies   | s])  | technical failures.   |
| Procedure  | Pooled proportion % random effects (n)   | 95% CI  | P value, l <sup>2</sup>  |   |
| Open MMC repair<br>(n=10 studies)  | 39.8% (229/529)  | 29.0 – 51.1   | P<0.0001<br>l <sup>2</sup> =84.73%   |   |
| Endoscopic MMC<br>repair (n=2<br>studies)  | 45.0% (35/78)  | 34.3 – 55.9   | P=0.930%<br>I <sup>2</sup> =0%   |   |
| lindbrain herniatio  | on reversal³ (n=141 [4 stu   | dies])  |  |   |
| Procedure  | Pooled proportion % random effects (n)   | 95% CI  | P value, l <sup>2</sup>  |   |
| Open MMC<br>repair(n=3<br>studies)   | 34.0 % (48/134)  | 23.2 – 45.6   | P=0.163<br>I <sup>2</sup> =44.73%  |   |
| Endoscopic<br>MMC repair<br>(n=1)  | N/A (6/7) <sup>2</sup>   | N/A   | N/A  |   |
|  |  | 95% CI  | P value 1 <sup>2</sup>   |   |
|  | random effects (n)   | 95% CI  | P value, l <sup>2</sup>  |   |
| Open MMC<br>repair (7  |  | <b>95% CI</b><br>30.4 – 63.5  | P value, l <sup>2</sup><br>P<0.0001<br>l <sup>2</sup> =89.27%  |   |
| Open MMC<br>repair (7<br>studies)<br>Endoscopic<br>MMC repair (1   | random effects (n)   |   | P<0.0001   |   |
| Open MMC<br>repair (7<br>studies)<br>Endoscopic<br>MMC repair (1<br>study)<br>Meta-analysis was<br>only children walkir  | random effects (n)         46.8% (161/315)         N/A (6/7) <sup>2</sup> not done due to <10 case | 30.4 – 63.5<br>N/A<br>s   | P<0.0001<br>I <sup>2</sup> =89.27%<br>N/A  |   |
| Open MMC<br>repair (7<br>studies)<br>Endoscopic<br>MMC repair (1<br>study)<br>Meta-analysis was<br>only children walkir<br>Iadder dysfunctio   | random effects (n)<br>46.8% (161/315)<br>N/A (6/7) <sup>2</sup><br>not done due to <10 case        | 30.4 – 63.5<br>N/A<br>s   | P<0.0001<br>I <sup>2</sup> =89.27%<br>N/A  |   |
| Open MMC<br>repair (7<br>studies)<br>Endoscopic<br>MMC repair (1<br>study)<br>Meta-analysis was<br>only children walkir<br>Iadder dysfunctio<br>Procedure<br>Open MMC<br>repair (n=8 | random effects (n)         46.8% (161/315)         N/A (6/7) <sup>2</sup> not done due to <10 case | 30.4 – 63.5<br>N/A<br>s<br>ssistive appliances  | P<0.0001<br>I <sup>2</sup> =89.27%<br>N/A  |   |
| Open MMC<br>repair (n=8<br>studies)<br>Endoscopic<br>MMC repair<br>(n=1 study)   | random effects (n)         46.8% (161/315)         N/A (6/7) <sup>2</sup> not done due to <10 case | 30.4 – 63.5<br>N/A<br>s<br>ssistive appliances<br><b>95% Cl</b><br>52.5 – 88.5<br>N/A | P<0.0001<br>I <sup>2</sup> =89.27%<br>N/A<br>were considered.<br>P value, I <sup>2</sup><br>P<0.0001 |   |

## Study 5 Junior E (2016)

#### Details

| Study type                                   | Systematic review and meta-analysis   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Country                                      | 9 studies in USA, 4 in Brazil, 2 in Germany, 2 in Switzerland, 1 in Spain and 1 in Poland   |  |  |  |  |
| Study period                                 | Search period: 1997 to April 2015, Databases searched: PubMed and SCOPUS.   |  |  |  |  |
| Study population and                         | n=541 cases in 19 studies on intra-uterine repair of spina bifida   |  |  |  |  |
| number                                       | (1 RCT, 11 retrospective cohort studies, 1 retrospective case-control study and 6 case reports)   |  |  |  |  |
|  | open fetal surgery (n=456 cases in 13 studies), endoscopic surgery (n=84 cases in 5 studies), combined technique (n=1 in 1 study)   |  |  |  |  |
| Age and sex                                  | Mean gestational age at surgery – endoscopic: 24.2 weeks, open: 23.9 weeks  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean gestational age at delivery – endoscopic: 32.0 weeks, open: 34.2 weeks   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Maternal age and sex of the babies were not reported.   |  |  |  |  |
| Study selection criteria                     | Randomised controlled trials and observational studies evaluating endoscopic and/or open fetal surgery techniques for spina bifida, in all languages were included. For meta-analysis, only studies with ≥10 cases that were published in or after 2010 were included.  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Studies published before 1997, multiple publications and overlapping cases were excluded.   |  |  |  |  |
| Technique                                    | Intra-uterine repair: endoscopic(fetoscopic) and open surgery.  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <b>Endoscopic surgery</b> : two studies from USA used carbon dioxide insufflation pressure of 12.0 to 12.5 mmHg and two studies from Germany used 14.1 to 15.6 mmHg pressure.   |  |  |  |  |
|  | <b>Open surgery</b> : most of the studies used a method of closing hysterotomy in two layers but some studies reported using an inner running sutures and multiple interrupted outer sutures. For the two layers method, one study from USA reported using amnio-patch in 4 cases, and a study from Spain reported using collagen. One study from USA closed hysterotomy with closely placed full-thickness running locked sutures supplemented with figure-of-eight interrupted sutures. |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-up                                    | Intra and post-operative  |  |  |  |  |
| Conflict of<br>interest/source of<br>funding | The main author received a grant from the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e<br>Tecnológico (CNPq) and a postdoctoral fellowship from the Department of Obstetrics and Fetal Therapy,<br>Leiden University Medical Centre, The Netherlands.  |  |  |  |  |

#### Analysis

**Follow-up issues**: only intra and post-operative period outcomes were assessed. Short term and long-term outcomes were not assessed.

**Study design issues**: Systematic review was registered with the prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO). comprehensive search strategy was used, data extraction was done in a standardised manner. Authors contacted the study authors for missing data. Two authors assessed the risk of bias of the observational studies using Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. The quality of evidence for the main outcomes was evaluated following the GRADE Working group recommendation. The primary outcomes assessed were complete dehiscence, focal dehiscence and/or markedly this hysterotomy scar, preterm delivery and mean gestational age at delivery. The secondary outcomes were oligohydramnios, premature rupture of membranes, placental abruption, chorioamnionitis and perinatal death.

For summary measures, the pooled proportions of the evaluated outcomes (with 95% CI) were reported by separating studies according to types of surgery (open versus endoscopic). Meta-analysis was conducted using MEdCalc version 12.7, using random effects model. Heterogeneity was assessed among studies by the I<sup>2</sup> statistic.

**Study population issues**: There was a significant difference in sample sizes between the two comparison groups (456 open surgeries, 84 endoscopic surgeries).

**Other issues**: only one RCT comparing prenatal open surgery with postnatal open surgery was included in the metaanalysis. Other studies included were of low quality and inconsistent due to high heterogeneity among studies. There is an overlap of patients between the studies<sup>1-5</sup>.

## Key efficacy and safety findings

| Efficacy   |                               | Safety  |          |  |                 |                                   |
|--|-------------------------------|---|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Number of patients analysed: 34<br>Open surgery) in meta-analysi |                               | Procedure rel   | ated co  | mplications  |                 |                                   |
|  | en and endoscopic surgery was | Uterine dehiscence rate<br>(includes complete dehiscence, focal dehiscence and/or<br>markedly thin hysterotomy scar following surgery). |          |  |                 |                                   |
| part of endoscopic surgery grou                                  | o in the meta-analysis).      |   |          |  |                 |                                   |
| Duration of surgery  |                               | Type of   | <br>     | Total  | 95% C           |                                   |
|  | Minutes (mean ±SD)            | surgery   |          | proportio  |                 | <b>I</b> <sup>2</sup>             |
| Open surgery (n=224)   | 113.3±32.1                    |   |          | (%) rand<br>effects                                | om              |                                   |
| Endoscopic surgery (n=77)  | 267.4 ±38.2                   | Endoscopic  | 77       | 0.85   | 0.03-           | P=0.84                            |
|  |                               | surgery (3<br>studies)  |          |  | 4.02            | l <sup>2</sup> =0%                |
|  |                               | Open<br>surgery (4<br>studies)  | 265      | 25.07  | 12.49-<br>41.71 | P<0.000<br>l <sup>2</sup> =87%    |
|  |                               | Rate of preter<br>gestational age<br>Type of<br>surgery   |          | very (defined a<br>Total<br>proportic<br>(%) rando | 95% C           |                                   |
|  |                               |   |          | effects  |                 |                                   |
|  |                               | Endoscopic  | 77       | 79.86  | 41.33-          | P<0.000                           |
|  |                               | surgery (3<br>studies)  |          |  | 99.66           | l <sup>2</sup> =90.4%             |
|  |                               | Open  | 265      | 45.34  | 38.01-          | P=0.21                            |
|  |                               | surgery (4<br>studies)  |          |  | 52.77           | l <sup>2</sup> =32.6%             |
|  |                               | Rate of oligoh  | -        |  |                 |                                   |
|  |                               | Type of<br>surgery  | n        | Total<br>proportion<br>(%)<br>random<br>effects    | 95% CI          | P value, l <sup>2</sup>           |
|  |                               | Endoscopic  | 77       | 27.66  | 18.24-          | P=0.0001                          |
|  |                               | surgery (3<br>studies   |          |  | 38.80           | l <sup>2</sup> =88.9%             |
|  |                               | Open<br>surgery (4<br>studies)  | 265      | 14.31  | 6.55-24.43      | P=0.0042<br>l <sup>2</sup> =77.3% |
|  |                               | Rate of prema   | ature ru | pture of mem                                       | •               | ,                                 |
|  |                               | Type of<br>surgery  | n        | Total<br>proportion<br>(%)<br>random<br>effects    | 95% CI          | P value, l <sup>2</sup>           |
|  |                               | Endoscopic  | 77       | 66.62  | 11.95-          | P<0.0001                          |
|  |                               | surgery (3<br>studies)  |          |  | 99.98           | l <sup>2</sup> =95.6%             |
|  |                               | Open<br>surgery (4<br>studies)  | 265      | 37.75  | 25.94-<br>50.34 | P=0.005<br>l <sup>2</sup> =76.6%  |

|  | n       | Total   | 95% CI         | D value   |
|--|---------|---|----------------|---|
| Type of<br>surgery                             | n       | proportion<br>(%) random<br>effects             | 92% CI         | P value,<br>I <sup>2</sup>                                  |
| Endoscopic<br>surgery                          | 77      | 2.20  | 0.01-9.17      | P=0.187   |
| Open   | 265     | 3.19  | 0.49-8.13      | l <sup>2</sup> =40.35%<br>P=0.02                            |
| surgery  | 200     | 0.10  | 0.40-0.10      | l <sup>2</sup> =68%   |
| Rate of chor                                   | ioamnio | nitis   |                |   |
| Type of<br>surgery                             | n       | Total<br>proportion<br>(%)<br>random<br>effects | 95% CI         | P value,<br>I <sup>2</sup>                                  |
| Endoscopic<br>surgery (3<br>studies)           | 77      | 5.69  | 1.72-<br>11.79 | P=0.37<br>l <sup>2</sup> =0%                                |
| Open<br>surgery (4<br>studies)                 | 265     | 3.37  | 1.55-5.85      | P=0.44<br>l <sup>2</sup> =0%                                |
| and open sur                                   | gery.   |   |                | ·   |
|  |         | Tatal   | 95% CI         | Duraling 12   |
| Type of<br>surgery                             | n       | Total<br>proportion<br>(%)<br>random<br>effects |                | P value, l <sup>2</sup>                                     |
| Type of  |         | proportion<br>(%)<br>random                     | 1.33-<br>37.93 | P value, I <sup>2</sup><br>P=0.008<br>I <sup>2</sup> =78.8% |
| Type of<br>surgery<br>Endoscopic<br>surgery (3 |         | proportion<br>(%)<br>random<br>effects          | 1.33-          | P=0.008   |

## Study 6 Lu GC (2001)

#### Details

| Study type                                   | Case report   |
|--|---|
| Country                                      | USA   |
| Recruitment period                           | Not reported  |
| Study population and number                  | n=1 case of in utero open myelomeningocele repair   |
| Age and sex                                  | Age of the woman not reported. Female infant born.  |
| Patient criteria                             | Mild fetal ventriculomegaly, a Chiari type II malformation and a myelomeningocele with highest level of involvement at L5 noted at 20 weeks sonogram. |
| Technique                                    | Open repair of myelomeningocele at 24 weeks gestation through fundal hysterotomy, closed in 2 layers.   |
| Follow-up                                    | 9 hours   |
| Conflict of<br>interest/source of<br>funding | none  |

#### Key efficacy and safety findings

Safety

Number of patients analysed: 1

#### Pulmonary hypoplasia

No intraoperative complications noted after in utero repair. Postoperative course was complicated by pulmonary oedema, abdominal pain, chronic oligohydramnios, persistent mild stable ventriculomegaly. At 33 weeks gestation infant was delivered by a caesarean delivery.

The postnatal course was complicated by severe respiratory distress syndrome caused by pulmonary hypoplasia at 9 hours after birth. Despite ventilatory support, infant died from cardiopulmonary arrest.

Abbreviations used:

# Validity and generalisability of the studies

- Prenatal repair of myelomeningocele was compared with postnatal repair in only 2 studies (1 RCT and a meta-analysis)<sup>1,2</sup>. The meta-analysis (of an RCT and prospective cohort study) assessed the neurodevelopment outcomes of children at 1-year follow-up<sup>2</sup>.
- Two types of prenatal repair (fetoscopic and open techniques) were reported in many
  observational studies (with large to small cohorts and varied follow-up periods). There are no RCTs
  comparing open fetal surgery with endoscopic fetal surgery.
- 3 systematic reviews (with mainly observational studies) assessed the obstetrical, neonatal outcomes and complication rates after prenatal repair (by fetoscopic surgery and by open fetal surgery).<sup>3-5</sup>
- These two techniques evolved over time, reported some heterogeneity in clinical practice in different countries and variation in outcomes. Studies also reported that in some cases with large defects, different materials have been used for closure of the defect.
- There is limited data on long term outcomes.

## Existing assessments of this procedure

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine recommend that

- Open maternal-fetal surgery for myelomeningocele repair has been demonstrated to improve a number of important paediatric outcomes at the expense of procedure-associated maternal and fetal risks.
- This surgery should only be offered to carefully selected women with pregnancies complicated by fetal meningocele who meet established criteria for in utero repair. They should be counselled in nondirective fashion regarding all management options, including the possibility of open maternal-fetal surgery.
- Interested candidates for fetal myelomeningocele repair should be referred for further assessment and consultation to a fetal therapy center that offers this intervention and possesses the expertise, multidisciplinary team, services and facilities to provide detailed information regarding maternal-fetal surgery and the intensive care required for patients who choose to undergo open maternal-fetal surgery.<sup>8</sup>

The Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada clinical practice guideline (2014) recommends that 'following the detection of an isolated open/closed neural tube defect, families should be offered a choice of 3 obstetrical care management options after diagnostic and genetic testing results are available as part of informed consent process. Options should include information about *prenatal* myelomeningocele repair and prognosis (if there are no maternal or fetal

contraindications for prenatal repair at 20–26 weeks' gestation), *postnatal* myelomeningocele surgical repair and prognosis, and *pregnancy termination* with autopsy.<sup>9</sup>

The MOMS Myelomeningocele Maternal-Fetal Management Task Force (MFMTF) in 2014 recommended standards for all fetal centres offering inutero repair of spina bifida. The criteria include: fetal spina bifida repairs should only be performed in established centers that employ a *multidisciplinary team approach; fetal surgery team must have experience working together and individual members must have a level of expertise in their field; the level of expertise demanded requires an adequate, annual volume of cases to maintain competency; new programs must receive guidance and training from established programs; MOMS protocol should be followed in all stages of care with few exceptions; ongoing care should be performed in multidisciplinary spina bifida clinics; counseling should be full disclosure and nondirective in nature, followed by a 24-hour reflective period; outcome data from all centers should be kept in a national registry with periodic review and a collaborative approach to reporting and research should be maintained; and close links between centers and community providers are essential.<sup>10</sup>* 

# **Related NICE guidance**

There is currently no NICE guidance related to this procedure.

# Additional information considered by IPAC

## Specialist advisers' opinions

Specialist advice was sought from consultants who have been nominated or ratified by their Specialist Society or Royal College. The advice received is their individual opinion and is not intended to represent the view of the society. The advice provided by Specialist Advisers, in the form of the completed questionnaires, is normally published in full on the NICE website during public consultation, except in circumstances but not limited to, where comments are considered voluminous, or publication would be unlawful or inappropriate. Two Specialist Advisor Questionnaires for open prenatal repair for open neural tube defects in the fetus were submitted and can be found on the <u>NICE website</u>.

## Patient commentators' opinions

NICE's Public Involvement Programme will seek patient organisation submissions instead of patient commentary because the procedure has only been done in very few women.

## Company engagement

There is no specific device used for this procedure other than those normally used in surgical procedures. If there is insufficient dura or skin to complete the closure, then a patch substitute (single layer dermal regeneration template) is used for repair. These products are not CE marked for use in this procedure/indication. They are mainly considered as off label use. Therefore, no structured information requests were sent to companies.

## Issues for consideration by IPAC

- Ongoing studies
  - NCT01983345: Prenatal Surgical Repair of Fetal Myelomeningocele, non-randomised study, 50 participants, recruiting, start date July 2014, estimated completion date March 2022.

# References

- 1. Adzick, Ns, Thom, Ea, Spong, Cy, Brock, Jw, Burrows, Pk, Johnson, Mp, Howell, Lj, Farrell, Ja, Dabrowiak, Me, Sutton, Ln and et al (2011). A randomized trial of prenatal versus postnatal repair of myelomeningocele. New England journal of medicine (364) 11 993-1004.
- 2. Inversetti, A, Van der Veeken, Lennart, Thompson, Dominic, Jansen, Katrien, Van Calenbergh, Frank, Joyeux, Luc, Bosteels, Jan and Deprest, Jan (2018). Neurodevelopmental outcome in children with spina bifida aperta repaired prenatally versus postnatally: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology (06) 06.
- 3. Kabagambe SK, Jensen GW et al (2018). Fetal Surgery for Myelomeningocele: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Outcomes in Fetoscopic versus Open Repair. Fetal Diagnosis & Therapy (43) 3 161-174.
- 4. Araujo Junior E, Tonni E, Gabriele and Martins, et al (2016). Outcomes of infants followed-up at least 12 months after fetal open and endoscopic surgery for meningomyelocele: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Evidence-based Medicine (9) 3 125-135.
- 5. Araujo Junior E, Eggink AJ, van den Dobbelsteen J et al (2016). Procedure-related complications of open vs endoscopic fetal surgery for treatment of spina bifida in an era of intrauterine myelomeningocele repair: systematic review and meta-analysis. Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology (48) 2 151-60.
- 6. Lu GC, Steinhauer J et al (2001). Lethal pulmonary hypoplasia after in-utero myelomeningocele repair. Obstetrics and gynaecology, 98 (4), 698-701.
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Committee opinion no. 720: maternal-fetal surgery for myelomeningocele. Obstet Gynecol. 2017; <u>https://www.acog.org/-/media/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Obstetric-</u> <u>Practice/co720.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20190624T1048186597</u>
- Wilson RD, Wilson RD, Audibert F, et al (2014). SOGC Genetics Committee, Special Contributors, Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada. Prenatal screening, diagnosis, and pregnancy management of fetal neural tube defects. J Obstet Gynaecol Can; 36(10): 927–942.
- 9. Cohen AR, Couto J, Cummings JJ, et al (2014). MMC Maternal-Fetal Management Task Force. Position statement on fetal myelomeningocele repair. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 210(2): 107–111.

# Additional relevant papers

The following table outlines the studies that are considered potentially relevant to the IP overview but were not included in the main data extraction table (table 2). It is by no means an exhaustive list of potentially relevant studies.

| Article   | Number of<br>patients/follow-up  | Direction of conclusions  | Reasons for<br>non-inclusion<br>in table 2   |
|---|--|---|--|
| Antiel RM, Collura CA<br>et al (2017). Physician<br>views regarding the<br>benefits and burdens of<br>prenatal surgery for<br>myelomeningocele.<br>Journal of Perinatology<br>(37) 9 994-998.                                 | Survey of 1200<br>specialists.   | 57% responded, most disagreed that open fetal<br>surgery places an unacceptable burden on<br>women and their families. Most agreed that<br>denying the benefits of open maternal-fetal<br>surgery is unfair to the future child. Most (94%)<br>would recommend prenatal fetoscopic over<br>open or postnatal closure for a hypothetical<br>fetoscopic technique that had similar shunt<br>rates (40%) but decreased maternal morbidity.   | Physicians<br>views  |
| Antiel RM, Adzick NS et<br>al (2016). Impact on<br>family and parental<br>stress of prenatal vs<br>postnatal repair of<br>myelomeningocele.<br>American journal of<br>obstetrics and<br>gynaecology (215) 4<br>522.e1-522.e6. | MOMS study<br>RCT<br>N=183 women<br>randomised (91 prenatal<br>versus 92 postnatal<br>surgery group)<br>171 women completed<br>the Impact on Family<br>Scale and 172<br>completed the Parenting<br>Stress Index at both 12<br>and 30 months. | The prenatal surgery group had significantly<br>lower revised 15-item Impact on Family Scale<br>scores as well as familial-social impact<br>subscale scores compared to the postnatal<br>surgery group (P =.02 and 0.004, respectively).<br>There was no difference in total parental stress<br>between the 2 groups (P = .89) or in any of the<br>Parenting Stress Index Short Form subscales.<br>The overall negative family impact of caring for<br>a child with spina bifida, up to 30 months of<br>age, was significantly lower in the prenatal<br>surgery group compared to the postnatal<br>surgery group. Ambulation status and family<br>resources were predictive of impact on family<br>and parental stress.   | Impact on<br>family and<br>parental stress   |
| Antiel RM, Flake AW et<br>al (2017). Specialty-<br>Based Variation in<br>Applying Maternal-Fetal<br>Surgery Trial Evidence.<br>Fetal Diagnosis &<br>Therapy (42) 3 210-<br>217.   | Survey of 1200<br>specialists.   | 57% responded. Compared to postnatal<br>closure, 33% viewed prenatal closure as "very<br>favorable" and 60% as "somewhat favorable."<br>Most physicians reported being more likely to<br>recommend prenatal surgery (69%), while 28%<br>were less likely to recommend pregnancy<br>termination. The vast majority of pediatric<br>subspecialists view prenatal closure favorably.<br>These attitudes vary by specialty and risk<br>tolerance.   | Physician<br>views   |
| Antiel RM, Janvier A et<br>al (2018). The<br>experience of parents<br>with children with<br>myelomeningocele who<br>underwent prenatal<br>surgery. Journal of<br>Pediatric Rehabilitation<br>Medicine (11) 4 217-<br>225.     | Mixed methods study<br>109 parents of children<br>with myelomeningocele<br>(MMC) completed<br>questionnaires   | Parents were well informed, after a diagnosis,<br>most learned about options from obstetrician,<br>although one-third were not told about the<br>option of prenatal surgery. About one-fourth of<br>these parents felt pressure to undergo one<br>particular option. Half of parents said that<br>having a child with MMC has had a positive<br>impact on them and their family, while the other<br>half indicated that having a child with MMC has<br>had both positive and negative impacts. The<br>most commonly noted positive impacts were<br>changes in parental attitudes, as well as having<br>new opportunities and relationships. The most<br>frequently reported negative impacts concerned<br>relational and financial strain. The vast majority<br>of parents indicated that they would still<br>undergo prenatal surgery. | Parents<br>perceptions<br>regarding<br>provider<br>communication,<br>treatment<br>choices, and<br>the family<br>impact of<br>having a child<br>with MMC. |

| Barini R, Barreto, MW<br>et al (2006). Abruptio<br>placentae during fetal<br>myelomeningocele<br>repair. Fetal Diagnosis<br>& Therapy (21) 1 115-7.  | Case report<br>N=1 open prenatal<br>surgical repair at L2.<br>Hysterotomy closed in<br>two layers.  | Abruptio placentae and fetal death reported.   | Included in<br>systematic<br>review added<br>to table 2<br>(Junior A<br>2016). |
|--|---|--|--|
| Bennett KA, Carroll M A<br>et al (2014). Reducing<br>perinatal complications<br>and preterm delivery for<br>patients undergoing in<br>utero closure of fetal<br>myelomeningocele:<br>further modifications to<br>the multidisciplinary<br>surgical technique.<br>Journal of<br>Neurosurgery.<br>Pediatrics. (14) 1 108-<br>14. | Case series<br>N=43 patients who had<br>in utero<br>myelomeningocele<br>closure compared with<br>data for 78 patients who<br>had undergone fetal<br>repair as part of MOMS<br>(the MOMS cohort). For<br>the study cohort, no<br>uterine trocar was used,<br>and uterine entry,<br>manipulation, and<br>closure were modified to<br>minimize separation of<br>the amniotic membrane. | The incidence of premature rupture of membranes (22% vs 46%, p = 0.011) and chorioamnion separation (0% vs 26%, p < 0.001) were lower for the study cohort than for the MOMS cohort. Incidence of oligohydramnios did not differ between the cohorts. The mean (+/- SD) gestational age of 34.4 (+/- 6.6) weeks for the study cohort was similar to that for the MOMS cohort (34.1 +/- 3.1 weeks). However, the proportion of infants born at term (37 weeks or greater) was significantly higher for the study cohort (16 of 41; 39%) than for the MOMS cohort (16 of 78; 21%) (p = 0.030). Compared with 10 (13%) of 78 patients in the Study cohort were delivered earlier than 30 weeks of gestation (p = 0.084, approaching significance). For the study cohort, 2 fetal deaths were attributed to the intervention, and both were believed to be associated with placental disruption; one of these mothers had previously unidentified thrombophilia. Mortality rates did not statistically differ between the cohorts. | Included in<br>systematic<br>review added<br>to table 2                        |
| Botelho RD, Imada V et<br>al (2017). Fetal<br>Myelomeningocele<br>Repair through a Mini-<br>Hysterotomy.<br>Fetal Diagnosis &<br>Therapy (42) 1 28-34.   | Case series<br>N=45 women had fetal<br>myelomeningocele<br>repair through a mini<br>hysterotomy.  | There were no maternal, fetal or neonatal deaths. No maternal or fetal complications occurred until maternal hospital discharge. The average gestational age (GA) at surgery was 24.5 weeks. The median hysterotomy length was 3.05 cm. One patient (1/39; 2.6%) experienced chorioamniotic separation. Nine patients (9/39; 23.1%) had premature preterm rupture of membranes at a median GA of 34.1 weeks. The average GA at delivery was 35.3 weeks. Ninety-five percent (37/39) of our patients had an intact hysterotomy site at delivery. Ventriculoperitoneal shunt placement was necessary for 7.7% (3/39) of the neonates.  | Modified open<br>surgical<br>approach<br>though a mini<br>hysterotomy.         |
| Bruner JP, Tulipan NB<br>et al (2000). In utero<br>repair of<br>myelomeningocele: a<br>comparison of<br>endoscopy and<br>hysterotomy. Fetal<br>Diagnosis & Therapy<br>(15) 2 83-8.   | Retrospective<br>comparative case series<br>N=4 fetuses with<br>isolated<br>myelomeningocele had<br>endoscopic coverage of<br>the defect with a<br>maternal split-thickness<br>skin graft at 22-24<br>weeks' gestation T12 -<br>S5 versus 4 fetuses with<br>myelomeningocele had<br>standard neurosurgical<br>closure at 28-29 weeks'<br>gestation.                                 | The mean operating time for endoscopic<br>procedures was 297 +/- 69 min. Two fetal<br>losses occurred as a result of chorioamnionitis<br>and placental abruption, respectively. A third<br>baby delivered at 28 weeks' gestation after<br>prolonged disruption of the membranes. The 2<br>survivors required standard closure of the<br>myelomeningocele after delivery. The mean<br>operating time for the hysterotomy procedures<br>was 125 +/- 8 min. No mortality occurred, and<br>all the infants delivered between 33 and 36<br>weeks with well-healed myelomeningocele<br>scars. The functional levels of all infants<br>approximate the anatomical levels of the<br>lesions. In utero repair through a hysterotomy<br>appears to be technically superior to<br>procedures performed endoscopically.  | Larger studies<br>included in<br>table 2.                                      |
| Bruner JP, Tulipan N et al (2004). Intrauterine  | Case series<br>(retrospective)  | This study suggests that, among fetuses who underwent operation in utero for spina bifida,   | Study included in systematic   |

| repair of spina bifida:<br>preoperative predictors<br>of shunt-dependent<br>hydrocephalus.<br>American Journal of<br>Obstetrics &<br>Gynecology (190) 5<br>1305-12.  | N=116<br>Open approach  | fetuses with a ventricular size of <14 mm at the<br>time of surgery, fetuses who had surgery at<br><or=25 and="" fetuses="" gestation,="" of="" weeks="" with<br="">defects that were located at <or=l4 less<br="" were="">likely to require ventriculoperitoneal shunting for<br/>hydrocephalus during the first year of life.</or=l4></or=25>  | review added<br>to table 2.                              |
|--|---|--|--|
| Bruner JP, Boehm, FH<br>and Tulipan, N (1999).<br>The Tulipan-Bruner<br>trocar for uterine entry<br>during fetal surgery.<br>American journal of<br>obstetrics and<br>gynecology (181) 5 Pt 1<br>1188-1191.    | Case series<br>N=10 patients<br>undergoing hysterotomy<br>for intrauterine repair of<br>myelomeningocele were<br>randomized to initial<br>uterine entry with<br>electrocautery or with<br>the Tulipan-Bruner<br>trocar.                                 | The time required for initial uterine entry with<br>electrocautery was 231 +/- 63 seconds<br>compared with 146 +/- 51 seconds with the<br>trocar (P <.05). The total blood loss for all 10<br>cases was <50 mL, but the presence of blood in<br>the wound was judged much more inconvenient<br>when electrocautery was used. Electrocautery<br>required 2 surgical assistants, whereas the<br>trocar was readily placed with only a single<br>assistant. The Tulipan-Bruner trocar provides<br>quicker, less traumatic uterine entry during<br>creation of a hysterotomy, as compared with<br>electrocautery.  | More<br>comprehensive<br>studies added<br>to table 2.    |
| Bruner JP, Tulipan N et<br>al (1999). Fetal surgery<br>for myelomeningocele<br>and the incidence of<br>shunt-dependent<br>hydrocephalus.<br>JAMA (282) 19 1819-<br>25.   | Case series<br>N=29 fetal MMC open<br>surgery versus 23<br>matched controls.<br>Follow-up 6 months<br>after delivery  | Among study infants, the requirement for<br>ventriculoperitoneal shunt placement was<br>significantly decreased (59% vs 91%; P = .01).<br>The median age at shunt placement was also<br>older (50 vs 5 days; P = .006). Reduced<br>incidence of hindbrain herniation (38% vs 95%;<br>P<.001) reported. Following hysterotomy, study<br>patients had an increased risk of<br>oligohydramnios (48% vs 4%; P = .001) and<br>admission to the hospital for preterm uterine<br>contractions (50% vs 9%; P = .002). The<br>estimated gestational age at delivery was<br>earlier for study patients (33.2 vs 37.0 weeks;<br>P<.001), and the birth weight of study neonates<br>was less (2171 vs 3075 g; P<.001). | Larger studies<br>included in<br>table 2.                |
| Canaz H, Alatas I et al<br>(2018). Comparison of<br>percutaneous minimally<br>invasive fetoscopic<br>surgery and open fetal<br>surgery: Single center<br>experience. Child's<br>Nervous System (34) 5<br>1034. | Comparative case<br>series<br>N=4 fetosopic surgery<br>cases versus 3 open<br>fetal surgery cases.  | Although short term results are similar in both<br>techniques, there are some differences in<br>surgical management, anesthesiology,<br>postoperative and postnatal care.  | Larger studies<br>added to table<br>2.                   |
| Clayton DB, Tanaka ST<br>et al (2011). Long-term<br>urological impact of<br>fetal myelomeningocele<br>closure. Journal of<br>Urology (186) 4 SUPPL.<br>1581-1585.  | Retrospective case<br>control<br>N=28 Open fetal<br>myelomeningocele<br>surgery<br>Follow-up mean 129.6<br>months<br>Retrospective review<br>and survey<br>questionnaire -study<br>were compared to 33<br>matched patients who<br>had postnatal closure | At a mean age of 9.6 years 23 used clean<br>intermittent catheterization to manage the<br>bladder, 24 required a bowel regimen to<br>manage constipation and 6 underwent lower<br>urinary tract reconstruction with<br>enterocystoplasty and a catheterizable bladder<br>channel. Videourodynamics performed in 14<br>patients at a mean age of 7.4 years revealed<br>decreased bladder capacity in 71%, detrusor<br>overactivity in 35% and increased detrusor<br>pressure in 25%. Compared to matched<br>children who underwent postnatal closure no<br>significant differences were noted in bladder<br>management, urinary tract surgery or<br>urodynamics.  | Included in<br>systematic<br>review added<br>to table 2. |
| Carr, MC (2015).<br>Urological results after<br>fetal myelomeningocele   | Retrospective case series   | 4 fetal deaths occurred, and majority of patients<br>followed up 5 years. 10 patients (18.5%) have<br>successfully toilet-trained, while 2 patients have   | Included in<br>systematic                                |

| repair in pre-MOMS trial<br>patients at the<br>Children's Hospital of<br>Philadelphia.<br>Fetal Diagnosis &<br>Therapy (37) 3 211-8.  | N=54 open fetal<br>myelomeningocele<br>surgery between 21 and<br>25 weeks' gestation.<br>Follow-up mean >12<br>months (5 years)  | bowel continence and 1 had bladder continence<br>but required enemas; 2 patients who<br>successfully toilet-trained developed spinal<br>dermoid cysts requiring surgical resection.  | review added to table 2.   |
|---|--|--|--|
| Corral E, Sepulveda W<br>et al  (2019). Use of<br>plastic wound retractor<br>at hysterotomy site in<br>prenatal repair of<br>myelomeningocele: a<br>new technique.<br>Journal of Maternal-<br>Fetal & Neonatal<br>Medicine 1-161. | Case series<br>N=16 cases of open<br>surgery for prenatal<br>repair of<br>myelomeningocele<br>using a new technique<br>(use of a plastic wound<br>retractor at hysterotomy<br>site during prenatal<br>repair of<br>myelomeningocele to<br>minimise surgical<br>trauma).  | When compared with MOMS trial, the use of<br>the retractor was associated with a lower, rate<br>of chorioamniotic membrane separation (20/78<br>(26%) versus 2/16 (13%), respectively),<br>preterm rupture of membranes (36/78 (46%)<br>versus 4/16 (25%), respectively), and persistent<br>oligohydramnios (16/78 (21%) versus 1/16<br>(6%), respectively) as well as higher gestational<br>age at delivery (34.1 weeks +/- 3.1 versus 36.0<br>weeks +/- 1.93, respectively) and birthweight<br>(2383 g +/- 688 versus 2790 g +/- 529,<br>respectively). There were no intra- or<br>postoperative complications associated with the<br>use of the device. | Modified open<br>surgical<br>approach in<br>prenatal repair<br>(preliminary<br>study). |
| Brock JW, Carr MC et<br>al (2015). Bladder<br>Function After Fetal<br>Surgery for<br>Myelomeningocele.<br>Pediatrics (136) 4 e906-<br>13.   | Retrospective case<br>series-sub-study of<br>MOMs study.<br>N=56 open fetal<br>myelomeningocele<br>surgery<br>Follow-up mean 12-30<br>months.  | Prenatal surgery did not significantly reduce the<br>need for clean intermittent catheterization (CIC)<br>by 30 months of age but was associated with<br>less bladder trabeculation and open bladder<br>neck. The implications of these findings are<br>unclear now but the need for long-term urologic<br>follow-up of patients is needed.  | Included in<br>systematic<br>review added<br>to table 2.                               |
| Danzer E, Johnson MP<br>et al (2004). Fetal head<br>biometry following in-<br>utero repair of<br>myelomeningocele.<br>Ultrasound in Obstetrics<br>& Gynecology (24) 6<br>606-11.  | Case series<br>N=50 fetuses had open<br>fetal myelomeningocele<br>repair   | Preoperatively, the head circumference in<br>fetuses with myelomeningocele was smaller<br>than control values (186.4 vs. 198.8 mm, P =<br>0.0004). 8 weeks' postoperatively this<br>difference had resolved (293 vs. 301.6 mm, P =<br>0.76). The mean increase in cortical index after<br>repair was 20% (P = $0.02$ ) compared with the<br>predicted 51% in normal cases. The average<br>increase in ventricular diameter was 3.9 mm<br>(38.8%, P < $0.001$ ). Mid-gestational repair of<br>myelomeningocele alters fetal head growth.  | Impact on fetal<br>head biometry.  |
| Danzer E, Johnson MP<br>et al (2007). Fetal head<br>biometry assessed by<br>fetal magnetic<br>resonance imaging<br>following in utero<br>myelomeningocele<br>repair. Fetal Diagnosis<br>& Therapy (22) 1 1-6.                     | Retrospective<br>comparative study<br>N=22<br>myelomeningocele<br>(MMC) foetuses with<br>prenatal repair were<br>compared to the pre-<br>and postnatal<br>measurements of<br>patients who had MMC<br>repair after birth (n = 16)<br>and a cohort of age-<br>matched control<br>patients (prenatal, n =<br>52; postnatal, n = 9). | Mid-gestational repair of MMC promotes<br>normalization of extra-axial cerebrospinal fluid<br>(CSF) spaces. Due to progressive<br>ventriculomegaly, brain thickness remains<br>decreased in both prenatal repaired and age-<br>matched non-repaired MMC patients when<br>compared to age-matched normal values.<br>Restoration of CSF volume in the posterior<br>fossa after in utero repair is indicative of<br>reversal of hindbrain herniation.   | Impact on fetal<br>head biometry<br>and CSF<br>spaces.                                 |
| Danzer E, Thomas NH<br>et al (2016). Long-term<br>neurofunctional<br>outcome, executive<br>functioning, and<br>behavioral adaptive<br>skills following fetal  | Retrospective case<br>series<br>N=54 patients<br>underwent open<br>fetoscopic MMC surgery  | 33 (79%) are community ambulators, 3 (9%)<br>are household ambulators, and 6 (14%) are<br>wheelchair dependent. Preschool ambulation<br>was predictive of long-term ambulation (P <<br>.01), whereas the need for tethered cord<br>surgery was associated with persistent<br>deterioration of ambulatory status (P = .007).  | Included in<br>systematic<br>review added<br>to table 2.                               |

| myelomeningocele<br>surgery. American<br>Journal of Obstetrics &<br>Gynecology (214) 2<br>269.e1-269.e8.   | Median follow up 10<br>years.  | Normal bladder function was found in 26%.<br>Although the majority scored within the average<br>range for the Behavioral Regulation Index,<br>Metacognition Index, and Global Executive<br>Composite indices, significantly more children<br>who had fMMC surgery had deficits in EF in all<br>3 BRIEF indices compared with the population<br>norms. The general adaptive composite scores<br>were also more likely to fall below average<br>following fMMC surgery. Normal early<br>neurodevelopmental outcomes were predictive<br>of normal EF and BAS (P < .01). Need for<br>shunting was associated with a significant<br>impairment of BAS 26/54 (P = .02).  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Danzer E, Gerdes M et<br>al (2009). Lower<br>extremity neuromotor<br>function and short-term<br>ambulatory potential<br>following in utero<br>myelomeningocele<br>surgery. Fetal<br>Diagnosis & Therapy<br>(25) 1 47-53.                                   | Retrospective case<br>series<br>N=54 open fetal MMC<br>surgery<br>Follow-up 66 months  | We observed that fetoscopic MMC surgery in<br>this highly selective population results in better<br>than predicted Lower extremity neuromotor<br>function at birth and short-term ambulatory<br>status. However, fetoscopic MMC toddlers<br>continue to demonstrate deficits in movement<br>coordination that are characteristic for children<br>with spina bifida.  | Included in<br>systematic<br>review added<br>to table 2. |
| Danzer E, Finkel R et al<br>(2010).The relationship<br>of seizure activity and<br>chronic epilepsy in early<br>infancy and short-term<br>neurodevelopmental<br>outcome following fetal<br>myelomeningocele<br>closure.<br>Neuropediatrics (41) 3<br>140-3. | Retrospective review<br>N=54 children had<br>fetoscopic MMC repair<br>databases and a<br>parental questionnaire<br>used.   | The incidence of seizures in fetoscopic MMC<br>children was similar to previously reported data<br>of postnatally repaired MMC patients. Seizure<br>activity alone without chronic epilepsy was not<br>associated with a worse neurocognitive<br>outcome. The occurrence of severe acquired<br>intracranial injury and chronic epilepsy,<br>however, appeared to be correlated with<br>adverse neurocognitive outcome following<br>fetoscopic MMC surgery.   | Outcomes<br>already<br>reported in<br>table 2.           |
| Danzer E, Finkel RS et<br>al (2008). Reversal of<br>hindbrain herniation<br>after maternal-fetal<br>surgery for<br>myelomeningocele<br>subsequently impacts<br>on brain stem function.<br>Neuropediatrics (39) 6<br>359-62.                                | Retrospective case<br>series (survey)<br>N=48 open fetal MMC<br>surgery<br>Follow-up mean 72<br>months.  | The majority of fetoscopic MMC children<br>developed no or only mild brain stem<br>dysfunction at follow-up. Our data support the<br>hypothesis that neurodevelopmental deficits<br>associated with MMC are at least partially<br>acquired and that reversal of hindbrain<br>herniation following fetoscopic MMC surgery<br>may help to reduce the incidence and severity<br>of brain stem dysfunction.  | Included in<br>systematic<br>review added<br>to table 2. |
| Danzer E, Gerdes M et<br>al (2010). Preschool<br>neurodevelopmental<br>outcome of children<br>following fetal<br>myelomeningocele<br>closure. American<br>Journal of Obstetrics &<br>Gynecology (202) 5<br>450.e1-9.                                       | Retrospective case<br>series<br>N=54 children<br>underwent Fetoscopic<br>MMC (fMMC) closure.<br>30 (56%) returned at 5<br>years of age for<br>standardized<br>neurocognitive<br>examination. | Mean verbal intelligence quotient (VIQ),<br>performance intelligence quotient (PIQ), and full<br>intelligence quotient (FIQ) scores were within<br>normal population range. High-average or<br>average scores for VIQ, PIQ, FIQ, and<br>processing speed were found in 93%, 90%,<br>90%, and 60%, respectively. Mean FIQ and<br>processing speed of nonshunted children were<br>significantly higher than for those who required<br>shunt placement (P=.02 and P=.01,<br>respectively). Mean VIQ and PIQ tended to be<br>higher in nonshunted fMMC children (P=.05).<br>The majority of fMMC children in this highly<br>selective population had average preschool<br>neurodevelopmental scores. fMMC children<br>who did not require shunt placement were more<br>likely to have better scores. | Included in<br>systematic<br>review.                     |

| Danzer E, Gerdes M et<br>al (2011). Fetal<br>myelomeningocele<br>surgery: preschool<br>functional status using<br>the Functional<br>Independence Measure<br>for children (WeeFIM).<br>Childs Nervous System<br>(27) 7 1083-8.  | Retrospective case<br>series<br>N=26 open fetal MMC<br>surgery<br>Follow-up 60 months   | The majority of fMMC children achieved<br>cognitive and mobility independence, but<br>continue to require significant assistance in<br>self-care. Non-shunted and fMMC children with<br>normal neurodevelopmental outcome were<br>more likely to be independent in daily living<br>activities. Better understanding of the extent of<br>functional limitations following fMMC surgery<br>will allow for more effective early interventions<br>geared toward maximizing independence in<br>everyday tasks in all environments.  | Included in<br>systematic<br>review added<br>to table 2. |
|--|---|--|--|
| Elbabaa, SK,<br>Gildehaus, AM et al<br>(2017). First 60 fetal in-<br>utero<br>myelomeningocele<br>repairs at Saint Louis<br>Fetal Care Institute in<br>the post-MOMS trial<br>era: hydrocephalus<br>treatment outcomes<br>(endoscopic third<br>ventriculostomy versus<br>ventriculo-peritoneal<br>shunt). Childs Nervous<br>System (33) 7 1157-<br>1168. | Case series<br>retrospective<br>N=60 fetal MMC inutero<br>repairs at 20- 26 weeks<br>using standard<br>hysterotomy method                         | 30 infants and toddlers underwent treatment of<br>hydrocephalus (25 ETV and VPS groups). 25<br>patients underwent ETV (24 primary ETV and 1<br>after shunt failure). Nineteen patients<br>underwent shunt placements (6 primary/13<br>after ETV failure). Mean GA at time of MMC<br>repair for the ETV group was 24 + 6/7 weeks<br>(range 22 + 4/7 to 25 + 6/7). Mean follow up for<br>patients who had a successful ETV was 17.25<br>months (range 4-57 months). Bayley<br>neurodevelopmental testing results were<br>examined pre- and post-ETV. Overall ETV<br>success rate was 11/24 (45.8%) at the time of<br>this study. The total number of patients who<br>underwent shunt placement was 19/55 (34.5%),<br>while shunting rate was 40% in the MOMS trial.<br>young age (less than 6 months) and late GA at<br>time of fetal MMC repair (after 23 weeks GA)<br>were predictors for ETV failure, while in-utero<br>stability of ventricular size (less than 4 mm) and<br>in-utero ventricular size post-repair <=15.5 mm<br>were predictors for ETV success. | Hydrocephalus<br>treatment<br>outcomes                   |
| Friszer S, Dhombres, F<br>et al (2016).<br>Preliminary results from<br>the French study on<br>prenatal repair for fetal<br>myelomeningoceles<br>(the PRIUM study) (in<br>French). Journal de<br>Gynecologie<br>Obstetrique et Biologie<br>de la Reproduction (45)<br>7 738-744.  | Prospective case series<br>N=3<br>Open prenatal surgery<br>for MMC.<br>Follow-up:<1 year  | Study suggests that only a limited number of<br>couples will choose this procedure after<br>specialized counselling in a reference centre.   | Included in<br>systematic<br>review added<br>to table 2. |
| Farmer DI, Thom EA, et<br>al (2018). The<br>Management of<br>Myelomeningocele<br>Study: full cohort 30-<br>month pediatric<br>outcomes. American<br>journal of obstetrics and<br>gynecology (218) 2<br>256.e1-256.e13.   | RCT<br>N=183 with MMC<br>67/92 standard<br>postnatal repair versus<br>62/91 prenatal open<br>repair <26 weeks<br>gestation<br>Follow-up 30 months | Prenatal repair improves the primary outcome<br>composite score of mental development and<br>motor function (199.4 $\pm$ 80.5 vs 166.7 $\pm$ 76.7, P<br>= .004). Prenatal surgery also resulted in<br>improvement in the secondary outcomes of<br>independent ambulation (44.8% vs 23.9%, P =<br>.004), WeeFIM self-care score (20.8 vs 19.0, P<br>= .006), functional level at least 2 better than<br>anatomic level (26.4% vs 11.4%, P = .02), and<br>mean Bayley Scales of Infant Development,<br>Second Edition, psychomotor development<br>index (17.3% vs 15.1%, P = .03), but does not<br>affect cognitive development at 30 months. The<br>full cohort data of 30-month cognitive<br>development and motor function outcomes<br>validate in utero surgical repair as an effective<br>treatment for fetuses with myelomeningocele.<br>Current data suggest that outcomes related to<br>the need for shunting should be counseled  | Included in<br>systematic<br>review added<br>to table 2. |

|  |   | separately from the outcomes related to distal  |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| Graf K, Kohl T et al   | Retrospective cohort  | neurologic functioning.<br>20/71 (28%) patients underwent early postnatal   | Included in  |
| (2016). Percutaneous<br>minimally invasive<br>fetoscopic surgery for<br>spina bifida aperta. Part<br>III: neurosurgical<br>intervention in the first<br>postnatal year.<br>Ultrasound in Obstetrics<br>& Gynecology (47) 2<br>158-61.          | study.<br>N=71 fetuses which<br>underwent minimally<br>invasive fetoscopic<br>patch coverage of SBA<br>between 21 + 0 and 29<br>+ 1 weeks of gestation.<br>Postnatal neurosurgical<br>procedures were<br>classified into two types:<br>re-coverage of the SBA<br>within the first 3 months<br>following birth, and<br>shunt placement as<br>treatment of associated<br>hydrocephalus within<br>the first year.<br>Follow-up: 1 year | neurosurgical intervention by means of re-<br>coverage of the SBA. This was performed<br>because of cerebrospinal fluid leakage in seven<br>(35%), adhesions with functional deterioration<br>in three (15%), incomplete coverage in five<br>(25%) and skin defect in five (25%) cases.<br>Ventriculoperitoneal shunt placement within 1<br>year was required in 32 (45%) cases and was<br>preceded by ventriculostomy in two. Three (4%)<br>infants needed Chiari decompression surgery in<br>the first 12 months following birth, because of<br>syringomyelia or gait disturbance.<br>Fetoscopic patch coverage of SBA may require<br>postnatal re-coverage in some cases. In most<br>cases, conservative wound treatment shows<br>good results, without requiring neurosurgical<br>intervention. The low 1-year-shunt rate is<br>comparable to data of the Management of<br>Myelomeningocele Study and lower compared<br>with published data of patients with postnatal<br>only coverage of SBA. | systematic<br>review added<br>to table 2.  |
| Grant RA, Heuer GG et<br>al (2011). Morphometric<br>analysis of posterior<br>fossa after in utero<br>myelomeningocele<br>repair. Journal of<br>Neurosurgery.<br>Pediatrics. (7) 4 362-8.   | Retrospective analysis<br>N=29 patients who had<br>in utero MMC repair, 24<br>who had postnatal MMC<br>repair and 1114 fetal<br>and paediatric controls<br>without defects.   | Myelomeningocele was associated with<br>tonsillar herniation and a smaller PF than in<br>control fetuses. Antenatal surgical repair<br>corrected both abnormalities. The CSO angle<br>began significantly more acutely in patients with<br>MMC, but normalized with development<br>regardless of when surgery was performed.<br>Determining the clinical effects of antenatal<br>repair requires further follow-up.   | Morphometric<br>changes in<br>posterior fossa.   |
| Grivell, RM, Andersen<br>C and Dodd JM (2014).<br>Prenatal versus<br>postnatal repair<br>procedures for spina<br>bifida for improving<br>infant and maternal<br>outcomes.<br>Cochrane Database of<br>Systematic Reviews 10<br>CD008825 Oct 28. | Cochrane review<br>Randomised controlled<br>trials comparing<br>prenatal and postnatal<br>repair of<br>meningomyelocele for<br>fetuses with spina bifida<br>and different types of<br>prenatal repair.  | Included 1 trial Adzick 2011 (four reports)<br>involving 158 women, examined the effect of<br>prenatal repair versus postnatal repair. This<br>review is based one small well-conducted<br>study. There is insufficient evidence to<br>recommend drawing firm conclusions on the<br>benefits or harms of prenatal repair as an<br>intervention for fetuses with spina bifida.<br>Current evidence is limited by the small number<br>of pregnancies that have been included in the<br>single conducted randomised trial to date.   | More<br>comprehensive<br>systematic<br>reviews<br>included in<br>table 2.                              |
| Hamdan AH, Walsh W<br>et al (2002). Gestational<br>age at intrauterine<br>myelomeningocele<br>repair does not<br>influence the risk of<br>prematurity. Fetal<br>Diagnosis & Therapy<br>(17) 2 66-8.  | Retrospective case<br>series<br>N=95 infants who had<br>intrauterine MMC repair.<br>(group 1 n=51, >/=25<br>weeks gestation age,<br>group 2 n=44, <25<br>weeks).  | Group 1 median gestational age at delivery was $34.4$ weeks (range $32.6-35.3$ ). Group 2 the median gestational age at delivery was $34$ weeks (range $31.6-35.3$ ; p = 0.88). Early intrauterine myelomeningocele repair before 25 week's gestation does not decrease the gestational age at delivery when compared with repair after 25 weeks.   | Effect of<br>gestational age<br>during repair on<br>duration of<br>pregnancy.                          |
| Hamdan AH, Walsh W<br>et al (2004). Intrauterine<br>myelomeningocele<br>repair: effect on short-<br>term complications of<br>prematurity. Fetal<br>Diagnosis & Therapy<br>(19) 1 83-6.   | Retrospective study<br>N=100 infants who had<br>intrauterine MMC repair.<br>44 infants were born<br><34 weeks gestation. 74<br>matched controls were<br>studied.  | 11 infants from the IUMR group and 23 infants<br>from the control group developed respiratory<br>distress syndrome (29.7 vs. 31.1%; $p = 0.8$ ).<br>Six infants from the IUMR group and 13 infants<br>from the control group developed chronic lung<br>disease (16.2 vs. 17.5%; $p = 0.9$ ). The length of<br>stay was 28 (range 2-82) days for the IUMR<br>group and 24 (range 1-99) days ( $p = 0.09$ ) for<br>the control group. There was also no significant<br>difference between groups with regard to   | Prematurity<br>following<br>prenatal MMC<br>repair -<br>outcomes<br>already<br>reported in<br>table 2. |

|   |   | •  |   |
|---|---|--|---|
| Hisaba WJ, Cavalheiro   | Prospectivo caso sorios   | intraventricular hemorrhage and days on<br>ventilators. There is no difference between<br>short-term complications of prematurity<br>following IUMR and those associated with<br>prematurity resulting from other causes.  | Included in   |
| S et al (2012).<br>Intrauterine<br>myelomeningocele<br>repair Postnatal results<br>and follow-up at 3.5<br>years of age - Initial<br>experience from a<br>single reference service<br>in Brazil. Child's<br>Nervous System (28) 3<br>461-467. | Prospective case series<br>N=6 children who had<br>open surgical repair of<br>MMC between 26 and<br>27 weeks gestation.<br>Follow up 3.5 months.  | Ventricular-peritoneal shunts were placed in<br>2cases. All 6 children are alive:5 have normal<br>cognitive development and 1 has a<br>neuropsychomotor developmental delay. 2<br>children had normal leg movements, a sacral<br>functional level and are community ambulators.<br>3 children had upper lumbar anatomical level<br>lesions and 1 had a lower thoracic level lesion<br>at the time of fetal surgery. 1 child, with an L1-<br>L2 anatomical level lesion, in noambulatory and<br>fully dependent on a wheelchair for mobility.   | systematic<br>review added<br>to table 2.                                 |
| Holmes NM, Nguyen<br>HT et al (2001). Fetal<br>intervention for<br>myelomeningocele:<br>effect on postnatal<br>bladder function.<br>Journal of Urology (166)<br>6 2383-6.   | Case series<br>(retrospective review)<br>N=6 had prenatal<br>surgical repair of<br>myelomeningocele<br>before 24 weeks<br>gestation.  | Patients treated in utero appear to have the<br>same changes in urodynamic parameters and<br>anatomical abnormalities in the urinary tract as<br>other children with spinal defects who have<br>undergone standard postnatal care. The long-<br>term effects on bladder function in the fetus<br>after in utero repair of myelomeningocele<br>remain unknown.  | Larger studies<br>included in<br>table 2.                                 |
| Horst M, Mazzone L et<br>al (2017). Prenatal<br>myelomeningocele<br>repair: Do bladders<br>better? Neurourology &<br>Urodynamics (36) 6<br>1651-1658.   | Case series<br>(prospective)<br>8 patients who had<br>prenatal MMC closure<br>with a postnatal follow-<br>up of 2 years compared<br>with eight patients after<br>postnatal MMC repair.  | The level of the bony spinal defect was similar<br>in both groups. Urological evaluation at 2 years<br>revealed normal bladder function in 50% after<br>prenatal repair. Neurogenic bladder dysfunction<br>requiring CIC and anticholinergic therapy was<br>seen in 50% in the prenatal and in 100% in the<br>postnatal group. Significant bladder wall<br>thickening was found in 37.5% and 87.5%,<br>respectively. Febrile UTIs occurred in 37.5% in<br>the prenatal and 62.5% in the postnatal group<br>during the observation period. Our data suggest<br>a positive effect of prenatal MMC closure on<br>lower urinary tract function.  | Larger studies<br>included in<br>table 2.                                 |
| Joyeux L, Engels AC et<br>al (2016). Fetoscopic<br>versus Open Repair for<br>Spina Bifida Aperta: A<br>Systematic Review of<br>Outcomes. Fetal<br>Diagnosis & Therapy<br>(39) 3 161-71.   | Systematic review on<br>fetoscopic spina bifida<br>aperta repair (FSBAR)<br>with the results of the<br>open approach<br>(OSBAR) as in the<br>Management Of<br>Myelomeningocele<br>Study (MOMS) (n=78)).<br>Inclusion criteria were<br>studies of spina bifida<br>aperta patients who<br>underwent FSBAR and<br>were followed for >=12<br>months. 5 studies<br>included. | Statistical analysis was on 2 overlapping case<br>series (n = 51 and 71). In those, FSBAR was<br>technically different from OSBAR, had<br>comparable perinatal mortality (7.8 vs. 2.6%, p<br>= 0.212) and shunt rate at 12 months (45 vs.<br>40%, p = 0.619), longer operation time (223 vs.<br>105 min, p < 0.001), higher preterm prelabor<br>membrane rupture rate (84 vs. 46%, p < 0.001),<br>earlier gestational age at birth (32.9 vs. 34.1<br>weeks, p = 0.03), higher postnatal reoperation<br>rate (28 vs. 2.56%, p < 0.001) and absence of<br>uterine thinning or dehiscence (0 vs. 36%, p <<br>0.001). Functional outcomes were not<br>available. FSBAR utilizes a different<br>neurosurgical technique, takes longer to<br>complete, induces more prematurity, requires<br>additional postnatal procedures, yet has a<br>comparable shunt rate and is not associated<br>with uterine thinning or dehiscence. Long-term<br>functional data are awaited. | More<br>comprehensive<br>systematic<br>reviews<br>included in<br>table 2. |
| Johnson, MP, Sutton,<br>LN et al (2003). Fetal<br>myelomeningocele<br>repair: short-term<br>clinical outcomes.  | Retrospective case<br>series<br>Open approach<br>N=50   | Perinatal survival was 94% (47/50 fetuses).<br>Mean age at delivery was 34 weeks 3 days. All<br>fetuses demonstrated reversal of hindbrain<br>herniation. Forty-three percent of the 50 fetuses<br>have required ventriculoperitoneal shunting   | Included in<br>systematic<br>review added<br>to table 2                   |

| American Journal of<br>Obstetrics &<br>Gynecology (189) 2<br>482-7.  | Level of lesion S1 or<br>higher, lateral<br>ventricle<17mm.<br>Hysterotomy closed in 2<br>layers.   | compared with 100% thoracic, 88% lumbar,<br>and 68% sacral (85% overall) in 297 historic<br>controls. Better-than-predicted leg function was<br>seen in 57% of thoracic and lumbar level lesion<br>patients. Early experience with fetal MMC<br>repair suggests a decreased need for<br>ventriculoperitoneal shunting, arrest or slowing<br>of progressive ventriculomegaly, and consistent<br>resolution of hindbrain herniation.   |   |
|--|---|--|---|
| Johnson, MP, Bennett,<br>KA, et al (2016).The<br>Management of<br>Myelomeningocele<br>Study: obstetrical<br>outcomes and risk<br>factors for obstetrical<br>complications following<br>prenatal surgery.<br>American journal of<br>obstetrics and<br>gynecology (215) 6<br>778.e1-778.e9.              | Retrospective case<br>series<br>183 randomized (91 to<br>prenatal and 92 to<br>postnatal surgery)<br>Pregnancy outcomes<br>were compared<br>between the 2 surgery<br>groups | prenatal surgery was associated with an<br>increased risk for membrane separation,<br>oligohydramnios, spontaneous membrane<br>rupture, spontaneous onset of labor, and earlier<br>gestational age at birth. In multivariable logistic<br>regression of the prenatal surgery group<br>adjusting for clinical center, earlier gestational<br>age at surgery and chorioamniotic membrane<br>separation were associated with increased risk<br>of spontaneous membrane rupture (odds ratio,<br>1.49; 95% confidence interval, 1.01-2.22; and<br>odds ratio, 2.96, 95% confidence interval, 1.05-<br>8.35, respectively). Oligohydramnios was<br>associated with an increased risk of<br>subsequent preterm delivery (odds ratio, 9.21;<br>95% confidence interval, 2.19-38.78).<br>Nulliparity was a risk factor for nonintact<br>hysterotomy (odds ratio, 3.68; 95% confidence<br>interval, 1.35-10.05). | Overlapping<br>data with<br>MOMs trial<br>(follow-up data<br>of the MOMS<br>study). |
| Johnson MP, Gerdes M<br>et al (2006). Maternal-<br>fetal surgery for<br>myelomeningocele:<br>neurodevelopmental<br>outcomes at 2 years of<br>age. American Journal<br>of Obstetrics &<br>Gynecology (194) 4<br>1145-50; discussion<br>1150-2.  | Retrospective case<br>series<br>N=30<br>Fetal MMC surgery -<br>open approach<br>Mean follow-up= >12<br>months.  | Overall shunt rate was 43% in this group.<br>Neurodevelopmental testing found 67% with<br>cognitive language and personal-social skills in<br>the normal range, 20% with mild delays, and<br>13% with significant delays. Children with<br>shunted hydrocephalus scored lower than<br>those with unshunted ventriculomegaly.<br>Children have characteristic<br>neurodevelopmental deficits that do not appear<br>worsened by fetal surgery, and developmental<br>outcomes may be improved by decreasing the<br>need for ventriculoperitoneal shunting.  | Included in<br>systematic<br>review added<br>to table 2                             |
| Kabagambe, SK.,<br>Chen, YJ. and Farmer,<br>DL (2017). Fetal<br>surgery for<br>myelomeningocele:<br>current clinical practice<br>and translational<br>research.<br>Minerva Pediatrica (69)<br>1 59-65.   | This review summarizes<br>the trends in fetoscopic<br>and open fetal repair of<br>MMC.  | The fetoscopic approach to fetal MMC repair is<br>a promising alternative to the open approach if<br>preterm birth and persistent CSF leakage can<br>be overcome.  | Review  |
| Macedo A, Jr, Leal M et<br>al (2015). Urological<br>evaluation of patients<br>that had undergone in<br>utero<br>myelomeningocele<br>closure: A prospective<br>assessment at first<br>presentation and early<br>follow-up. Do their<br>bladder benefit from it?<br>Neurourology &<br>Urodynamics (34) 5 | in utero<br>myelomeningocele<br>(MMC) closure at 25<br>weeks gestation age.<br>n=19 patients<br>follow-up=5.4 months  | Birth occurred at a mean gestational age of<br>31.8 weeks 26-36. Hyperactive bladder was<br>observed in 89.5% 17/19. Bladder compliance<br>was normal in two cases (10.5%), was<br>markedly reduced in 10 patients (52.6%) and<br>not possible to be determined due to urinary<br>leakage in 7 patients (36.8%). We observed<br>normal bladder capacity in 8 patients (42.1%),<br>reduced in 11 (57.9%), and detrusor-sphincter<br>dyssynergia in 9 patients (47.4%). Underactive<br>bladder was diagnosed in one case. Clean<br>Intermittent Catheterization was initiated by 11<br>patients (57.9%) mostly in association with   | Urodynamic<br>study.  |

| 461-4.  |  | anticholinergics 10/11. Vesicoureteral reflux<br>was found in 5 patients (26.3%) and 9 had<br>pyelonephritis at a mean follow-up of 5.4<br>months 2-17.  |   |
|---|--|--|---|
| Macedo, A., Ottoni, SL<br>et al (2019). In utero<br>myelomeningocoele<br>repair and urological<br>outcomes: the first 100<br>cases of a prospective<br>analysis. Is there an<br>improvement in bladder<br>function? BJU<br>International. | Case series<br>N=100 in utero<br>myelomeningocoele<br>(MMC) repair<br>Patients were<br>categorised into four<br>groups: normal, high<br>risk (overactive bladder<br>with a detrusor leak-<br>point pressure >40 cm<br>H2O and high filling<br>pressures also >40 cm<br>H2O), incontinent, and<br>underactivity<br>(underactive bladder<br>with post-void residual<br>urine).<br>Follow-up mean 5.8<br>months | Patients classified as high risk in 52.6%,<br>incontinent in 27.4%, with underactive bladder<br>in 4.2%, and only 14.7% had a normal bladder<br>profile. Clean intermittent catheterisation was<br>initiated in 57.3% of the patients and<br>anticholinergics in 52.6%. Antibiotic prophylaxis<br>was initiated in 19.1% of the patients presenting<br>with vesico-ureteric reflux.  | Urodynamic<br>evaluation                                |
| Manrique S, Maiz, N et<br>al (2018). Maternal<br>anaesthesia in open<br>and fetoscopic surgery<br>of foetal open spinal<br>neural tube defects: A<br>6-year observational<br>study.<br>European Journal of<br>Anaesthesiology (30).       | Retrospective cohort<br>study<br>N=29 fetouses had<br>myelomeningocele<br>repair (7 open approach<br>and 22 fetoscopic<br>approach).   | There were no significant differences in maternal doses of opioids or neuromuscular blocking agents. Open surgery was associated with higher dose of halogenated anaesthetic agents [maximum medium alveolar concentration (MAC) sevoflurane 1.90 vs. 1.50%, P = 0.01], higher need for intra-operative tocolytic drugs [five of seven (71.4%) and two of 22 (9.1%) required nitroglycerine, P = 0.001], higher volume of colloids (500 vs. 300 ml, P = 0.036) and more postoperative tocolytic drugs (three drugs in all seven cases (100%) of open and in one of 21 (4.76%) of fetoscopic surgery, P < 0.001). Median mean arterial pressure was lower in open than in fetoscopic surgery. SBP, DBP and mean blood pressure decreased during uterine exposure, and this descent was more acute in open surgery. Use of vasoconstrictor drugs was related to the time of uterine exposure, but not to surgical technique. Blood gas analysis was not affected by CO2 insufflation during fetoscopic surgery. Open surgery was associated with more maternal haemodynamic changes and higher doses of halogenated anaesthetic and tocolytics agents than fetoscopic surgery. | Anaesthetic<br>management                               |
| Pastuszka, A,<br>Bohosiewicz, J et al<br>(2018). Prenatal<br>myelomeningocele<br>repair improves urinary<br>continence and reduces<br>the risk of constipation.<br>Neurourology &<br>Urodynamics (37) 8<br>2792-2798.                     | Comparative case<br>series<br>n-72 patients with MMC<br>(36 prenatal surgery<br>versus 36 postnatal<br>surgery).   | Urodynamic and imaging studies showed no<br>differences between the test groups. Children<br>from the prenatally operated group showed<br>statistically significant lower number of urinary<br>tract infections, better urine continence, and<br>less frequent constipation. Time of MMC repair<br>does not statistically influence the urodynamic<br>tests results and the urodynamic parameters<br>are not the prognostic elements to assess the<br>social urinary continence possibility in patients<br>with the neurogenic bladder.  | Similar studies<br>included in<br>systematic<br>reviews |
| Tulipan, N, Wellons, JC,<br>et al (2015). Prenatal  | RCT<br>N=183   | The primary outcome occurred in 73% of infants in the prenatal surgery group and in  | Overlapping<br>data with                                |

| surgery for<br>myelomeningocele and<br>the need for<br>cerebrospinal fluid<br>shunt placement.<br>Journal of<br>neurosurgery.<br>Pediatrics (16) 6 613-<br>620.   | 91 women were<br>randomized to prenatal<br>surgery and 92 to<br>postnatal repair.<br>Follow up 1 year.   | 98% in the postnatal group (p < 0.0001). Actual rates of shunt placement were only 44% and 84% in the 2 groups, respectively (p < 0.0001). The authors revised the most commonly met criterion to require overt clinical signs of increased intracranial pressure, defined as split sutures, bulging fontanelle, or sunsetting eyes, in addition to increasing head circumference or hydrocephalus. Using these modified criteria, only 3 patients in each group met criteria but did not receive a shunt. For the revised composite outcome, there was a difference between the prenatal and postnatal surgery groups: 49.5% versus 87.0% (p < 0.0001). There was also a significant reduction in the number of children who had a shunt placed and then required a revision by 1 year of age in the prenatal group (15.4% vs 40.2%, relative risk 0.38 [95% CI 0.22-0.66]). In the prenatal surgery group, 20% of those with ventricle size < 10 mm at initial screening, 45.2% with ventricle size $\geq$ 15 mm received a shunt, whereas in the postnatal group, 79.4%, 86.0%, and 87.5%, respectively, received a shunt (p = 0.02). Lesion level and degree of hindbrain herniation appeared to have no effect on the eventual need for shunting (p = 0.19 and p = 0.13, respectively). Similar results were obtained for the revised outcome. | MOMs study<br>(follow-up<br>outcomes of<br>the MOMS).        |
|---|--|---|--|
| Zamlynski, J,<br>Horzelska, E et al<br>(2017). Current views<br>on fetal surgical<br>treatment of<br>myelomeningocele - the<br>Management of<br>Myelomeningocele<br>Study (MOMS) trial and<br>Polish clinical<br>experience.<br>Ginekologia Polska (88)<br>1 31-35. | Review   | The main goal of fetal MMC repair is to improve<br>development and life quality of children with<br>Chiari II malformation. Management of<br>Myelomeningocele Study (MOMS) which was<br>published in 2011 clearly confirmed<br>effectiveness of prenatal surgery. In this paper<br>we compare MOMS results with our own<br>clinical experience (n=71).  | Review   |
| Zarutskie, A,<br>Guimaraes, C et al<br>(2019). Prenatal brain<br>imaging for predicting<br>postnatal<br>hydrocephalus<br>treatment in fetuses<br>that had neural tube<br>defect repair.<br>Ultrasound in Obstetrics<br>& Gynecology (08) 08.                        | Prospective cohort<br>study<br>N=55 fetouses (32 had<br>open hysterotomy and<br>18 had fetoscopic<br>repair).<br>Follow-up 1 year<br>Pre and post surgical<br>brain images assessed. | Persistence of hindbrain herniation on MRI 6<br>weeks after prenatal neural tube defect repair<br>independently predicted the need for postnatal<br>hydrocephalus tretament better than any<br>ultrasound or MRI-derived measurements of<br>ventricular characteristics. These results will aid<br>in prenatal counseling and add support to the<br>hypothesis that hindbrain herniation is a<br>significant driver of hydrocephalus in<br>myelomeningocele patients.   | Imaging results<br>for predicting<br>postnatal<br>treatment. |

# Literature search strategy

| Databases   | Date<br>searched | Version/files                |
|---|------------------|------------------------------|
| Cochrane Database of Systematic<br>Reviews – CDSR (Cochrane<br>Library)           | 01/02/2019       | Issue 2 of 12, February 2019 |
| Cochrane Central Database of<br>Controlled Trials – CENTRAL<br>(Cochrane Library) | 01/02/2019       | Issue 2 of 12, February 2019 |
| HTA database (CRD website)  | 01/02/2019       | n/a                          |
| MEDLINE (Ovid)  | 31/01/2019       | 1946 to January 30, 2019     |
| MEDLINE In-Process (Ovid) &<br>MEDLINE ePubs ahead of print<br>(Ovid)             | 31/01/2019       | January 30, 2019             |
| ÈMBÁSE (Ovid)   | 31/01/2019       | 1974 to 2019 January 30      |
| BLIC  | 01/02/2019       | n/a                          |

The following search strategy was used to identify papers in MEDLINE. A similar strategy was used to identify papers in other databases.

- 1 Meningomyelocele/ (3896)
- 2 MENINGOCELE/ (2629)
- 3 Meningomyelocel\*.tw. (911)
- 4 Meningocel\*.tw. (1512)
- 5 Neural Tube Defects/ (7396)
- 6 (open\* adj4 neural\* adj4 tube\* adj4 defect\*).tw. (363)
- 7 ONTD\*.tw. (41)
- 8 Myelomeningocel\*.tw. (3101)
- 9 Spinal Dysraphism/ (5819)
- 10 (Spinal adj4 dysraph\*).tw. (1049)
- 11 Spina Bifida Cystica/ (267)
- 12 (Spina\* adj4 bifida\* adj4 cystic\*).tw. (241)
- 13 (Spina\* adj4 bifida adj4 apert\*).tw. (176)
- 14 (Spina\* adj4 bifida adj4 manifest\*).tw. (22)
- 15 ((Congenital\* or famil\* or open\*) adj4 spina\* adj4 bifida\*).tw. (492)
- 16 OSB.tw. (153)
- 17 Arnold-Chiari Malformation/ (3219)
- 18 ((Arnold-Chiari or "Arnold Chiari") adj4 Malformation\*).tw. (776)
- 19 (("type II" or "type 2" or type-II or type-2) adj4 Chiari adj4 malformation\*).tw. (166)
- 20 ANENCEPHALY/ (3017)
- 21 Anencephal\*.tw. (2714)
- 22 Cephalocel\*.tw. (223)
- 23 HYDROCEPHALUS/ and FETUS/ (257)
- 24 (Hydrocephal\* adj4 (prenatal or fetal or f?etus\* or "in uter\*" or in-uter\* or "intra uterin\*" or intrauterin\* or intra-uterin\*)).tw. (532)
- 25 "fluid\* on the brain".tw. (5)
- 26 or/1-25 (26323)

27 ((prenatal or fetal or f?etus\* or "in uter\*" or in-uter\* or "intra uterin\*" or intrauterin\* or intra-uterin\*) adj4 (surgical\* or surger\* or repair\* or interven\*)).tw. (4890)

- 28 (open\* adj4 (surger\* or surgical\* or repair\*)).tw. (41157)
- 29 Fetoscopy/ (1645)
- 30 Endoscopy/ (49167)
- 31 ((endoscop\* or fetoscop\*) adj4 repair\*).tw. (1269)
- 32 Hysterotomy/ (227)
- 33 hysterotom\*.tw. (905)

34 (("in uter\*" or in-uter\* or "intra uterin\*" or intrauterin\* or intra-uterin\* or prematur\*) adj4 closure\*).tw. (1068)

- 35 Duragen\*.tw. (25)
- 36 Allogen.tw. (44)
- 37 (Biodesign adj4 Duroplasty).tw. (0)
- 38 Duraform.tw. (7)
- 39 (DePuy adj4 Synthes).tw. (53)
- 40 (Alloderm adj4 LifeCell).tw. (78)
- 41 or/27-40 (97469)
- 42 26 and 41 (787)
- 43 animals/ not humans/ (4508736)
- 44 42 not 43 (702)
- 45 limit 44 to english language (622)