NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment

IPG734 Focal resurfacing implants to treat articular cartilage damage in the knee

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

Briefing

- 1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the briefing process (development of the brief or discussion at the committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?
 - Age: Cartilage damage as a result of disease, trauma or sport injuries can occur more commonly in adolescents and young adults.
 - Sex: Cartilage damage progressing to significant osteoarthritis may be more common in women.
 - Disability: Some people with chondral defects in the knee may be covered under the Equality Act 2010 if their symptoms have a substantial adverse effect on day-to-day activities for longer than 12 months.
- 2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the committee? (If there are exclusions listed in the brief (for example, populations, treatments or settings), are these justified?)

This was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure. No exclusions were applied.

Equality impact assessment IP: IPG734

3. Has any change to the brief (such as additional issues raised during the committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equality issues?

No.

4. Have any additional stakeholders related to potential equality issues been identified during the committee meeting, and, if so, have changes to the stakeholder list been made?'

No.

Consultation

- 1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the briefing process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?
 - Age: mean age ranged from 38 to 56 years in the included studies.
 - Sex: 4 studies contained a majority of women, 2 studies contained a majority of men, and 3 studies did not report.
- 2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

This procedure is aimed at people who may be too old for biological treatment and too young for arthroplasty. The ages of people included in the studies align with this. This was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure.

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?

Not applicable

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?

No

Equality impact assessment IP: IPG734

Name: Alan Ashworth

Approved by Consultant Clinical Advisor

Date: March 2022

Final interventional procedures document

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

Not applicable

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Equality impact assessment IP: IPG734

Not applicable

5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so, where?

No

Anastasia Chalkidou

Associate Director

Date: 01/07/2022