# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

#### INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

## **Equality impact assessment**

# IPG801 Alcohol-mediated perivascular renal sympathetic denervation for resistant hypertension

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

#### **Briefing**

1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the briefing process (development of the brief or discussion at the committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?

Age: Blood pressure tends to increase with advancing age.

Gender: Up to about 65 years, men tend to have a higher blood pressure than women. Between 65 to 74 years of age, women tend to have a higher blood pressure.

Ethnicity: People of Black African and Black Caribbean origin are more likely to be diagnosed with hypertension.

Disability: People with hypertension are likely to be covered by the Equality Act 2010 if their condition has had a substantial adverse impact on normal day to day activities for over 12 months or is likely to do so.

2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the committee? (If there are exclusions listed in the brief (for example, populations, treatments or settings), are these justified?)

This was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure. No exclusions were applied.

3.	Has any change to the brief (such as additional issues raised during the committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equality issues?	
No		
4.	Have any additional stakeholders related to potential equality issues been identified during the committee meeting, and, if so, have changes to the stakeholder list been made?'	
No		
Consu	ultation	
1.	Have the potential equality issues identified during the briefing process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?	
The key evidence reviewed by the committee included people with hypertension at a mean age from 54 to 60 years, and most were men. When ethnicity was reported, most people were white, followed by Black or African American.		
No specific data relating to the issue of disability was identified in the literature presented in the overview.		
2.	Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?	
No		

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No		
4.	Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?	
No		
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?	
Not applicable		
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?	
Not applicable		
7.	Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?	
No		

### **Approved by Health Technology Assessment Adviser**

### **Evan Campbell**

**Date:** 18/11/2024

Equality impact assessment IP: IPG801 © NICE 2024. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

# Final interventional procedures document

1.	Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?
No	
2.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
Not a	pplicable
3.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
Not a	pplicable
4.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?
Not a	pplicable

5.	Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so, where?
No	

**Approved by Programme Director** 

**Anastasia Chalkidou** 

**Date:** 11/03/2025