

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Medical Technologies Evaluation Programme

MT 192 - Debrisoft single use pad for debridement of acute and chronic wounds

Expert Adviser Questionnaire Responses

Name of Expert Advisers	Job Title	Professional Organisation/ Specialist Society	Nominated by	Ratified
Mr Duncan S W Stang	Chief Podiatrist	Society of Chiropodists & Podiatrists (Feet for Life)	Sponsor	Y
Dr Louis Fligelstone	General and Vascular Surgeon	Vascular Society of Great Britain and Ireland	Specialist Society	-
Ms Sian Fumarola	Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	Tissue Viability Society	Sponsor	Y
Ms Sue Johnson	Clinical Nurse Specialist	Vascular Society of Great Britain and Ireland	Specialist Society	-
Professor Peter Vowden	Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Vascular Society of Great Britain and Ireland	Specialist Society	-
Mr Steven John Boom	Vascular Surgeon	Vascular Society of Great Britain and Ireland	Specialist Society	-
Ms Sylvie Hampton	Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	Royal College of Nursing	Sponsor	Y
Ms Kathryn Vowden	Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Royal College of Nursing	Sponsor	Y
Mr Douglas Orr	Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Vascular Society of Great Britain and Ireland	Specialist Society	-
Mr Paul Tisi	Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Vascular Society of Great Britain and Ireland	Specialist Society	-
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan	Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of	Tissue Viability Society	NICE	Y

	Governance			
Mr Jonathan Hossain	Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	Vascular Society of Great Britain and Ireland	Specialist Society	-

YOUR PERSONAL EXPERIENCE (IF ANY) WITH THIS TECHNOLOGY

Question 2: Please indicate your experience with this technology?

Expert Advisers	I have had direct involvement with this	I have referred patients for its use	I manage patients on whom it is used in another part of their care pathway	I would like to use this technology but it is not currently available to me
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	Yes	Blank	Blank	Blank
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	No	No	Yes	No
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	Yes	Yes	No	No
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Yes	Blank	Yes	Blank
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Yes	Yes	Blank	Blank
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	No	No	No	Yes
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Yes	No	Yes	No
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No	No	Yes	Yes
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No	No	No	Yes
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	Yes	No	No	No
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	Yes	No	Yes	Blank
<i>Any Comments?</i>				
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	I use this product regularly and effectively in my clinic			
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	If the evaluation confirms clinical benefit and cost effectiveness over existing technologies (as claimed by product info) it would be a worthwhile addition to the armamentarium of those caring for the appropriate patients.			
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	Blank			
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Blank			
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	I have a specific interest in wound care and have run a wound care clinic for the last 20 years as part of my vascular surgical practice			
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Blank			

Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	It is an excellent method of cleaning wounds without damaging the newly formed tissues and surface vessels in a wound
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Blank
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Blank
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Blank
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	Blank
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	Currently available

Question 3: Have you been involved in any kind of research on this technology? If Yes, please describe?

Expert Advisers	Yes/No	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	No	Blank
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	No	Blank
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	Yes	Patient case studies
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Yes	The original UK evaluation published and presented at Wounds UK 2011
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	No	Although I have conducted a clinical evaluation of the products effectiveness before electing to include it in our local wound care formulary
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	No	Blank
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	No	I have taken part in an internal and initial assessment of its benefits but now use it as part of my daily work
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	No	Blank
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No	Blank
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No	Blank
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	No	Blank

Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	No	Blank
---	-----------	--------------

THIS PRODUCT (TECHNOLOGY) AND ITS USE

Question 4: How would you best describe this technology?

Expert Advisers	It is a minor variation on existing technologies with little potential for different outcomes and impact	It is a significant modification of an existing technology with real potential for different outcomes and impact	It is thoroughly novel - different in concept and/ or design to any existing
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	Blank	Blank	Yes
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	No	No	Yes
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	No	No	Yes
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	No	No	Yes
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Blank	Blank	Yes
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	No	No	Yes
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	Blank	Blank	Yes
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	No	No	Yes
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No	No	Yes
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No	Yes	No

Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	No	No	Yes
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	Blank	Blank	Yes
<i>Any Comments?</i>			
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	I am not aware of another product that does the same job		
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	The concept of minimal damage and maximal debridement is usually the benefit of larvae. The potential for this new form of debridement - could speed up a process that usually results in several days of dressings to moisten hyperkeratosis prior to soft mechanical removal. At a time where the NHS is addressing the reduction in funding, the concept that 'Time is money' applies here.		
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	Blank		
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Blank		
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Provides healthcare professionals with a simple method of conducting primary and maintenance debridement as well as offering a useful tool for wound and skin cleansing		
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Looks a little too good to be true. If is as good as claimed it may also have domestic applications which may help reduce the cost of manufacture for medical use.		
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	The alternative to Debrisoft is gauze which can be rough and does not remove the dead tissue from skin or the slough from the wound		
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Blank		

<p>Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon</p>	<p>This is a product which removes slough from chronic ulcers rapidly and effectively which should speed up ulcer healing. Currently such ulcers require prolonged, specialist dressings to remove this slough or surgical intervention and this product should help to speed this process up. Whilst I have not used this product myself, I have discussed it with our wound dressing specialist nurse who has used it and feels that it is effective.</p>
<p>Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon</p>	<p>Blank</p>
<p>Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance</p>	<p>Blank</p>
<p>Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant</p>	<p>Blank</p>

Question 5: What is the most appropriate use (e.g. clinical indication) for the technology?

Expert Advisers	Comment
<p>Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist</p>	<p>This product is used to debride slough within diabetic foot ulcers and to disrupt biofilms which both prevent / inhibit healing</p>
<p>Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon</p>	<p>Any wound with necrotic slough - including burns, acute/chronic ulcers, sloughy post op wounds, edges of granulating wounds - see below.</p> <p>Patients with hyperkeratosis - includes advanced venous hypertension, lymphoedema patients, those with chronic oedema (cardiac failure, renal failure, hypoalbuminaemia etc.). Patients presenting with advanced neglect.</p> <p>Ulcers due to any non-malignant cause, including pressure necrosis, neuropathic ulcers (commonest cause diabetic neuropathy).</p>
<p>Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability</p>	<p>rapid debridement of the wound bed to enable accurate wound assessment and management plan. Accurate wound assessment is vital in acute care to enable decision making and ensure that patients receive the correct management at the beginning of the care episode. Approximately 30% of in-patients have a wound. correct management has quality and cost implications. Debrisft can be used in a variety of wound aetiologies. I have used this product to debride haematoma, surgical wounds, leg ulcers, pressure ulcers and trauma wounds.</p>
<p>Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist</p>	<p>Debridement of non viable tissue in leg ulceration, diabetic foot ulceration and preparation of skin pre-amputation. Debridement of sloughy tissue in an acute wound. To stimulate cell activity in a static wound</p>
<p>Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research</p>	<p>This technology is particularly useful for removing adherent slough and "biofilm" from the wound bed and assists in wound debridement. Clinical experience has shown that the product is extremely well tolerated by patients and is simple to use and generally painfree</p> <p>We hve also found the product effective in removing accumulated cellular debris and emollients from intact skin, for example in patients with lymphoedema</p>
<p>Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon</p>	<p>To clean slough from infected ulcers/open wounds and to remove necrotic/hyperkeratotic skin.</p>
<p>Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant</p>	<p>It is used on wounds that have slough or dead tissue or on hyperkeratotic skin to remove the build up of dead cells. We place patients legs into warm</p>

<p>Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care</p>	<p>1. removal of hyperkeratosis or eczematous skin. 2. It is of some benefit in wound cleansing where slough is loose or moist it is not suitable in all cases. 3. It can aid the removal of foreign material from a traumatic wound (grit from a superficial graze)</p>
<p>Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon</p>	<p>It is used to deslough ulcers. It appears to be effective and rapidly improves the ulcer where other treatments may take many days to achieve similar results.</p>
<p>Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon</p>	<p>In my practice debridement of neuropathic ulcers and surrounding hyperkeratotic skin. May be too painful to use on venous ulceration but will depend on patient/ pain tolerance.</p>
<p>Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance</p>	<p>I personally have found this product useful for patients with hyperkeratosis and sloughy wounds which require debridement, specifically in the care of lower limb ulceration. I would use this product when there is a light covering of slough over the wound or where the surrounding tissues are covered with dry, flakey skin</p>
<p>Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant</p>	<p>Used for rapid debridement of wounds - Novel approach to mechanical debridement.</p>

COMPARATORS (including both products in current routine use and also “competing products”)

Question 6: Given what you stated is the appropriate indication (clinical scenario) for its use, what are the most appropriate "comparators" for this technology which are in routine current use in the NHS?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	There are no other simple safe and easy to use comparators
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	Larvae, aqueous or lytic dressings, sharp debridement, ultrasound or water jet debridement
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	gauze swabs have historically been used in a similar way however I do not feel that this is either a similar product or achieves similar outcomes. Surgical scrub brushes are used in emergency care centres/ minor injury units to debride wounds. This often requires a local anaesthetic and can be very painful. Debrisoft is very gentle by comparison. Maggots are used to debride wounds, the cost is significantly higher and treatment time longer. Sharp debridement with a surgical blade can be performed by a specialist practitioner. This often requires a hospital or specialist clinic appointment and may be painful. A variety of wound dressings and topical products can be used to debride wounds. This can be a lengthy and expensive process, requiring regular dressing changes/ reviews by a nurse.
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Autolytic debriders i.e. hydrogels, hydrocolloids. Maggot Larval Therapy. Mechanical debriders i.e. Versajet. Moisturisers re pre-amputation skin conditioning.
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	There are no true comparators for this technology. It provides a simple "non-technical" tool for mechanical debridement that could be used in any healthcare setting allowing effective debridement to be integrated into any dressing change
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Sharp debridement, surfactant solutions, Versajet debridement, maggots, ColatampEG (unlicensed use), topical colloids
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	There are no comparators. Mist can be used to clean the slough but cannot clear the hyperkeratotic skin. Gauze is not a good choice or there is nothing

Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	1. skin cleansing with water and emollients (but the effects are not as good as Debrisoft) 2. wound cleansing with water or solution, or debridement using either dressings or scalpel although a direct comparison cannot be made. 3. cleansing of trauma wounds is done using a soft brush, again some wounds will still require a soft brush
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Standard Wound Dressings
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Biological (larvae), other wound dressings (honey, Ag, Actiform Cool), surgical debridement
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	Gauze swabs
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	No other methods of mechanical debridement routinely used

Question 7: "Competing products": Are you aware of any other products which have been introduced with the same purpose as this one?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	No
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	None - other than those mentioned in 6 above.
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	No
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Blank
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	There are no directly competing products although a number of alternative debridement methods exist which can produce a similar effect. These include larval debridement, Versajet and ultrasonic debridement. All of these processes supplement and support the action of autolytic debridement.
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Cutimed Sorbact is marketed as able to remove bacteria from wounds
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	None. This is unique in action. Mist is an alternative for the slough but is more expensive and is probably more for the intractable wound
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	No
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	None
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	No

Mr Jonathan Hossain
Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant

Versajet offers hydro debridement but this is costly and difficult to access.

POSSIBLE BENEFITS FOR PATIENTS

Question 8: What are the likely additional benefits for patients of using this technology, compared with current practice/comparators?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	The benefits to the patient in using this technology are more effective, pain free debridement which is easy to use by any health care professional thus will encourage quicker wound healing
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	Reduced clinical episodes, more rapid entry to 'healing' phase of a pathway, fewer surgical episodes, less discomfort
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	reduced pain experience. More rapid debridement. enables accurate assessment of the wound and surrounding skin and so a more clinically effective management plan. potential reduced length of stay in hospital. more rapid wound healing. can be facilitated by a generalist nurse where as many other debridement methods require specialist input/ a hospital visit. safe to use in the community setting enabling care closer to home/at home. Potentially less wound infection due to the removal of bacteria from the wound surface by the product.
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Quick, simple to use, no specific education issues, no specialist input required therefore can be delivered in community. Painless. Immediate action.
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Rapid, easy to use and readily available, seems to be relatively pain-free, requires no additional equipment or skills
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Rapid results, less pain, accurate debridement, ?cheaper(I don't know the cost)
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	The patient generally finds Debrisoft comfortable in use. It will debride a wound and, thereofre, there will be lower fluid loss, less malodour and faster healing
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	reduced need for topical steroid preparations, reduced bacterial load at the wound bed which may potentially reduce the need for antimicrobial treatments which may improve outcomes
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Rapid improvement in slough ulcers

Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	More rapid time to wound assessment and healing
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	Less abrasive than gauze; gentler when used directly on the wound and less likely to cause trauma to the wound bed
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	The ability to debride wounds within a clinic rather than using wound dressings to debride wounds which takes a number of days/weeks.

Question 8.1: Is each additional benefit likely to be realised in practice? What are the likely obstacles?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	I do not see any obstacles as this is a safe and easy to use product that can be used in any care setting
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	This remains to be proven. Obstacles include: increased initial cost of the item. Training (claimed to be minimal). Acceptance of a new technology.
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	yes. minimal training required. current obstacle is unit cost. An economic model will need to be more visible around the product
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Easily transferred to practice. Most likely obstacle would be added cost if this product used as an adjunct therapy.
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Yes, the wider adoption of this product should make effective wound debridement more widely available, particularly in a community setting where the skills and equipment necessary for other forms of debridement may be lacking. Are there any obstacles to wider adoption, potentially yes. Wound cleansing, other than in the simple form of washing, has largely been excluded from general wound care practice. The introduction of this product and its effective use represents a change in general practice however this is a relatively minor obstacle to general adoption.
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	If as good as it seems and is appropriately priced (it looks cheap to manufacture) lack of awareness may be the greatest obstacle.
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	the only obstacles I can see are doctors reluctance to prescribe but that covers many dressings as well and is based on cost saving - this is unit cost saving and not long term cost saving, which are two different things.
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Yes
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Ulcers should improve more rapidly than with conventional treatment. This should speed up ulcer healing and reduce the need for ongoing dressings.

Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Yes
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	yes; likely obstacles include nurses not wishing to use on directly on the wound for fear of trauma. Also there is a common if misguided practice that patinets with leg ulcers should not have their legs washed
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	Yes so long as correct wounds and tissue type are selected.

Question 8.2: *How might these benefits be measured? What specific outcome measures would enable assessment of whether additional benefits for patients are being realised?*

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	More regular debridement and not just in specialist centres and improved healing times
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	Impact of the use of debrisoft as the only alteration in an existing care pathway. Time to healing Opinion of the user - Nursing - opinion re: ease of use, time required compared with traditional wound management systems. Patient satisfaction with the product Reduction in referral for other more invasive/specialist treatment modalities
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	patient experience including anxiety and pain experiences. Length of stay. time to heal. wound infection. Nurse/doctor experience of using the product.
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Benefits measured by time to debride wound and in the case of venous ulcers healing rates provided gold standard practice is observed. Re skin conditioning pre amputation wound breakdown rates
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Assessing the impact of debridement on overall wound healing has been challenging as a number of previous studies have demonstrated. If further studies on this product are undertaken then time to wound healing will remain the primary endpoint. Secondary endpoints should include pain, incidence of infection, requirement for other debridement methods
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Pain can be assessed using established tools. Nurse treatment time and time to healing of comparable wounds, overall treatment costs and hopefully less need for antibiotics.
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	Before and after cleaning photographs clearly demonstrate the difference when the slough/hyperkeratotic skin is debrided. Also clearly see with the eye and reports of changes would rely on what is seen
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	wound healing complications and rate of healing

Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	This will be difficult to measure as it is impossible to predict exactly how long an ulcer will take to heal. A randomised controlled trial would be very difficult to conduct due to the heterogeneity of ulcers treated.
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Would probbaly require a RCT to identify otherwise would be case report evidence only.
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	reduction in wound slough and reduction in hyperkeratosis skin
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	Measurable benefits by post wound bed assessment - amount of sloughy tissue reduced.

Question 8.3: How good is this evidence for each of these additional benefits?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	From what i have read and my personal experience quite good
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	Unknown
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	there are a number of case studies demonstrating the above. A multi centre patient experience study would be helpful. The patient experience has been a significant benefit for me in using this product in clinical practice.
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Good evidence available re debridement but limited evidence re amputation.
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	The limited published evidence on debrisoft would indicate that it is a rapid, largely painfree, simple to use debridement tool
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Uncertain
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	In my clinical experience, along with the photographs and videos that I have taken, demonstrates how well this works. Other Tissue Viability Consultants have also reported very similar findings
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Poor
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	It is largely anecdotal
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Limited at present
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	Good

Mr Jonathan Hossain
Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant

Scientific evidence poor as based on case studies.

Question 8.4: Please add any further comment on the claimed benefits of the technology to patients, as you see applicable

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	As I have stated earlier the great benefit of this product is its ease of use with little training, it is safe to use and can be used in any care setting
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	Logical principal - evidence on website link lacking - this needs a formal literature review, and case study evaluation, with full access to the company data.
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	Blank
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	In the scenario of self caring patients could be easily used by the patient/carer.
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Patients seem to find this a comfortable method of debridement and one that several patients have been happy to use themselves on their own wound. This means that as maintenance debridement is frequently necessary in chronic wounds the product is well tolerated
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Evidence presented is largely anecdotal
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	There is nothing else to report other than to underline that this benefits the patient who will heal faster, have less odour and feel more comfortable. Increase in quality of life.
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Blank
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Blank
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Blank
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	Blank

Mr Jonathan Hossain
Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant

Product also claims to reduce the amount of hyperkeratosis of skin - which it does but same benefits on hyperkeratosis can be seen with emollients and general skin care.

POSSIBLE BENEFITS FOR THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM

Question 9: *What are the likely additional benefits for the healthcare system of using this technology, compared with current practice/comparators?*

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	More regular effective debridement, thus better and quicker wound healing
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	If claims substantiated, saving in time and costs
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	reduced hospital visits/ admissions. less associated cost ref wound healing. shorter LOS in hospital. better patient experience.
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Quicker healing rates therefore reduced costs. Less surgical debridements required therefore reduced costs and bed stay days. Reduction in costly high tec procedures.
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Simple to use, applicable to many wound types, suitable for use by both expert and non-expert, rapid in use, requires no additional equipment, instantly available, well tolerated
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Reduced inpatient stay, ? reduced cost ?reduced nursing time
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	Faster healing, cost effective due to cleaner wounds requiring less changes and less expensive dressings required
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	low skill required to use this product
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Quicker ulcer healing and so reduction in expensive dressings and nursing time to apply these.
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Health economy- reduced cost per patient due to potential more rapid wound assessment and healing

Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	to improve the integrity of the patients skin and aid wound healing
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	Reduction in wound dressing costs and time to healing.

Question 9.1: Is each additional benefit likely to be realised in practice? What are the likely obstacles?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	Yes, no obstacles that I can think of
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	Unknown
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	yes. obstacle is buy-in from practitioners ref unit cost and implementation of new technology. Innovation in wound care generally led/implemented by the tissue viability nurse. This is a very finite resource in the NHS.
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Yes The only obstacles i can visualise are professional preferences..
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Yes, although its ease of use may lead to over use and potentially delayed application or referral for other forms of debridement
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Yes. Currently wound debridement with maggots etc can take days.
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	Yes, definitely
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	yes, obstacles inappropriate and overuse
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Each case will be different and some may not respond
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Possibly
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	Yes

Mr Jonathan Hossain
Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant

Benefits will be realised so long as used on appropriate wounds and tissue types.

Question 9.2: How might these benefits be measured? What specific outcome measures would enable assessment of whether additional benefits for the healthcare system are being realised?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	Patient and clinician satisfaction and healing times
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	This needs further discussion
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	measurement of time to debride/assess the wound. this will be significantly less than current practice Patient experience feedback staff experience.
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Debridement rates. Healing rates. Reduced theatre usage for debridement Reduced bed stay days Reduced readmissions for wound breakdown.
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	If used appropriately in a community setting it should reduce the need for onwards referral to either community tissue viability services or secondary/tertiary care for specialist input.
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Length of stay etc see 8. above
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	How many times a nurse is changes dressings prior to use of Debrisoft, and how many times they change dressings post use of Debrisoft. It would be difficult for most nurses to audit healing rates as it is not something they generally are asked to do. However, in wound centres, healing rates are generally part of KPIs, so the rates could be monitored before and after.
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Use of this product in primary care settings
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Questioning the experienced practitioners who have used this product to see if they feel that it does consistently improve ulcers.
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Cost analysis as part of RCT

Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	improving the integrity of the skin could potentially reduce recurrence of ulceration
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	Measurement of healing rates

Question 9.3: How good is this evidence for each of these additional benefits?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	Good
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	None provided
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	Single and multiple case studies
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Strong evidence for debridement rates. Anecdotal evidence for reduced admission rates, bed usage and theatre usage
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Currently lacking evidence that observed clinical benefit improves outcome or reduces referral or care costs
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Anecdotal
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	The evidence that is already collected is mostly via specialist nurses so the evidence for generalist nurses is quite low. However, the specialists that I have spoke to and heard lectures from on Debrisoft, all agree that the evidence is strong.
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Poor
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Anecdotal
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Limited
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	unsure of firm clinical data - anecdotal from personal experience

Mr Jonathan Hossain
Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant

Poor evidence as based on case studies

Question 9.4: Please add any further comment on the claimed benefits of the technology to the healthcare system, as you see applicable

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	Blank
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	The videos are persuasive - and the product needs further assessment
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	Blank
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Blank
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Blank
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Blank
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	At the moment, gauze is commonly used to cleanse wounds and this is a rough material that can tear the small capillary loops that form granulation in a wound. This does delay healing. Gauze cannot remove hyperkeritotic skin. Therefore, although there are few other benefits, the patient will have a greater quality of life if this product is used over gauze. The hyperkeritotic skin is ofyen removed with forceps and this can scrape the good skin beneath the hard skin cells, causing further injury. Debrisoft never does this.
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Blank
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Blank
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Blank

Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	Blank
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	Blank

FACILITIES, TRAINING AND FUNCTIONING

Question 10: *Are there any particular facilities or infrastructure which needs to be in place for the safe and effective use of this technology?*

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	No this is simple and safe to use and minimal skill required to use it
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	None apparent
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	Minimal training required
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	No
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	No this product would be easy to introduce
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Could be introduced via the established Tissue Viability Nursing structure
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	No. It is simple to use and does not require any training at all
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	no, limited training in its use required. some understanding of when this product would see most benefit is required by the practitioner
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Dressing clinic, district nurses
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No

Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	Basic instruction would be required
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	None

Question 11: Is special training required to use this technology safely and effectively?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	No not specialist training for use but possibly more awareness of why debridement is important
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	They do not state that this is required, however any new technique or new technology should have some form of training prior to introduction.
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	advisable to ensure correct use and cost control, however would be safe if not.
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	No
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	No only very simple training is required, this is a "treatment" that patients could self use.
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Probably minimal for trained TV nurses
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	No-as above
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Yes-minimal
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Yes but this is not difficult
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	Minimal instruction

Mr Jonathan Hossain
Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant

Yes - Practitioner need to be made aware of the limitations of the product to ensure correct wounds and tissue types are selected.

Question 12: Please comment on any issues relating to the functioning, reliability and maintenance of this technology which may be important to consider if it is introduced

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	Easy to store within clinic, can be used in any care setting, safe and easy to use
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	N/A
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	Blank
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	None
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	There should be no issues relating to function, reliability and maintenance
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Simple and mechanical therefore reliable. Single use therefore no maintenance
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	It is simplistic and completely reliable
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	N/A
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Appears to be reliable and consistent
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Blank
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	Blank

Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	None
---	-------------

COSTS

Question 13: Please provide any comments on the likely cost consequences of introducing this technology. In particular, please comment on the implications of this technology replacing the comparator/s you have described above

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	The cost of this product is minimal compared to the benefits of it's use in improving woung healing
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	I could not find cost information on the website, and in the clinical practice development paper it only states that Debrisoft is inexpensive - no direct comparisons available.
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	will cause cost pressure associated with some products/techniques for example gauze/ surgical scrub brush, but cost benefit compared to maggots/ sharp debridement. Significant cost benefit will be achieved from shorter wound heaing time
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	More cost-effective than using autolytic debriders, Maggot Larval Therapy,and Versajet
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Its simplicity of use could result in over use and therefore additional costs to the NHS
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Should save money if realistically priced. Maggots take several days and are not cheap and Versajet is expensive.
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	Although the cost is higher than gauze, the long term costs are far lower.
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Increased item cost in use of this product
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	There should be a net cost saving with this product as it should increase ulcer healing and so reduce the length of time a patient requires dressing and professional input.
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Cost per Debrisoft use not known

Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	should provide a cost benefit if it can reduce ulcer recurrence
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	Costs potentially will be off set by the reduction in dressings costs.

GENERAL ADVICE BASED ON YOUR SPECIALIST KNOWLEDGE

Question 14: *Is there controversy about any aspect of this technology or about the care pathway?*

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	No
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	No
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	I am not aware of any
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	No
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	No
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	No
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	None
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Its use is demonstrated in treatment of dry skin conditions but practitioners are not clear when its use is of most benefit in debridement of Wounds.
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No

Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	yes - some clinicians still feel lower limbs that are ulcerated should not be washed/immersed in water which in my experience is misguided; further, some clinicians would be reluctant to use product due to perceived likely trauma but I believe that if used correctly, this is an unlikely scenario
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	No

Question 15: *If NICE were to develop guidance on this technology, how useful would this be to you and your colleagues?*

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	Yes useful to raise awareness of the benefits of all types of debridement
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	Potentially useful
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	very useful. it would assist in the implementation of the product and debridement technique.
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Very useful
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	If NICE supported the wider adoption of this technology I believe that basic wound care at community level could and would be improved
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Useful if it allows its use and raises awareness.
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	Extremely useful
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Useful
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Useful
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Use in primary care/community wound service
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	very useful - as the guidance would recommend washing the limb in conjunction with using the product which would have a huge impact on patient skin integrity and viability

Mr Jonathan Hossain
Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant

it would be especially if there were a cost effectiveness analysis

Question 16: Do any subgroups of patients need special consideration in relation to the technology (for example, because they have higher levels of ill health, poorer outcomes, problems accessing or using treatments or procedures)? Please explain why

Expert Advisers	Comment
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	Patients with diabetic foot ulcers always need special consideration because of their other comorbidities
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	The majority of the patients seen in my practice, and within the wound healing environment have a high level of ill health and poorer outcomes. Many patients in South West Wales rely on hospital transport to attend clinics, and a reduction in the number of visits would be appreciated by patients, staff and managers alike.
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	i am not aware of any. i have used this product in a wide range of patient groups, including children.
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	No
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	There are no specific restrictions to the use of this product however it is not a universal debridement tool and will only function on soft eschar. Patients requiring more extensive wound debridement will still require specialist input and the introduction of other debridement methods
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	No
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	None
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	N/A
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No

Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	potentially some patients this would not be suitable for as they may be risk of trauma in those with very poor/friable skin integrity
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	No

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Question 18.1: Do you or a member of your family have a personal pecuniary interest? The main examples are as follows:

Expert Advisers	Consultancies or directorships	Fee-paid work	Shareholdings	Expenses and hospitality	Investments	Personal non-pecuniary interest
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	No	No	No	No	No	No
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	No	No	No	No	No	No
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	No	Yes	No	No	No	No

Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No	No	No	No	No	No
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No	No	No	No	No	No
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	No	No	No	No	No	No
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	No	No	No	No	No	No

If you have answered YES to any of the above statements please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.

Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	I have given presentation on many aspects of diabetes foot care, which I have received an honorarium over many years and debridement does sometimes get mentioned depending on the nature of the presentation
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	Blank
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	Blank
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	As previously mentioned I was part of the original evaluation group and presented my finding at Wounds UK 2011 and published the findings in Wound UK in 2011.
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	I have acted as Chief/Principal investigator in a number of wound-related clinical trials and have had a number of short term consultancy arrangements with several wound care industry partners although I have no on-going consultancy arrangements at present. I have been paid for my expert advice producing consensus statements on the subject of wound debridement and have written a review article for Wounds UK on Debrisoft. I am the Clinical Director of the NIHR Healthcare Technology Co-operative for wound treatment at Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and act as Chair for the National Advanced Wound Care Group, a specialty interest group within the UK's Knowledge Transfer Network.

Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	I and my wife have AstraZeneca and Glaxosmithkline shares and have unit trust investments that may include healthcare shares although they are not healthcare funds and I am not aware of the specific shareholdings. I also have small holdings of shares in BTG, Skyepharma and Cathay International.
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	We are a research unit and so we do receive payments from companies. However, we have never been paid for any work on Debrisoft and undertook an evaluation for our own benefit
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	I have been asked by this company to speak about debridement at conferences and have mentioned this product
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Blank
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Blank
Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	Blank
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	Blank

Question 18.2: Do you have a non-personal interest? The main examples are as follows:

Expert Advisers	Fellowships endowed by the healthcare industry	Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, e.g. grants, sponsorship of posts
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	No	No
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	No	No
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	No	No
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	No	No
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	No	No
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	No	No
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	No	No
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	No	No
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No	No
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	No	No

Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	No	No
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	No	No
<i>If you have answered YES to any of the above statements please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.</i>		
Mr Duncan S W Stang Chief Podiatrist	Blank	
Dr Louis Fligelstone General and Vascular Surgeon	Blank	
Ms Sian Fumarola Senior Clinical Nurse Specialist Tissue Viability	Blank	
Ms Sue Johnson Clinical Nurse Specialist	Blank	
Professor Peter Vowden Consultant Vascular Surgeon and Professor of Wound Healing Research	Blank	
Mr Steven John Boom Vascular Surgeon	Blank	
Ms Sylvie Hampton Tissue Viability Nurse Consultant	Blank	
Ms Kathryn Vowden Nurse Consultant Wound Care	Blank	
Mr Douglas Orr Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Blank	
Mr Paul Tisi Consultant Vascular Surgeon	Blank	

Ms Cathie Bree-Aslan Tissue Viability Clinician & Head of Governance	Blank
Mr Jonathan Hossain Expert Vascular Surgery Consultant	Blank