

Medical Technologies Evaluation Programme

MT 315- Peristeen anal irrigation system to manage bowel dysfunction

Expert Adviser Questionnaire Responses

Name of Expert Advisers	Job Title	Professional Organisation/ Specialist Society	Nominated by	Ratified
Dr Ian Beales	Consultant gastroenterologist	British Society of Gastroenterology	NICE	Yes
Ms Brigitte Collins	Lead Nurse	Royal College of Nursing	Specialist Society	-
Ms Karen Nugent	Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain & Ireland	Specialist Society	-
Mr Oliver Jones	Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain & Ireland	Specialist Society	-
Dr Simon Dunlop	Consultant gastroenterologist	British Society of Gastroenterology	NICE	Yes
Professor Paul Skaife	General Surgeon	Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain & Ireland	Sponsor	Yes
Professor Anton Emmanuel	Consultant gastroenterologist	British Society of Gastroenterology	Sponsor	Yes



YOUR PERSONAL EXPERIENCE (IF ANY) WITH THIS TECHNOLOGY

Question 2: Please indicate your experience with this technology?

Expert Advisers	I have had direct involvement with this	I have referred patients for its use	I manage patients on whom it is used in another part of their care pathway	I would like to use this technology but it is not currently available to me
Dr lan Beales	Blank	Blank	Blank	Yes
Consultant gastroenterologist				
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	No	Yes	No	No
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Blank	Yes	Yes	Blank
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	No	No	No	No
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	Yes	Blank	Blank	Blank
Any Comments?	•			
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	management of 2 patients	nvolvment in this technolog s using this technology, with technology is not available	n some recent changes to	
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Blank			
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Blank			



Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	This is rarely directly used by surgeons but I am a very frequent referrer of patients for this treatment. It is given by our colorectal nurse specialists.
Dr Simon Dunlop	Very infrequently used by few centres
Consultant gastroenterologist	
Professor Paul Skaife	Blank
General Surgeon	
Professor Anton Emmanuel	I have used the technology for 8 years as a clinician and undertaken clinical research over that period.
Consultant gastroenterologist	

Question 3: Have you been involved in any kind of research on this technology? If Yes, please describe?

Expert Advisers	Yes/No	Comment
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	No	Blank
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Yes	Just about to use in the Capacity 2 trial with Charlie Knowles
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	No	about to embark as part of capacity study which may allocate patients who have constipation to irrigation
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	No	Blank
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	No	Blank
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank	Blank
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	Yes	Clinical audit of outcome, and studies in particular patient groups.

THIS PRODUCT (TECHNOLOGY) AND ITS USE

Question 4: How would you best describe this technology?



Expert Advisers	It is a minor variation on existing technologies with little potential for different outcomes and impact	It is a significant modification of an existing technology with real potential for different outcomes and impact	It is thoroughly novel - different in concept and/ or design to any existing
Dr lan Beales	Blank	Yes	Blank
Consultant gastroenterologist			
Ms Brigitte Collins	No	Yes	No
Lead Nurse			
Ms Karen Nugent	No	Yes	No
Consultant Colorectal Surgeon			
Mr Oliver Jones	No	Yes	No
Consultant Colorectal Surgeon			
Dr Simon Dunlop	Yes	No	No
Consultant gastroenterologist			
Professor Paul Skaife	Blank	Blank	Blank
General Surgeon			
Professor Anton Emmanuel	Blank	Blank	Yes
Consultant gastroenterologist			



Any Comments?		
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	The concept of planned and stimulated bowel evacutaions to manage severe constipation or faecal incontience is not new. The technology considered here is a significant development in that the system is self-contained and much easier to use and appears to be safer than any other systems that have gone before	
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Other products available with similarities	
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	There was a brain irrimatic pump available but the peristeen is port able and does not need an electronic pump. Others irrigation systems are available	
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Blank	
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	Several colonic irrigation systems are currently in use within the UK.	
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank	
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	There have been other derivative products since Peristeen appeared on the market.	

Question 5: What is the most appropriate use (e.g. clinical indication) for the technology?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	 Severe intractable symptomatic constipation that has proved refractory to available therapies (diet, lifestyle, drugs and biofeedback) and much more aggresisve and higher risk interventions would otherwise be considered (coleectomy or more controversial sacral nerve stimulation. Faecal incontinence that is impairing quality of life, that has failed to respond to conservative methods and before the use of surgical interventions such as colectomy and sacral nerve stimulation.
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	For patients with chronic constipation and/or faecal incontinence for patients in general and for those with a neurological disability



Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	This can be used to empty the rectum. This may help with constipation patients and those who have incontinence to faeces due to incomplete emptying
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	It is likely to be used in patients with evacuatory problems or faecal incontinence.
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	In those who have failed other medical treatments for constipation or faecal incontinence for a number of reasons. It is important that it is used appropriately by careful assessment. I have never felt the need to refer to a centre for a patient to use one. In those I have come across whom have used them (eg expert witness reports) I have not been convinced that this was the best treatment option in those particular cases.
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	 Patients with neurological disease who have bowel dysfunction. Patients with functional colorectal disorders Patients with post-surgical colorectal dysfunction Paediatric use in functional gut disorders.



COMPARATORS (including both products in current routine use and also "competing products")

Question 6: Given what you stated is the appropriate indication (clinical scenario) for its use, what are the most appropriate "comparators" for this technology which are in routine current use in the NHS?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	There are no clear comparitors in current use in the NHS. This technology would clearly be used after drugs and other conservatie methods, such as biofeedback have failed. It would be desirable to use this before much more aggressive and irreversible surgical procedures such as colectomy and implantation of either an artifical neo-anal sphincter, colectomy or sacral nerve stimulator. Perhaps the nearest competing management is the surgical formation of an antegrade irrigating colostomy to allow bowel irrgiation and stimulated bowel emptying. However that involves a surgical procedure and a stoma formation.
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	All of the above
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	phosphate and other enemas or glycerine / other suppositories
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Pelvic Floor retraining and/ or biofeedback.
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	A comprehensive, detailed clinical assessment with careful evaluation of psychological and physical symptoms. Medications, lifestyle and bio-feedback would be approriate comparators. A surgical procedure termed ACE is a related comparator, but involves a surgical operation to place a catheter in the caecum, and then to flush with a solution in antegrade (rather than as a retrograde or enema like action) to provoke a bowel action.
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	Laxatives, suppositories. Possibly prokinetics, although off-licence.



Question 7: "Competing products": Are you aware of any other products which have been introduced with the same purpose as this one?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	No. A variety of methods of bowel lavage have been described but these are not standardised and vary between units. There are no other self-contained, easy to use system
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Yes
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	qufora system
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	No
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	There are some alternatives although I have never personally been involved in them.
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	Yes - Qufora (MacDonald) and Navina (Wellspect)

POSSIBLE BENEFITS FOR PATIENTS

Question 8: What are the likely additional benefits for patients of using this technology, compared with current practice/comparators?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	Improved quiaity of life. Regular bowel emptying. Safer and easier to use than the variety of other methods of colonic lavage developed. Avoidance of surgical procedures and lack of need to form a stoma.
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	It has been in practice for longer but I tend not to look at comparing until I know the type of patient that is going to try irrigation as each person is individually assessed



Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	This system allows a wash out where the anus is incompetent and would be unable to hold either a suppository or enema. It also proves a more proximal wash out than a suppository. It uses water which patients find acceptable. The obstacles include a need for some dexterity in order to be able to insert the system into the anus. There have been reports of balloons bursting and occasionally perforation of the rectum.
Mr Oliver Jones	it is a non-operative approach with few, if any, side effects.
Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	
Dr Simon Dunlop	When all else fails for wahtever reason
Consultant gastroenterologist	
Professor Paul Skaife	Blank
General Surgeon	
Professor Anton Emmanuel	Better efficacy than standard bowel care. Data suggesting reduced health care costs also.
Consultant gastroenterologist	The other change has been that the advent of an alternative technology has helped establish a pathway for patients with neurogenic bowel dysfunction (NBD) who often previously languished without a clear care algorithm if they did not respond to standard care.

Question 8.1: Is each additional benefit likely to be realised in practice? What are the likely obstacles?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	It seems very likely that all benefits will be realised in practice. The main obstacle would seem tobe availability of training to use the technology, which may be concentrated in units that have a special interest in constipation or incontinence. However, ultimately there is no real reason why the technology (which is not complicated to use) cannot be adopted much more widely.
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Anyone using irrigation should have full knowledge of the benefits and to whom this product may be helpful
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Blank
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Yes



Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	Some patients seem to cope with it but not all centres have access to Peristeen systems.
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	Benefits need formal pathways (which exist) to be adopted in order to allow patients to respond. This needs a measure of outcome to be accepted which indicates whether there has been a satisfactory response or whether treatment needs escalating.
	Also it is important that staff and patient training continues to be of high quality to optimise safe and effective use of the technology.
	Similarly, professional training to ensure prescribers know which patients need investigation pretreatment and which can be started directly.

Question 8.2: How might these benefits be measured? What specific outcome measures would enable assessment of whether additional benefits for patients are being realised?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	The main measureable benefit will be quality of life for patients with these intractable conditions. For incontinence, the most easily assessed (if superficial) measure would be episodes of incontinence. For constipation, there are several widely used measurements as applied in recent trials of novel constipation-drugs. These again measure quality of life and symptoms referable to constipation. A secondary measure would be reduction in expensive and potentially problematical surgical procedures.
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Questionnaires to individual may indicate whether staff understand the product. Ensuring competency based assessment, ensuring follow up and having a database
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	A bowel care diary would show whether the patient had emptied and whether they had suffered from incontinece whilst using this system.
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Quality of life scores and functional GI scores.
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	Control or evaluation of constipation or incontinence symptoms



Professor Paul Skaife	Blank
General Surgeon	
Professor Anton Emmanuel	1. Symptom scores like the NBD score (validated) and quality of life scores.
Consultant gastroenterologist	2. Health care data being collected prospectively.

Question 8.3: How good is this evidence for each of these additional benefits?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	The benefits and risks have been systematically reviwed and overall the results seem to show that the technology is safe and effective and a superior alternative to inrrversible surgery.
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Not sure
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	this is subjective and relies on patient reported outcomes
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Reasonable but no trials.
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	Limited
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	There is a definitive clinical trial and over 80 publications on efficacy in different patient groups.



Question 8.4: Please add any further comment on the claimed benefits of the technology to patients, as you see applicable

Expert Advisers	Comment
Dr Ian Beales	Blank
Consultant gastroenterologist	
Ms Brigitte Collins	Great to have an additional treatment in addition to conservative management thus having more chance
Lead Nurse	to help the individual patient
Ms Karen Nugent	Blank
Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	
Mr Oliver Jones	Nil else
Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	
Dr Simon Dunlop	Blank
Consultant gastroenterologist	
Professor Paul Skaife	Blank
General Surgeon	
Professor Anton Emmanuel	Potential to reduce urinary tract infections in neurological patients is consistenty shown and important.
Consultant gastroenterologist	



POSSIBLE BENEFITS FOR THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM

Question 9: What are the likely additional benefits for the healthcare system of using this technology, compared with current practice/ comparators?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	Reduced use of irrversible surgery. Less utilsation of expensive treatments such a neo-sphincters, sacral and tibial nerve stimulators, all of which require long-term continuned follow-up management. Less repeated referrals for ineffective tretaments and investigations in this refractory group of patients.
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Better patient outcomes
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	It gives us an alternative avenue when others are failing.
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	It is non-operative. After initial instruction, the patient requires little or no professional input.
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	Last resort
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	Health cost savings (as alluded to above). Adoption of pathways of NBD management

Question 9.1: Is each additional benefit likely to be realised in practice? What are the likely obstacles?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	Yes, there seems no reason why these would not be realised.
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Obstacles such as lack of follow up, lack of knowledge and teaching events



Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Blank
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Yes, I can see no reason why this should not occur.
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	See 8.1
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	Realisable if expert societies and local care providers adopt the audit and pathways - this requires education and would be facilitated by NICE approval.

Question 9.2: How might these benefits be measured? What specific outcome measures would enable assessment of whether additional benefits for the healthcare system are being realised?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	Objective measurements of surgical rates, repeat referrals or teratiary or more onward referrals.
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	ensure competency based asseswsment with staff and having attended formal teaching
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Patient reported diaries with symptoms pre and post treatment
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Quality of life scores
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	See 8.2 Patient satisfaction
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank



	Reduction uti treatment and hospitalisation. Reduction in drug spend on laxatives. Reduced admissions for bowel care (we know spinal injured individuals with bowel dysfunction are twice as likely to be
Consultant gastroenterologist	hospitalised than non-bowel dysfunction individuals).

Question 9.3: How good is this evidence for each of these additional benefits?

Expert Advisers	Comment
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	There seems to be little published data, to support this logical conclusions
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Not sure
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Poor at the moment
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	No trial evidence to my knowledge
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	Limited
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	Less studied than clinical efficacy



Question 9.4: Please add any further comment on the claimed benefits of the technology to the healthcare system, as you see applicable

Expert Advisers	Comment
Dr Ian Beales	Blank
Consultant gastroenterologist	
Ms Brigitte Collins	Blank
Lead Nurse	
Ms Karen Nugent	Blank
Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	
Mr Oliver Jones	Nil else
Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	
Dr Simon Dunlop	Very limited data available
Consultant gastroenterologist	
Professor Paul Skaife	Blank
General Surgeon	
Professor Anton Emmanuel	Blank
Consultant gastroenterologist	



FACILITIES, TRAINING AND FUNCTIONING

Question 10: Are tblank here any particular facilities or infrastructure which needs to be in place for the safe and effective use of this technology?

Expert Advisers	Comment						
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	There is little specific needed in terms of facilities or technologies, because of the rare but potential complciations, the main limitations would be that this technology would need to be supervised by clinicians experienced with the management of chronic constipation, incontinence and neuropathic bowel disorders.						
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Training courses, assessment and competency based assessment every year						
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	patients need instructions and demonstrations as to how to work the system						
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	No						
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	Stoma / colorectal nurse training- usually manage these irrigation systems						
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Training for (?) in a tertiary setting involving pelvic floor teams						
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	The company have a training system to educate practitioners and patients directly. This needs to be dovetailed with NHS provision to ensure geographic availability and consistent quality of training.						

Question 11: Is special training required to use this technology safely and effectively?

Expert Advisers	Comment					
Dr Ian Beales	yes, training is required but the technology is not difficult to master.					
Consultant gastroenterologist						
Ms Brigitte Collins	Yes					
Lead Nurse						



Expert Advisers	Comment					
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Simple training					
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Specialist nurses need simple training supported by industry. Nurses in turn train patients to perform this independently.					
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	Yes					
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Yes					
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	Yes – as above					

Question 12: Please comment on any issues relating to the functioning, reliability and maintenance of this technology which may be important to consider if it is introduced

Expert Advisers	Comment					
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	It sees reliable, safe and effective.					
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Must consider the type of patient and whether suitable, and any contraindications to using irrigation in safety					
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Blank					
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	It is simple to use and not complicated					
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	A robust service needs to be in place by a hospital provider. Patients will need a helpline, delivery service and ability to change irrigators if problems arise.					
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank					



Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	In untrained hands there is a danger of damage to the bowel. Published evidence puts this risk at 1 in 500,000, Careful training and patient supervision / access to health care advice is key to reduce risk and optimise outcome.
--	---

COSTS

Question 13: Please provide any comments on the likely cost consequences of introducing this technology. In particular, please comment on the implications of this technology replacing the comparator/s you have described above

Expert Advisers	Comment						
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	Overall costs should decline if this tehcnology is before irreversible surgical procedurs, especially thos with indefinite follow-up and complex technnolgical review (such as sacral and tibial nerve stimulation).						
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Should this replace comparators then there will be less patinet choice and the chance of a decrease in patient outcomes						
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	some parts of the kit are reusable but the system is relatively expensive						
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	It has low start up costs. The equipment is not expensive in terms of initial outlay but the annual cost is significant as there is a disposable element to the equipment. There is little administration or staffing costs.						
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	In units without this set up, there will be an associated cost. I do not think that the use of it is particularly complex, but key is ensuring that a thororugh assessment has been made by a specialty service.						
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	I think it would be cost- neutral in the majority of circumstances						
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	Emerging data of cost savings through reduced stoma surgery, reduced urinary tract infection and reduced hospitalisation						



GENERAL ADVICE BASED ON YOUR SPECIALIST KNOWLEDGE

Question 14: Is there controversy about any aspect of this technology or about the care pathway?

Expert Advisers	Comment					
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	There seems little controversy about the technology being genrally safe and effective in the limited studies reported. Any controversy would seem to rest mainly in how cost-effective it is.					
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	No					
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Not aware of any					
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	No					
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	I believe that there are enthusiasts who encourage it's use. Others manage well without it.					
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	No					
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	Some CCGs are not funding the technology so when patients are seen in specialist centres (as typical for NBD) they may not be able to receive the therapy. It is not clear on what basis this lack of funding is validated.					

Question 15: If NICE were to develop guidance on this technology, how useful would this be to you and your colleagues?

Expert Advisers	Comment						
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	It would be extremely useful. This would directly guide the practicing clinican in utilising the technsology and also in working with commissioners in developing and funding the appropriate pathways for the use of this technology.						
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Very useful as community based treatment in some areas are not offering such treatments when patients have failed to adequately improve their symptoms with conservative treatment						
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	It would be useful to give guidelines, ways of measuring improvement to assess whether it has worked and pathways for treatment including algorithms for constipation and faecal incontinence						



Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Very useful, I believe
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	It would be useful to have a robust assessment of the evidence available for its' use.
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Some use
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	As professionals it would help with ensuring all patients have equal access to the treatment. Also, it would give the chance to audit outcomes and hopefully reduce specialist referral if the treatment can be started in appropriate patients and only treatment refractory individuas referred.

Question 16: Do any subgroups of patients need special consideration in relation to the technology (for example, because they have higher levels of ill health, poorer outcomes, problems accessing or using treatments or procedures)? Please explain why

Expert Advisers	Comment						
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	No specific groups of patients seem to require special consideration						
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Neurological patients, those that come under the contraindications and those that should not use completely as per the information						
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	anyone with diseases of the rectum, such as colitis should not use this technology						
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	No						
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	Yes those that have neurological or myopathic disorders eg paraplegia. In specialist areas, when other medical treatments have failed.						
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	No						
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	There are established patient groups in whom the technology is relatively or absolutely contraindicated. These are published on.						



CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Question 18.1: Do you or a member of your family have a personal financial interest? The main examples are as follows:

Expert Advisers	Consultancies or directorships	Clinicians receiving payment for a procedure	Fee-paid work	Shareholdings	Financial interest in a company's product	Expenses and hospitality	Funds	Personal non- pecuniary interest
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No



If you have answered YES to any of the above statements please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.			
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	I have received payment for consultancy and advisory activitives from Shire and Allergan (manufacture of prucalopride and linaclotide respectively). These drugs are used in the treatment of chronic constipation. However the peristeen technology under consideration is clearly recognised as not competing with the pharmacolgical management of constipation. These payments are declared in the spirit of transparency. I have no other interests that could be regarded as conflicting.		
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Provided finances by company for teaching on 2 occasions		
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Blank		
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Blank		
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	Blank		
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank		
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	I have acted on advisory boards for the company producing this technology (Coloplast) as well as on boards for "rival" companies (as cited above)		

Question 18.2: Do you have a non-personal interest? The main examples are as follows:

Expert Advisers	Grant for the running of a unit Grant or fellowship for a post or member of staff		Commissioning of research	Contracts with or grants from NICE
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	No	No	No	No
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	No	No	No	No



Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	No	No	No	No
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	No	No	No	No
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	No	No	No	No
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	No	No	No	No
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	No	No	No	No
If you have answered YES to any of the above statements please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.				
Dr lan Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	Blank			
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	Blank			
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Blank			
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	Blank			
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	Blank			
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	Blank			



Professor Anton Emmanuel	Blank
Consultant gastroenterologist	

Question 18.3: Do you or your organisation or department have any links with, or funding from the tobacco industry?

Expert Advisers	Yes or No?	If you have answered YES to any of the above statements please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.
Dr Ian Beales Consultant gastroenterologist	No	Blank
Ms Brigitte Collins Lead Nurse	No	Blank
Ms Karen Nugent Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	No	Blank
Mr Oliver Jones Consultant Colorectal Surgeon	No	Blank
Dr Simon Dunlop Consultant gastroenterologist	No	Blank
Professor Paul Skaife General Surgeon	No	Blank
Professor Anton Emmanuel Consultant gastroenterologist	No	Blank