NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE

2 EXCELLENCE

uideline	scope	3
	uideline	uideline scope

Rheumatoid arthritis in adults: diagnosis and management

6 **Topic**

1

- 7 This guideline will update the NICE guideline on rheumatoid arthritis in adults:
- 8 management (CG79) as set out in the <u>surveillance review decision</u>.
- 9 This guideline will also be used to develop the NICE quality standard for
- 10 rheumatoid arthritis.
- 11 The guideline will be developed using the methods and processes outlined in
- 12 <u>Developing NICE guidelines: the manual.</u>
- 13 For more information about why this guideline is being developed, and how
- the guideline will fit into current practice, see the <u>context</u> section.

15 Who the guideline is for

- People using services, families and carers and the public.
- Healthcare professionals in primary care.
- Healthcare professionals in secondary care.
- Commissioners and providers of services.
- 20 NICE guidelines cover health and care in England. Decisions on how they
- 21 apply in other UK countries are made by ministers in the Welsh Government,
- 22 Scottish Government, and Northern Ireland Executive.

23 Equality considerations

- 24 NICE has carried out an equality impact assessment during scoping. The
- 25 assessment:
- lists equality issues identified, and how they have been addressed

- explains why any groups are excluded from the scope.
- 28 The guideline will look at inequalities relating to the pharmacological
- 29 management of rheumatoid arthritis in women who are pregnant.

30 1 What the guideline is about

31 1.1 Who is the focus?

- 32 Groups that will be covered
- Adults with rheumatoid arthritis.
- 34 Groups that will not be covered
- People with other causes of chronic inflammatory polyarthritis.
- 36 **1.2 Settings**
- 37 Settings that will be covered
- All settings in which NHS care is provided or commissioned.
- 39 1.3 Activities, services or aspects of care
- We will look at evidence on the areas listed below when developing the
- 41 guideline, but it may not be possible to make recommendations on all the
- 42 areas.
- 43 Areas from the published guideline that will be updated
- 44 1 Clinical criteria for recognising rheumatoid arthritis.
- 45 2 Identifying the prognostic factors that indicate which people are at
- 46 greatest risk of disease progression.
- 47 3 Monitoring rheumatoid arthritis, including:
- 48 'treat to target'
- 49 what to monitor (excluding annual review)
- 50 when to monitor (excluding annual review).
- 51 4 Pharmacological treatments for managing rheumatoid arthritis, including:

- analgesics [non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs),
 paracetamol and opiates]
 conventional disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs)
 corticosteroids.
 (Note that guideline recommendations will normally fall within licensed indications; exceptionally, and only if clearly supported by evidence, us
- indications; exceptionally, and only if clearly supported by evidence, use outside a licensed indication may be recommended. The guideline will assume that prescribers will use a medicine's summary of product characteristics to inform decisions made with individual patients).

Areas from the published guideline that will not be updated

- 62 1 Biological DMARDs for managing rheumatoid arthritis.
- Support for patients and carers in managing rheumatoid arthritis through education, self-management and the provision of information and advice.
- 65 3 Location of review.
- 66 4 Non-specialist referral to specialist services.
- 5 Non-pharmacological treatments for managing rheumatoid arthritis,
- including:
- 69 podiatry
- 70 physiotherapy
- 71 occupational therapy
- 72 diet
- 73 complementary and alternative interventions or approaches.
- 74 6 Multidisciplinary teams.
- 75 7 Timing of referral for surgery.
- Recommendations in areas that are not being updated may be edited to
- ensure that they meet current editorial standards, and reflect the current policy
- and practice context.

79

1.4 Economic aspects

- We will take economic aspects into account when making recommendations.
- We will develop an economic plan that states for each review question (or key
- area in the scope) whether economic considerations are relevant, and if so

83		ether this is an area that should be prioritised for economic modelling and			
84	analysis. We will review the economic evidence and carry out economic				
85	analyses, using an NHS and personal social services (PSS) perspective, as				
86	app	propriate.			
87	1.5	Key issues and questions			
88	Wh	ile writing this scope, we have identified the following key issues and			
89	rev	iew questions to be updated. These will form the basis of more detailed			
90	rev	review questions developed with the help of the guideline committee to guide			
91	the	systematic review of the literature.			
92	1	Clinical criteria for recognising rheumatoid arthritis.			
93		1.1 In adults with recent onset of an undifferentiated inflammatory			
94		arthritis, what clinical criteria enable the early recognition of rheumatoid			
95		arthritis?			
96	2	Identifying the prognostic factors that indicate which people are at			
97		greatest risk of disease progression.			
98		2.1 In adults with rheumatoid arthritis, which features help to identify the			
99		prognosis of the disease?			
100	3	Monitoring rheumatoid arthritis			
101		3.1 In adults with rheumatoid arthritis, what is the clinical and cost			
102		effectiveness of a 'treat-to-target' management strategy compared with			
103		standard care?			
104		3.2 In adults with rheumatoid arthritis, what are the most clinically and			
105		cost-effective methods to monitor ongoing disease activity (outside of the			
106		annual review)?			
107		3.3 In adults with rheumatoid arthritis, what is the optimum frequency of			
108		disease monitoring (outside of the annual review)?			
109	4	Pharmacological treatments for managing rheumatoid arthritis			
110		4.1 In adults with rheumatoid arthritis, what is the clinical and cost			
111		effectiveness of analgesics, including NSAIDs, paracetamol and			
112		opiates?			
113		4.2 In adults with rheumatoid arthritis, what is the clinical and cost			
114		effectiveness of early introduction of conventional DMARDs?			

142	NICE guidance that will be updated by this guideline		
141	2.1	NICE guidance	
140		standards, and NICE Pathways	
139	2	Links with other NICE guidance, NICE quality	
138			
137	9	Adverse events (including mortality).	
136		reactive protein (CRP)].	
135	8	Acute-phase reactants [erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) or C-	
134	7	Radiological progression.	
133	6	Function.	
132	5	Pain.	
131	4	Physician global assessment	
130		activities of daily living).	
129	3	Patient global assessment/health-related quality of life (including	
128	2	Tender joints.	
127	1	Swollen joints.	
126	appr	opriate for specific review questions.	
125	set o	out below. These may be revised by the guideline committee as	
124	The	main outcomes that will be considered when assessing the evidence are	
123	1.6	Main outcomes	
122		with rheumatoid arthritis?	
121		prognosis rheumatoid arthritis be different from the rest of the population	
120		corticosteroids, and conventional DMARDs) of people with poor	
119		4.5 Should the pharmaceutical management (e.g. with analgesics,	
118		effectiveness of corticosteroids?	
117		4.4 In adults with rheumatoid arthritis, what is the clinical and cost	
116		combined conventional DMARDs is most clinically and cost effective?	
115		4.3 In adults with rheumatoid arthritis, what sequence of single and	

• Rheumatoid arthritis in adults: management (2009) NICE guideline (CG79).

143

144	NICE guidance about the experience of people using NHS services
145	NICE has produced the following guidance on the experience of people using
146	the NHS. This guideline will not include additional recommendations on these
147	topics unless there are specific issues related to rheumatoid arthritis:
148	Patient experience in adult NHS services (2012) NICE guideline CG138
149	Service user experience in adult mental health (2011) NICE guideline
150	CG136
151	NICE guidance that is closely related to this guideline

NICE guidance that is closely related to this guideline

153	NICE has published the following guidance that is closely related to this
	The analysis and the second and the second to another

154 guideline:

Published

152

- Adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab, certolizumab pegol, golimumbab, 155 tocilizumab and abatacept for rheumatoid arthritis not previously treated 156 with DMARDs or after conventional DMARDs only have failed (2016) NICE 157 technology appraisal guidance 375 158
- 159 Tocilizumab for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (2012) NICE 160 technology appraisal guidance 247
- 161 Golimumab for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis after the failure of 162 previous disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (2011) NICE technology 163 appraisal guidance 225
- 164 Adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab, rituximab and abatacept for the 165 treatment of rheumatoid arthritis after the failure of a TNF inhibitor (2010) 166 NICE technology appraisal guidance 195
- 167 • Depression in adults with a chronic physical health problem: recognition 168 and management (2009) NICE guideline CG91
- Cardiovascular disease: risk assessment and reduction, including lipid 169 170 modification (2015) NICE guideline CG181
- 171 Osteoporosis: assessing the risk of fragility fracture (2012) NICE CG141
- 172 Medicines adherence (2009) NICE guideline CG76
- Medicines optimisation: the safe and effective use of medicines to enable 173 the best possible outcomes (2015) NICE guideline NG5 174

175	In	dovolo	pment
1/3	"	uevelo	pilielit

- NICE is currently developing the following guidance that is closely related to
- this guideline:

182

- Rheumatoid arthritis certolizumab pegol (after TNF inhibitor). NICE
- technology appraisal. Publication expected October 2016.
- Multimorbidity: clinical assessment and management. NICE clinical
- guideline. Publication expected September 2016.

2.2 NICE quality standards

- 183 NICE quality standards that may need to be revised or updated when
- this guideline is published
- Rheumatoid arthritis in over 16s (2013) NICE quality standard 33

186 **2.3 NICE Pathways**

- 187 When this guideline is published, the recommendations will update the current
- NICE Pathway on rheumatoid arthritis. NICE Pathways bring together all
- related NICE guidance and associated products on a topic in an interactive
- 190 topic-based flow chart.
- 191 Other relevant NICE guidance will also be added to the NICE Pathway,
- including:
- Adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab, certolizumab pegol, golimumab,
- 194 tocilizumab and abatacept for rheumatoid arthritis not previously treated
- 195 <u>with DMARDs or after conventional DMARDs only have failed</u> (2016) NICE
- technology appraisal guidance 375
- Total hip replacement and resurfacing arthroplasty for end-stage arthritis of
- the hip (2014) NICE technology appraisal guidance 304
- Tocilizumab for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (2012) NICE
- technology appraisal guidance 247
- Golimumab for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis after the failure of
- 202 <u>previous disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs</u> (2011) NICE technology
- 203 appraisal guidance 225

204	 Adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab, rituximab and abatacept for the
205	treatment of rheumatoid arthritis after the failure of a TNF inhibitor (2010)
206	NICE technology appraisal guidance 195
207	Total prosthetic replacement of the temporomandibular joint (2014) NICE
208	interventional procedure guidance 500
209	Minimally invasive total hip replacement (2010) NICE interventional
210	procedure guidance 363
211	Shoulder resurfacing arthroplasty (2010) NICE interventional procedure
212	guidance 354
213	Total wrist replacement (2008) NICE interventional procedure guidance
214	271
215	Metatarsophalangeal joint replacement of the hallux (2005) NICE
216	interventional procedure guidance 140
	2 Comtout
217	3 Context
218	3.1 Key facts and figures
219	Please see Rheumatoid arthritis in adults (2009) NICE guideline CG79 for
219 220	Please see Rheumatoid arthritis in adults (2009) NICE guideline CG79 for more information.
220	more information.
220221	more information. Since publication of the guideline in 2009, Humphreys et al. have published
220221222	more information. Since publication of the guideline in 2009, Humphreys et al. have published The incidence of rheumatoid arthritis in the UK: comparisons using the 2010
220221222223	more information. Since publication of the guideline in 2009, Humphreys et al. have published The incidence of rheumatoid arthritis in the UK: comparisons using the 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria and the 1987 ACR classification criteria.
220221222223224	more information. Since publication of the guideline in 2009, Humphreys et al. have published The incidence of rheumatoid arthritis in the UK: comparisons using the 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria and the 1987 ACR classification criteria. Results from the Norfolk Arthritis Register in 2013. This updates both the
220221222223	more information. Since publication of the guideline in 2009, Humphreys et al. have published The incidence of rheumatoid arthritis in the UK: comparisons using the 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria and the 1987 ACR classification criteria.
220221222223224	more information. Since publication of the guideline in 2009, Humphreys et al. have published The incidence of rheumatoid arthritis in the UK: comparisons using the 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria and the 1987 ACR classification criteria. Results from the Norfolk Arthritis Register in 2013. This updates both the
220221222223224225	more information. Since publication of the guideline in 2009, Humphreys et al. have published The incidence of rheumatoid arthritis in the UK: comparisons using the 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria and the 1987 ACR classification criteria. Results from the Norfolk Arthritis Register in 2013. This updates both the classification criteria and the incidence data for rheumatoid arthritis in the UK
220221222223224225226	more information. Since publication of the guideline in 2009, Humphreys et al. have published The incidence of rheumatoid arthritis in the UK: comparisons using the 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria and the 1987 ACR classification criteria. Results from the Norfolk Arthritis Register in 2013. This updates both the classification criteria and the incidence data for rheumatoid arthritis in the UK 3.2 Current practice
 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 	more information. Since publication of the guideline in 2009, Humphreys et al. have published The incidence of rheumatoid arthritis in the UK: comparisons using the 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria and the 1987 ACR classification criteria. Results from the Norfolk Arthritis Register in 2013. This updates both the classification criteria and the incidence data for rheumatoid arthritis in the UK 3.2 Current practice Please see Rheumatoid arthritis in adults (2009) NICE guideline CG79 for more information.
 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 	Since publication of the guideline in 2009, Humphreys et al. have published The incidence of rheumatoid arthritis in the UK: comparisons using the 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria and the 1987 ACR classification criteria. Results from the Norfolk Arthritis Register in 2013. This updates both the classification criteria and the incidence data for rheumatoid arthritis in the UK 3.2 Current practice Please see Rheumatoid arthritis in adults (2009) NICE guideline CG79 for more information. Since publication of the 2009 guideline, the 'treat to target' approach, where
 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 	Since publication of the guideline in 2009, Humphreys et al. have published The incidence of rheumatoid arthritis in the UK: comparisons using the 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria and the 1987 ACR classification criteria. Results from the Norfolk Arthritis Register in 2013. This updates both the classification criteria and the incidence data for rheumatoid arthritis in the UK 3.2 Current practice Please see Rheumatoid arthritis in adults (2009) NICE guideline CG79 for more information. Since publication of the 2009 guideline, the 'treat to target' approach, where the goal of reducing disease activity to very low levels (or remission) is
 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 	Since publication of the guideline in 2009, Humphreys et al. have published The incidence of rheumatoid arthritis in the UK: comparisons using the 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria and the 1987 ACR classification criteria. Results from the Norfolk Arthritis Register in 2013. This updates both the classification criteria and the incidence data for rheumatoid arthritis in the UK 3.2 Current practice Please see Rheumatoid arthritis in adults (2009) NICE guideline CG79 for more information. Since publication of the 2009 guideline, the 'treat to target' approach, where

233	practice. The concept of treat to target in meumatoid artifuls, whilst
234	attractive, is not without uncertainty in terms of clinical and cost effectiveness
235	3.3 Policy, legislation, regulation and commissioning
236	Policy
237	The introduction of a best practice tariff in 2013 reflects commissioning when
238	following Rheumatoid arthritis in adults (2009) NICE guideline CG79.
239	