Cough (acute): antimicrobial prescribing NICE guideline

3

Draft for consultation, August 2018

This guideline sets out an antimicrobial prescribing strategy for acute cough. It aims to limit antibiotic use and reduce antibiotic resistance. Acute cough is defined as lasting for less than 3 weeks. Most people get better during this time without medicines.

See a 2-page visual summary of the recommendations, including tables to support prescribing decisions.

Who is it for?

- Health care professionals
- People with acute cough, their families and carers

The guideline contains:

- the draft recommendations
- summary of the evidence.

Information about how the guideline was developed is on the <u>guideline's</u> <u>page</u> on the NICE website [editors to update hyperlink with GID number]. This includes the full evidence review, details of the committee and any declarations of interest.

4 **Recommendations**

- 5 The recommendations in this guideline are for treating an acute cough
- 6 associated with an upper respiratory tract infection or acute bronchitis (a lower
- 7 respiratory tract infection) in adults, young people and children. For treating
- 8 coughs associated with the following lower respiratory tract infections, see the
- 9 NICE antimicrobial prescribing guidelines on community-acquired pneumonia,

- 1 hospital-acquired pneumonia, acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive
- 2 pulmonary disease and acute exacerbation of bronchiectasis. See also the
- 3 NICE guidelines on pneumonia in adults: diagnosis and management and
- 4 <u>chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in over 16s: diagnosis and</u>
- 5 <u>management</u>.
- 6 1.1 Managing acute cough
- 7 1.1.1 Be aware that an <u>acute cough</u>:
- 8 is usually self-limiting and gets better within 3 or 4 weeks without
 9 antibiotics
- is most commonly caused by a viral upper respiratory tract
 infection, such as a cold or flu
- can also be caused by <u>acute bronchitis</u>, a lower respiratory tract
 infection, which is usually viral infection but can be bacterial
- can also have other infective or non-infective causes.
- 151.1.2For children under 5 with an acute cough and fever, follow the16NICE guideline on fever in under 5s.
- 17 1.1.3 For adults with an acute cough and suspected pneumonia, follow
- 18 the NICE guideline on pneumonia in adults: diagnosis and
- 19 <u>management</u> (which includes recommendations on point of care
- 20 C-reactive protein testing).
- 21 Referral and seeking specialist advice
- 1.1.4 Refer people with an acute cough to hospital, or seek specialist
 advice on further investigation and management, if they have any
 symptoms or signs suggesting a more serious illness or condition
- 25 (for example sepsis, a pulmonary embolism or lung cancer).

26 **Treatment**

28

- 27 1.1.5 Give general advice to people about:
 - the usual course of acute cough (lasts up to 3 or 4 weeks)

1 2 3 4		 how to manage their symptoms with self-care (see the recommendations on <u>self-care</u>) when to seek medical help, for example if symptoms worsen rapidly or significantly, do not improve after 3 or 4 weeks, or the neuron become systemically wars up to be a set of the recommendation.
5 6	1.1.6	person becomes systemically very unwell. Do not offer the following treatments to people for an acute cough
7 8		associated with an upper respiratory tract infection or acute bronchitis:
9 10		 an oral or inhaled bronchodilator (for example salbutamol), or an oral or inhaled corticosteroid, or
11		• a mucolytic (for example acetylcysteine or carbocisteine).
12	Acute co	ough associated with an upper respiratory tract infection
13	1.1.7	Do not offer an antibiotic to people for an acute cough associated
14		with an upper respiratory tract infection who are not systemically
15		very unwell or at higher risk of complications. Give advice about
16		why an antibiotic is not needed.
17	Acute co	ough associated with acute bronchitis
18	1.1.8	Do not routinely offer an antibiotic to people for an acute cough
19		associated with acute bronchitis who are not systemically very
20		unwell or at higher risk of complications.
21	1.1.9	Be aware that:
22		antibiotics do not improve the overall clinical condition of people
23		with acute bronchitis
24		 antibiotics make little difference to how long symptoms of acute
25		bronchitis last (on average they shorten cough duration by about
26		half a day)
27		 antibiotics have possible adverse effects, particularly diarrhoea
28		and nausea.

1	1.1.10	For adults with an acute cough associated with acute bronchitis
2		who have had a point of care C-reactive protein test, follow the
3		NICE guideline on pneumonia in adults: diagnosis and
4		management.
5	1.1.11	When no antibiotic prescription is given, give advice about why an
6		antibiotic is not needed.
7	1.1.12	If an antibiotic prescription is given, give advice about possible
8		adverse effects of the antibiotic, particularly diarrhoea and nausea.
9	Acute c	ough in people who are systemically very unwell or at higher risk
10	of comp	olications
11	1.1.13	For people with an acute cough who are identified at a face-to-face
12		clinical examination as systemically very unwell, offer an immediate
13		antibiotic prescription (see recommendation 1.3.1 for choice of
14		antibiotic).
15	1.1.14	Be aware that people with an acute cough may be at higher risk of
16		complications if they:
17		 have a pre-existing comorbidity, such as significant heart, lung,
18		renal, liver or neuromuscular disease, immunosuppression or
19		cystic fibrosis
20		 are young children who were born prematurely
21		• are older than 65 years with 2 or more of the following criteria, or
22		older than 80 years with 1 or more of the following criteria:
23		 hospitalisation in previous year
24		 type 1 or type 2 diabetes
25		 history of congestive heart failure
26		 current use of oral corticosteroids.

1 2	1.1.15	For people with an acute cough who are identified at a face-to-face clinical examination as at higher risk of complications, consider:
3		• an immediate antibiotic prescription (see recommendation 1.3.1
4		for <u>choice of antibiotic</u>) or
5		 a back-up antibiotic prescription.
6	1.1.16	When an immediate antibiotic prescription is given, give advice
7		about possible adverse effects of the antibiotic, particularly
8		diarrhoea and nausea.
9	1.1.17	When a back-up antibiotic prescription is given, give advice about:
10		 an antibiotic not being needed immediately
11		 using the back-up prescription if symptoms worsen rapidly or
12		significantly at any time.
13	Reasses	sment
14	1.1.18	Reassess people with an acute cough if their symptoms worsen
15		rapidly or significantly, taking account of:
16		 alternative diagnoses, such as pneumonia
17		 any symptoms or signs suggesting a more serious illness or
18		condition, such as cardiorespiratory failure or sepsis
19		 previous antibiotic use, which may have led to resistant bacteria.
20	See the e	evidence and committee discussion on bronchodilators,
21	corticoste	eroids, mucolytics, no antibiotic, back-up antibiotics and choice of
22	antibiotic.	
23	1.2	Self-care
24	1.2.1	Be aware that limited evidence suggests that the following have
25		some benefit for the relief of cough symptoms:
26		 honey (in people over 1 year of age)
27		 pelargonium (a herbal remedy)

1		 cough medicines containing the expectorant guaifenesin (in
2		people over 12 years of age)
3		 cough medicines containing the antitussive dextromethorphan
4		(in people over 12 years of age and if the cough is not
5		persistent, such as in asthma, or accompanied by excessive
6		secretions).
7	1.2.2	Be aware that:
8		 limited evidence suggests that antihistamines, decongestants
9		and cough medicines containing the antitussive codeine do not
10		help cough symptoms
11		 no evidence for cough medicines containing pholcodine or
12		simple linctus was found.
13	See the	evidence and committee discussion on self-care.
14	1.3	Choice of antibiotic
15	1.3.1	When prescribing antibiotics for an acute cough:
16		 follow the recommendations in table 1 for adults aged 18 years
17		and over
18		 follow the recommendations in table 2 for children and young
19		people under 18 years.

20 Table 1. Antibiotics for adults aged 18 years and over

Antibiotic ¹	Dosage and course length ²		
First choice			
Doxycycline ³	200 mg on first day, then 100 mg once a day for 4 days (5 day course in total)		
Alternative first choices ⁴			
Amoxicillin	500 mg three times a day for 5 days		
Clarithromycin	500 mg twice a day for 5 days		
Erythromycin (in pregnancy)	250 mg to 500 mg four times a day or 500 mg to 1000 mg twice a day for 5 days		
¹ See <u>BNF</u> for appropriate use and dosing in specific populations, for example, hepatic impairment, renal impairment, pregnancy and breast-feeding.			
² Doses given are by mouth using immediate-release medicines, unless otherwise stated.			

³Doxycycline should not be given to pregnant women (<u>BNF, June 2018</u>). ⁴Amoxicillin or erythromycin are preferred in women who are pregnant.

1 Table 2 Antibiotics for children and young people under 18 years

Antibiotic ¹	Dosage and course length ²		
First choice			
Amoxicillin	1 to 11 months, 125 mg three times a day for 5 days		
	1 to 4 years, 250 mg three times a day for 5 days		
	5 to 17 years, 500 mg three times a day for 5 days		
Alternative first choices ³			
Clarithromycin	1 month to 11 years:		
	Under 8 kg, 7.5 mg/kg twice a day for 5 days		
	8 to 11 kg, 62.5 mg twice a day for 5 days		
	12 to 19 kg, 125 mg twice a day for 5 days		
	20 to 29 kg, 187.5 mg twice a day for 5 days		
	30 to 40 kg, 250 mg twice a day for 5 days		
	12 to 17 years, 250 mg twice a day or 500 mg twice a day for 5 days		
Erythromycin	1 month to 1 year, 125 mg four times a day or 250 mg twice a day for 5 days		
	2 to 7 years, 250 mg four times a day or 500 mg twice a day for 5 days		
	8 to 17 years, 250 mg to 500 mg four times a day or 500 mg to 1000 mg twice a day for 5 days		
Doxycycline ⁴	12 to 17 years, 200 mg on first day, then 100 mg once a day for 4 days (5 day course in total)		
¹ See <u>BNF for children</u> for appropriate use and dosing in specific populations, for example, hepatic impairment and renal impairment.			
² The age bands apply to children of average size and, in practice, the prescriber will use the age bands in conjunction with other factors such as the severity of the condition and the child's size in relation to the average size of children of the same age. Doses given are by mouth using immediate-release medicines, unless otherwise stated.			
³ Amoxicillin or erythromycin are preferred in young women who are pregnant.			
⁴ Doxycycline is contraindicated in children under 12 years and should not be given to young women who are pregnant (<u>BNF for children, June 2018</u>).			

2

- 3 See the committee discussion on <u>choice of antibiotic</u> and <u>antibiotic course</u>
- 4 <u>length</u>.

1 Terms used in the guideline

2 Acute cough

Acute cough is commonly defined as a cough that lasts less than 21 days
(3 weeks). The average duration is 18 days, although it can sometimes last for
up to 29 days (over 4 weeks). It is most commonly caused by an upper
respiratory tract infection, such as a cold or flu, which are viral infections. It
can also be caused by acute bronchitis, a lower respiratory tract infection,
which is usually viral infection but can be bacterial.

9 Other infective causes of cough include pneumonia, acute exacerbations of

10 asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or bronchiectasis (which may

11 also be non-infective exacerbations), and viral-induced wheeze, bronchiolitis,

12 croup or whooping cough in children. Non-infective causes may include lung

13 cancer, a foreign body, interstitial lung disease, pneumothorax, pulmonary

14 embolism, heart failure, use of certain medicines (for example an angiotensin-

15 converting enzyme inhibitor), upper airway cough syndrome (post-nasal drip),

16 or gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (<u>NICE Clinical Knowledge Summary</u>

17 [CKS]: cough, NICE CKS: chest infections – adult, NICE CKS: Cough – acute

18 with chest signs in children, Ebell et al. 2013).

19 Acute bronchitis

- 20 Acute bronchitis is a temporary inflammation of the airways (the trachea and
- 21 major bronchi) that causes cough and mucus production lasting for up to
- 22 3 weeks. It is usually caused by a viral infection, but may be caused by a
- 23 bacterial infection (NICE CKS: chest infections adult).

24 Summary of the evidence

25 Self-care

26 Honey

- Honey significantly reduced the frequency and severity of cough at 1 day
- 28 follow-up compared with placebo, no treatment or an antihistamine
- 29 (diphenhydramine) by about 0.5 to 2 points on a carer-reported 7-point

- 1 Likert scale in children and young people with an acute cough caused by 2 an upper respiratory tract infection (low to moderate quality evidence). 3 Carer responses about cough symptoms ranged from 'extremely' (six 4 points) to 'not at all' (zero points), but it was not clear how these responses 5 were defined. 6 However, honey did not reduce the frequency and severity of cough at 1 7 day follow-up compared with an antitussive (dextromethorphan: very low 8 quality evidence). 9 Honey significantly reduced bothersome cough by about 2 points on a 10 7-point Likert scale compared with placebo (moderate quality evidence), 11 but not compared with no treatment or dextromethorphan (low quality 12 evidence). 13 Honey had no significant effect on children's or parents' sleep quality 14 compared with placebo or dextromethorphan, but was significantly better 15 compared with no treatment or diphenhydramine (by about 0.5 to 1 point on 16 a 7-point Likert scale; low to moderate quality evidence). 17 There was no data on the effect of honey on cough duration because 18 follow-up was for 1 day only. 19 There was no significant difference in gastrointestinal side effects with 20 honey compared with placebo or dextromethorphan (very low to low quality 21 evidence). There were also no significant differences in mild adverse 22 effects (for example nervousness, insomnia, hyperactivity and drowsiness) 23 compared with dextromethorphan (very low quality evidence). No 24 significant difference in sleepiness was found when honey was compared 25 with diphenhydramine (very low quality evidence). 26 Based on Oduwole et al. (2014), a systematic review and meta-analysis 27 including 3 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in 568 children and young 28 people (aged 1 to 17 years) with acute cough caused by an upper respiratory
- 29 tract infection.
- 30 Herbal remedies

31 Andrographis paniculata (A. paniculata)

- A. paniculata (as liquid or tablets) significantly improved the frequency and
- 2 severity of cough compared with placebo in people with acute cough as a
- 3 symptom of upper respiratory tract infection or common cold (frequency:
- 4 standardised mean difference [SMD] -1.00, 95% <u>confidence interval</u> [CI]
- 5 -1.85 to -0.15; very low quality evidence; severity: SMD -0.57, 95% CI
- 6 -1.01 to -0.14; very low quality evidence).
- No safety data for *A. paniculata* were reported.
- 8 Based on <u>Wagner et al. (2015)</u>, a systematic review and meta-analysis of
- 9 6 RCTs with dosages of 31.5 mg to 200 mg for 3 to 10 days.
- 10 Ivy, primrose or thyme
- Ivy, primrose or thyme as various combined or single preparations (as
- 12 liquid or tablets) significantly reduced 'cough' (not defined and follow-up
- 13 time was not reported) compared with placebo in people with an acute
- 14 cough as a symptom of upper respiratory tract infection or common cold
- 15 (77.4% versus 54.9%; very low quality evidence).
- No safety data for ivy, primrose or thyme were reported.
- Based on <u>Wagner et al. (2015)</u>, a systematic review and meta-analysis of
 4 RCTs.

19 Echinacea

- Echinacea significantly improved 'cough' (not defined) compared with
- 21 placebo in people with an acute cough as a symptom of an upper
- 22 respiratory tract infection or common cold (SMD -0.68, 95% CI -1.32 to
- 23 -0.04; low quality evidence).
- However, this was a meta-analysis of just 2 studies, and the authors
- 25 reported that most studies in the systematic review did not report any
- significant reduction in patients' cough symptoms. It is not clear if this was
- 27 due to the absence of data for this outcome, or the lack of effectiveness for
- echinacea.
- No safety data for echinacea were reported.

1 Based on <u>Wagner et al. (2015)</u>, a systematic review and meta-analysis

2 including 8 RCTs with dosages of 300 mg to 6 g daily for 1 to 12 weeks.

3 Pelargonium

Pelargonium sidoides (P. sidoides, as a liquid) significantly reduced 'failure to resolve all symptoms' by day 7 (61.0% versus 95.3%; very low quality evidence), 'failure to resolve cough' by day 7 (very low quality evidence) and 'failure to resolve sputum' by day 7 (very low quality evidence)
compared with placebo in adults with acute bronchitis.

P. sidoides tablets (any dosage) significantly reduced 'failure to resolve all symptoms' by day 7 (92.7% versus 99.0%; low quality evidence) and
'failure to resolve cough' by day 7 (low quality evidence) compared with
placebo in adults with acute bronchitis, although, individually, only the
30 mg dose achieved a significant reduction for 'failure to resolve cough'
(low quality evidence).

P. sidoides (as a liquid) significantly reduced 'failure to resolve all
 symptoms' by day 7 (79.9% versus 97.1%; low quality evidence), 'failure to
 resolve cough' by day 7 (low quality evidence) and 'failure to resolve
 sputum' by day 7 (very low quality evidence) compared with placebo in
 children or young people with acute bronchitis.

P. sidoides tablets (any dosage) did not significantly reduce 'failure to resolve all symptoms' by day 7 (low quality evidence) or 'failure to resolve sputum' by day 7 (very low quality evidence) compared with placebo in children or young people with acute bronchitis. Only *P. sidoides* tablets of 20 mg significantly reduced 'failure to resolve cough symptoms' by day 7 (low quality evidence) compared with placebo.

P. sidoides (as a liquid or tablet) significantly increased the number of
people (adults, young people and children) with adverse events (19.5%
versus 15.1%; very low quality evidence) compared with placebo; which
were mainly gastrointestinal. However, there was no significant difference
in the number of people with adverse events which led to withdrawal (0.5%
versus 1.0%; very low quality evidence).

1 Based on 2 systematic reviews and meta-analyses, <u>Wagner et al. (2015)</u> and

2 <u>Timmer et al. (2003)</u>, in adults, young people or children with an acute cough

3 or acute bronchitis.

4 Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)

- NSAIDs (naproxen or ibuprofen) were not significantly different to placebo
 for a cumulative cough score at follow-up in adults with a common cold
 (very low quality evidence). NSAIDs did significantly reduce associated
 individual symptoms scores for headache (very low quality evidence), joint
 and muscle pain (low quality evidence), earache (very low quality evidence)
 and sneezing (low quality evidence).
- NSAIDs were not significantly different to placebo for overall symptom
- 12 score, severity of illness, duration of illness, throat irritation or hoarseness,
- 13 malaise or fatigue, chilliness, nasal irritation, pain on swallowing, eye
- 14 itching, rhinorrhoea, nasal obstruction or dryness, number of nose blows,
- 15 total weight of mucous, tissue count, sense of smell or adverse effects in
- 16 adults with a common cold (very low to low quality evidence).
- 17 Based on <u>Kim et al. (2015)</u>, a systematic review and meta-analysis of 9 RCTs
- 18 of NSAIDs in adults with a common cold.
- 19 NSAIDs are associated with cardiovascular and gastrointestinal risks (Drug
- 20 Safety Update, October 2012 and Drug Safety Update, December 2007).
- 21 **Over-the-counter expectorants (cough expectorant medicines)**
- 22 Guaifenesin significantly reduced patient reported cough frequency and 23 intensity compared with placebo in 1 RCT in adults and young people over 24 12 years with an acute cough or upper respiratory tract infection (75% said 25 guaifenesin was helpful compared with 31% in the placebo group, p<0.01; 26 low quality evidence). There was no significant difference in cough 27 frequency or severity in another RCT, but guaifenesin significantly reduced 28 sputum thickness compared with placebo (p=0.001; low quality evidence). 29 Extended-release guaifenesin reduced symptom severity scores at 4 days 30 (p=0.04) but not at 7 days compared with placebo in 1 RCT (low quality
- 31 evidence).

- In 2 RCTs reporting adverse events, there was no difference between
- 2 guaifenesin and placebo (no p values reported; very low quality evidence).
- 3 Based on <u>Smith et al. (2014)</u>, a systematic review including 3 RCTs of adults
- 4 and young people over 12 years with an acute cough or upper respiratory
- 5 tract infection.
- 6 Over-the-counter (OTC) cough medicines containing the expectorant
- 7 guaifenesin are subject to MHRA advice on how to use cough and cold
- 8 medicines safely for children under 12 years (<u>Drug Safety Update, April 2009</u>).

9 Over-the-counter antitussives (cough suppressant medicines)

10 Codeine

- Codeine was no more effective than placebo, either as a single dose of
- 12 30 mg or in a total daily dose of 120 mg (30 mg four times a day), in
- 13 reducing cough symptoms in adults with acute cough (low quality
- 14 evidence). A single dose of codeine (50 mg) was no more effective than
- 15 placebo in reducing cough symptoms at 90 minutes in adults with acute
- 16 cough (low quality evidence).
- Codeine as a single dose at bedtime (10 mg in 5 ml plus guaifenesin) for
- 18 3 nights was no more effective than placebo in reducing cough score on
- 19 day 3 in children with acute cough (p=0.70, low quality evidence).
- No safety data for codeine were reported for adults. In children, adverse
- 21 effects (mainly drowsiness, diarrhoea and hyperactivity) were not
- 22 significantly different between codeine and placebo (very low quality
- 23 evidence).

24 Dextromethorphan

Dextromethorphan (as a single 30 mg dose) was no more effective than
 placebo for reduction in cough frequency or reduction in cough severity in
 1 RCT of adults with acute cough (very low quality evidence). However, in
 another RCT, a single 30 mg dose of dextromethorphan significantly
 reduced cough counts in adults (mean changes of cough counts between
 dextromethorphan and placebo varied from 19% to 36%, p< 0.05; very low

quality evidence). A third RCT found that a single 30 mg dose of
dextromethorphan significantly reduced cough bouts (average treatment
difference 12% to 17%, p=0.004), cough components (p=0.003), cough
effort (p=0.001) and cough latency (p=0.002) compared with placebo in
adults with acute cough (very low quality evidence).

6 Oral dextromethorphan with salbutamol was no more effective than • 7 placebo or dextromethorphan alone in reducing cough frequency (very low 8 quality evidence) or daytime cough severity (low quality evidence) in 1 RCT 9 of adults with acute cough. Dextromethorphan with salbutamol was 10 superior to placebo or dextromethorphan alone in relieving cough at night 11 (mean symptom score 0.19 versus 0.67 and 0.44, respectively on day 4, 12 p<0.01; low quality evidence). However, more tremors were reported in the 13 dextromethorphan with salbutamol group than in the placebo group (no 14 figures given, p<0.05; low guality evidence).

- 15 Dextromethorphan was no more effective than placebo (in 4 RCTs) or 16 diphenhydramine (in 1 RCT) in reducing various cough outcomes in 17 children with an acute cough, a night cough or an upper respiratory tract infection (very low quality evidence). In 2 RCTs, there were no differences 18 19 between the groups in adverse effects, which were generally mild. In 20 another RCT, adverse events (mainly gastrointestinal and dizziness) were 21 reported in 34% of the dextromethorphan group and 5% of the placebo 22 group (p value not reported).
- Based on <u>Smith et al. (2014)</u>, a systematic review including 11 RCTs of
 adults, young people and children with an acute cough, with or without a
 related upper respiratory tract infection.
- 26 OTC cough medicines containing the cough suppressant dextromethorphan
- are subject to MHRA advice on how to use cough and cold medicines safely
- 28 for children under 12 years (Drug Safety Update, April 2009). Cough
- 29 medicines containing codeine have restricted use in children (Drug Safety
- 30 Update April 2015).

1 Over-the-counter antihistamines and decongestants

Loratadine in combination with pseudoephedrine for 4 days was no more
effective than placebo in reducing a composite cough symptom score in
1 RCT of adults with a common cold (very low quality evidence). Adverse
effects (including dry mouth, headache and insomnia) were not significantly
different between groups (reported in 30% of the loratadine with
pseudoephedrine group compared with 21% of the placebo group; very low
quality evidence).

9 Clemastine for 3 days was no more effective than placebo or
10 chlorpheniramine in reducing cough scores in 1 RCT of children under
11 5 years with a common cold (very low quality evidence). Drowsiness and
12 sleepiness was reported in 20% of children, with no difference between
13 groups (p values not reported).

14 Diphenhydramine (as a single dose at night) was no more effective than 15 placebo in reducing composite symptom scores, cough frequency or sleep 16 disturbance in children and their parents in 1 RCT of children and young 17 people aged 2 to 18 years with acute cough due to an upper respiratory 18 tract infection (low quality evidence). No safety data were reported. 19 Promethazine for 3 days was no more effective than placebo in reducing a 20 composite cough symptom scores in 1 RCT of children and young people 21 aged 1 to 22 years with acute cough due to an upper respiratory tract

22 infection (low quality evidence). Adverse events were reported in 32% of

the promethazine group and 5% of the placebo group (p value not reported;

low quality evidence).

25 Based on 4 RCTs from 1 systematic review (<u>Smith et al. 2014</u>) in adults,

26 young people and children with cough related to a common cold or upper

- 27 respiratory tract infection.
- 28 OTC cough medicines containing the antihistamines diphenhydramine and
- 29 promethazine are subject to MHRA advice on how to use cough and cold
- 30 medicines safely for children under 12 years (<u>Drug Safety Update, April 2009</u>).

Committee discussion on self-care

 Overall, the committee recognised that the quality of the evidence on self-care treatments was limited. In many studies it was not clear what outcomes were being measured, or these measures were subjective. However, promoting the role of self-care may help to reduce the amount of antibiotic prescriptions, and repeated or future consultations in general practice.

Honey

- The committee agreed that there was some evidence that suggests honey reduced cough symptoms in children and young people with an acute cough caused by an upper respiratory tract infection. The clinical significance of the benefit of honey on cough symptoms is unclear, particularly because follow-up was for 1 day only.
- Honey was well tolerated in the studies, and is readily available.
 However, it should not be given to children under 1 year of age because of concerns about infant botulism. It also contains sugars, and the committee discussed concerns about tooth decay.
- In the studies, honey was given as a single 10 g dose in 1 trial, and 2 trials reported that honey was given before bedtime. A range of types of honey were used, with no studies using the same variety.
- Based on evidence, the committee agreed that that limited evidence suggests that honey may have some benefit on cough symptoms and people over 1 year of age may wish to try this for the treatment of acute cough.

Herbal medicines

- The committee found that the evidence for many of the herbal remedies was limited by poorly defined populations, outcomes, length of follow-up and a lack of safety data or data on adverse outcomes.
- The committee reviewed evidence for several herbal products: *Andrographis paniculata*, ivy, primrose and thyme as a combined product, echinacea, and pelargonium.

- The committee agreed that there was some evidence that suggests
 Andrographis paniculata (A. paniculata) reduced cough symptoms.

 However, as the clinical significance of this benefit is unclear, safety data
 was not available, and no A. paniculata product has been granted a
 traditional herbal registration with the MHRA, the committee agreed that
 no recommendation on its use for the treatment of acute cough could be
 made.
- The committee agreed that there was some evidence that suggests ivy, primrose or thyme as various combined or single products reduced cough symptoms. However, as the clinical significance of this benefit is unclear and safety data was not available, the committee agreed that no recommendation on the use of these herbal products for the treatment of acute cough could be made. Several combined products containing ivy, primrose or thyme have been granted traditional herbal registrations with the MHRA to relieve coughs and catarrh associated with the common cold based on traditional use only.
- Most studies in a systematic review of echinacea did not report a benefit on cough symptoms, and no safety data was available. Therefore, the committee agreed that no recommendation on the use of echinacea for the treatment of acute cough could be made. Numerous echinacea products have been granted traditional herbal registrations with the MHRA to relieve the symptoms of the common cold and influenza type infections based on traditional use only.
- The committee agreed that there was some evidence that suggests **pelargonium** (*Pelargonium sidoides*, *P.sidoides*) reduced cough symptoms in people with acute bronchitis, with a liquid preparation being more beneficial than a tablet preparation. However, *P. sidoides* increased the number of people with adverse events (mainly gastrointestinal). The clinical significance of the benefit of *P. sidoides* on cough symptoms is unclear, and the committee noted that all the RCTs were conducted in Russia or Ukraine and were initiated and funded by a single manufacturing company. However, several *P. sidoides* products have been granted traditional herbal registrations with the MHRA to relieve

symptoms of the common cold, sore throat, cough and blocked or runny nose.

The committee agreed that that limited evidence suggests that
 P. sidoides may have some benefit on cough symptoms and people may wish to try it for the treatment of acute cough.

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)

 Based on evidence, the committee agreed that NSAIDs did not benefit cough symptoms and no recommendation for their use to treat acute cough should be made. Paracetamol or ibuprofen are often used to manage any associated pain.

Cough expectorant medicines

- The committee agreed that there was some evidence that suggests **guaifenesin** reduced cough symptoms in adults and young people with an acute cough or upper respiratory tract infection, with no increase in adverse effects. The clinical significance of any benefit is unclear, but the committee agreed that people over 12 years may wish to try cough medicines containing guaifenesin for the treatment of acute cough.
- Over the counter cough medicines containing the expectorants guaifenesin and ipecacuanha are subject to <u>MHRA advice</u>. They should not be used in children under 6 years of age and are only available in pharmacies for use in children from 6 to 12 years where advice can be given.

Cough suppressant medicines

• The committee agreed that the evidence for **dextromethorphan** was mixed. There was some evidence that suggests reduced cough symptoms in adults with an acute cough but other evidence that it had no effect, and it may increase adverse effects (mainly gastrointestinal and dizziness). The clinical significance of any benefit it may have is unclear, but the committee agreed that people over 12 years may wish to try cough medicines containing dextromethorphan for the treatment of acute cough.

- Based on evidence, the committee agreed that **codeine** had no benefit on cough symptoms.
- No evidence for cough medicines containing pholcodine or simple linctus was found.
- Over the counter cough medicines containing the cough suppressants dextromethorphan and pholcodine are subject to <u>MHRA advice</u>. They should not be used in children under 6 years of age (pholcodine) or 12 years of age (dextromethorphan) and pholcodine is only available in pharmacies for use in children from 6 to 12 years where advice can be given. Over the counter cough medicines containing codeine should not be used in children under 12 years and are not recommended for young people under 18 years with breathing problems (<u>MHRA advice</u>).

Antihistamines and decongestants

- The committee agreed that, from the limited evidence found, antihistamines (loratidine, clemastine, diphenhydramine and promethazine) and decongestants (pseudoephedrine) had no benefit on cough symptoms, and increased adverse effects (including drowsiness and dry mouth).
- Over the counter cough medicines containing the antihistamines diphenhydramine and promethazine are subject to <u>MHRA advice</u> on how to use cough and cold medicines safely for children under 12 years.

1 Bronchodilators

2 Beta-2 agonists

- Beta-2 agonists (salbutamol syrup) did not significantly reduce the
- 4 presence of cough at 7 days, or mean cough score at days 1 to 7,
- 5 compared with placebo in children with acute cough or acute bronchitis
- 6 (low to moderate quality evidence). There were no significant differences in
- 7 adverse effects (shaking or tremor or other adverse effects) between
- 8 groups (very low quality evidence).

- Beta-2 agonists (salbutamol tablets, salbutamol inhaler or fenoterol inhaler
 [not available in the UK]) did not significantly reduce the presence of cough
 at 7 days, productive cough after 7 days, night cough after 7 days, not
 working by day 7 or mean cough score at days 1 to 7, compared with
 placebo in adults with acute cough or acute bronchitis (very low to
 moderate quality evidence).
- There was a significant increase in adverse effects (shaking, tremor or nervousness) in adults with acute cough or acute bronchitis treated with beta-2 agonists compared with placebo or other treatment (55.2% versus 11.3%, <u>number needed to harm</u> [NNH] 2 (range 1 to 3); very low quality
- 11 evidence), but not in other adverse effects.
- Beta-2 agonists (salbutamol syrup) were significantly better than
- 13 erythromycin ethylsuccinate syrup for cough after 7 days (41.2% versus
- 14 88.2%; <u>number needed to treat</u> [NNT] 3 [range 2 to 6]; low quality
- evidence), productive cough after 7 days (35.7% versus 76.5%; NNT 2
- 16 [range 2 to 12]; low quality evidence) but not night cough after 7 days (very
- 17 low quality evidence) in adults with acute cough or acute bronchitis.
- 18 Based on <u>Becker et al. (2015)</u>, a systematic review and meta-analysis of
- 19 7 RCTs in adults and children with an acute cough or acute bronchitis.

Committee discussion on bronchodilators

- Based on evidence, the committee agreed that bronchodilators, such as oral or inhaled salbutamol, did not benefit cough symptoms and increased adverse events, such as tremor. Therefore, they agreed that bronchodilators should not be offered for people (adults or children) with an acute cough (including acute bronchitis).
- The committee discussed the evidence for oral salbutamol plus dextromethorphan but no recommendation was made because no such product is available in the UK.

20 Corticosteroids

1 Inhaled corticosteroids

- Inhaled corticosteroids (fluticasone diproprionate) significantly reduced the
 mean cough score at the end of the second week of treatment compared
 with placebo in adults with acute or subacute cough following respiratory
 tract infection (mean difference [MD] -0.50, 95% CI -0.55 to -0.45; very
 low quality evidence) but not at 4 weeks.
- 7 Inhaled corticosteroids (fluticasone diproprionate) significantly reduced the mean cough score by at least 50% reduction at the end the second week in 8 9 non-smoking adults with acute or subacute respiratory tract infection 10 compared with placebo (53.5% versus 80.5%; NNT 4 [range 3 to 13]; very 11 low quality evidence). The mean difference in the average daily cough 12 score in the second week in non-smoking adults was -0.9 (95% CI -1.3 to -0.4; very low quality evidence). There was no difference in smokers. One 13 14 RCT found that additional treatment sought after 2 weeks of study 15 treatment was significantly lower with fluticasone diproprionate compared 16 with placebo (43.1% versus 62.7%, NNT 6 [range 3 to 35]; very low quality 17 evidence).
- There were no significant differences found for mean symptom scores
- (cough, cough frequency, symptoms associated with cough, night-timecough or the frequency of taking cough medicines), and the outcomes of
- cough or the frequency of taking cough medicines), and the outcomes of
 little or no improvement at 7 to 14 days, severe symptoms at 11 days, and
 adverse effects (hoarseness) during the treatment period (very low quality
- evidence).
- 24 Based on <u>El-Gohary et al. (2013)</u>, a systematic review of 4 RCTs in adults
- 25 with an acute or subacute respiratory tract infection.
- 26 Systemic effects (mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid) may occur with inhaled
- 27 corticosteroids, including a range of psychological or behavioural effects
- 28 (particularly in children) (Drug Safety Update, September 2010).

Committee discussion on corticosteroids

- The committee agreed that the evidence for inhaled corticosteroids was mixed. There was some evidence that it reduced cough symptoms in adults with an acute or subacute cough (particularly in non-smokers) but other evidence that it had no effect. No evidence for oral corticosteroids was found.
- Corticosteroids have well-recognised systemic (mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid) effects, including a range of psychological or behavioural effects (particularly in children) and the committee agreed that, weighing up the potential risks and benefits, oral or inhaled corticosteroids should not be offered for people (adults or children) with an acute cough (including acute bronchitis).
- The committee discussed the evidence that inhaled corticosteroids reduced additional treatments being sought, and they could reduce the prescribing of antibiotics for acute cough. However, any prescribed alternatives to antibiotics have workload implications as people are likely to re-consult and expect similar treatments in the future, sending the wrong message that prescribed treatment is needed for a largely self-limiting condition.

1 *Mucolytics*

- Mucolytics (oral acetylcysteine and oral carbocisteine) were significantly
 better than placebo for reducing cough at 6 to 7 days in children with acute
 upper and lower respiratory tract infection (4.1% versus 13.8%; very low
 quality evidence), but not at the end of treatment (28 days; very low quality
 evidence).
- 7 There were no significant differences between mucolytics and placebo for 8 the outcomes of productive cough and expectoration at end of treatment (at 9 7 days), pulmonary function at day 3, febrile state at 6 days, dyspnoea at 6 10 to 7 days, bad general condition after 6 to 7 days, and appetite trouble (not 11 defined) at the end of treatment (5 to 9 days) in children with acute upper and lower respiratory tract infection (very low quality evidence). There was 12 13 also no significant difference for the outcome of abnormal chest signs (for 14 example wheezing or rattling) after 5 days, but there was a significant

- 1 difference for this outcome at the end of treatment (28 days) (2% versus
- 2 16%; very low quality evidence).
- 3 Based on Chalumeau and Duijvestijn 2013, a systematic review and meta-
- 4 analysis of 6 RCTs in children with acute upper and lower respiratory tract
- 5 infections.

Committee discussion on mucolytics

- The committee agreed that the evidence for mucolytics (acetylcysteine and carbocisteine) was mixed. There was some evidence that a mucolytic reduced cough symptoms in children with acute upper and lower respiratory tract infections but other evidence that it had no effect.
- The clinical significance of any benefit mucolytics may have is unclear, and the committee agreed that they should not be offered for people (adults or children) with an acute cough (including acute bronchitis). Any prescribed alternatives to antibiotics have workload implications as people are likely to re-consult and expect similar treatments in the future, sending the wrong message that prescribed treatment is needed for a largely self-limiting condition.

6 No antibiotic

- Acute cough associated with an upper respiratory tract infection or acute
- 8 bronchitis is usually a self-limiting infection caused by a viral infection. Most
- 9 upper respiratory tract infections, such as a common cold or flu, are viral.
- 10 Acute bronchitis has been estimated to be viral in 85% to 95% of cases,
- 11 although this is difficult to estimate (<u>NICE Clinical Knowledge Summary</u>
- 12 [CKS]: chest infections adult, Worrall 2008).

13 Efficacy of antibiotics

14 Antibiotics for clinical improvement in acute bronchitis

- Antibiotics (doxycycline, co-trimoxazole, erythromycin, cefuroxime,
- 16 azithromycin, amoxicillin and co-amoxiclav) were not significantly better

1 than placebo (or no active treatment) for clinical improvement at follow-up 2 in people with acute bronchitis (11 RCTs, n=3,841, 73.2% versus 66.5%; 3 low quality evidence). Clinical improvement was measured by a global 4 assessment of improvement by clinicians at follow-up. In subgroup analysis by antibiotic, there was no significant difference in 5 • 6 clinical improvement between doxycycline and placebo (3 RCTs), 7 erythromycin and placebo (2 RCTs) or amoxicillin and placebo (2 RCTs: 8 low to moderate quality evidence). However, cefuroxime significantly 9 increased clinical improvement at follow-up in adults with acute bronchitis 10 compared with placebo (1 RCT, n=343, 92.4% versus 79.1%; low quality 11 evidence). 12 Antibiotics (erythromycin, cefuroxime, doxycycline or co-amoxiclav) did not • 13 significantly reduce the number of adults and children with acute bronchitis 14 without improvement at physician follow-up compared with placebo (very 15 low guality evidence). When a subgroup of people with non-purulent 16 tracheo-bronchitis from an upper respiratory tract infection study was 17 omitted, antibiotics were significantly better than placebo (5 RCTs, n=816. 7.7% versus 17.6%; NNT 11 [7 to 19]; moderate guality evidence). 18 19 However, only 1 RCT in this analysis of cefuroxime versus placebo 20 (accounting for 35.5% of the weight in the meta-analysis) showed a 21 significant improvement compared with placebo. 22 Antibiotics (erythromycin, cefuroxime or doxycycline) were significantly 23 better than placebo for improving abnormal lung examination at follow-up in 24 adults with acute bronchitis (5 RCTs, n=613, 18.5% versus 34.8%, NNT 7 25 15 to 111: moderate quality evidence). However, only 1 RCT in this analysis 26 of cefuroxime versus placebo (accounting for 77.8% of the weight) had a 27 significant reduction for this outcome in the antibiotic group. Antibiotics (erythromycin, doxycycline or amoxicillin) significantly reduced 28 29 the mean number of days feeling ill compared with placebo or no active 30 treatment in adults and children with acute bronchitis (5 RCTs, n=809; 31 moderate quality evidence). However, the significant effect was not 32 maintained when a study of antibiotics compared with no active treatment 33 (no placebo) was omitted. A subgroup analysis of RCTs of doxycycline

versus placebo showed a significant reduction in the mean number of days
 feeling ill by about half a day compared with placebo (3 RCTs, n=383; high
 guality evidence).

4 Antibiotics for reduction of cough in acute bronchitis

Antibiotics (erythromycin or doxycycline) significantly reduced cough at
follow-up in adults with acute bronchitis compared with placebo (4 RCTs,
n=275, 32.9% versus 50.8%, NNT 6 [4 to 16]; moderate quality evidence).
This significant reduction was seen in a subgroup of RCTs of doxycycline
compared with placebo (2 RCTs, n=210, 22.9% versus 42.6%, NNT 6 [4 to
14]; moderate quality evidence) but not erythromycin compared with
placebo (low quality evidence).

12 Antibiotics (erythromycin, cefuroxime or doxycycline) significantly reduced 13 night cough at follow-up in adults with acute bronchitis compared with 14 placebo (4 RCTs, n=538, 29.5% versus 44.6%, NNT 7 [5 to 15]; low quality 15 evidence). This significant reduction was seen in a subgroup analysis of 16 cefuroxime compared with placebo (1 RCT, n=340, 36.8% versus 56.8%; 17 low quality evidence) but not for erythromycin or doxycycline compared 18 with placebo (low quality evidence). Antibiotics did not make any significant 19 difference to the presence of productive cough at follow-up in adults and 20 children with acute bronchitis (moderate guality evidence).

21 Antibiotics for duration of cough in acute bronchitis

22 Antibiotics (erythromycin, amoxicillin or doxycycline) significantly reduced 23 the mean number of days of cough in adults and children with acute 24 bronchitis compared with placebo or no active treatment by about half a 25 day (7 RCTs, n=2,776; moderate guality evidence). This significant 26 reduction was also seen in studies that compared antibiotics with placebo 27 only (6 RCTs, n=2,350; moderate quality evidence). No significant 28 differences were found for individual antibiotics in subgroup analyses. 29 Antibiotics made no significant difference to the mean number of days of 30 productive cough in adults and children with acute bronchitis compared 31 with placebo or no active treatment. When a subgroup of people with nonpurulent tracheo-bronchitis from an upper respiratory tract infection study 32

was omitted, antibiotics did significantly reduce the mean number of days
of productive cough by about half a day (5 RCTs, n=535; moderate quality
evidence). The significant difference was maintained in a subgroup of
studies comparing doxycycline with placebo (4 RCTs, n=444; moderate
quality evidence) but not in 2 RCTs of amoxicillin or erythromycin
compared with placebo or no treatment (moderate quality evidence).

7 Antibiotics for moist cough of greater than 10 days duration in children

8 Antibiotics (erythromycin or co-amoxiclav) significantly reduced the number 9 of children with clinical failure (not cured or substantially improved) at 10 follow-up in children with prolonged moist cough compared with placebo or 11 no treatment (2 RCTs, n=140, 34.3% versus 72.6%, NNT 3 [2 to 5]; 12 moderate quality evidence). However, this became non-significant when 13 children with Bordetella pertussis were excluded and in an intention-to-treat 14 analysis using those not lost to follow-up (very low quality evidence). Antibiotics significantly reduced the need for additional treatment due to 15 16 illness compared with placebo or no treatment (2 RCTs, n=125, 5.1% 17 versus 36.4%, NNT 4 [3 to 6]; moderate quality evidence).

18 Antibiotics for preventing suppurative complications from

19 undifferentiated upper respiratory tract infections

20 Antibiotics (co-amoxiclav) had no significant effect on the development of 21 acute otitis media in children with undifferentiated acute respiratory tract 22 infection compared with placebo or no treatment (3 RCTs; very low quality 23 evidence), or in a subgroup of children from high-income countries of 24 (2 RCTs; very low quality evidence). Antibiotics (ampicillin) had no 25 significant effect on the development of pneumonia in children aged under 26 11 months or those aged 12 to 58 months with undifferentiated acute 27 respiratory infection compared with placebo or no treatment (1 RCT; very 28 low quality evidence).

29 Antibiotics for subgroups in acute lower respiratory tract infection

- In 1 RCT in adults with an acute cough, for the outcome of resolution of
- 31 symptoms rated moderately bad or worse, no pre-specified subgroup

1 (green sputum, current smokers, significant past medical history, people 2 with symptoms for longer than 7 days at baseline, fever at baseline or 3 minor chest signs) was significantly more likely to benefit from antibiotics 4 (amoxicillin). People with significant past medical history compared with 5 those without significant past medical history (n=438, MD -0.28, 95% CI -0.46 to -0.09; very low guality evidence) had a significantly lower mean 6 7 symptom severity score on days 2 to 4 after consultation. People with 8 symptoms for less than 7 days at baseline compared with those who had 9 symptoms for more than 7 days at baseline had a significantly lower mean 10 symptom severity score on days 2 to 4 after consultation (n=711, MD 11 -0.16, 95% CI -0.27 to -0.06; very low guality evidence). Non-current 12 smokers compared with current smokers had a significantly lower mean 13 symptom severity score on days 2 to 4 after consultation (n=483, MD 14 -0.12, 95% CI -0.22 to -0.03; very low guality evidence). No subgroups 15 were identified that were significantly more likely to develop new or 16 worsening symptoms.

17 Safety of antibiotics

- Antibiotic-associated diarrhoea is estimated to occur in 2 to 25% of people
- 19 taking antibiotics, depending on the antibiotic used (NICE Clinical

20 Knowledge Summary [CKS]: diarrhoea – antibiotic associated).

- About 10% of the general population claim to have a penicillin allergy; this
 has often been because of a skin rash that occurred during a course of
 penicillin in childhood. Fewer than 10% of people who think they are
 allergic to penicillin are truly allergic. See the NICE guideline on drug
 allergy: diagnosis and management for more information
- 25 <u>allergy: diagnosis and management</u> for more information.
- People with a history of immediate hypersensitivity to penicillins may also
 react to cephalosporins and other beta lactam antibiotics (<u>BNF, June</u>
 <u>2018</u>). See the NICE guideline on <u>drug allergy: diagnosis and management</u>
 for more information.
- Macrolides should be used with caution in people with a predisposition to
- 31 QT interval prolongation. Nausea, vomiting, abdominal discomfort, and
- 32 diarrhoea are the most common side effects of macrolides. These are less
- 33 frequent with clarithromycin than with erythromycin (BNF, June 2018).

Tetracyclines, including doxycycline, can deposit in growing bone and teeth
 causing staining and occasionally dental hypoplasia. They should not be
 given to children under 12 years, or to pregnant or breast-feeding women.
 Common side effects include nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, dysphagia, and
 oesophageal irritation (BNF, June 2018).

See the <u>summaries of product characteristics</u> for information on
 contraindications, cautions and adverse effects of individual medicines.

- Antibiotics significantly increased the overall number of adverse effects
 compared with placebo or no active treatment in people with acute
 bronchitis (12 RCTs, n=3,496, 22.6% versus 18.7%, NNH 25 [range 15 to
 84]; low quality evidence). The most commonly reported adverse effects
 included gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, or diarrhoea.
- 13 There were no significant differences in adverse effects for subgroups of
- 14 different antibiotics (erythromycin, amoxicillin or co-amoxiclav, or
- doxycycline) versus placebo or no active treatment (very low to low qualityevidence).
- There were no significant differences between antibiotics and placebo or no treatment for adverse effects (vomiting, rash or diarrhoea) in children with
- 19 moist cough for longer than 10 days duration.

20 Based on 3 systematic reviews and meta-analyses, <u>Smith et al. (2017)</u> which

21 included adults and children with acute bronchitis from 17 randomised

22 controlled trials, <u>Marchant et al. (2005)</u> which included children with a moist

23 cough lasting longer than 10 days from 2 randomised controlled trials and

24 <u>Alves et al. (2016)</u> which included children with undifferentiated acute

respiratory infection from 4 randomised controlled trials; and 1 randomised

controlled trial in adults with an acute cough (<u>Moore et al. 2014</u>).

27 Back-up antibiotics

- Two RCTs included in a systematic review of adults and children with acute
 cough did not report data for back-up versus immediate antibiotics.
- 30 However, the systematic review states that there was no difference in
- 31 reported clinical outcomes.

- One RCT included in a systematic review compared a back-up antibiotic
 prescription (either at the time of visit or requiring collection) with immediate
 antibiotics and a no antibiotic prescribing strategy in adults with acute
 cough. A back-up antibiotic prescription was not significantly different to an
 immediate antibiotic or no antibiotic for the outcomes of cough duration,
 pain duration or fever duration (low quality evidence).
- 7 Based on <u>Spurling et al. (2017)</u>, a systematic review and meta-analysis of
- 8 11 RCTs of back-up antibiotic prescriptions for respiratory infections (including
- 9 acute otitis media, pharyngitis, sore throat, common cold and other respiratory
- 10 tract infections) in adults and children, 3 RCTs were in an acute cough
- 11 population.

Committee discussion on no antibiotics, back-up antibiotics and immediate antibiotics

 The committee discussed that acute cough, either associated with an upper respiratory tract infection or acute bronchitis, is usually a selflimiting infection. It is often a viral infection, and antibiotics are not usually needed.

Acute cough associated with an upper respiratory tract infection

 No evidence was found for antibiotics to treat an acute cough specifically associated with an upper respiratory tract infection, which is usually a viral infection. Based on the lack of evidence and experience, the committee agreed that antibiotics should not be offered for people (adults or children) with an acute cough associated with an upper respiratory tract infection. People should be given advice that an acute cough can last up to 3 or 4 weeks and does not need an antibiotic. They should also be given safety netting advice to seek medical help if symptoms worsen rapidly or significantly, do not improve after 3 or 4 weeks, or they become systemically very unwell.

Acute cough associated with acute bronchitis

- Based on evidence and experience, the committee agreed that antibiotics should not routinely be offered for people (adults or children) with an acute cough associated with acute bronchitis. Antibiotics had a beneficial effect on some outcomes, but not others, and any benefit from antibiotics needs to be weighed up against their potential to cause adverse effects. Even where statistically significant effects were seen, these were often difficult to interpret and may not be clinically meaningful for many people.
- Antibiotics did not improve the overall clinical condition of people with acute bronchitis, or the number of people with improvement at physician follow-up. Antibiotics did improve abnormal lung examination at follow-up, but the committee agreed this was not an important patient-

orientated outcome for people with acute bronchitis, and this outcome was heavily influenced by 1 study of cefuroxime.

- Antibiotics reduced the number of people who had 'any cough' or 'night cough' at follow-up, with a number needed to treat of 6 or 7. However, the timing of follow-up is unclear, varying between studies from 2 to 18 days after treatment started.
- Antibiotics made little difference to how long the symptoms of acute bronchitis lasted. They reduced the mean number of days of cough by about 0.5 days (range 0 to 1 day), which the committee agreed may not be clinically meaningful for many people when an acute cough lasts up to 3 or 4 weeks.
- Antibiotics have possible adverse effects, particularly diarrhoea and nausea. In people with acute bronchitis, antibiotics increased adverse effects, with a number needed to harm of 25.
- Based on experience, the committee discussed that withholding antibiotics in acute cough is unlikely to lead to complications in people who are not systemically very unwell or at higher risk of complications. However, they acknowledged the limited evidence base, which was solely for no increased risk of acute otitis media or pneumonia in children with acute undifferentiated respiratory tract infection.
- The committee recognised the usefulness of back-up antibiotic prescriptions in managing self-limiting illnesses. However, from the evidence, back-up antibiotics were not significantly different to immediate antibiotics or no antibiotics for how long a cough lasts.
- Based on evidence, experience and the principles of antimicrobial stewardship, the committee recommended a no antibiotic prescribing strategy (routinely) for people with acute cough associated with acute bronchitis. They recognised that antibiotics may be an option for some people on an individual patient basis, but this should not be routine practice. The committee was aware of recommendations in the NICE guideline on pneumonia to consider a point of care C-reactive protein test if after clinical assessment a diagnosis of pneumonia has not been made and it is not clear whether antibiotics should be prescribed.

• For most people with an acute cough (which is a condition that can persist for some weeks) they felt a back-up antibiotic prescribing strategy sent the wrong message that antibiotics may be needed at some point.

Acute cough in people who are systemically very unwell or at higher risk of complications

- Based on evidence and experience, the committee agreed that an immediate antibiotic prescription should be offered for people (adults or children) with an acute cough (associated with an upper respiratory tract infection or acute bronchitis) who are identified at a face-to-face clinical examination as systemically very unwell, because these people require prompt treatment with an antibiotic.
- Based on evidence and experience, the committee agreed that an immediate antibiotic prescription or a back-up antibiotic prescription could be considered for people with an acute cough (associated with an upper respiratory tract infection or acute bronchitis) who are identified at a faceto-face clinical examination as at higher risk of complications.
- The committee recognised that the <u>NICE guideline on respiratory tract</u> <u>infections (self-limiting): prescribing antibiotics</u> recommends that people with acute cough are likely to be at higher risk of developing complications because of pre-existing comorbidity (significant heart, lung, renal, liver or neuromuscular disease, immunosuppression, cystic fibrosis, and young children who were born prematurely) or because of older age and the presence of certain criteria (type 1 or type 2 diabetes, congestive heart failure, use of oral corticosteroids, hospitalisation in previous year). The committee agreed that for some of these people an immediate antibiotic may not be clinically required, and a back-up antibiotic could be considered.
- The committee recommended that antibiotics should only be considered after people have been assessed face-to-face to allow clinical examination.
- The committee agreed that a back-up antibiotic prescription could be used if symptoms worsen rapidly or significantly at any time. Giving

safety netting advice is also important to ensure people seek medical help if symptoms worsen rapidly or significantly despite taking the antibiotic, or they become systemically very unwell.

 Based on experience, the committee agreed that people with acute cough who present with any symptoms or signs suggesting a more serious illness or condition (for example sepsis, a pulmonary embolism or lung cancer) should be referred to hospital, or specialist advice should be sought on further investigation and management.

Antibiotics for moist cough of greater than 10 days duration in children

The committee discussed the evidence for antibiotics reducing clinical failure in children with a prolonged moist cough. However, they noted the limitations with this evidence base and did not make a recommendation specifically for this population. Many children had a cough for over 3 weeks at baseline, and therefore did not have an acute cough. Also, there was no benefit of antibiotics when children with *Bordetella pertussis* were excluded (9% of all children), and in an intention-to-treat analysis using those not lost to follow-up.

1 Choice of antibiotic

- 2 No systematic reviews and randomised controlled trials met the inclusion
- 3 criteria for this section.

Committee discussion on choice of antibiotic

- There was no evidence directly comparing different antibiotics. However, subgroup analysis from a systematic review in people with acute bronchitis did find some differences between antibiotics compared with placebo.
- Individually, doxycycline compared with placebo showed a significant reduction in the following outcomes: mean number of days feeling ill,

cough at follow-up and mean number of days of productive cough, where other antibiotics (amoxicillin and erythromycin) did not.

- Individually, cefuroxime also showed benefit over placebo for the following outcomes: clinical improvement at follow-up, improvement at physician follow-up and night cough at follow-up, where other antibiotics did not. This was based on a trial of over 300 people, which contributed much of the weight in meta-analyses. The committee discussed this finding and had some concerns that the study design of the cefuroxime study in particular influenced this result.
- Cefuroxime is a broad-spectrum antibiotic (a second generation cephalosporin). The committee discussed that, if an antibiotic is needed to treat an infection that is not life-threatening, a narrow-spectrum antibiotic should generally be first choice. Indiscriminate use of broad-spectrum antibiotics creates a selective advantage for bacteria resistant even to these 'last-line' broad-spectrum agents, and also kills normal commensal flora leaving people susceptible to antibiotic-resistant harmful bacteria such as *C. difficile*.
- Based on evidence, their experience and resistance data, the committee agreed to recommend doxycycline at usual dose, as the first choice antibiotic for adults with acute cough (including acute bronchitis), where an antibiotic is appropriate. This is a tetracycline, which is only suitable for non-pregnant adults and young people over 12 years. Doxycycline was preferred over amoxicillin because, in sub-group analyses, it showed benefits on some outcomes where amoxicillin did not. Also, the committee were aware of evidence that the risk of resistance to amoxicillin is significantly increased in urinary isolates of *Escherichia coli* following a course of amoxicillin.
- Alternative first-choice antibiotics (at usual doses) for adults unable to take doxycycline, which have good activity against common causal bacteria, are:
 - **amoxicillin** (a penicillin)
 - clarithromycin (or erythromycin in pregnancy), which are macrolides.

• For children and young people, **amoxicillin** is recommended as the first choice antibiotic, with **clarithromycin**, **erythromycin** or **doxycycline** (in young people aged 12 to 17 years only) as alternative choices.

1 Antibiotic course length

- No systematic reviews and randomised controlled trials met the inclusion
- 3 criteria for this section.

Committee discussions on antibiotic course length

- There was no evidence directly comparing different antibiotic course lengths. From a systematic review in people with acute bronchitis, antibiotic course length varied from 5 to 10 days typically depending on the antibiotics used
- The committee agreed that, when an antibiotic is appropriate, the shortest course that is likely to be effective should be prescribed.
- Based on evidence, their experience and resistance data, the committee agreed that a 5-day course for all the recommended antibiotics was sufficient to treat acute cough (including acute bronchitis), where an antibiotic was appropriate. This takes into account the overall efficacy and safety evidence for antibiotics, and minimises the risk of resistance. Studies in the evidence review for specific antibiotics in acute bronchitis sometimes had course lengths of more than 5 days.

4 **Other considerations**

5 Medicines adherence

- Medicines adherence may be a problem for some people taking medicines
- 7 that need frequent dosing or longer treatment duration (for example,
- 8 antibiotics). See the NICE guideline on <u>medicines adherence</u>.

9 **Resource implications**

Cough (acute): antimicrobial prescribing guidance

- Respiratory tract infections, including acute cough, are a common reason
 for consultations in primary care and for potential antibiotic prescribing. In a
 2011 survey of UK primary care (<u>Gulliford et al. 2014</u>), consultations for
 'cough and bronchitis' accounted for 39% of all consultations for respiratory
 tract infections, and the median practice issued an antibiotic prescription in
 48% of these.
- 7 There is potential for resource savings if a no antibiotic or a back-up 8 antibiotic prescription strategy is used. One systematic review (Spurling et 9 al. 2017) found significantly lower antibiotic use with a back-up antibiotic 10 prescribing strategy compared with immediate antibiotics, both when the 11 back-up antibiotic prescription was given at the time of consultation (38.4% 12 versus 86.8%; very low quality evidence) and when the prescription had to 13 be collected on a separate visit (27.3% versus 95.3%; very low quality 14 evidence).
- Recommended antibiotics are all available as generic formulations, see
 <u>Drug Tariff</u> for costs.

© NICE 2018 All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.