

Intrapartum Care for High-Risk Women – Obstetric Complications Committee Meeting

Date: 11 & 12 April 2018

Location: RCOG, London

Minutes: Confirmed

Committee members present:		
Rhona Hughes (Chair) (RH)		(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Alena Chong (AC)		(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Aung Soe (AS)		(Present for notes 4 – 6)
Charlotte Gibson (CG)		(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Heidi Beddall (HB)		(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Jenny Myers (JM)		(Present for notes 2 – 6)
Leanne Stamp (LS)		(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Margaret Matthews (MMa)		(Present for notes 4 – 6)
Michael Weisz (MW)		(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Philip Barclay (PB)		(Present for notes 1 – 4)
Sarah Fishburn (SF)		(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Tracey Cooper (TC)		(Present for notes 1 – 6)
In attendance:		
Clifford Middleton (CM)	NICE Guidelines Commissioning Manager	(Present for notes 4 – 6)
Nuala Flewett (NF)	Project Manager	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Laura Kuznetsov (LK)	Systematic Reviewer	(Present for notes 4 – 6)
Rami Cosulich (RC)	Systematic Reviewer	(Present for notes 1 – 5)
Maija Kallioinen (MK)	Systematic Reviewer	(Present for notes 4 – 5)
Stephen Murphy (SM)	Clinical Advisor	(Present for notes 1 – 3, 5 - 6)
Moira Mugglestone (MMu)	Director of Methodology and Guideline Lead	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Patrice Carter (PC)	Senior Systematic Reviewer	(Present for notes 1 – 4)
Paul Jacklin (PJ)	Senior Health Economist	(Present for notes 4 – 5)
Palida Teelucknavan (PD) (observing)	Project Manager	(Present for notes 1 – 5)
Hannah Cannon (HC) (observing)	Project Manager	(Present for notes 1 – 5)

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

Ted Barker (TB) (observing)	Systematic Reviewer	(Present for notes 4 – 5)
-----------------------------	---------------------	---------------------------

Apologies:

Timothy Reeves (TR)	Information Scientist
Anne-Louise Clayton (ALC)	NICE Senior Medical Editor

1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the committee and technical team to the sixteenth meeting for Intrapartum Care for High-Risk Women – Obstetric Complications.

The Chair introduced PD and HC as the two Project Managers observing the committee for day 1, and TB as observing the committee for part of day 2.

The Chair informed the committee that apologies had been received as noted above.

The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting, which included the following:

- considering evidence and drafting recommendations for intrapartum haemorrhage and no antenatal care
- revisiting some other review questions
- agreeing protocols for diagnostic evidence.

2. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest

The Chair confirmed that for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest the matter under discussion was intrapartum care for women at high risk of adverse outcomes because of obstetric complications. The Chair asked everyone to verbally declare any interests that had arisen since the last meeting.

Name	Job title, organisation	Declarations of Interest	Type of interest	Decision taken
Alena Chong	GP Principal, London Principal Clinical Teaching Fellow, University College London Senior Clinical Advisor, NHS England	09/04/2018 GP Partner (since 2011) and Clinical Lead for Educational Accreditation at the Royal College of General Practitioners (RCGP). Both roles preceded appointment to the	Direct, financial, non-specific	Declare and remain

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

		guideline committee and were declared during April 2018 in accordance with changes to the NICE policy on declaring and managing interests		
Sarah Fishburn	Lay member	11/04/2018 Chairs a charity called Pelvic Partnership; the role is voluntary	Direct, non-financial professional and personal, non-specific	Declare and remain
Sarah Fishburn	Lay member	11/04/2018 Pelvic Partnership was awarded a National Big Lottery grant to make a video about treatment for pelvic girdle pain using manual therapy in March 2018	Indirect, financial, non-specific	Declare and remain
Michael Weisz	Consultant in Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Peterborough and Stamford Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	10/04/2018 Attended MBRRACE-UK ITU chapter writing meeting on 6 March 2018	Direct, non-financial, non-specific	Declare and remain

The Chair and a senior member of the Developer's team noted that the new interests declared did not prevent the attendees from participating fully in the meeting.

The committee was reminded of an earlier declaration by AS which meant that he could participate in discussion of evidence related to mode of birth for women with a large for gestational age baby, but that he would withdraw from formulation of recommendations on this topic.

3. Minutes of last meeting

The Chair asked the committee if it wanted any changes made to the minutes of the last meeting. The committee agreed that the draft minutes were a true and accurate account of the meeting.

4. Presentations

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

The Chair introduced RC who presented the evidence reviews for “What maternal and fetal monitoring should be carried out for women in labour after 42 weeks of pregnancy?” and “What is the optimal mode of birth (emergency caesarean section or continuation of labour) for women with breech presenting in the first or second stage of labour?”. RC took questions from the committee.

The Chair introduced MK who presented the evidence reviews for “What maternal observations should be performed for women at high risk of adverse outcomes in labour for the woman or the baby, and what is the optimal frequency of making these observations?” and “What are the most appropriate systems for risk assessment and management of labour for women who present in labour having had no antenatal care?”. MK took questions from the committee.

The Chair introduced PC who presented the review protocols for “How should fetal monitoring be managed for women with sepsis who present in labour?” and “Does the use of fetal blood sampling (in conjunction with electronic fetal monitoring) for women with pyrexia in labour improve outcomes for the baby?” and the evidence review for “What is the optimal management for intrapartum haemorrhage?”. PC took questions from the committee.

The Chair introduced LK who presented the evidence reviews for “What is the optimal mode of birth (emergency caesarean section or continuation of labour) for women with a large for gestational age baby?” and “What is the optimal mode of birth for women with sepsis?”. LK took questions from the committee.

The Chair introduced PJ who presented an update for health economics. PJ took questions from the committee.

The Chair introduced MMu who outlined NICE’s framework for preference-sensitive decision making. MMu took questions from the committee.

5. Questions and discussion

The committee discussed the evidence for the review questions noted above and made recommendations and justifications based on this. AS withdrew from the formulation of recommendations on the topic of mode of birth for women with a large-for-gestational age baby.

6. Any other business

There was no other business.

Date of next meeting: 6 & 7 June 2018

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

Location of next meeting: RCOG, London