NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

NICE guidelines

Equality impact assessment

Stroke (Update)

4.0 Final guidelin	e (to be	completed	by the	Developer	before (GE cons	ideration
of final guideline)							

4.1Have	any	additiona	l potential	equality	issues	been	raised	during th	ne co	nsulta	tion
and,	if so,	how has	the Comn	nittee ad	dressed	them	າ?				

A stakeholder noted that many more men than women of working age have strokes and asked for consideration of gender as a key factor. The committee discussed this issue and concluded that no one would be disadvantaged because of their gender by the recommendations as they are currently worded.

4.2 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
No
4.3 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
No

4.4 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any
recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or
alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions
4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to advance equality?
No

4.5 Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final guideline, and, if so, where?

The committee's considerations of the issues outlined above are included in the reviews where relevant.

Updated by Developer: Jennifer Hill

Date: 25/02/2019

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead: Nichole Taske

Date: 25/04/2019