

























































































































## 1 References

- 2 1. GRADE Working Group. The Grading of Recommendations Assessment,  
3 Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group website. 2011. Available from:  
4 <http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/> Last accessed: 17/09/2018.
- 5 2. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Developing NICE guidelines: the  
6 manual. London. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2014. Available  
7 from:  
8 <http://www.nice.org.uk/article/PMG20/chapter/1%20Introduction%20and%20overview>
- 9 3. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Social value judgements:  
10 principles for the development of NICE guidance. London. National Institute for  
11 Health and Clinical Excellence, 2008. Available from:  
12 [https://www.nice.org.uk/media/default/about/what-we-do/research-and-](https://www.nice.org.uk/media/default/about/what-we-do/research-and-development/social-value-judgements-principles-for-the-development-of-nice-guidance.pdf)  
13 [development/social-value-judgements-principles-for-the-development-of-nice-](https://www.nice.org.uk/media/default/about/what-we-do/research-and-development/social-value-judgements-principles-for-the-development-of-nice-guidance.pdf)  
14 [guidance.pdf](https://www.nice.org.uk/media/default/about/what-we-do/research-and-development/social-value-judgements-principles-for-the-development-of-nice-guidance.pdf)
- 15 4. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Guide to the methods of  
16 technology appraisal 2013. London. National Institute for Health and Clinical  
17 Excellence, 2013. Available from: <http://publications.nice.org.uk/pmg9>
- 18 5. Novielli N, Cooper NJ, Abrams KR, Sutton AJ. How is evidence on test performance  
19 synthesized for economic decision models of diagnostic tests? A systematic appraisal  
20 of Health Technology Assessments in the UK since 1997. *Value in Health*. 2010;  
21 13(8):952-957
- 22 6. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Purchasing  
23 power parities (PPP). Available from: <http://www.oecd.org/std/prices-ppp/> Last  
24 accessed: 13/12/2017.
- 25 7. Reitsma JB, Glas AS, Rutjes AW, Scholten RJ, Bossuyt PM, Zwinderman AH.  
26 Bivariate analysis of sensitivity and specificity produces informative summary  
27 measures in diagnostic reviews. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 2005; 58(10):982-  
28 990
- 29 8. Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Version 5. Copenhagen. The Nordic  
30 Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2015. Available from:  
31 <http://tech.cochrane.org/Revman>
- 32 9. Van Houwelingen HC, Arends LR, Stijnen T. Advanced methods in meta-analysis:  
33 multivariate approach and meta-regression. *Statistics in Medicine*. 2002; 21(4):589-  
34 624
- 35 10. Van Houwelingen HC, Zwinderman KH, Stijnen T. A bivariate approach to meta-  
36 analysis. *Statistics in Medicine*. 1993; 12(24):2273-2284
- 37 11. WinBUGS [Computer programme] version 1.4. Cambridge. MRC Biostatistics Unit  
38 University of Cambridge, 2015. Available from: [http://www.mrc-](http://www.mrc-bsu.cam.ac.uk/software/bugs/the-bugs-project-winbugs/)  
39 [bsu.cam.ac.uk/software/bugs/the-bugs-project-winbugs/](http://www.mrc-bsu.cam.ac.uk/software/bugs/the-bugs-project-winbugs/)

40