

## Termination of Pregnancy Committee meeting

**Date:** 26 November & 27 November 2018

**Location:** Council Chamber

**Minutes:** Confirmed

<b>Committee members present:</b>	
Iain Cameron	(Present for notes 1 – 8)
Sharon Cameron	(Present for notes 1 – 8)
Patricia Lohr	(Present for notes 1 – 8)
Jo Fletcher	(Present for notes 1 – 8)
Michael Nevill	(Present for notes 1 – 8)
Jonathan Lord	(Present for notes 1 – 8)
Kate Randall	(Present for notes 1 – 8)
Clare Searle	(Present for notes 1 – 8)

<b>In attendance:</b>		
Nick Staples	NICE Guideline Commissioning Manager	(Present for notes 1 – 8)
Anna Sparshatt	Senior Digital Editor	(Present for notes 5)
Katharina Dworzynski	Guideline Lead (attending in place of Katherine Andrea on day 1)	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Anuja Pandey	NGA Systematic Reviewer	(Present for notes 1 – 7)
Laura O’Shea	NGA Systematic Reviewer	(Present for notes 1 – 7)
Mia Schmidt-Hansen	NGA Senior Systematic Reviewer	(Present for notes 1 – 7)
James Hawkins	Health Economist	(Present for notes 1-4)
Hilary Eadon	Guideline Lead (attending in place of Katherine Andrea on day 1)	(Present for notes 7 – 8)

**Apologies:**

Peter Taylor	GC member
Kati Gray	GC member
Sarah Makstutis	GC member
Katherine Andrea	Guideline Lead

**1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting**

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to the ninth meeting on Termination of Pregnancy. The Committee members and attendees introduced themselves.

No members of the public asked to observe the meeting.

The Chair informed the Committee that apologies had been received. These are noted above.

The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting, which included: reviewing draft recommendations and editorial suggestions, making research recommendations.

**2. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest**

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matter under discussion was Termination of Pregnancy.

The Chair asked everyone to verbally declare any interests that have arisen since the last meeting.

Name	Job title, organisation	Declarations of Interest, date declared	Type of interest	Decision taken
Michael Nevill	ToP Committee Member	Non-Financial	Article published in nursing standard on conscientious objection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Declare and participate</li> <li>• Agreed by GL and NICE CA at recruitment</li> </ul> <p>Rationale: The interest is non-financial.</p>
Jonathan Lord	ToP Committee Member	Direct – non-financial	Elected co-chair BSACP, MVA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Declare and</li> </ul>

			<p>training course, Dublin (Institute of Gynaecology); RCOG annual professional development conference (speaker in abortion thread); EMA training course (ICGP, Dublin, Ireland); Hologic clinical advisory board (London – expenses and honarium; no link with abortion care)</p>	<p>participate</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agreed by GL</li> </ul> <p>Rationale: the interest is non-specific and the member only received travel expenses</p>
--	--	--	--	---

The Chair and a senior member of the Developer’s team noted that the interests declared did not prevent the attendees from fully participating in the meeting.

**3. Minutes of last meeting**

The Chair asked the Committee if it wanted any changes made to the minutes of the last meeting. The Committee agreed that the minutes were a true and accurate account of the meeting.

**4. Review of draft recommendations for 4.2**

The Chair introduced James Hawkins, NGA Health Economist, who gave a presentation of economic model results for 4.2 What strategies improve the factors that help or hinder the accessibility and sustainability of a safe termination of pregnancy service?

The Committee then discussed the issues presented in relation to this guideline. The committee then revisited the draft recommendations for 4.2 and agreed the draft recommendations.

The Chair thanked James for his presentation.

**5. Presentation**

The Chair introduced Anna Sparshatt, NICE Senior Digital Editor, who gave a presentation on NICE Pathways.

The Committee then discussed the issues presented in relation to this guideline. The

committee were given the opportunity to ask questions.

The Chair thanked Anna for her presentation.

### **6. Evidence Review for 2.1**

The Chair introduced Mia Schmidt-Hansen, NGA Senior Systematic Reviewer, who gave a presentation and led discussions with the committee to agree recommendations for the 2.1 Is it safe and effective to start termination before there is ultrasound evidence of an intrauterine pregnancy?

The committee reviewed the clinical evidence, discussed and drafted recommendations, and drafted the content of the rationale and impact sections of the evidence report.

### **7. Evidence Review for 2.11**

The Chair introduced Anuja Pandey, NGA Systematic Reviewer, who gave a presentation and led discussions with the committee to agree recommendations for the 2.11 What is the optimal regimen and route of administration of misoprostol after mifepristone, for inducing medical termination from 10+1 to 24+0 weeks gestation?

The committee reviewed the clinical evidence, discussed and drafted recommendations, and drafted the content of the rationale and impact sections of the evidence report.

### **8. Evidence Review for 2.9**

The Chair introduced Mia Schmidt-Hansen, NGA Senior Systematic Reviewer, who gave a presentation and led discussions with the committee to agree recommendations for the 2.19 For women who are having an early (up to 10+0 weeks gestation) medical termination of pregnancy, what is the effectiveness, safety and acceptability of mifepristone and misoprostol given simultaneously compared with other time intervals?

The committee reviewed the clinical evidence, discussed and drafted recommendations, and drafted the content of the rationale and impact sections of the evidence report.

## Day 2

<b>Committee members present:</b>		
Iain Cameron		(Present for notes 1 – 7)
Sharon Cameron		(Present for notes 1 – 7)
Patricia Lohr		(Present for notes 1 – 7)
Jo Fletcher		(Present for notes 1 – 7)
Michael Nevill		(Present for notes 1 – 7)
Jonathan Lord		(Present for notes 1 – 7)
Kate Randall		(Present for notes 1 – 7)
Clare Searle		(Present for notes 1 – 7)
Peter Taylor		(Present for notes 1 – 7)

<b>In attendance:</b>		
Nick Staples	NICE Guideline Commissioning Manager	(Present for notes 1 – 7)
James Hall	NICE Senior Medical Editor	(Present for notes 2 – 7)
Katherine Andrea	Guideline Lead	(Present for notes 1 – 7)
Anuja Pandey	NGA Systematic Reviewer	(Present for notes 1 – 7)
Laura O’Shea	NGA Systematic Reviewer	(Present for notes 1 – 7)
Mia Schmidt-Hansen	NGA Senior Systematic Reviewer	(Present for notes 1 – 7)
James Hawkins	Senior Health Economist	(Present for notes 1 – 5)

<b>Apologies:</b>	
Kati Gray	GC member
Sarah Makstutis	GC member

## **1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting**

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to the ninth meeting on Termination of Pregnancy. The Committee members and attendees introduced themselves.

No members of the public asked to observe the meeting.

The Chair informed the Committee that apologies had been received. These are noted above.

The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting, which included: reviewing draft recommendations and editorial suggestions, making research recommendations.

## **2. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest**

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matter under discussion was Termination of Pregnancy.

The Chair asked everyone to verbally declare any interests that have arisen since the last meeting.

The Chair and a senior member of the Developer's team noted that the interests declared did not prevent the attendees from fully participating in the meeting.

## **3. Minutes of last meeting**

The Chair asked the Committee if it wanted any changes made to the minutes of the last meeting. The Committee agreed that the minutes were a true and accurate account of the meeting.

## **4. Evidence review for 4.3**

The Chair introduced Laura O'Shea, NGA Systematic Reviewer, who gave a presentation and led discussions with the committee to agree recommendations for the 4.3 What strategies are effective at facilitating access to contraception after termination of pregnancy?

The committee reviewed the clinical evidence, discussed and drafted recommendations, and drafted the content of the rationale and impact sections of the evidence report.

### **5. Evidence review for 2.8**

The Chair introduced Mia Schmidt-Hansen, NGA Senior Systematic Reviewer, who gave a presentation and led discussions with the committee to agree recommendations for the 2.8 What is the effectiveness, safety and acceptability of surgical compared to medical termination between 13+0 and 24+0 weeks gestation?

The committee reviewed the clinical evidence, discussed and drafted recommendations, and drafted the content of the rationale and impact sections of the evidence report.

### **6. Evidence Review for 3.3**

The Chair introduced Laura O'Shea, NGA Systematic Reviewer, who gave a presentation and led discussions with the committee to agree recommendations for the 3.3 What support would women like after a termination of the pregnancy?

The committee reviewed the clinical evidence, discussed and drafted recommendations, and drafted the content of the rationale and impact sections of the evidence report.

### **7. Reviewing edits to recommendations**

The committee reviewed the recommendations for evidence reviews 1.1 and 2.10 which had been returned by the NICE editor.

**Date of next meeting:** 15 & 16 January

**Location of next meeting:** RCOG