

Thyroid disease: assessment and management

[K] Management of Thyrotoxicosis: surgical options

NICE guideline

Intervention evidence review underpinning recommendations 1.6.1 to 1.6.24 in the guideline. See also evidence reviews I, J, L and D

June 2019

Draft for Consultation

*This evidence review was developed by
the National Guideline Centre*

Disclaimer

The recommendations in this guideline represent the view of NICE, arrived at after careful consideration of the evidence available. When exercising their judgement, professionals are expected to take this guideline fully into account, alongside the individual needs, preferences and values of their patients or service users. The recommendations in this guideline are not mandatory and the guideline does not override the responsibility of healthcare professionals to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient and, where appropriate, their carer or guardian.

Local commissioners and providers have a responsibility to enable the guideline to be applied when individual health professionals and their patients or service users wish to use it. They should do so in the context of local and national priorities for funding and developing services, and in light of their duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, to advance equality of opportunity and to reduce health inequalities. Nothing in this guideline should be interpreted in a way that would be inconsistent with compliance with those duties.

NICE guidelines cover health and care in England. Decisions on how they apply in other UK countries are made by ministers in the [Welsh Government](#), [Scottish Government](#), and [Northern Ireland Executive](#). All NICE guidance is subject to regular review and may be updated or withdrawn.

Copyright

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2019

ISBN

Contents

1	Management of Thyrotoxicosis: surgical options.....	5
1.1	Review question: When surgery is indicated, what is the most clinically and cost-effective way of using surgery to treat thyrotoxicosis (for example total vs subtotal thyroidectomy)?	5
1.2	Introduction	5
1.3	PICO table.....	5
1.4	Clinical evidence	6
1.4.1	Included studies	6
1.4.2	Excluded studies.....	6
1.4.3	Summary of clinical studies included in the evidence review.....	7
1.4.4	Quality assessment of clinical studies included in the evidence review	8
1.5	Economic evidence	10
1.5.1	Included studies	10
1.5.2	Excluded studies.....	10
1.5.3	Health economic modelling	10
1.5.4	Resource costs	10
1.6	Evidence statements	10
1.6.1	Clinical evidence statements.....	10
1.6.2	Health economic evidence statements.....	10
1.7	The committee’s discussion of the evidence.....	10
1.7.1	Interpreting the evidence.....	10
1.7.2	Cost effectiveness and resource use	11
1.7.3	Other factors the committee took into account	12
	References.....	13
	Appendices.....	21
	Appendix A: Review protocols	21
	Appendix B: Literature search strategies	27
	Appendix C: Clinical evidence selection.....	36
	Appendix D: Clinical evidence tables	37
	Appendix E: Forest plots.....	44
	Appendix F: GRADE tables	46
	Appendix G: Health economic evidence selection	48
	Appendix H: Health economic evidence tables	50
	Appendix I: Health economic analysis	51
	Appendix J: Excluded studies.....	51

1 Management of Thyrotoxicosis: surgical options

3 1.1 Review question: When surgery is indicated, what is the most clinically and cost-effective way of using surgery to treat thyrotoxicosis (for example total vs subtotal thyroidectomy)?

7 1.2 Introduction

8 Surgery has long been considered a valid treatment option for the management of
9 thyrotoxicosis. It sits alongside Anti-Thyroid drugs and Radioactive Iodine in the
10 armamentarium of the Multidisciplinary team for the definitive treatment of this condition.
11 The committee acknowledge that these modalities have their advantages and
12 disadvantages and may be more or less applicable depending on the clinical
13 presentation of the patient and local expertise. The definition of surgery encompasses
14 procedures from Total to Subtotal thyroidectomy.

15 The committee considered the role of surgery in its various forms in the definitive
16 management of thyrotoxicosis in comparison to the other options for treatment. Its
17 efficacy and safety profile as well as its cost effectiveness was considered.

18

19 1.3 PICO table

20 For full details see the review protocol in Appendix A:.

21 **Table 1: PICO characteristics of review question**

Population	People requiring/opting for surgery for thyrotoxicosis
Interventions	Total thyroidectomy Subtotal thyroidectomy Unilateral thyroidectomy
Comparisons	Any of the above with any other
Outcomes	Critical Mortality (dichotomous, ≥ 1 year) Quality of life (continuous) Important Thyroid ophthalmopathy (dichotomous) Euthyroidism (dichotomous) Hypothyroidism (dichotomous) Relapse of hyperthyroidism (dichotomous) Cardiovascular morbidity (ischaemic heart disease, dichotomous) Arrhythmia (dichotomous) Osteoporosis (dichotomous) Cognitive impairment (dichotomous) Pain (continuous) Symptom scores (continuous) Patient/family/carer experience (continuous)

	Healthcare contacts (rates/dichotomous) Recurrent laryngeal nerve damage (dichotomous) Hypocalcaemia (dichotomous) Hypoparathyroidism (dichotomous) Bleeding (dichotomous) Infection (dichotomous)
Study design	RCTs only, NRS to be considered if no RCTs available and key confounders (see protocol) adjusted for

1 1.4 Clinical evidence

2 1.4.1 Included studies

3 Three studies were included in the review;^{9, 40, 91} these are summarised in table 2 below.
4 Evidence from these studies is summarised in the clinical evidence summary below (Table
5 3).

6 All three studies were RCTs in adults with Graves' disease, comparing subtotal
7 thyroidectomy with total thyroidectomy. Two RCTs included only people with ophthalmopathy
8 and the remaining RCT had a population in which the majority had ophthalmopathy.

9 The majority of the population in all three RCTs had been treated with antithyroid drugs prior
10 to their surgery.

11 One Cochrane review was identified that was relevant to this review⁴⁹, its references were
12 checked and included or excluded as per the protocol for this review.

13 See also the study selection flow chart in Appendix C:, study evidence tables in Appendix D:,
14 forest plots in Appendix E: and GRADE tables in Appendix F:.

15 1.4.2 Excluded studies

16 See the excluded studies list in Appendix J:.

17

18

1 **1.4.3 Summary of clinical studies included in the evidence review**

2 **Table 2: Summary of studies included in the evidence review**

Study	Intervention and comparison	Population	Outcomes	Comments
Barczynski 2012 ⁹	Total thyroidectomy, n = 100 Subtotal thyroidectomy, n = 100 Bilateral subtotal with 2g of normal tissue left intact on each side	Adults (mean age 46) Graves' disease with mild ophthalmopathy Treated with ATDs to achieve euthyroidism pre-operatively 33% of patients had recurrence of hyperthyroidism after ATD treatment Poland	Mortality Improvement in ophthalmopathy Recurrent hyperthyroidism 5 years follow-up	
Jarhult 2005 ⁴⁰	Total thyroidectomy, n = 22 Macroscopic inspection Subtotal thyroidectomy, n = 22 Either bilateral subtotal or hemithyroidectomy with unilateral subtotal with no more than 4g remnant	Adults (mean age 43, range 21-69) Graves' disease with moderate-severe ophthalmopathy Previously treated with ATDs for at least 3 months to euthyroidism 14% of patients had recurrence of hyperthyroidism after	Hypoparathyroidism Recurrent laryngeal nerve damage 3 years follow-up	All given post-surgical levothyroxine substitution

Study	Intervention and comparison	Population	Outcomes	Comments
		previous treatment Sweden		
Witte 2000 ⁹¹	Total thyroidectomy, n = 50 Subtotal thyroidectomy, n = 100 Combination of bilateral subtotal and unilateral total with contralateral subtotal arms	Adults (mean age 30.2, range 12-73) Graves' disease, 66% with ophthalmopathy 98% previously treated with ATDs for mean of 3 years prior to surgery 37% of patients had recurrence of hyperthyroidism after ATD treatment Germany	Improvement in ophthalmopathy Hypoparathyroidism Recurrent laryngeal nerve damage Minimum 6 months follow-up	Some switching between arms permitted based on surgical decisions during operation (n = 4)

See Appendix D: for full evidence tables.

1.4.4 Quality assessment of clinical studies included in the evidence review

Table 3: Clinical evidence summary: Subtotal vs total thyroidectomy

Outcomes	No of Participants (studies) Follow up	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Anticipated absolute effects	
				Risk with Total thyroidectomy	Risk difference with Subtotal thyroidectomy (95% CI)
Mortality	191 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ VERY LOW ^{1,2}	Peto OR 0.14	10 per 1000	9 fewer per 1000 (from 10 fewer to 55 more)

Outcomes	No of Participants (studies) Follow up	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Anticipated absolute effects	
				Risk with Total thyroidectomy	Risk difference with Subtotal thyroidectomy (95% CI)
	5 years	due to risk of bias, imprecision	(0 to 6.89)		
Improvement in ophthalmopathy	278 (2 studies) 0.5-5 years	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	RR 0.98 (0.88 to 1.09)	798 per 1000	16 fewer per 1000 (from 96 fewer to 72 more)
Relapse of hyperthyroidism	191 (1 study) 5 years	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	Peto OR 8.16 (2.15 to 31)	0 per 1000	90 more per 1000 (from 30 more to 160 more) ³
Hypoparathyroidism	384 (3 studies) 0.5-5 years	⊕⊕⊕⊖ LOW ^{1,4} due to risk of bias, imprecision	Peto OR 0.21 (0.06 to 0.73)	91 per 1000	70 fewer per 1000 (from 23 fewer to 85 fewer)
RLN damage/vocal cord palsy	384 (3 studies) 0.5-5 years	⊕⊕⊕⊖ LOW ² due to imprecision	RR 0.7 (0.19 to 2.56)	20 per 1000	6 fewer per 1000 (from 16 fewer to 31 more)
Wound infection	150 (1 study) 6 months	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW ^{1,2} due to risk of bias, imprecision	RR 0.75 (0.13 to 4.34)	40 per 1000	10 fewer per 1000 (from 35 fewer to 134 more)
<p>1 Downgraded by 1 increment if the majority of the evidence was at high risk of bias, and downgraded by 2 increments if the majority of the evidence was at very high risk of bias</p> <p>2 Downgraded by 1 increment if the confidence interval crossed one MID or by 2 increments if the confidence interval crossed both MIDs</p> <p>3 Zero events in control arm</p> <p>4 Zero events in both arms</p>					

See Appendix F: for full GRADE tables.

1 1.5 Economic evidence

2 1.5.1 Included studies

3 No relevant health economic studies were identified.

4 1.5.2 Excluded studies

5 No health economic studies that were relevant to this question were excluded due to
6 assessment of limited applicability or methodological limitations.

7 See also the health economic study selection flow chart in Appendix G:.

8 1.5.3 Health economic modelling

9 This area was not prioritised for new cost-effectiveness analysis.

10 1.5.4 Resource costs

11 Relevant unit costs are provided below to aid consideration of cost effectiveness.

12 **Table 4: UK costs of thyroid surgery**

Intervention	Unit cost	Average length of stay- Days
Surgery (Thyroid Procedures with CC Score 0-4+)(a)	£3,689	1.6

13 *Source: NHS reference costs 2016-17, total HRG schedule* ²⁶.

14 (a) *Weighted average of all 3 combined thyroid procedures with CC scores 0-1, 2-3, 4+(KA09C, KA09D, KA09E)*
15 *including excess bed days*

17 1.6 Evidence statements

18 1.6.1 Clinical evidence statements

19 *Subtotal thyroidectomy vs total thyroidectomy*

20 No clinically important difference was found for mortality (1 study, very low quality),
21 improvement in ophthalmopathy (2 studies, high quality), relapse of hyperthyroidism (1 study,
22 high quality), hypoparathyroidism (3 studies, high quality), RLN damage (3 studies, low
23 quality), wound infection (1 study, very low quality).

24 1.6.2 Health economic evidence statements

25 • No relevant economic evaluations were identified.

26 1.7 The committee's discussion of the evidence

27 1.7.1 Interpreting the evidence

28 1.7.1.1 The outcomes that matter most

29 Mortality and quality of life were the critical outcomes for this review. Ophthalmopathy,
30 euthyroidism, hypothyroidism, relapse of hyperthyroidism, cardiovascular morbidity,
31 arrhythmia, osteoporosis, cognitive impairment, pain, symptom scores, experience of care,

1 healthcare contacts, recurrent laryngeal nerve damage, hypocalcaemia, hypoparathyroidism,
2 bleeding and infection were the important outcomes for this review.

3 **1.7.1.2 The quality of the evidence**

4 The quality of the evidence ranged from high to very low quality. Evidence was generally
5 downgraded for risk of bias and imprecision. There was no evidence identified on quality of
6 life.

7 The committee noted that the length of follow-up was relatively short (maximum 5 years),
8 they agreed that longer follow-up would probably have found a higher relapse of
9 hyperthyroidism in both groups but particularly in the subtotal thyroidectomy arm. Adverse
10 events like hypoparathyroidism and RLN palsy on the other hand were likely to be picked up
11 relatively early.

12 **1.7.1.3 Benefits and harms**

13 There were no differences for any outcomes between treatments that breached the standard
14 minimally important differences of 100 events per 1000 people treated. However the
15 committee noted that the evidence was tending towards total thyroidectomy having more risk
16 of hypoparathyroidism and less relapse of hyperthyroidism. The direction of these findings
17 was consistent with the committee's experience and consensus.

18 In the experience of the committee, relapse rates post subtotal or hemithyroidectomy for
19 Graves' disease are high. These high relapse rates are particularly relevant in children and
20 younger people who have longer to live in remission. People who choose surgery are opting
21 for a definitive treatment of their condition and repeat surgery after relapse is very difficult
22 technically.

23 There was no evidence on hypothyroidism as an outcome after surgery, the committee noted
24 that there is a theoretical benefit of less aggressive surgery in terms of hypothyroidism.

25 Length of stay following surgery was not an outcome in the protocol for this review. The
26 committee noted that in their experience, subtotal thyroidectomy can, in some centres, be
27 done as a day case whereas total thyroidectomy may require at least an overnight stay in
28 hospital. The studies in the review did not report any difference between surgeries in terms of
29 length of stay.

30 The committee noted that for older people and those with high risk features, less aggressive
31 surgery may be appropriate.

32 The committee noted that in their experience, almost all surgery done for children in this area
33 involves total thyroidectomy.

34 **1.7.2 Cost effectiveness and resource use**

35 There was no health economic evidence identified for this question. The committee
36 considered potential resource use differences between options alongside the clinical
37 evidence to inform their considerations about cost effectiveness.

38 Total and hemithyroidectomy are both grouped into the same HRG in the NHS reference
39 costs and so this could not be used to compare the differences in costs. The committee
40 agreed that surgery time would be similar for both procedures but that total thyroidectomy
41 would usually require a longer length of hospital stay. On this basis, they agreed that total
42 thyroidectomy was likely to be higher cost than hemithyroidectomy in terms of initial costs.

43 However, the committee highlighted that patients that have undergone a hemithyroidectomy
44 tend to relapse and therefore require further treatment i.e. RAI, re-operation or drugs.

1 Second round interventions have costs and complications associated with them, for example,
2 one of the risks of reoperation is the possibility of nerve damage. Furthermore, reoperations
3 are also considered to reduce patients' quality of life. Having considered this information,
4 total thyroidectomy is likely to be cost saving as these would offset initial differences in cost
5 when compared to hemithyroidectomy. This is mainly due to the high reoperation rates with
6 hemithyroidectomy where patients relapse and require second surgeries. However, the
7 committee noted that not all patients that relapse have a second operation. In fact, some
8 may opt for different interventions, i.e. RAI, or drugs.

9 There was no economic or clinical evidence for the management of thyrotoxicosis in people
10 with toxic nodular goitre. Hence, the committee made a consensus decision with a weaker
11 recommendation, based on their experience, to consider total thyroidectomy unless a single
12 nodule is present, in which case a hemithyroidectomy procedure should be considered.

13 The committee agreed that these recommendations largely reflect current practice and are
14 not expected to result in a substantial resource impact to the NHS in England.

15 **1.7.3 Other factors the committee took into account**

16 The committee agreed that total thyroidectomy and hemithyroidectomy are common current
17 practice options.
18

References

1. Abraham-Nordling M, Wallin G, Lundell G, Törring O. Thyroid hormone state and quality of life at long-term follow-up after randomized treatment of Graves' disease. *European Journal of Endocrinology*. 2007; 156(2):173-179
2. Abraham P, Avenell A, McGeoch SC, Clark LF, Bevan JS. Antithyroid drug regimen for treating Graves' hyperthyroidism. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD003420. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003420.pub4.
3. Allanic H, Fauchet R, Orgiazzi J, Madec AM, Genetet B, Lorcy Y et al. Antithyroid drugs and Graves' disease: a prospective randomized evaluation of the efficacy of treatment duration. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 1990; 70(3):675-679
4. Andrade VA, Gross JL, Maia AL. Effect of methimazole pretreatment on serum thyroid hormone levels after radioactive treatment in Graves' hyperthyroidism. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 1999; 84(11):4012-4016
5. Andrade VA, Gross JL, Maia AL. The effect of methimazole pretreatment on the efficacy of radioactive iodine therapy in Graves' hyperthyroidism: one-year follow-up of a prospective, randomized study. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2001; 86(8):3488-3493
6. Andrade VA, Gross JL, Maia AL. Serum thyrotropin-receptor autoantibodies levels after I therapy in Graves' patients: effect of pretreatment with methimazole evaluated by a prospective, randomized study. *European Journal of Endocrinology*. 2004; 151(4):467-474
7. Azizi F, Amouzegar A. Management of thyrotoxicosis in children and adolescents: 35 years' experience in 304 patients. *Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2018; 31(2):159-165
8. Azizi F, Yousefi V, Bahrainian A, Sheikholeslami F, Tohidi M, Mehrabi Y. Long-term continuous methimazole or radioiodine treatment for hyperthyroidism. *Archives of Iranian Medicine*. 2012; 15(8):477-484
9. Barczyński M, Konturek A, Hubalewska-Dydejczyk A, Górkowski F, Nowak W. Randomized clinical trial of bilateral subtotal thyroidectomy versus total thyroidectomy for Graves' disease with a 5-year follow-up. *British Journal of Surgery*. 2012; 99(4):515-522
10. Barczynski M, Konturek A, Hubalewska-Dydejczyk A, Golkowski F, Miklaszewska G, Romanowska-Dixon B. Five-year follow up of a randomized clinical trial of bilateral subtotal thyroidectomy versus total thyroidectomy for Graves' disease. *Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery*. 2010; 395(4):471
11. Barczynski M, Konturek A, Hubalewska-Dydejczyk A, Golkowski F, Nowak W. Ten-year follow-up of a randomized clinical trial of total thyroidectomy versus Dunhill operation versus bilateral subtotal thyroidectomy for multinodular non-toxic goiter. *World Journal of Surgery*. 2018; 42(2):384-392
12. Benker G, Reinwein D, Kahaly G, Tegler L, Alexander WD, Fassbinder J et al. Is there a methimazole dose effect on remission rate in Graves' disease? Results from a long-term prospective study. *The European Multicentre Trial Group of the Treatment of Hyperthyroidism with Antithyroid Drugs. Clinical Endocrinology*. 1998; 49(4):451-457

- 1 13. Benker G, Vitti P, Kahaly G, Raue F, Tegler L, Hirche H et al. Response to
2 methimazole in Graves' disease. The European Multicenter Study Group. *Clinical*
3 *Endocrinology*. 1995; 43(3):257-263
- 4 14. Bonnema SJ, Bennedbaek FN, Gram J, Veje A, Marving J, Hegedus L. Resumption
5 of methimazole after 131I therapy of hyperthyroid diseases: effect on thyroid function
6 and volume evaluated by a randomized clinical trial. *European Journal of*
7 *Endocrinology*. 2003; 149(6):485-492
- 8 15. Bonnema SJ, Bennedbaek FN, Veje A, Marving J, Hegedüs L. Propylthiouracil before
9 131I therapy of hyperthyroid diseases: effect on cure rate evaluated by a randomized
10 clinical trial. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2004; 89(9):4439-
11 4444
- 12 16. Bonnema SJ, Grupe P, Boel-Jørgensen H, Brix TH, Hegedüs L. A randomized trial
13 evaluating a block-replacement regimen during radioiodine therapy. *European*
14 *Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 2011; 41(7):693-702
- 15 17. Braga M, Walpert N, Burch HB, Solomon BL, Cooper DS. The effect of methimazole
16 on cure rates after radioiodine treatment for Graves' hyperthyroidism: a randomized
17 clinical trial. *Thyroid*. 2002; 12(2):135-139
- 18 18. Burch HB, Solomon BL, Cooper DS, Ferguson P, Walpert N, Howard R. The effect of
19 antithyroid drug pretreatment on acute changes in thyroid hormone levels after (131)I
20 ablation for Graves' disease. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2001;
21 86(7):3016-3021
- 22 19. Buscemi S, Verga S, Cottone S, Andronico G, D'Orio L, Mannino V et al. Favorable
23 clinical heart and bone effects of anti-thyroid drug therapy in endogenous subclinical
24 hyperthyroidism. *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation*. 2007; 30(3):230-235
- 25 20. Canto AU, Dominguez PN, Jimeno CA, Obaldo JM, Ogbac RV. Comparison of Fixed
26 versus Calculated Activity of Radioiodine for the Treatment of Graves Disease in
27 Adults. *Endocrinol metab*. 2016; 31(1):168-173
- 28 21. Chen DY, Schneider PF, Zhang XS, He ZM, Jing J, Chen TH. Striving for
29 euthyroidism in radioiodine therapy of Graves' disease: a 12-year prospective,
30 randomized, open-label blinded end point study. *Thyroid*. 2011; 21(6):647-654
- 31 22. Chen DY, Schneider PF, Zhang XS, Luo XY, He ZM, Chen TH. Changes in graves'
32 ophthalmopathy after radioiodine and anti-thyroid drug treatment of graves' disease
33 from 2 prospective, randomized, open-label, blinded end point studies. *Experimental*
34 *and clinical endocrinology & diabetes*. 2014; 122(1):1-6
- 35 23. Chi SY, Hsei KC, Sheen-Chen SM, Chou FF. A prospective randomized comparison
36 of bilateral subtotal thyroidectomy versus unilateral total and contralateral subtotal
37 thyroidectomy for graves' disease. *World Journal of Surgery*. 2005; 29(2):160-163
- 38 24. Connell JM, Hilditch TE, Robertson J, Coghill G, Alexander WD. Radioprotective
39 action of carbimazole in radioiodine therapy for thyrotoxicosis--influence of the drug
40 on iodine kinetics. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 1987; 13(7):358-361
- 41 25. De Luca F, Valenzise M. Controversies in the pharmacological treatment of Graves'
42 disease in children. *Expert Review of Clinical Pharmacology*. 2018:1-9
- 43 26. Department of Health. NHS reference costs 2016-17. 2017. Available from:
44 <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/nhs-reference-costs> Last accessed:
45 15/02/2019

- 1 27. Edmonds CJ, Tellez M. Treatment of Graves' disease by carbimazole: high dose with
2 thyroxine compared to titration dose. *European Journal of Endocrinology*. 1994;
3 131(2):120-124
- 4 28. Esfahani AF, Kakhki VR, Fallahi B, Eftekhari M, Beiki D, Saghari M et al.
5 Comparative evaluation of two fixed doses of 185 and 370 MBq ¹³¹I, for the
6 treatment of Graves' disease resistant to antithyroid drugs. *Hellenic Journal of*
7 *Nuclear Medicine*. 2005; 8(3):158-161
- 8 29. García-Mayor RV, Páramo C, Luna Cano R, Pérez Mendez LF, Galofré JC, Andrade
9 A. Antithyroid drug and Graves' hyperthyroidism. Significance of treatment duration
10 and TRAb determination on lasting remission. *Journal of Endocrinological*
11 *Investigation*. 1992; 15(11):815-820
- 12 30. Glinoeer D, Nayer P, Bex M. Effects of l-thyroxine administration, TSH-receptor
13 antibodies and smoking on the risk of recurrence in Graves' hyperthyroidism treated
14 with antithyroid drugs: a double-blind prospective randomized study. *European*
15 *Journal of Endocrinology*. 2001; 144(5):475-483
- 16 31. Goni Iriarte MJ, Forga Llenas L, Iriarte Beroiz A, Anda Apinaniz E, Rodriguez
17 Erdozain R, Menendez Torre E. Recurrence of Graves' disease: the influence of
18 treatment schedule. *Medicina Clínica*. 1995; 104(1):11-14
- 19 32. Grebe SK, Feek CM, Ford HC, Fagerström JN, Cordwell DP, Delahunt JW et al. A
20 randomized trial of short-term treatment of Graves' disease with high-dose
21 carbimazole plus thyroxine versus low-dose carbimazole. *Clinical Endocrinology*.
22 1998; 48(5):585-592
- 23 33. Hamide AKAHDSJS. Radioiodine therapy in patients with Graves' disease and the
24 effects of prior carbimazole therapy. *Indian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism*.
25 2014; 18(5):688-693
- 26 34. Hashizume K, Ichikawa K, Sakurai A, Suzuki S, Takeda T, Kobayashi M et al.
27 Administration of thyroxine in treated Graves' disease. Effects on the level of
28 antibodies to thyroid-stimulating hormone receptors and on the risk of recurrence of
29 hyperthyroidism. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1991; 324(14):947-953
- 30 35. He CT, Hsieh AT, Pei D, Hung YJ, Wu LY, Yang TC et al. Comparison of single daily
31 dose of methimazole and propylthiouracil in the treatment of Graves' hyperthyroidism.
32 *Clinical Endocrinology*. 2004; 60(6):676-681
- 33 36. Hoermann R, Quadbeck B, Roggenbuck U, Szabolcs I, Pfeilschifter J, Meng W et al.
34 Relapse of Graves' disease after successful outcome of antithyroid drug therapy:
35 results of a prospective randomized study on the use of levothyroxine. *Thyroid*. 2002;
36 12(12):1119-1128
- 37 37. Homsanit M, Sriussadaporn S, Vannasaeng S, Peerapatdit T, Nitiyanant W,
38 Vichayanrat A. Efficacy of single daily dosage of methimazole vs. propylthiouracil in
39 the induction of euthyroidism. *Clinical Endocrinology*. 2001; 54(3):385-390
- 40 38. Howarth D, Epstein M, Lan L, Tan P, Booker J. Determination of the optimal minimum
41 radioiodine dose in patients with Graves' disease: a clinical outcome study. *European*
42 *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 2001; 28(10):1489-1495
- 43 39. Jaiswal AK, Bal C, Damle NA, Ballal S, Goswami R, Hari S et al. Comparison of
44 clinical outcome after a fixed dose versus dosimetry-based radioiodine treatment of
45 Graves' disease: Results of a randomized controlled trial in Indian population. *Indian*
46 *Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2014; 18(5):648-54

- 1 40. Järhult J, Rudberg C, Larsson E, Selvander H, Sjövall K, Winsa B et al. Graves'
2 disease with moderate-severe endocrine ophthalmopathy-long term results of a
3 prospective, randomized study of total or subtotal thyroid resection. *Thyroid*. 2005;
4 15(10):1157-1164
- 5 41. Jorde R, Ytre-Arne K, Størmer J, Sundsfjord J. Short-term treatment of Graves'
6 disease with methimazole in high versus low doses. *Journal of Internal Medicine*.
7 1995; 238(2):161-165
- 8 42. Kallner G, Vitols S, Ljunggren JG. Comparison of standardized initial doses of two
9 antithyroid drugs in the treatment of Graves' disease. *Journal of Internal Medicine*.
10 1996; 239(6):525-529
- 11 43. Kung AW, Yau CC, Cheng AC. The action of methimazole and L-thyroxine in
12 radioiodine therapy: a prospective study on the incidence of hypothyroidism. *Thyroid*.
13 1995; 5(1):7-12
- 14 44. Leclere J. Treatment of Basedow disease with synthetic antithyroid drugs. Evaluation
15 of the dose on the efficacy of the long term treatment. *Annales d'Endocrinologie*.
16 1994; 55(1):11-14
- 17 45. Leslie WD, Ward L, Salamon EA, Ludwig S, Rowe RC, Cowden EA. A randomized
18 comparison of radioiodine doses in graves' hyperthyroidism. *Journal of Clinical*
19 *Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2003; 88(3):978-983
- 20 46. Leung AKC, Leung AAC. Evaluation and Management of Children with
21 Thyrotoxicosis. *Recent Patents on Endocrine, Metabolic & Immune Drug Discovery*.
22 2017; 11(1):22-31
- 23 47. Li HX, Xiang N, Hu WK, Jiao XL. Relation between therapy options for Graves'
24 disease and the course of Graves' ophthalmopathy: a systematic review and meta-
25 analysis. *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation*. 2016; 39(11):1225-1233
- 26 48. Liu Y, Liu B, Liu RL, Jiang H, Huang ZN, Huang Y. A new method of subtotal
27 thyroidectomy for Graves' disease leaving a unilateral remnant based on the upper
28 pole. *Medicine*. 2017; 96(6):e5919
- 29 49. Liu ZW, Masterson L, Fish B, Jani P, Chatterjee K. Thyroid surgery for Graves'
30 disease and Graves' ophthalmopathy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*
31 2015, Issue 11. Art. No.: CD010576. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010576.pub2.
- 32 50. Ljunggren JG, Törring O, Wallin G, Taube A, Tallstedt L, Hamberger B et al. Quality
33 of life aspects and costs in treatment of Graves' hyperthyroidism with antithyroid
34 drugs, surgery, or radioiodine: results from a prospective, randomized study. *Thyroid*.
35 1998; 8(8):653-659
- 36 51. Lucas A, Salinas I, Rius F, Pizarro E, Granada ML, Foz M et al. Medical therapy of
37 Graves' disease: does thyroxine prevent recurrence of hyperthyroidism? *Journal of*
38 *Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 1997; 82(8):2410-2413
- 39 52. Ma C, Kuang A, Xie J, Liu GJ. Radioiodine treatment for pediatric Graves' disease.
40 *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2008, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD006294. DOI:
41 10.1002/14651858.CD006294.pub2.
- 42 53. Ma C, Xie J, Wang H, Li J, Chen S. Radioiodine therapy versus antithyroid
43 medications for Graves' disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2016,
44 Issue 2. Art. No.: CD010094. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010094.pub2.
- 45 54. Marcocci C, Bartalena L, Bogazzi F, Panicucci M, Bruno-Bossio G, Lepri A et al.
46 Radioiodine treatment of Graves' hyperthyroidism and progression of

- 1 ophthalmopathy: protective effect of systemic corticosteroids. *Acta endocrinologica,*
2 supplement. 1989; 121(2):145-148
- 3 55. Mashio Y, Beniko M, Matsuda A, Koizumi S, Matsuya K, Mizumoto H et al. Treatment
4 of hyperthyroidism with a small single daily dose of methimazole: a prospective long-
5 term follow-up study. *Endocrine Journal.* 1997; 44(4):553-558
- 6 56. Mastorakos G, Doufas AG, Mantzos E, Mantzos J, Koutras DA. T4 but not T3
7 administration is associated with increased recurrence of Graves' disease after
8 successful medical therapy. *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation.* 2003;
9 26(10):979-984
- 10 57. Maugendre D, Gatel A, Campion L, Massart C, Guilhem I, Lorcy Y et al. Antithyroid
11 drugs and Graves' disease--prospective randomized assessment of long-term
12 treatment. *Clinical Endocrinology.* 1999; 50(1):127-132
- 13 58. McIver B, Rae P, Beckett G, Wilkinson E, Gold A, Toft A. Lack of effect of thyroxine in
14 patients with Graves' hyperthyroidism who are treated with an antithyroid drug. *New*
15 *England Journal of Medicine.* 1996; 334(4):220-224
- 16 59. Menconi F, Marinò M, Pinchera A, Rocchi R, Mazzi B, Nardi M et al. Effects of total
17 thyroid ablation versus near-total thyroidectomy alone on mild to moderate Graves'
18 orbitopathy treated with intravenous glucocorticoids. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology*
19 *and Metabolism.* 2007; 92(5):1653-1658
- 20 60. Miranda-Padua ML, Cunanan EC, Kho SA, Marcelo M, Torres JF, Monzon OP et al.
21 A randomized double-blind comparison of fixed versus calculated radioiodine dose in
22 the treatment of graves' hyperthyroidism. *Phillippine Journal of Internal Medicine.*
23 2014; 52(3)
- 24 61. Müller PE, Bein B, Robens E, Bein HS, Spelsberg F. Thyroid surgery according to
25 Enderlen-Hotz or Dunhill: a comparison of two surgical methods for the treatment of
26 Graves' disease. *International Surgery.* 2001; 86(2):112-116
- 27 62. Nakamura H, Noh JY, Itoh K, Fukata S, Miyauchi A, Hamada N. Comparison of
28 methimazole and propylthiouracil in patients with hyperthyroidism caused by Graves'
29 disease. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism.* 2007; 92(6):2157-2162
- 30 63. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Developing NICE guidelines: the
31 manual [updated October 2018]. London. National Institute for Health and Care
32 Excellence, 2014. Available from:
33 <http://www.nice.org.uk/article/PMG20/chapter/1%20Introduction%20and%20overview>
- 34 64. Nedrebo BG, Holm PI, Uhlving S, Sorheim JI, Skeie S, Eide GE et al. Predictors of
35 outcome and comparison of different drug regimens for the prevention of relapse in
36 patients with Graves' disease. *European Journal of Endocrinology.* 2002; 147(5):583-
37 589
- 38 65. Noh JY, Sato S, Suzuki M, Yasuda S, Matsumoto M, Kunii Y et al. Comparison of
39 efficacy and adverse effects between methimazole 15 mg+inorganic iodine 38
40 mg/day and methimazole 30 mg/day as initial therapy for graves' disease patients
41 with moderate to severe hyperthyroidism. *Thyroid.* 2015; 25(1):43-50
- 42 66. Orsini F, Traino AC, Grosso M, Guidoccio F, Boni G, Volterrani D et al.
43 Personalization of radioiodine treatment for Graves' disease: a prospective,
44 randomized study with a novel method for calculating the optimal ¹³¹I-iodide activity
45 based on target reduction of thyroid mass. *Quarterly Journal of Nuclear Medicine and*
46 *Molecular Imaging.* 2012; 56(6):496-502

- 1 67. Peixoto MC, Buescu A, Goncalves MRB, Albernaz MDS, Coeli CM, Vaisman M.
2 Antithyroid drugs for the treatment of graves disease: a randomized clinical trial.
3 *Endocrinologist*. 2006; 16(6):344-348
- 4 68. Peters H, Fischer C, Bogner U, Reiners C, Schleusener H. Radioiodine therapy of
5 Graves' hyperthyroidism: standard vs. calculated ¹³¹I activity. Results from a
6 prospective, randomized, multicentre study. *European Journal of Clinical*
7 *Investigation*. 1995; 25(3):186-193
- 8 69. Peters H, Fischer C, Bogner U, Reiners C, Schleusener H. Reduction in thyroid
9 volume after radioiodine therapy of Graves' hyperthyroidism: results of a prospective,
10 randomized, multicentre study. *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 1996;
11 26(1):59-63
- 12 70. Peters H, Fischer C, Bogner U, Reiners C, Schleusener H. Treatment of Graves'
13 hyperthyroidism with radioiodine: results of a prospective randomized study. *Thyroid*.
14 1997; 7(2):247-251
- 15 71. Pfeilschifter J, Ziegler R. Suppression of serum thyrotropin with thyroxine in patients
16 with Graves' disease: effects on recurrence of hyperthyroidism and thyroid volume.
17 *European Journal of Endocrinology*. 1997; 136(1):81-86
- 18 72. Pirnat E, Zaletel K, Gaber??ek S, Hojker S. The outcome of ¹³¹I treatment in Graves'
19 patients pretreated or not with methimazole. *Hellenic Journal of Nuclear Medicine*.
20 2011; 14(1):25-29
- 21 73. Pusuwan P, Tuntawiroon M, Sritongkul N, Chaudakshetrin P, Nopmaneejumruslers
22 C, Komoltri C et al. A prospective randomized study of the efficacy and cost-
23 effectiveness of high and low dose regimens of I-131 treatment in hyperthyroidism.
24 Chotmai het thangphaet [Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand]. 2011;
25 94(3):361-368
- 26 74. Raber W, Kmen E, Waldhäusl W, Vierhapper H. Medical therapy of Graves' disease:
27 effect on remission rates of methimazole alone and in combination with
28 triiodothyronine. *European Journal of Endocrinology*. 2000; 142(2):117-124
- 29 75. Reinwein D, Benker G, Lazarus JH, Alexander WD. A prospective randomized trial of
30 antithyroid drug dose in Graves' disease therapy. European Multicenter Study Group
31 on Antithyroid Drug Treatment. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*.
32 1993; 76(6):1516-1521
- 33 76. Rittmaster RS, Abbott EC, Douglas R, Givner ML, Lehmann L, Reddy S et al. Effect
34 of methimazole, with or without L-thyroxine, on remission rates in Graves' disease.
35 *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 1998; 83(3):814-818
- 36 77. Rokni H, Sadeghi R, Moossavi Z, Treglia G, Zakavi SR. Efficacy of different protocols
37 of radioiodine therapy for treatment of toxic nodular goiter: systematic review and
38 meta-analysis of the literature. *International Journal of Endocrinology and*
39 *Metabolism*. 2014; 12(2):e14424
- 40 78. Romaldini JH, Bromberg N, Werner RS, Tanaka LM, Rodrigues HF, Werner MC et al.
41 Comparison of effects of high and low dosage regimens of antithyroid drugs in the
42 management of Graves' hyperthyroidism. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and*
43 *Metabolism*. 1983; 57(3):563-570
- 44 79. Santos RB, Romaldini JH, Ward LS. Propylthiouracil reduces the effectiveness of
45 radioiodine treatment in hyperthyroid patients with Graves' disease. *Thyroid*. 2004;
46 14(7):525-530

- 1 80. Santos RB, Romaldini JH, Ward LS. A randomized controlled trial to evaluate the
2 effectiveness of 2 regimens of fixed iodine (¹³¹I) doses for Graves disease
3 treatment. *Clinical Nuclear Medicine*. 2012; 37(3):241-244
- 4 81. Sapienza MT, Coura-Filho GB, Willegaignon J, Watanabe T, Duarte PS, Buchpiguel
5 CA. Clinical and Dosimetric Variables Related to Outcome After Treatment of Graves'
6 Disease With 550 and 1110 MBq of ¹³¹I: results of a Prospective Randomized Trial.
7 *Clinical Nuclear Medicine*. 2015; 40(9):715-719
- 8 82. Schneider P, Biko J, Hänscheid H, Hilliger S, Koutsampelas C, Kranzfelder M et al.
9 The route of administration (oral vs intravenous) does not influence dose or outcome
10 in Graves' disease and unifocal autonomy. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine
11 and Molecular Imaging*. 2005; 32(7):788-793
- 12 83. Singhal T, Bansal S, Singhal A, McDonald S, Bal CS. Adjunctive antithyroid drugs in
13 radioiodine therapy for hyperthyroidism. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*
14 2014, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD005447. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005447.pub2.
- 15 84. Taïeb D, Bournaud C, Eberle MC, Catargi B, Schwartz C, Cavarec MB et al. Quality of
16 life, clinical outcomes and safety of early prophylactic levothyroxine administration in
17 patients with Graves' hyperthyroidism undergoing radioiodine therapy: a randomized
18 controlled study. *European Journal of Endocrinology*. 2016; 174(4):491-502
- 19 85. Thientunyakit T, Thongmak S, Premprapha T. Comparative evaluation of two different
20 dosage calculation protocols of iodine-131 in the treatment of hyperthyroidism.
21 Chotmaihet thangphaet [Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand]. 2010;
22 93(8):969-977
- 23 86. Tian R, Kuang A. A study comparing ¹³¹I versus ¹³¹I plus antithyroid drug in the
24 management of Graves' disease. *Journal of West China University of medical
25 sciences*. 2001; 32(3):449-451
- 26 87. Unalp HR, Erbil Y, Akguner T, Kamer E, Derici H, Issever H. Does near total
27 thyroidectomy offer advantage over total thyroidectomy in terms of postoperative
28 hypocalcemia? *International Journal of Surgery (London, England)*. 2009; 7(2):120-
29 125
- 30 88. Walter MA, Christ-Crain M, Schindler C, Müller-Brand J, Müller B. Outcome of
31 radioiodine therapy without, on or 3 days off carbimazole: a prospective interventional
32 three-group comparison. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular
33 Imaging*. 2006; 33(6):730-737
- 34 89. Wang J, Qin L. Radioiodine therapy versus antithyroid drugs in Graves' disease: a
35 meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *British Journal of Radiology*. 2016; 89
- 36 90. Weetman AP, Pickerill AP, Watson P, Chatterjee VK, Edwards OM. Treatment of
37 Graves' disease with the block-replace regimen of antithyroid drugs: the effect of
38 treatment duration and immunogenetic susceptibility on relapse. *QJM: An
39 International journal of Medicine*. 1994; 87(6):337-341
- 40 91. Witte J, Goretzki PE, Dotzenrath C, Simon D, Felis P, Neubauer M et al. Surgery for
41 Graves' disease: total versus subtotal thyroidectomy-results of a prospective
42 randomized trial. *World Journal of Surgery*. 2000; 24(11):1303-1311
- 43 92. Yousefi V, Bahreynian A, Sheikholeslami F, Tohidi M, Mehrabi Y, Azizi F. Effect of
44 long-term continuous methimazole treatment of thyrotoxicosis: comparison with
45 radioiodine. *Iranian journal of endocrinology and metabolism*. 2011; 12(5):466-
46 475+555

- 1 93. Yuan J, Lu X, Yue Y. Comparison of curative effect of ¹³¹I and antithyroid drugs in
2 Graves' disease: a meta analysis. *Minerva Endocrinologica*. 2018; 43(4):511-516

3

4

5

1 **Appendices**
 2 **Appendix A: Review protocols**

3 **Table 5:**

ID	Field	Content
I	Review question	<p>What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of using radioactive iodine vs antithyroid drugs (ATD) vs surgery to treat thyrotoxicosis secondary to Graves' disease?</p> <p>What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of using radioactive iodine vs surgery to treat thyrotoxicosis secondary to toxic nodular goitre?</p> <p>When antithyroid drugs are used, what is the most clinically and cost-effective way of using these drugs to treat thyrotoxicosis (for example choice of drugs, different treatment regimens)?</p> <p>When radioactive iodine is used, what is the most clinically and cost-effective way of using this treatment to treat thyrotoxicosis (for example different dosing strategies)?</p> <p>When surgery is indicated, what is the most clinically and cost-effective way of using surgery to treat thyrotoxicosis (for example total vs subtotal thyroidectomy)?</p>
II	Type of review question	<p>Intervention</p> <p>A review of health economic evidence related to the same review question was conducted in parallel with this review. For details see the health economic review protocol for this NICE guideline.</p>
III	Objective of the review	Provide clinically and cost effective recommendations on how to manage thyrotoxicosis
IV	Eligibility criteria – population / disease / condition / issue / domain	People diagnosed with thyrotoxicosis (TSH below normal reference ranges, free T3/T4 above normal reference range)
V	Eligibility criteria – intervention(s) / exposure(s) / prognostic factor(s)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Radioactive iodine <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fixed administered activity strategy vs calculated absorbed radiation dose strategy ○ Pre-/post- treatment with ATD vs no pre-/post- treatment • Antithyroid drugs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Carbimazole/methimazole vs propylthiouracil ○ Block and replace (including levothyroxine) vs titration regimen ○ Duration of treatment: 6-<12 months vs 12-18 months vs >18 months • Surgery <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Total thyroidectomy vs subtotal thyroidectomy vs near total (Dunhill) thyroidectomy vs one sided only (hemithyroidectomy/lobectomy/isthmectomy)
VI	Eligibility criteria – comparator(s) / control or	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comparisons between modalities • Comparisons between submodalities

	reference (gold) standard	
VII	Outcomes and prioritisation	<p>Critical</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mortality (dichotomous, ≥ 1 year) • Quality of life (continuous) <p>Important (general)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thyroid ophthalmopathy (dichotomous) • Euthyroidism (dichotomous) • Hypothyroidism (dichotomous) • Relapse of hyperthyroidism (dichotomous) • Cardiovascular morbidity (ischaemic heart disease, dichotomous) • Arrhythmia (dichotomous) • Osteoporosis (dichotomous) • Cognitive impairment (dichotomous) • Pain (continuous) • Symptom scores (continuous) • Patient/family/carer experience (continuous) • Healthcare contacts (rates/dichotomous) <p>Important (surgical)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recurrent laryngeal nerve damage (dichotomous) • Hypocalcaemia (dichotomous) • Hypoparathyroidism (dichotomous) • Bleeding (dichotomous) • Infection (dichotomous) <p>Important (pharmacological)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agranulocytosis (dichotomous) • Liver failure (dichotomous) • Minor drug related adverse effects (dichotomous) • Teratogenesis (dichotomous) <p>Important (radioiodine)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infertility (dichotomous) • Malignancy (dichotomous) • Thyrotoxic storm (dichotomous) • Growth abnormalities (dichotomous) • Hypocalcaemia (dichotomous) • Hypoparathyroidism (dichotomous) • Teratogenesis (dichotomous) <p>Minimum duration as for the minimum duration for inclusion of studies unless specified.</p>
VIII	Eligibility criteria – study design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimum follow-up of 3 months • RCTs • Non-randomised cohort studies to be considered if adjusted for key confounders (age, co-existing conditions, baseline T4, size of goitre) and insufficient RCTs evidence found, on an intervention by intervention basis
IX	Other inclusion / exclusion criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excluding studies in pregnancy • Excluding studies aimed specifically at treating thyroid eye disease • Excluding studies in context of thyroid malignancy
X	Proposed	Stratifications

	sensitivity / subgroup analysis, or meta-regression	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age – young children (0-4), children and young people (4-18), adults (>18-65), older adults (>65) • For antithyroid drugs vs radioactive iodine vs surgery - Cause of thyrotoxicosis (Graves' disease, toxic nodular goitre, thyroiditis) • Treatment stage – naïve/general (non-naïve, downgraded for indirectness), second line (remain symptomatic despite previous treatment, as defined by studies) <p>Subgroup analyses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gender (male only vs female only) • Age subdivisions (4-12, 12-18, 18-50, 50-65, 65-85, >85) • Comparison not under investigation (for example for block and replace vs titration, if some studies use methimazole and others use propylthiouracil)
XI	Selection process – duplicate screening / selection / analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A sample of at least 10% of the abstract lists were double-sifted by a senior research fellow and discrepancies rectified, with committee input where consensus could not be reached, for more information please see the separate Methods report for this guideline.
XII	Data management (software)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EndNote was used for reference management, sifting, citations and bibliographies. • EviBASE was used for data extraction and quality assessment for clinical studies. • Pairwise meta-analyses was performed using Cochrane Review Manager (RevMan5). • GRADEpro was used to assess the quality of evidence for each outcome.
XIII	Information sources – databases and dates	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medline, Embase and the Cochrane Library
XIV	Identify if an update	Not an update
XV	Author contacts	https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/indevelopment/gid-ng10074
XVI	Highlight if amendment to previous protocol	Not an amendment
XVI I	Search strategy – for one database	For details please see Appendix B:
XVI II	Data collection process – forms / duplicate	A standardised evidence table format will be used, and published as an appendix of the evidence report.
XIX	Data items – define all variables to be collected	For details please see evidence tables in Appendix D: (clinical evidence tables) or Appendix H: (health economic evidence tables).
XX	Methods for assessing bias at outcome / study level	<p>Standard study checklists were used to critically appraise individual studies. For details please see section 6.2 of Developing NICE guidelines: the manual</p> <p>The risk of bias across all available evidence was evaluated for each outcome using an adaptation of the 'Grading of Recommendations</p>

		Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) toolbox' developed by the international GRADE working group http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/
XXI	Criteria for quantitative synthesis	For details please see section 6.4 of Developing NICE guidelines: the manual.
XXI I	Methods for quantitative analysis – combining studies and exploring (in)consistency	For details please see the separate Methods report for this guideline.
XXI II	Meta-bias assessment – publication bias, selective reporting bias	For details please see section 6.2 of Developing NICE guidelines: the manual.
XXI V	Confidence in cumulative evidence	For details please see sections 6.4 and 9.1 of Developing NICE guidelines: the manual.
XX V	Rationale / context – what is known	For details please see the introduction to the evidence review.
XX VI	Describe contributions of authors and guarantor	A multidisciplinary committee [to add link to history page of the guideline after publication] developed the evidence review. The committee was convened by the National Guideline Centre (NGC) and chaired by Sarah Fishburn in line with section 3 of Developing NICE guidelines: the manual. Staff from NGC undertook systematic literature searches, appraised the evidence, conducted meta-analysis and cost-effectiveness analysis where appropriate, and drafted the evidence review in collaboration with the committee. For details please see Developing NICE guidelines: the manual.
XX VII	Sources of funding / support	NGC is funded by NICE and hosted by the Royal College of Physicians.
XX VIII	Name of sponsor	NGC is funded by NICE and hosted by the Royal College of Physicians.
XXI X	Roles of sponsor	NICE funds NGC to develop guidelines for those working in the NHS, public health and social care in England.
XX X	PROSPERO registration number	Not registered

1
2

1

Table 6: Health economic review protocol

Review question	All questions – health economic evidence
Objectives	To identify health economic studies relevant to any of the review questions.
Search criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Populations, interventions and comparators must be as specified in the clinical review protocol above. • Studies must be of a relevant health economic study design (cost–utility analysis, cost-effectiveness analysis, cost–benefit analysis, cost–consequences analysis, comparative cost analysis). • Studies must not be a letter, editorial or commentary, or a review of health economic evaluations. (Recent reviews will be ordered although not reviewed. The bibliographies will be checked for relevant studies, which will then be ordered.) • Unpublished reports will not be considered unless submitted as part of a call for evidence. • Studies must be in English.
Search strategy	A health economic study search will be undertaken using population-specific terms and a health economic study filter – see appendix B below.
Review strategy	<p>Studies not meeting any of the search criteria above will be excluded. Studies published before 2003, abstract-only studies and studies from non-OECD countries or the USA will also be excluded.</p> <p>Each remaining study will be assessed for applicability and methodological limitations using the NICE economic evaluation checklist which can be found in appendix H of Developing NICE guidelines: the manual (2014).⁶³</p> <p>Inclusion and exclusion criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If a study is rated as both ‘Directly applicable’ and with ‘Minor limitations’ then it will be included in the guideline. A health economic evidence table will be completed and it will be included in the health economic evidence profile. • If a study is rated as either ‘Not applicable’ or with ‘Very serious limitations’ then it will usually be excluded from the guideline. If it is excluded then a health economic evidence table will not be completed and it will not be included in the health economic evidence profile. • If a study is rated as ‘Partially applicable’, with ‘Potentially serious limitations’ or both then there is discretion over whether it should be included. <p>Where there is discretion</p> <p>The health economist will make a decision based on the relative applicability and quality of the available evidence for that question, in discussion with the guideline committee if required. The ultimate aim is to include health economic studies that are helpful for decision-making in the context of the guideline and the current NHS setting. If several studies are considered of sufficiently high applicability and methodological quality that they could all be included, then the health economist, in discussion with the committee if required, may decide to include only the most applicable studies and to selectively exclude the remaining studies. All studies excluded on the basis of applicability or methodological limitations will be listed with explanation in the excluded health economic studies appendix below.</p> <p>The health economist will be guided by the following hierarchies.</p> <p><i>Setting:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UK NHS (most applicable). • OECD countries with predominantly public health insurance systems (for example, France, Germany, Sweden). • OECD countries with predominantly private health insurance systems (for example, Switzerland).

- Studies set in non-OECD countries or in the USA will be excluded before being assessed for applicability and methodological limitations.

Health economic study type:

- Cost–utility analysis (most applicable).
- Other type of full economic evaluation (cost–benefit analysis, cost-effectiveness analysis, cost–consequences analysis).
- Comparative cost analysis.
- Non-comparative cost analyses including cost-of-illness studies will be excluded before being assessed for applicability and methodological limitations.

Year of analysis:

- The more recent the study, the more applicable it will be.
- Studies published in 2003 or later but that depend on unit costs and resource data entirely or predominantly from before 2003 will be rated as ‘Not applicable’.
- Studies published before 2003 will be excluded before being assessed for applicability and methodological limitations.

Quality and relevance of effectiveness data used in the health economic analysis:

- The more closely the clinical effectiveness data used in the health economic analysis match with the outcomes of the studies included in the clinical review the more useful the analysis will be for decision-making in the guideline.

1
2

Appendix B: Literature search strategies

The literature searches for this review are detailed below and complied with the methodology outlined in Developing NICE guidelines: the manual 2014, updated 2018
<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/pmg20/resources/developing-nice-guidelines-the-manual-pdf-72286708700869>

For more detailed information, please see the Methodology Review. [Add cross reference after publication]

B.1 Clinical search literature search strategy

Searches were constructed using a PICO framework where population (P) terms were combined with Intervention (I) and in some cases Comparison (C) terms. Outcomes (O) are rarely used in search strategies for interventions as these concepts may not be well described in title, abstract or indexes and therefore difficult to retrieve. Search filters were applied to the search where appropriate.

Table 7: Database date parameters and filters used

Database	Dates searched	Search filter used
Medline (OVID)	1946 – 07 January 2019	Exclusions Randomised controlled trials Systematic review studies Observational studies
Embase (OVID)	1974 – 07 January 2019	Exclusions Randomised controlled trials Systematic review studies Observational studies
The Cochrane Library (Wiley)	Cochrane Reviews to 2019 Issue 1 or 12 CENTRAL to 2019 Issue 1 or 12 DARE, and NHSEED to 2015 Issue 2 of 4 HTA to 2016 Issue 2 of 4	None

Medline (Ovid) search terms

1.	exp goiter/
2.	exp Hyperthyroidism/
3.	(hyperthyroid* or thyrotoxicosis).ti,ab.
4.	(toxic adj4 (node* or nodul* or multi?nodul* or goitre or goiter)).ti,ab.
5.	(graves' disease or plummer's disease).ti,ab.
6.	5
7.	letter/
8.	editorial/
9.	news/
10.	exp historical article/
11.	Anecdotes as Topic/
12.	comment/
13.	case report/
14.	(letter or comment*).ti.

15.	or/7-14
16.	randomized controlled trial/ or random*.ti,ab.
17.	15 not 16
18.	animals/ not humans/
19.	exp Animals, Laboratory/
20.	exp Animal Experimentation/
21.	exp Models, Animal/
22.	exp Rodentia/
23.	(rat or rats or mouse or mice).ti.
24.	or/17-23
25.	randomized controlled trial.pt.
26.	controlled clinical trial.pt.
27.	randomi#ed.ti,ab.
28.	placebo.ab.
29.	randomly.ti,ab.
30.	Clinical Trials as topic.sh.
31.	trial.ti.
32.	or/25-31
33.	Meta-Analysis/
34.	exp Meta-Analysis as Topic/
35.	(meta analy* or metanaly* or metaanaly* or meta regression).ti,ab.
36.	((systematic* or evidence*) adj3 (review* or overview*)).ti,ab.
37.	(reference list* or bibliograph* or hand search* or manual search* or relevant journals).ab.
38.	(search strategy or search criteria or systematic search or study selection or data extraction).ab.
39.	(search* adj4 literature).ab.
40.	(medline or pubmed or cochrane or embase or psychlit or psyclit or psychinfo or psycinfo or cinahl or science citation index or bids or cancerlit).ab.
41.	cochrane.jw.
42.	((multiple treatment* or indirect or mixed) adj2 comparison*).ti,ab.
43.	or/33-42
44.	Epidemiologic studies/
45.	Observational study/
46.	exp Cohort studies/
47.	(cohort adj (study or studies or analys* or data)).ti,ab.
48.	((follow up or observational or uncontrolled or non randomi#ed or epidemiologic*) adj (study or studies or data)).ti,ab.
49.	((longitudinal or retrospective or prospective or cross sectional) and (study or studies or review or analys* or cohort* or data)).ti,ab.
50.	Controlled Before-After Studies/
51.	Historically Controlled Study/
52.	Interrupted Time Series Analysis/
53.	(before adj2 after adj2 (study or studies or data)).ti,ab.
54.	or/4-53
55.	exp case control study/
56.	case control*.ti,ab.

57.	or/55-56
58.	54 or 57
59.	Cross-sectional studies/
60.	(cross sectional and (study or studies or review or analys* or cohort* or data)).ti,ab.
61.	or/59-60
62.	54 or 61
63.	54 or 57 or 61
64.	6 not 24
65.	limit 64 to English language
66.	65 and (32 or 43 or 64)

1

Embase (Ovid) search terms

1.	goiter/
2.	hyperthyroidism/ or graves disease/ or thyrotoxicosis/ or toxic goiter/
3.	(hyperthyroid* or thyrotoxicosis).ti,ab.
4.	(toxic adj4 (node* of nodul* or multi?nodul* or goitre or goiter)).ti,ab.
5.	(graves' disease or plummer's disease).ti,ab.
6.	or/1-5
7.	letter.pt. or letter/
8.	note.pt.
9.	editorial.pt.
10.	case report/ or case study/
11.	(letter or comment*).ti.
12.	or/7-11
13.	randomized controlled trial/ or random*.ti,ab.
14.	12 not 13
15.	animal/ not human/
16.	nonhuman/
17.	exp Animal Experiment/
18.	exp Experimental Animal/
19.	animal model/
20.	exp Rodent/
21.	(rat or rats or mouse or mice).ti.
22.	or/14-21
23.	6 not 22
24.	random*.ti,ab.
25.	factorial*.ti,ab.
26.	(crossover* or cross over*).ti,ab.
27.	((doubl* or singl*) adj blind*).ti,ab.
28.	(assign* or allocat* or volunteer* or placebo*).ti,ab.
29.	crossover procedure/
30.	single blind procedure/
31.	randomized controlled trial/
32.	double blind procedure/
33.	or/24-32
34.	systematic review/

35.	meta-analysis/
36.	(meta analy* or metanaly* or metaanaly* or meta regression).ti,ab.
37.	((systematic* or evidence*) adj3 (review* or overview*)).ti,ab.
38.	(reference list* or bibliograph* or hand search* or manual search* or relevant journals).ab.
39.	(search strategy or search criteria or systematic search or study selection or data extraction).ab.
40.	(search* adj4 literature).ab.
41.	(medline or pubmed or cochrane or embase or psychlit or psyclit or psychinfo or psycinfo or cinahl or science citation index or bids or cancerlit).ab.
42.	cochrane.jw.
43.	((multiple treatment* or indirect or mixed) adj2 comparison*).ti,ab.
44.	or/34-43
45.	Clinical study/
46.	Observational study/
47.	family study/
48.	longitudinal study/
49.	retrospective study/
50.	prospective study/
51.	cohort analysis/
52.	follow-up/
53.	cohort*.ti,ab.
54.	52 and 53
55.	(cohort adj (study or studies or analys* or data)).ti,ab.
56.	((follow up or observational or uncontrolled or non randomi#ed or epidemiologic*) adj (study or studies or data)).ti,ab.
57.	((longitudinal or retrospective or prospective or cross sectional) and (study or studies or review or analys* or cohort* or data)).ti,ab.
58.	(before adj2 after adj2 (study or studies or data)).ti,ab.
59.	or/45-51,54-58
60.	exp case control study/
61.	case control*.ti,ab.
62.	or/60-61
63.	59 or 62
64.	cross-sectional study/
65.	(cross sectional and (study or studies or review or analys* or cohort* or data)).ti,ab.
66.	or/64-65
67.	59 or 66
68.	59 or 62 or 66
69.	23 and (33 or 44 or 68)
70.	limit 69 to English language

1

Cochrane Library (Wiley) search terms

#1.	MeSH descriptor: [Goiter] explode all trees
#2.	MeSH descriptor: [Hyperthyroidism] explode all trees
#3.	(hyperthyroid* or thyrotoxicosis):ti,ab
#4.	(toxic near/4 (node* or nodul* or multinodul* or multi-nodul* or goitre or goiter)):ti,ab

#5.	MeSH descriptor: [Graves Disease] explode all trees
#6.	(grave* near/4 (thyrotoxicos* or hyperthyr*)):ti,ab
#7.	graves' disease:ti,ab
#8.	(or #1-#7)

1 B.2 Health Economics literature search strategy

2 Health economic evidence was identified by conducting a broad search relating to a thyroid
 3 disease population in NHS Economic Evaluation Database (NHS EED – this ceased to be
 4 updated after March 2015) and the Health Technology Assessment database (HTA) with no
 5 date restrictions. NHS EED and HTA databases are hosted by the Centre for Research and
 6 Dissemination (CRD). Additional searches were run on Medline and Embase for health
 7 economics, economic modelling and quality of life studies.

8 **Table 8: Database date parameters and filters used**

Database	Dates searched	Search filter used
Medline	2014 – 07 January 2019	Exclusions Health economics studies Health economics modelling studies Quality of life studies
Embase	2014 – 07 January 2019	Exclusions Health economics studies Health economics modelling studies Quality of life studies
Centre for Research and Dissemination (CRD)	HTA - Inception – 07 January 2019 NHSEED - Inception to March 2015	None

9 **Medline (Ovid) search terms**

1.	exp thyroid diseases/
2.	hyperthyroid*.ti,ab.
3.	hypothyroid*.ti,ab.
4.	thyrotoxicosis.ti,ab.
5.	(thyroid adj3 (swell* or dysfunction* or enlarg* or nodule* or node* or disease* or condition* or disorder*)).ti,ab.
6.	or/1-5
7.	letter/
8.	editorial/
9.	news/
10.	exp historical article/
11.	Anecdotes as Topic/
12.	comment/
13.	case report/
14.	(letter or comment*).ti.
15.	or/7-14
16.	randomized controlled trial/ or random*.ti,ab.

17.	15 not 16
18.	animals/ not humans/
19.	exp Animals, Laboratory/
20.	exp Animal Experimentation/
21.	exp Models, Animal/
22.	exp Rodentia/
23.	(rat or rats or mouse or mice).ti.
24.	or/17-23
25.	6 not 24
26.	limit 25 to English language
27.	Economics/
28.	Value of life/
29.	exp "Costs and Cost Analysis"/
30.	exp Economics, Hospital/
31.	exp Economics, Medical/
32.	Economics, Nursing/
33.	Economics, Pharmaceutical/
34.	exp "Fees and Charges"/
35.	exp Budgets/
36.	budget*.ti,ab.
37.	cost*.ti.
38.	(economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti.
39.	(price* or pricing*).ti,ab.
40.	(cost* adj2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)),ab.
41.	(financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab.
42.	(value adj2 (money or monetary)).ti,ab.
43.	or/27-42
44.	exp models, economic/
45.	*Models, Theoretical/
46.	*Models, Organizational/
47.	markov chains/
48.	monte carlo method/
49.	exp Decision Theory/
50.	(markov* or monte carlo).ti,ab.
51.	econom* model*.ti,ab.
52.	(decision* adj2 (tree* or analy* or model*)).ti,ab.
53.	or/44-52
54.	quality-adjusted life years/
55.	sickness impact profile/
56.	(quality adj2 (wellbeing or well being)).ti,ab.
57.	sickness impact profile.ti,ab.
58.	disability adjusted life.ti,ab.
59.	(qal* or qtime* or qwb* or daly*).ti,ab.
60.	(euroqol* or eq5d* or eq 5*).ti,ab.

61.	(qol* or hql* or hqol* or h qol* or hrqol* or hr qol*).ti,ab.
62.	(health utility* or utility score* or disutilit* or utility value*).ti,ab.
63.	(hui or hui1 or hui2 or hui3).ti,ab.
64.	(health* year* equivalent* or hye or hyes).ti,ab.
65.	discrete choice*.ti,ab.
66.	rosser.ti,ab.
67.	(willingness to pay or time tradeoff or time trade off or tto or standard gamble*).ti,ab.
68.	(sf36* or sf 36* or short form 36* or shortform 36* or shortform36*).ti,ab.
69.	(sf20 or sf 20 or short form 20 or shortform 20 or shortform20).ti,ab.
70.	(sf12* or sf 12* or short form 12* or shortform 12* or shortform12*).ti,ab.
71.	(sf8* or sf 8* or short form 8* or shortform 8* or shortform8*).ti,ab.
72.	(sf6* or sf 6* or short form 6* or shortform 6* or shortform6*).ti,ab.
73.	or/54-72
74.	26 and (43 or 53 or 73)

1

Embase (Ovid) search terms

1.	exp thyroid diseases/
2.	hyperthyroid*.ti,ab.
3.	hypothyroid*.ti,ab.
4.	thyrotoxicosis*.ti,ab.
5.	(thyroid adj3 (swell* or dysfunction* or enlarg* or nodule* or node* or disease* or condition* or disorder*)).ti,ab.
6.	or/1-5
7.	letter.pt. or letter/
8.	note.pt.
9.	editorial.pt.
10.	case report/ or case study/
11.	(letter or comment*).ti.
12.	or/7-11
13.	randomized controlled trial/ or random*.ti,ab.
14.	12 not 13
15.	animal/ not human/
16.	nonhuman/
17.	exp Animal Experiment/
18.	exp Experimental Animal/
19.	animal model/
20.	exp Rodent/
21.	(rat or rats or mouse or mice).ti.
22.	or/14-21
23.	6 not 22
24.	limit 23 to English language
25.	health economics/
26.	exp economic evaluation/

27.	exp health care cost/
28.	exp fee/
29.	budget/
30.	funding/
31.	budget*.ti,ab.
32.	cost*.ti.
33.	(economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti.
34.	(price* or pricing*).ti,ab.
35.	(cost* adj2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)).ab.
36.	(financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab.
37.	(value adj2 (money or monetary)).ti,ab.
38.	or/25-37
39.	statistical model/
40.	exp economic aspect/
41.	39 and 40
42.	*theoretical model/
43.	*nonbiological model/
44.	stochastic model/
45.	decision theory/
46.	decision tree/
47.	monte carlo method/
48.	(markov* or monte carlo).ti,ab.
49.	econom* model*.ti,ab.
50.	(decision* adj2 (tree* or analy* or model*)).ti,ab.
51.	or/41-50
52.	quality adjusted life year/
53.	"quality of life index"/
54.	short form 12/ or short form 20/ or short form 36/ or short form 8/
55.	sickness impact profile/
56.	(quality adj2 (wellbeing or well being)).ti,ab.
57.	sickness impact profile.ti,ab.
58.	disability adjusted life.ti,ab.
59.	(qal* or qtime* or qwb* or daly*).ti,ab.
60.	(euroqol* or eq5d* or eq 5*).ti,ab.
61.	(qol* or hql* or hqol* or h qol* or hrqol* or hr qol*).ti,ab.
62.	(health utility* or utility score* or disutilit* or utility value*).ti,ab.
63.	(hui or hui1 or hui2 or hui3).ti,ab.
64.	(health* year* equivalent* or hye or hyes).ti,ab.
65.	discrete choice*.ti,ab.
66.	rosser.ti,ab.

67.	(willingness to pay or time tradeoff or time trade off or tto or standard gamble*).ti,ab.
68.	(sf36* or sf 36* or short form 36* or shortform 36* or shortform36*).ti,ab.
69.	(sf20 or sf 20 or short form 20 or shortform 20 or shortform20).ti,ab.
70.	(sf12* or sf 12* or short form 12* or shortform 12* or shortform12*).ti,ab.
71.	(sf8* or sf 8* or short form 8* or shortform 8* or shortform8*).ti,ab.
72.	(sf6* or sf 6* or short form 6* or shortform 6* or shortform6*).ti,ab.
73.	or/52-72
74.	24 and (38 or 51 or 73)

1

NHS EED and HTA (CRD) search terms


#1.	MeSH DESCRIPTOR Thyroid Diseases EXPLODE ALL TREES
#2.	hyperthyroid*
#3.	hypothyroid*
#4.	thyrotoxicosis*
#5.	(thyroid adj3 (swell* or dysfunction* or enlarg* or nodule* or node* or disease* or condition* or disorder*))
#6.	#1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 or #5

2

1

Appendix C: Clinical evidence selection

Figure 1: Flow chart of clinical study selection for the review of surgical management of thyrotoxicosis



2

3

Appendix D: Clinical evidence tables

Study	Barczynski 2012 ⁹
Study type	RCT (Patient randomised; Parallel)
Number of studies (number of participants)	1 (n=200)
Countries and setting	Conducted in Poland
Line of therapy	1st line
Duration of study	Intervention + follow up: 5 years
Method of assessment of guideline condition	Adequate method of assessment/diagnosis
Stratum	Overall
Subgroup analysis within study	Not applicable
Inclusion criteria	Planned surgery for GD, mild active ophthalmopathy
Exclusion criteria	Previous thyroid/parathyroid surgery, recurrent hyperthyroidism after RAI, history of GD of more than 24 months, nodules within posterior aspect of lobe, suspicion of cancer, pre-op RLN palsy, pregnancy, lactation, age <18 years, ASA grade IV or inability to comply with follow-up
Recruitment/selection of patients	Those referred to surgical centre
Age, gender and ethnicity	Age - Other: Mean 46. Gender (M:F): 11:89. Ethnicity: Not stated
Further population details	1. Age: 18-50 2. Gender: Not applicable
Extra comments	33% presenting with relapse post-treatment with ATDs
Indirectness of population	No indirectness
Interventions	(n=100) Intervention 1: Total. Thyroid removed completely. Duration 5 years follow-up . Concurrent medication/care: RLNs were exposed, branches of superior and inferior thyroid arteries were divided close to the capsule. No intraoperative nerve monitoring. Effort made to identify all 4 PTH glands, any inadvertently removed were autotransplanted into SCM muscle. 1, 3, 6, 9, 12 monthly follow-up. Post op levothyroxine for all, aimed at TSH of 0.4-4.2 munits/L. Indirectness: No indirectness

	(n=100) Intervention 2: Subtotal. Bilateral subtotal with 2g of normal tissue left on each side. Efforts made to remove entire pyramidal thyroid lobe.. Duration 5 years . Concurrent medication/care: As for total. Indirectness: No indirectness
Funding	Funding not stated
RESULTS (NUMBERS ANALYSED) AND RISK OF BIAS FOR COMPARISON: SUBTOTAL versus TOTAL	
<p>Protocol outcome 1: Mortality - Actual outcome: Death (not specified when during follow-up) at 5 year follow-up; Group 1: 0/95, Group 2: 1/97 Risk of bias: All domain - High, Selection - Low, Blinding - Low, Incomplete outcome data - High, Outcome reporting - Low, Measurement - Low, Crossover - Low; Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness ; Group 1 Number missing: 5, Reason: 3 for economic reasons, 2 personal problems; Group 2 Number missing: 3, Reason: 3 for economic reasons, 1 death</p> <p>Protocol outcome 2: Thyroid ophthalmopathy - Actual outcome: Improvement in ophthalmopathy at 5 year follow-up; Risk of bias: All domain - Low, Selection - Low, Blinding - Low, Incomplete outcome data - Low, Outcome reporting - Low, Measurement - Low, Crossover - Low; Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness ; Group 1 Number missing: 5, Reason: 3 for economic reasons, 2 personal problems; Group 2 Number missing: 4, Reason: 3 for economic reasons, 1 death</p> <p>Protocol outcome 3: Relapse of hyperthyroidism - Actual outcome: Recurrent hyperthyroidism (persistently lowered TSH and elevated T3/T4 despite at least 4 weeks off levothyroxine) at 5 year follow-up; Group 1: 9/95, Group 2: 0/96 Risk of bias: All domain - Low, Selection - Low, Blinding - Low, Incomplete outcome data - Low, Outcome reporting - Low, Measurement - Low, Crossover - Low; Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness ; Group 1 Number missing: 5, Reason: 3 for economic reasons, 2 personal problems; Group 2 Number missing: 4, Reason: 3 for economic reasons, 1 death</p> <p>Protocol outcome 4: Recurrent laryngeal nerve damage - Actual outcome: Permanent RLN palsy at 5 year follow-up; Group 1: 2/95, Group 2: 1/96 Risk of bias: All domain - High, Selection - Low, Blinding - Low, Incomplete outcome data - High, Outcome reporting - Low, Measurement - Low, Crossover - Low; Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness ; Group 1 Number missing: 5, Reason: 3 for economic reasons, 2 personal problems; Group 2 Number missing: 3, Reason: 3 for economic reasons, 1 death</p> <p>Protocol outcome 5: Hypoparathyroidism - Actual outcome: Permanent hypoparathyroidism at 5 year follow-up; Group 1: 0/95, Group 2: 1/96 Risk of bias: All domain - High. Selection - Low. Blinding - Low. Incomplete outcome data - High. Outcome reporting - Low. Measurement - Low. Crossover - Low;</p>	

Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness ; Group 1 Number missing: 5, Reason: 3 for economic reasons, 2 personal problems; Group 2 Number missing: 3, Reason: 3 for economic reasons, 1 death

Protocol outcomes not reported by the study	Quality of life ; Euthyroidism ; Hypothyroidism ; Ischaemic heart disease ; Heart failure ; Arrhythmia ; Osteoporosis ; Impaired cognitive function ; Growth ; Pain ; Symptom scores ; Experience of care ; Healthcare contacts ; Hypocalcaemia ; Bleeding ; Infection
---	--

Study	Järhult 2005 ⁴⁰
Study type	RCT (Patient randomised; Parallel)
Number of studies (number of participants)	1 (n=44)
Countries and setting	Conducted in Sweden; Setting: Not stated
Line of therapy	1st line
Duration of study	Intervention + follow up: 3 years
Method of assessment of guideline condition	Adequate method of assessment/diagnosis
Stratum	Overall
Subgroup analysis within study	Not applicable
Inclusion criteria	Graves', pre-treatment with ATD for at least 3 months, ophthalmopathy of at least moderate degree
Exclusion criteria	Previous thyroid or parathyroid surgery, treatment with RAI, TSH >200% above normal during ATD treatment,
Recruitment/selection of patients	Not stated
Age, gender and ethnicity	Age - Mean (range): 43 (21-69). Gender (M:F): 9:91. Ethnicity: Not stated
Further population details	1. Age: 18-50 2. Gender: Not applicable
Extra comments	Median ATD treatment 9 months, 6 patients had previously had Graves' and this was a relapse
Indirectness of population	No indirectness
Interventions	(n=22) Intervention 1: Total. Macroscopic removal of gland. Duration 3 years . Concurrent medication/care: Thyroxine substitution after surgery, followed at 4 weeks, 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, 1, 1.5, 2, 3 years. Indirectness: No indirectness (n=22) Intervention 2: Subtotal. ST bilateral resection or hemithyroidectomy plus unilateral subtotal resection, no more than 4g total of remnant tissue based on visual inspection and weighing of comparable surgical specimen. Duration 3 years. Concurrent medication/care: As for total. Indirectness: No indirectness
Funding	Academic or government funding
RESULTS (NUMBERS ANALYSED) AND RISK OF BIAS FOR COMPARISON: SUBTOTAL versus TOTAL	
Protocol outcome 1: Recurrent laryngeal nerve damage	

- Actual outcome: Permanent (>9 months) vocal cord palsy at 3 years; Group 1: 1/21, Group 2: 3/22
Risk of bias: All domain - Low, Selection - Low, Blinding - Low, Incomplete outcome data - Low, Outcome reporting - Low, Measurement - Low, Crossover - Low;
Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness ; Group 1 Number missing: 1, Reason: Refused to commit to follow-up; Group 2 Number missing: 0

Protocol outcomes not reported by the study	Quality of life ; Mortality ; Thyroid ophthalmopathy ; Euthyroidism ; Hypothyroidism ; Relapse of hyperthyroidism ; Ischaemic heart disease ; Heart failure ; Arrhythmia ; Osteoporosis ; Impaired cognitive function ; Growth ; Pain ; Symptom scores ; Experience of care ; Healthcare contacts ; Hypocalcaemia ; Hypoparathyroidism ; Bleeding ; Infection
---	---

Study	Witte 2000 ⁹¹
Study type	RCT (Patient randomised; Parallel)
Number of studies (number of participants)	1 (n=150)
Countries and setting	Conducted in Germany; Setting: Not specified
Line of therapy	1st line
Duration of study	Intervention + follow up: Up to 36 months
Method of assessment of guideline condition	Adequate method of assessment/diagnosis
Stratum	Overall
Subgroup analysis within study	Not applicable
Inclusion criteria	Graves' disease
Exclusion criteria	None specified
Recruitment/selection of patients	Not specified
Age, gender and ethnicity	Age - Mean (range): 30.2 (12-73). Gender (M:F): 25: 125 Ethnicity: Not stated.
Further population details	1. Age: 18-50 2. Gender: Not applicable
Extra comments	90% previously treated conservatively prior to surgery
Indirectness of population	No indirectness
Interventions	(n=50) Intervention 1: Total. Total aimed to have less than 1ml remaining. Duration 6-36 months follow-up . Concurrent medication/care: Usual care. Indirectness: No indirectness (n=100) Intervention 2: Subtotal. 50 randomised to unilateral total and contralateral subtotal, 50 randomised to bilateral subtotal . Duration 6-36 months follow-up. Concurrent medication/care: Usual care. Indirectness: No indirectness
Funding	Funding not stated
RESULTS (NUMBERS ANALYSED) AND RISK OF BIAS FOR COMPARISON: SUBTOTAL versus TOTAL	
Protocol outcome 1: Thyroid ophthalmopathy - Actual outcome: Improvement in ophthalmopathy for those with baseline GO (66%) at 6-36 months: Group 1: 41/56. Group 2: 22/31	

Risk of bias: All domain - High, Selection - Low, Blinding - Low, Incomplete outcome data - High, Outcome reporting - Low, Measurement - Low, Crossover - Low;
 Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness ; Group 1 Number missing: 5 switching, Reason: Some switching between the two subtotal arms; Group 2 Number missing: 3 switching, Reason: 3 in total arm did not receive total

Protocol outcome 2: Recurrent laryngeal nerve damage

- Actual outcome: Permanent RLN palsy at 6-36 months; Group 1: 1/100, Group 2: 1/50

Risk of bias: All domain - High, Selection - Low, Blinding - Low, Incomplete outcome data - High, Outcome reporting - Low, Measurement - Low, Crossover - Low;
 Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness ; Group 1 Number missing: 5 switching, Reason: Some switching between the two subtotal arms; Group 2 Number missing: 3 switching, Reason: 3 in total arm did not receive total

Protocol outcome 3: Hypoparathyroidism

- Actual outcome: Permanent hypoparathyroidism at 6-36 months; Group 1: 3/100, Group 2: 5/50

Risk of bias: All domain - High, Selection - Low, Blinding - Low, Incomplete outcome data - High, Outcome reporting - Low, Measurement - Low, Crossover - Low;
 Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness ; Group 1 Number missing: 5 switching, Reason: Some switching between the two subtotal arms; Group 2 Number missing: 3 switching, Reason: 3 in total arm did not receive total

Protocol outcome 4: Infection

- Actual outcome: Wound infection at 6-36 months; Group 1: 3/100, Group 2: 2/50

Risk of bias: All domain - High, Selection - Low, Blinding - Low, Incomplete outcome data - High, Outcome reporting - Low, Measurement - Low, Crossover - Low;
 Indirectness of outcome: No indirectness ; Group 1 Number missing: 5 switching, Reason: Some switching between the two subtotal arms; Group 2 Number missing: 3 switching, Reason: 3 in total arm did not receive total

Protocol outcomes not reported by the study

Quality of life ; Mortality ; Euthyroidism ; Hypothyroidism ; Relapse of hyperthyroidism ; Ischaemic heart disease ; Heart failure ; Arrhythmia ; Osteoporosis ; Impaired cognitive function ; Growth ; Pain ; Symptom scores ; Experience of care ; Healthcare contacts ; Hypocalcaemia ; Bleeding

1 Appendix E: Forest plots

2 E.1 Subtotal vs total thyroidectomy

Figure 2: Mortality






Figure 3: Improvement in ophthalmopathy




3

Figure 4: Relapse of hyperthyroidism



4

Figure 5: Permanent hypoparathyroidism



5

Figure 6: Permanent recurrent laryngeal nerve palsy





Figure 7: Wound infection



1
2

Appendix F: GRADE tables

Table 9: Clinical evidence profile: subtotal vs total thyroidectomy

Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		Quality	Importance
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Subtotal thyroidectomy	Total thyroidectomy	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute		
Mortality (follow-up 5 years)												
1	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	none	0/95 (0%)	1%	Peto OR 0.14 (0 to 6.89)	9 fewer per 1000 (from 10 fewer to 55 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Improvement in ophthalmopathy (follow-up 0.5-5 years)												
2	randomised trials	no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	122/151 (80.8%)	79.8%	RR 0.98 (0.88 to 1.09)	16 fewer per 1000 (from 96 fewer to 72 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	IMPORTANT
Relapse of hyperthyroidism (follow-up 5 years)												
1	randomised trials	no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	9/95 (9.5%)	0%	Peto OR 8.16 (2.15 to 31)	90 more per 1000 (from 30 more to 160 more) ³	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	IMPORTANT
Hypoparathyroidism (follow-up 0.5-5 years)												
3	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ⁴	none	3/216 (1.4%)	9.1%	Peto OR 0.21 (0.06 to 0.73)	70 fewer per 1000 (from 23 fewer to 85 fewer)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	IMPORTANT
RLN damage/vocal cord palsy (follow-up 0.5-5 years)												
3	randomised trials	no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	none	4/216 (1.9%)	2%	RR 0.7 (0.19 to 2.56)	6 fewer per 1000 (from 16 fewer to 31 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	IMPORTANT

Wound infection (follow-up 6 months)												
1	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	none	3/100 (3%)	4%	RR 0.75 (0.13 to 4.34)	10 fewer per 1000 (from 35 fewer to 134 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT

¹ Downgraded by 1 increment if the majority of the evidence was at high risk of bias, and downgraded by 2 increments if the majority of the evidence was at very high risk of bias

² Downgraded by 1 increment if the confidence interval crossed one MID or by 2 increments if the confidence interval crossed both MIDs


³ Zero events in control arm

⁴ Zero events in multiple arms

1
2

Appendix G: Health economic evidence selection

Figure 8: Flow chart of health economic study selection for the guideline



* Non-relevant population, intervention, comparison, design or setting; non-English language
TFT; thyroid function test, FNA; fine-needle aspiration, US; ultrasound, RAI; radioactive iodine, ATDs; antithyroid drugs, Mang; management, SCH; Subclinical hypothyroidism, SCT; Subclinical thyrotoxicosis.

1

2

Appendix H: Health economic evidence tables

None

Appendix I: Health economic analysis

None

Appendix J: Excluded studies

J.1 Excluded clinical studies

Table 10: Studies excluded from the clinical review

Study	Exclusion reason
Abraham 2010 ²	Systematic review is not relevant to review question or unclear PICO
Abraham-nordling 2007 ¹	No usable outcomes
Allannic 1990 ³	Incorrect interventions
Andrade 1999 ⁴	Less than minimum duration
Andrade 2001 ⁵	Incorrect interventions
Andrade 2004 ⁶	Incorrect interventions
Azizi 2012 ⁸	Wrong study design
Azizi 2018 ⁷	NRS where RCTs are available
Barczynski 2010 ¹⁰	Abstract only
Barczynski 2018 ¹¹	Incorrect population
Benker 1995 ¹³	Incorrect interventions
Benker 1998 ¹²	Incorrect interventions
Bonnema 2003 ¹⁴	Incorrect interventions
Bonnema 2004 ¹⁵	Incorrect interventions
Bonnema 2011 ¹⁶	Inappropriate comparison
Braga 2002 ¹⁷	Less than minimum duration
Burch 2001 ¹⁸	No usable outcomes
Buscemi 2007 ¹⁹	Not guideline condition
Canto 2016 ²⁰	Incorrect interventions
Chen 2011 ²¹	Inappropriate comparison
Chen 2014 ²²	No additional outcomes to those reported elsewhere
Chi 2005 ²³	Inappropriate comparison
Connell 1987 ²⁴	No usable outcomes
De Luca 2018 ²⁵	SR, checked for references
Edmonds 1994 ²⁷	Incorrect interventions
Esfahani 2005 ²⁸	Inappropriate comparison
García-mayor 1992 ²⁹	Incorrect interventions
Glinoeer 2001 ³⁰	Incorrect interventions
Goni iriarte 1995 ³¹	Not in English
Grebe 1998 ³²	Incorrect interventions
Hamide 2014 ³³	NRS where RCTs are available
Hashizume 1991 ³⁴	NRS without adequate adjustment

Study	Exclusion reason
He 2004 ³⁵	Incorrect interventions
Hoermann 2002 ³⁶	Incorrect interventions
Homsanit 2001 ³⁷	Incorrect interventions
Howarth 2001 ³⁸	Incorrect interventions
Jaiswal 2014 ³⁹	Incorrect interventions
Jorde 1995 ⁴¹	Incorrect interventions
Kallner 1996 ⁴²	Incorrect interventions
Kung 1995 ⁴³	Incorrect interventions
Leclere 1994 ⁴⁴	Not in English
Leslie 2003 ⁴⁵	Incorrect interventions
Leung 2017 ⁴⁶	SR, checked for references
Li 2016 ⁴⁷	SR, checked for references
Liu 2017 ⁴⁸	Incorrect interventions
Ljunggren 1998 ⁵⁰	No usable outcomes
Lucas 1997 ⁵¹	Incorrect interventions
Ma 2008 ⁵²	SR, checked for references
Ma 2016 ⁵³	SR checked for references
Marcocci 1989 ⁵⁴	Incorrect interventions
Mashio 1997 ⁵⁵	Inappropriate comparison
Mastorakos 2003 ⁵⁶	Incorrect interventions
Maugendre 1999 ⁵⁷	Incorrect interventions
Mciver 1996 ⁵⁸	Incorrect interventions
Menconi 2007 ⁵⁹	No usable outcomes
Miranda-padua 2014 ⁶⁰	Incorrect interventions
Müller 2001 ⁶¹	Inappropriate comparison
Nakamura 2007 ⁶²	Incorrect interventions
Nedrebo 2002 ⁶⁴	Incorrect interventions
Noh 2015 ⁶⁵	Incorrect interventions
Orsini 2012 ⁶⁶	Inappropriate comparison
Peixoto 2006 ⁶⁷	Incorrect interventions
Peters 1995 ⁶⁸	Incorrect interventions
Peters 1996 ⁶⁹	No usable outcomes
Peters 1997 ⁷⁰	Incorrect interventions
Pfeilschifter 1997 ⁷¹	Inappropriate comparison
Pirnat 2011 ⁷²	Incorrect interventions
Pusuwan 2011 ⁷³	Inappropriate comparison
Raber 2000 ⁷⁴	Incorrect interventions
Reinwein 1993 ⁷⁵	Inappropriate comparison
Rittmaster 1998 ⁷⁶	Incorrect interventions
Rokni 2014 ⁷⁷	SR checked for references
Romaldini 1983 ⁷⁸	Incorrect interventions
Santos 2004 ⁷⁹	NRS without adequate adjustment
Santos 2012 ⁸⁰	Inappropriate comparison
Sapienza 2015 ⁸¹	Inappropriate comparison
Schneider 2005 ⁸²	Inappropriate comparison

Study	Exclusion reason
Singhal 2014 ⁸³	Withdrawn Cochrane review
Taïeb 2016 ⁸⁴	Incorrect interventions
Thientunyakit 2010 ⁸⁵	Inappropriate comparison
Tian 2001 ⁸⁶	Not in English
Unalp 2009 ⁸⁷	No usable outcomes
Walter 2006 ⁸⁸	NRS without adequate adjustment
Wang 2016 ⁸⁹	SR, checked for references
Weetman 1994 ⁹⁰	Incorrect interventions
Yousefi 2011 ⁹²	Not in English
Yuan 2017 ⁹³	SR, checked for references

1

2 **J.2 Excluded health economic studies**

3 None

4