# National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

**FINAL** 

# Indoor air quality at home

# [3.1] Evidence review for material and structural interventions

NICE guideline NG149 Evidence review January 2020

Final

These evidence reviews were developed by the Public Health Internal Guideline Development team



FINAL

#### Disclaimer

The recommendations in this guideline represent the view of NICE, arrived at after careful consideration of the evidence available. When exercising their judgement, professionals are expected to take this guideline fully into account, alongside the individual needs, preferences and values of their patients or service users. The recommendations in this guideline are not mandatory and the guideline does not override the responsibility of healthcare professionals to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient and/or their carer or guardian.

Local commissioners and/or providers have a responsibility to enable the guideline to be applied when individual health professionals and their patients or service users wish to use it. They should do so in the context of local and national priorities for funding and developing services, and in light of their duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, to advance equality of opportunity and to reduce health inequalities. Nothing in this guideline should be interpreted in a way that would be inconsistent with compliance with those duties.

NICE guidelines cover health and care in England. Decisions on how they apply in other UK countries are made by ministers in the <u>Welsh Government</u>, <u>Scottish Government</u>, and <u>Northern Ireland Executive</u>. All NICE guidance is subject to regular review and may be updated or withdrawn.

#### Copyright

© NICE 2020. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

ISBN: 978-1-4731-3625-0

### Contents

Material and indoor	structural interventions to prevent or reduce the health impacts of poor air quality at home	6
Review ques	stion	6
Introdu	iction	6
Metho	ds and process	7
Public	health evidence	7
Summ	ary of public health studies included in the evidence review	9
Econo	mic evidence	. 11
Econo	mic model	. 11
Eviden	ce statements	. 11
The co	mmittee's discussion of the evidence	. 12
Appendices		. 17
Appendix A:	Review protocol	. 17
Appendix B:	Literature search strategies	. 21
Appendix C:	Public health evidence study selection	. 22
Appendix D:	Public health evidence tables	. 23
D.1 House dust	mite	. 23
D.2 Particulate	matter	. 29
D.3 NO2		. 36
D.4 Mould		. 40
D.5 CO2		. 46
Appendix E:	Forest plots	. 53
Appendix F:	GRADE profiles	. 54
F.1 House dust	mite	. 54
F.2 Particulate	matter	. 55
F.3 Gases (NO2	2, CO2)	. 57
F.4 Mould		. 58
F.5 Pet dander.		. 58
Appendix G:	Economic evidence study selection	. 60
Appendix H:	Health economic evidence tables	. 61
Appendix I:	Health economic evidence profiles	. 62
Appendix J:	Health economic analysis	. 63
Appendix K:	Excluded studies	. 64
K.1 Public healt	h studies	. 64
K.2 Economic s	tudies	. 75
Appendix L:	Research recommendations	. 76
L.1.1Effective in conditions .	nterventions to improve air quality in people without pre-existing hea	alth . 76

L.1.2	Effective intervention to prevent, identify and fix damp and
mould	

## Material and structural interventions to prevent or reduce the health impacts of poor indoor air quality at home

### **Review question**

What are the effective material and structural interventions to prevent or reduce the health impacts of poor indoor air quality at home?

#### Introduction

People spend up to 90% of their lives indoors and 60% of that time at home. Exposure to indoor air pollutants including nitrogen dioxide (NO<sup>2</sup>), carbon monoxide (CO), particulate matter (PM), biological agents and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) is widespread. These pollutants are associated with respiratory and other diseases and premature death.

Field	Content				
Population	People in all dwellings				
Interventions	<ul> <li>Interventions to improve poor indoor air quality for example:</li> <li>Removing sources of indoor air pollution for example installing cooker hoods, kitchen extractors</li> </ul>				
	Using construction materials and consumer products with low volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions				
	<ul> <li>installing air filtering systems to remove biological agents (for example, dander and dust) and particulate matter,</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>installing insulation to change the dew point (the temperature at which condensation appears) and prevent mould growth</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Retrofitting ventilation units in existing buildings</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Maintaining adequate moisture levels (including dehumidifiers) to prevent damp and mould</li> </ul>				
	Material or structural interventions to reduce house dust mites				
	<ul> <li>Making buildings more 'breathable' using vapour-permeable or hygroscopic materials</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>replacing old heating systems</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Use of soft furnishings including flame-retardant and stain- resistant treatments</li> </ul>				
Comparator(s)/control	Interventions compared to alternative, no repairs, no installation or do nothing				
Outcomes	Respiratory health effects				
Outcomes	<ul> <li>Changes in pulmonary function measured as a reduction in e.g. FEV1, PEF</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Respiratory symptoms for example cough, wheeze, phlegm, sore throat, nasal congestion, runny nose, sneezing</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Respiratory infection for example Pneumonia, alveolitis, bronchitis</li> </ul>				

#### Table 1: PICO table

COPD

Field	Content
	• Asthma
	Allergic diseases for example
	∘ Allergic asthma
	○ Allergic alveolitis
	<ul> <li>Allergic rhinoconjuctivitis</li> </ul>
	○ Allergic rhinitis
	○ Allergic dermatitis
	<ul> <li>Pregnancy related health effects for example</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Low birthweight, perinatal mortality (still births and deaths in the first week of life)</li> </ul>
	Cardiovascular health effects. For example
	Ischaemic heart disease, stroke

#### Methods and process

This evidence review was developed using the methods and process described in Developing NICE guidelines: the manual. Methods specific to this review question are described in the review protocol in Appendix B:.

Respiratory conditions were reported differently within and across studies. Due to the myriad of respiratory conditions reported and measures used, the committee agreed that:

- Where 2 or more respiratory conditions are reported, to use the most sensitive outcome. For example, using Forced expiratory volume - 1 second (FEV1) over peak expiratory flow (PEF) or
- Where 2 or more respiratory conditions are reported, to use the one reported as the primary outcome for which the trial was powered. For example, reporting wheeze powered for study over cough

Declarations of interest were recorded according to NICE's 2018 conflicts of interest policy.

#### Public health evidence

For this review, only randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and cluster RCTs were considered for inclusion.

19418 references were identified from literature searches outlined in Appendix B. An additional 4 references were identified from published systematic reviews and 1,345 references from the rerun of the literature search. 119 papers were ordered in full-text. 9 RCTs (reported in 14 papers) met the inclusion criteria outlined in the review protocol. 105 studies were excluded. See Appendix C for Public health evidence study selection

#### **Included studies**

9 RCTs were included for this review. 7 of the RCTs were identified from priority screening and 2 from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) comparative effectiveness review on 'Indoor Allergen Reduction in Management of Asthma'. 4 studies were conducted in the United Kingdom (UK), 2 studies from the United States (US), 1 study from Canada and 2 from New Zealand.

For health outcomes, included studies did not report on COPD, pregnancy related health effects and cardiovascular health effects. For indoor air pollutants, studies did not report on carbon monoxide (CO), and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). For subgroups of interest, studies did not report on people on low income, older people, people with disabilities, and pregnant women. See table 2 below for more details on the included studies.

#### **Excluded studies**

105 studies were excluded from this review. See Appendix G: for full list of studies excluded with the reasons for exclusion.

#### Summary of public health studies included in the evidence review

#### Table 2: Summary of included studies

Study	Population	Intervention	Comparator	Outcome used	Risk of bias
Burr 2007 (UK)	Adults and children with asthma	<ul> <li>Mould removal and positive ventilation</li> </ul>	Delayed intervention	Respiratory health	High (concerns over lack of detail on randomisation and unequal loss to follow-up)
Francis 2003 (UK)	Adults with asthma	HEPA air cleaner + HEPA     vacuum cleaner	HEPA vacuum cleaner alone	Respiratory health	Low
Howden-Chapman 2007 (New Zealand)	Adults and children with respiratory problems	<ul> <li>Installation of a retrofit insulation package</li> </ul>	Delayed intervention	Respiratory health	High (concerns over lack of details on randomisation and allocation concealment)
Howden-Chapman 2008 (New Zealand)	Children with asthma	<ul> <li>Replacement non-polluting heating</li> </ul>	Delayed intervention	Respiratory health	High (concerns over lack of details on randomisation and allocation concealment)
Kovesi 2009 (Canada)	Children without pre- existing condition	<ul> <li>Heat recovery ventilation</li> </ul>	Placebo heat recovery ventilation	Respiratory health Rhinitis	Low
Noonan 2017 (US)	Children with asthma	<ul> <li>Improved technology wood burning stove or Functioning air filtration devices</li> </ul>	Sham intervention	Respiratory health Quality of life	High (concerns over lack of details on randomisation and allocation concealment)
Park 2017 (US)	Children with asthma and/or allergic rhinitis	HEPA air purifier	No treatment	Respiratory health	High (concerns over lack of details on randomisation and allocation concealment)
Tan 1996 (UK)	Children and adults with atopic dermatitis	<ul> <li>Impermeable bedcovers + benzyltannate spray + high- filtration vacuum cleaner</li> </ul>	Placebo covers, placebo spray (water), and a conventional domestic vacuum cleaner	Atopic dermatitis	Moderate (concerns over attrition rate in placebo group)
Wright 2009 (UK)	Adults with asthma	Mechanical heat recovery ventilation (MHRV	Placebo ventilation	Asthma Respiratory health Quality of life	Low

Indoor air quality at home: evidence reviews for material and structural interventions FINAL January 2020

See Appendix D for full evidence tables.

#### Economic evidence

For the review of published cost effectiveness evidence see Evidence reviews for indoor air quality at home: Cost effectiveness outcomes

#### **Economic model**

For the results of the economic analysis see Indoor Air Quality at Home Economic Model Report and Community Health Worker Appendix.

#### **Evidence statements**

#### House dust mite (see GRADE profile F.1)

- Moderate quality evidence from 1 RCT on adults and children with atopic dermatitis followed up for 6 months showed a significant reduction in eczema severity score with the use of impermeable mattress and pillow cover, benzyltannate spray and HEPA vacuum cleaner to prevent/reduce house dust mite compared to the control group (n = 48; MD -4.2 95% CI -6.7 to -1.7)
- Low quality evidence from 1 RCT on adults with asthma followed up for 12 months showed no difference in quality of life with the use of MHRV units fitted in the roof space or hallway cupboard to prevent/reduce house dust mite compared to the control group (n = 119; MD -2.83 95% CI -7.82 to 2.16)
- Moderate quality evidence from 1 RCT on adults with asthma followed up for 12 months showed no difference in asthma control (using the asthma control questionnaire) with the use of a mechanical heat recovery ventilation (MHRV) system fitted in the roof space or hallway cupboard (these units extract air continuously from the kitchen and bathroom and deliver pre-warmed air via insulated ducts into the bedroom and living room) to prevent/reduce house dust mite compared to the control group (n = 119; MD -0.25 95% CI -0.57 to 0.08)
- Low quality evidence from 1 RCT on adults with asthma followed up for 12 months showed no difference in respiratory health effect with the use of mechanical heat recovery ventilation (MHRV) units fitted in the roof space or hallway cupboard to prevent/reduce house dust mite compared to the control group (n = 119; MD 1.32 95% CI -2.56 to 5.19)

#### Particulate matter (see GRADE profile F.2)

- Very low quality evidence from 1 RCT on children with asthma followed up for 12 months showed no difference in quality of life with the use of low emission (according to EPAS standards) wood burning stove to prevent/reduce particulate matter compared to the control group (n = 45; MD 0.18 95% CI -0.33 to 0.69)
- Low quality evidence from 1 RCT on children with asthma followed up for 12 months showed no difference in quality of life with the use of air filtration devices to prevent/reduce particulate matter compared to the control group (n = 69; MD -0.07 95% CI -0.47 to 0.34)
- Low quality evidence from 1 RCT on children with asthma followed up for 12 months showed no difference in respiratory health effect with low-emission (according to EPA standards) wood burning stove to prevent/reduce particulate matter compared to the control group (n = 45; MD 3.6 95% CI -6.8 to 14)
- Very low quality evidence from 1 RCT on children with asthma followed up for 12 months showed no difference in respiratory health effect with the use of air filtration unit placed in the living room and the child's bedroom to prevent/reduce

particulate matter compared to the control group (n = 69; MD -0.71 95% CI -8.9 to 7.5)

 Moderate quality evidence from 1 RCT on children with asthma and/or allergic rhinitis followed up for 12 weeks showed significant reduction in respiratory health effect with the use of HEPA air purifier placed in living rooms and bedrooms to prevent/reduce particulate matter compared to the control group (n = 17; MD -3.10 95% CI -5.12 to -1.08)

#### Gases (NO2, CO2) (see GRADE profile F.3)

- Low quality evidence from 1 RCT on children with asthma followed up for 12 months showed no difference in respiratory health effect with the use of replacement heaters (heat pump, wood pellet burner, or flued gas) to prevent/reduce NO2 compared to the control group (n = 349; OR 0.71 95% CI 0.45 to 1.11; number of events not reported)
- High quality evidence from 1 RCT on children without any pre-existing conditions followed up for 6 months showed no difference in respiratory health effect (wheezing) with the use of MHRV to prevent/reduce CO2 compared to the control group (n = 68; OR 0.00 95% CI 0.0074 to 0.36)
- Low quality evidence from 1 RCT on children without pre-existing conditions followed up for 6 months showed no difference in rhinitis with the use of MHRV to prevent/reduce CO2 compared to the control group (n = 68; OR 0.60 95% CI 0.083 to 3.86; number of events not reported)

#### Mould (see GRADE profile F.4)

- Moderate quality evidence from 1 RCT on adults with asthma followed up for 12 months showed no difference in respiratory health effect with the use of MHRV units installed in the loft to prevent/reduce mould compared to the control group (n = 164; MD 0.46 95% CI -01.58 to 2.50)
- Moderate quality evidence from 1 RCT on adults and children with respiratory symptoms followed up 24 months showed significant reduction in respiratory health effect with the Installation of a retrofit insulation package (including ceiling insulation, draught stopping around windows and doors, and fitting sisalated paper beneath floor joists and a polythene moisture barrier on the ground) to prevent/reduce mould compared to the control group (n = 2775; OR 0.62 95% CI 0.53 to 0.73)

#### Pet dander (see GRADE profile F.5)

 Moderate quality evidence from 1 RCT on adults and children with respiratory symptoms followed up 24 months showed no difference in respiratory health effect with the use of HEPA cleaner and vacuum to prevent/reduce pet dander compared to the control group (n = 30; MD 0.25 higher 95% CI -0.38 to 0.88)

#### The committee's discussion of the evidence

#### Interpreting the evidence `

#### The outcomes that matter most

The committee considered all outcomes reported in the included studies to be of equal importance. Indoor air quality at home can be affected by various pollutants,

including gases (for example NO2, carbon monoxide), (total) volatile organic compounds ([T] VOCs), particulate matter (PM) from for example open solid-fuel fires, or cooking, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs for example, naphthalene and benzo[a]pyrene) and biological agents such as mould and pet dander. Emissions in the home increase personal exposure to pollutants and contribute significantly to overall national emissions. Exposure to these different pollutants are associated with negative health outcomes especially in the case of vulnerable groups for example people with pre-existing health conditions. Poor indoor air quality is known to exacerbate health effects in these vulnerable groups compared to the general population for example exacerbation of wheeze and/or cough in people with asthma. Other vulnerable groups considered include the very young, the very old, people who are pregnant, people who live in poor quality housing, people on low incomes, people with disabilities and people who spend longer than average time at home. These groups of people are likely to experience a higher exposure to poor indoor air quality at home leading to poor health outcomes

#### The quality of the evidence

The committee noted there was no evidence on many of the interventions of interest. These included the

- removal of hazardous building materials,
- the use of construction materials and consumer products with low VOC emissions.
- Installing extractor fans.
- Reducing high humidity levels (using dehumidifiers)
- Making the building more airtight (for example, by insulating, draught proofing or installing double glazing).
- Making buildings more 'breathable' using vapour-permeable or hygroscopic materials
- Use of soft furnishings and other interior design factors, including flame-retardant and stain-resistant treatments.

The committee noted evidence gaps in relation to people with low income, older people, people with disabilities and pregnant women. There was also limited evidence on children and young people. The majority of studies included people with asthma.

The committee also noted that there was no evidence for some outcomes of interest such as cardiovascular health effects, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or pregnancy related health effects.

The committee acknowledged the uncertainty in evidence base and noted that this might be due to differences in populations, in terms of different ages and risk profiles and the myriad of ways of reporting on the same outcome.

The committee acknowledged methodological limitations as regards the reporting of study design and conduct that were identified during the risk of bias assessment. One of such limitations is the lack of blinding reported in included studies. The committee highlighted that blinding of participants and study personnel may be difficult or impossible to achieve due to the nature of interventions delivered. For experimental and pragmatic reasons, the existence of a lack of blinding as a methodological limitation was accepted by the committee. The committee agreed not to downgrade in the GRADE assessment for these interventions where blinding is a consideration.

Another limitation the committee considered was the use of subjective measures (for example using self-reported questionnaires) rather than objective measure for outcomes and how this might influence their understanding of the evidence base. The committee was also concerned with most of the evidence reporting on people with pre-existing conditions and they noted that this may result in over reporting of health symptoms. For example, people with asthma, because of concerns about exacerbations linked to poor indoor air quality may seek medical advice to a greater degree than people without asthma. This may lead to wheeze or other respiratory health effects being over reported while on the other side of the spectrum, a healthy population might not seek medical advice for these symptoms. The committee considered that the outcomes reported in the included studies were short term outcomes that are an important indicator of the effectiveness of the intervention, However, the committee also had concerns over the lack of longer-term outcome data.

The committee noted that 5 of the 9 included studies were conducted outside the UK. However, they agreed that the climate conditions and regulatory environments were similar to the UK. In addition, the committee considered that the interventions examined in these studies were consistent with current practice in the UK and so had no concerns about the generalisability of the evidence base.

#### Benefits and harms

The committee noted that benefits were observed with a multicomponent intervention (Impermeable mattress and pillow cover, benzyltannate spray and HEPA vacuum cleaner) from one study (Tan 1996) in terms of reducing eczema severity in children and adults with atopic dermatitis though the committee found it difficult to determine the effectiveness of each component. Findings for replacement heaters and MHRV units from 2 studies (Howden-Chapman 2007 & Howden-Chapman 2008) showed a benefit in terms of respiratory health effects on children with asthma as did the use of HEPA filters in children with asthma and/or allergic rhinitis. Similarly retrofitting and improving ventilation systems (such as mechanical ventilation systems or openable windows) showed a benefit in terms of respiratory health effects and quality of life respectively.

There were discussions around how the evidence reported did not entirely reflect the committee's collective experience. It was noted that many of these interventions will logically reduce the levels of a pollutant, but this was not translated in health benefits in the studies.

The committee cited examples where interventions to prevent NO<sup>2</sup>, for example switching from gas cookers to electric cookers, will remove the source of NO<sup>2</sup> in the home. Also, interventions to reduce indoor particulate matter (for example using cooker hoods when cooking) have been shown to be effective in real life and that the benefits outweigh unintended harms, such as the noise from cooker hood.

Renovating homes without improving ventilation may negatively affect the health of the people who live in them (see evidence review 3.3). The committee noted this evidence and agreed that adequate ventilation was essential to maintaining good indoor air quality.

#### Cost effectiveness and resource use

The committee noted the paucity of health economic literature on structural and material interventions. It also noted that the studies which had been identified were only partially applicable and of low quality. Even so, the committee were mindful that

this literature suggests that ventilation systems, carbon filters used alongside ventilation systems, home modifications and home modifications combined with education interventions could be cost effective and in certain circumstances cost saving. The economic model also suggested that interventions to reduce exposure to indoor air pollution could be cost saving. However, the committee are aware that some interventions may have little or no cost (e.g. opening a window) whereas others could be costly (e.g. installing a ventilation system). It was particularly noteworthy therefore that the main driver of the cost savings was the excess risk profile of dwellings which is a combination of physical (building) risk and personal baseline risk. For example, a dwelling with a low risk function and an intervention that is effective in reducing the prevalence of asthma (by 5%) is unlikely to be cost-saving unless the cost of implementation per dwelling is £50 or lower whereas, for an extreme risk dwelling the cost-saving threshold rises to £150 at a 5% effectiveness. A key limitation of the model is that there were no data on the explicit link between indoor air quality and health outcomes in general, and specifically for any of the interventions of interest to the committee. Some identified benefits could not be quantified for example, the benefits that an intervention may bring to someone with comorbidities, suggesting that the overall benefits are likely to have been underestimated. So, the committee concluded that interventions could offer good value for money in certain scenarios.

#### Other factors the committee took into account

The committee highlighted that there are multiple factors to consider once poor air quality at home has been identified. These factors range from the age of the building, to the source of the pollutant, to the air exchange rate and air-flow through the dwelling. For example, if concerns were raised about levels of NO<sup>2</sup> from a gas cooker the intervention options should include,

- installing and using an extractor fan
- replacing the gas cooker with an electric one
- opening the windows (where possible) while using gas cookers.

Each of these options may or may not be possible depending on the context and the individual building characteristics. As it may not be possible to install an extractor fan to the outside due to the nature of the building, it may not be possible for the tenant in a rented apartment to replace a gas cooker and opening a kitchen window may not be practical for either security or outdoor air pollution reasons. To this end, the committee agreed that design strategies need to ensure pollutants can be diluted by ventilation (for example, by using extractor fans or openable windows). These strategies should take into account the specific characteristics of the building (such as location, building type, orientation and aspect) that may affect ventilation provision, as well as regulations that need to be are adhered to.

While there was no evidence for the effectiveness of low-emission materials, the committee based on their collective experience agreed that these would be safer than high-emission products. The committee highlighted the importance of when low emission materials are specified, substituting building materials with low emission products, whether renovating or working on a new-build. The committee also considered it equally important that manufacturers' guidance for use of these materials and products are followed.

While there was also no evidence on some interventions, for example extractor fans, the committee agreed that cooking using a gas stove was a source a pollution from  $NO_2$  (see evidence review 1). The committee thus considered it sensible that any measure that helps reduce exposure, for example installing and using extractor fans,

to this pollutant even if there was no interventional studies to demonstrate effectiveness.

The committee highlighted that the standards for material or structural choice and requirements for effective ventilation are critical parts of the design of retrofits. In addition, the design should take into account the whole building performance and use emerging standards for domestic retrofits. The committee also agreed that it was essential to follow manufactures instructions on the appropriate installation and use of ventilation systems.

The committee noted that compliance checking and verification of how systems perform once installed is needed to ensure that regulations and standards are met. In practice this building control teams or inspector use various regulations or standards to assess compliance. For example, building regulations are generally used to enforce standards in new housing. Other local standards may be used for existing homes, for example landlord legislation or standards on repairs and property condition. The committee were aware of enforcement powers that local authorities can use to ensure compliance with regulations. (See the Planning Portal's Failure to comply with the building regulations.)

Based on their experience, the committee also agreed that if the source of an indoor air pollutant cannot be removed, design strategies need to ensure the pollutant can be diluted by ventilation. These should take into account the specific characteristics of the building (such as location, building type, orientation and aspect) that may affect ventilation provision, as well as regulations that need are adhered to.

The committee stressed that identifying the source of the pollutant will help in identifying the type of intervention to be offered. For example, changing a leaky or damaged drainpipe to prevent damp and mould or improving the ventilation system in the home to reduce humidity levels without leading to increased heat loss. This led to the committee drafting recommendations giving advice on how to deal with the source of pollutants and if this is not possible how to dilute it using ventilation.

Architects and designers are involved in the design of new builds from the early stages. The committee agreed that this puts them in an ideal position to take an overview of the whole building performance to ensure adequate ventilation, mechanical or otherwise, is included in the design and is considered in relation to other building factors such as building type and location. Design should also consider how heating and ventilation should be operated and maintained and how this will be communicated to building occupants and owners.

In addition, the committee agreed that housing and fuel costs can reduce choices for those on a low income. For example, they may not be able to afford to heat all the rooms to a constant temperature, or may only use heating intermittently (for example, when expecting a home visit). Both approaches can cause damp. The committee referred to NICE's guideline on excess winter deaths and illness and the health risks associated with cold homes for more details.

The committee acknowledged that the outcomes presented were mostly short term health outcomes and suggested that long term outcomes might be difficult to assess in randomised control studies as it requires time and resources. The committee then drafted research recommendations focussing on longer term health outcomes.

## Appendices

# Appendix A: Review protocol Review protocol for material and structural interventions

Field	Content				
Review question	What are the effective material and structural interventions to prevent or reduce the health impacts of poor indoor air quality at home?				
Type of review question	Intervention and qualitative				
Objective of the review	To identify effective material and structural interventions for preventing or reducing the health impacts of poor indoor air quality at home				
Eligibility criteria – population/disease/condi tion/issue/domain	People in all dwellings				
Eligibility criteria – interventions	Interventions to improve poor indoor air quality for example:				
	Removing sources of indoor air pollution for example installing cooker hoods, kitchen extractors				
	Using construction materials and consumer products with low volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions				
	installing air filtering systems to remove biological agents (for example, dander and dust) and particulate matter,				
	installing insulation to change the dew point (the temperature at which condensation appears) and prevent mould growth				
	Retrofitting ventilation units in existing buildings				
	Maintaining adequate moisture levels (including dehumidifiers) to prevent damp and mould				
	Material or structural interventions to reduce house dust mites				
	Making buildings more 'breathable' using vapour-permeable or hygroscopic materials				
	replacing old heating systems				
	Use of soft furnishings including flame-retardant and stain- resistant treatments				
Eligibility criteria – comparator(s)/control	Interventions compared to alternative, no repairs, no installation or do nothing				
Outcomes and	Respiratory health effects				
prioritisation	Changes in pulmonary function measured as a reduction in e.g. FEV1, PEF				
	Respiratory symptoms for example cough, wheeze, phlegm, sore throat, nasal congestion, runny nose, sneezing				
	Respiratory infection for example Pneumonia, alveolitis, bronchitis				
	COPD				
	Asthma				
	Allergic diseases for example				
	Allergic astnma				

Field	Content
	Allergic rhinoconjuctivitis Allergic rhinitis Allergic dermatitis Pregnancy related health effects for example Low birthweight, perinatal mortality (still births and deaths in the first week of life) Cardiovascular health effects. For example Ischaemic heart disease, stroke HRQOL
Eligibility criteria – study design	Studies of effectiveness and cost-effectiveness Inclusion: RCTs Cluster RCTs UK based qualitative studies Economic studies: Cost-utility (cost per QALY) Cost benefit (i.e. net benefit) Cost-effectiveness (Cost per unit of effect) Cost minimization Cost-consequence Exclusion: Systematic reviews will not be included but may be used as a source of primary studies Cross-sectional and other surveys Case control studies
Other inclusion/exclusion criteria	Inclusion: English language only Published peer-reviewed studies only Studies conducted in developed economies similar to the UK Studies conducted from 1970 onwards Exclusion: Conference abstract, letter, opinion piece, review articles
Proposed sensitivity/sub-group analysis, or meta- regression	Where evidence allows, pre-specified sub-group analysis will be conducted to include those at increased risk of poor indoor air quality: Subgroup People on low income Older people People with disabilities Pregnant women Children and young people People with conditions associated with or exacerbated by indoor air pollution, such as stroke, heart disease, allergic disease and asthma
Selection process – duplicate	A 10% random sample of abstracts will be duplicate screened as a reliability check. Any disagreement will be resolved by

Field	Content			
screening/selection/anal ysis	discussion, or if necessary, a third independent reviewer. If the initial level of agreement is below 90%, a second round of screening will be considered.			
	A 10% random sample of data extraction and critical appraisal will be checked by a second reviewer. Any disagreements will be resolved by the two reviewers, and escalated to a third reviewer if agreement cannot be reached.			
	Only 10% of the search results will be checked as this is an intervention and qualitative review and there is confidence that RCTs, controlled studies or related qualitative studies are unlikely to be missed at the sifting stage. The inclusion list will be double checked with PHAC to ensure no studies are excluded inappropriately			
Information sources –	A systematic search of relevant databases will be carried out to			
databases	identify relevant studies and evidence.			
	Appropriate limits will be applied. Database functionality will be used, where available, to exclude:			
	Animal studies			
	Editorials, letters, news items and commentaries			
	Conference abstracts and posters			
	Theses and dissertations			
	Duplicates			
	Websites will be browsed or searched to focus on relevant evidence. The bibliographies of relevant reports and findings may also be used to capture evidence.			
	The following databases will be searched:			
	MEDLINE and MEDLINE in Process (OVID) Embase (OVID)			
	Health Management Information Consortium (HMIC) (OVID) Social Policy and Practice (OVID)			
	CENTRAL (Wiley)			
	Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (Wiley)			
	DARE (Wiley)			
	Greenille (EBSCO) NHS EED (legacy database) (Wiley)			
	EconLit (OVID)			
	OpenGrey			
	Web of Science			
	The following websites will be searched:			
	Google and Google scholar (with appropriate limits and looking specifically for reports or evaluations of interventions related to indoor air quality)			

Field	Content				
Data management (software)	Where feasible data management will be undertaken using EPPI-reviewer software.				
	Pairwise meta-analyses will be performed using Cochrane Review Manager (RevMan5).				
	Where appropriate, qualitative data will be summarised using an appropriate qualitative synthesis approach, for example, narrative synthesis.				
Methods for assessing bias at outcome/study level	Standard study checklists will be used to critically appraise individual studies. For details please see section 6.2 of Developing NICE guidelines: the manual				
	For intervention studies the Cochrane Risk of Bias 2.0 tool will be used and for qualitative studies, the Cochrane qualitative checklist will be used.				
	The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (short GRADE) developed by the GRADE working group http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/ will be used to assess the quality of evidence across outcomes. Where necessary, GRADE will be modified to meet the needs of the review question.				
	GRADE-CERQUAL will be used for qualitative findings.				
Criteria for quantitative synthesis	Data from eligible studies will be extracted for inclusion in evidence tables. For details please see section 6.4 of Developing NICE guidelines: the manual				
Methods of quantitative analysis – combining studies and exploring (in)consistency	Data from eligible studies will be meta-analysed (combined) if studies are judged to be similar enough in terms of population, interventions, outcomes, study design or risk of bias.				
	Where appropriate, inconsistency will be explored by conducting subgroup analyses.				
	Where appropriate, inconsistency will be incorporated by performing random-effect analyses				
	If the studies are found to be too heterogeneous to be pooled statistically, a narrative synthesis will be conducted.				
Meta-bias assessment – publication bias, selective reporting bias	For details please see section 6.2 of Developing NICE guidelines: the manual.				
Confidence in cumulative evidence	For details please see sections 6.4 and 9.1 of Developing NICE guidelines: the manual				

## Appendix B: Literature search strategies

Please see search strategies here

# Appendix C: Public health evidence study selection



## Appendix D: Public health evidence tables

### D.1 House dust mite

Tan 1996				
Bibliographic reference	Tan B B, Weald D, Strickland I, and Friedmann P S (1996) Double-blind controlled trial of effect of house dust-mite allergen avoidance on atopic dermatitis. Lancet (London, and England) 347(8993), 15-8			
Registration	Not reported			
Study type	RCT			
Study dates	Study participants recruited betwee	en November 1993 and A	April 1994	
Objective	To determine if atopic dermatitis improves with a reduction in house dust mite allergen in the home			
Country/ Setting	UK/setting not reported in the stud	dy		
Number of participants	60			
Participant characteristics	Demographic characteristics	House dust mite avoidance	Placebo house dust mite avoidance	
	Age	Not reported	Not reported	
	Sex	Not reported	Not reported	
	Race	Not reported	Not reported	
	Homeownership	Not reported	Not reported	
	Geographic environment	Not reported	Not reported	
	Clinical factors (baseline)	Not reported	Not reported	
	HDM Sensitization, (serum IgE) mean (SE):	Not reported	Not reported	
	Comorbidity:	Not reported	Not reported	
	Atopic rhinitis	Not reported	Not reported	
	Atopic conjunctivitis	Not reported	Not reported	
	Atopic dermatitis	30 (100%)	30 (100%)	
Exposure	House dust mite			
Inclusion criteria	People with atopic dermatitis aged between 7 and 65 years			
Exclusion criteria	Pet ownership			
Intervention	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Location	Details	
	Brief Name	15	House dust mite avoidance	
	Rationale/theory/Goal		NA	

Bibliographic reference	Tan B B, Weald D, Strickland I, and Friedmann P S (1996) Double-blind controlled trial of effect of house dust-mite allergen avoidance on atopic dermatitis. Lancet (London, and England) 347(8993), 15-8			
	Materials used	15		Goretex bedcovers), benzyltannate spray and a high-filtration vacuum cleaner
	Procedures used			NA
	Provider			NA
	Method of delivery			NA
	Location			Home
	Duration			NA
	Intensity			NA
	Tailoring/adaptation			NA
	Modifications			NA
	Planned treatment fidelity			NA
	Actual treatment fidelity			NA
	Other details			NA
Comparison	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Pap	er/Location	Details
	Brief Name			Placebo house dust mite avoidance
	Rationale/theory/Goal			NA
	Materials used			Cotton covers, placebo spray (water), and a conventional domestic vacuum cleaner
	Procedures used			NA
	Provider			NA
	Method of delivery			NA
	Location	15		Home
	Duration			NA
	Intensity			NA
	Tailoring/adaptation			NA
	Modifications			NA
	Planned treatment fidelity			NA
	Actual treatment fidelity			NA
	Other details			NA
	Other details	-		NA
Follow up	6 months			
Study	Method of randomisation Not reported			
Methods	Method of allocation concealment		Trained nurse applie	ed interventions

Bibliographic reference	Tan B B, Weald D, Strickland I, and Friedmann P S (1996) Double-blind controlled trial of effect of house dust-mite allergen avoidance on atopic dermatitis. Lancet (London, and England) 347(8993), 15-8				
	Statistical method(s) used to analys data	se	Continuous outcomes assessed by analysis of covariance with initial scores and		
	Unit of allocation		Individual		
	Unit of analysis		Individual		
	Attrition		20 %		
Outcomes	Primary outcomes				
measures and effect size.	Global eczema severity using a named scale (SASSAD) Severity core – reported as mean difference (range)	-4.2 (1.7, 6.7))			
Risk of bias	Outcome	Ju	dgement	Comments	
(ROB)	Random sequence generation	Unclear		No details provided	
	Allocation concealment	Low		Individual not associated with assessment applied the interventions	
	Blinding of participants and personnel	Lo	w	Double-blinding for participants and assessors	
	Blinding of outcome assessment	Low		Double-blinding for participants and assessors	
	Incomplete outcome data	Hiç	gh	Unbalanced dropout rate 2 (6.7%) in intervention group vs 10 (33.3.%) in control group	
	Selective reporting	Low		All pre-specified outcomes reported	
	Other sources of bias	Lo	w	No concerns	
Overall ROB	Moderate				
Source of funding	National Eczema Society				
Comments	Authors concluded that the activity of atopic dermatitis can be greatly reduced by effective HDM avoidance. Methods to identify individuals who will benefit most from such measures are needed				
Additional references	Friedmann P S, and Tan B B. 1998. "Mite eliminationclinical effect on eczema". Allergy 53(48 Suppl):97-100				

#### Wright 2009

Bibliogra	Wright GR, Howieson S, McSharry C, et al. Effect of improved home ventilation
phic	on asthma control and house dust mite allergen levels. Allergy. 2009
reference	Nov;64(11):1671-80
Registrati on	NCT00148096

Bibliogra phic reference	Wright GR, Howieson S, McSharry C, et al. Effect of improved home ventilation on asthma control and house dust mite allergen levels. Allergy. 2009 Nov:64(11):1671-80					
Study type	RCT					
Study dates	Published 2009					
Objective	To determine if domestic mechanical hea avoidance measures, can improve asthm allergen,	It recovery ventilation, in addition a control of those sensitive to ho	to allergen use dust mite			
Country/ Setting	UK/home					
Number of participant s	119					
Participan t characteri	Demographic characteristics	Domestic mechanical heat recovery ventilation (MHRV)	Placebo ventilation system			
stics	Age, mean (SD)	41.6 (9.6)	42.3 (10.7)			
	% male	38.7% (not reported by groups)				
	Race					
	Caucasian	97.5% (not reported by groups)				
	Asian	2.5% (not reported by groups)				
	Homeownership Not reported in the study					
	Geographic environment Not reported in the study					
	Clinical factors (baseline)					
	Sensitization: Serum HDM IgE antibody, median (IQR)	5.7 (1.6 to 13.1)	6.1 (2.3 to 15.2)			
	Asthma severity: Asthma control score (0–6), median (IQR)	1.57 (1.18 to 2.54)	1.86 (1.14 to 2.71)			
	Baseline spirometry					
	Pre-bronchodilator FEV1 % predicted, mean (SD):	83.7 (18.0)	82.7 (17.7)			
	Post-bronchodilator FEV1 % predicted, mean (SD):	86.6 (18.1)	89.5 (15.6)			
	FVC % predicted- Pre-bronchodilator, mean (SD):	93.5 (13.6)	95.0 (15.4)			
	Mean duration of asthma, year, median (IQR):	21.0 (9.2 to 30.7)	16.0 (9.0 to 25.0)			
	Comorbidity, n:					
	Hay fever/nasal allergy	44	47			
	Eczema	15	14			
	Hypertension	5	8			
	Angina	2	3			
	Diabetes	3	2			
	Prior stroke	1	2			

Bibliogra phic reference	Wright GR, Howieson S, McSharry C, et al. Effect of improved home ventilation on asthma control and house dust mite allergen levels. Allergy. 2009 Nov;64(11):1671-80			
	Other respiratory:	0	1	
	Prior myocardial infarction:	0	1	
	Current smoker, n:	12	17	
Exposure	House dust mite			
Inclusion criteria	Aged between 16 to 60 years Had asthma for more than one year On regular inhaled corticosteroids and ha	id daily symptoms.		
Exclusion criteria	If they were likely to move house or had a	a pet that provoked their sympton	าร.	
Interventi	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Location	Details	
on	Study details extracted from the Agency f comparative effectiveness review on 'Indo Asthma 2018	or Healthcare Research and Qua oor Allergen Reduction in Manage	llity (AHRQ) ement of	
	Brief Name	-	Domestic mechanical heat recovery ventilation (MHRV)	
	Rationale/theory/Goal	-	NA	
	Materials used	-	NA	
	Procedures used	-	NA	
	Provider	-	NA	
	Method of delivery	-	NA	
	Location	-	NA	
	Duration	-	NA	
	Intensity	-	NA	
	Tailoring/adaptation	-	NA	
	Modifications	-	NA	
	Planned treatment fidelity	-	NA	
	Actual treatment fidelity	-	NA	
	Other details	-	NA	
Comparis	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Location	Details	
on	Brief Name	-	Placebo ventilation system. In the placebo arm, low- level electric motors were set to 'on' but were not connected	

Indoor air quality at home: evidence reviews for material and structural interventions FINAL January 2020

Bibliogra phic reference	Wright GR, Howieson S, McSharry C, et al. Effect of improved home ventilation on asthma control and house dust mite allergen levels. Allergy. 2009 Nov:64(11):1671-80				
Telefence			to the		
			ventilation fans		
	Rationale/theory/Goal	-	NA		
	Materials used	-	NA		
	Procedures used	-	NA		
	Provider	-	NA		
	Method of delivery	-	NA		
	Location	-	NA		
	Duration	-	NA		
	Intensity	-	NA		
	Tailoring/adaptation	-	NA		
	Modifications	-	NA		
	Planned treatment fidelity	-	NA		
	Actual treatment fidelity	-	NA		
	Other details	-	NA		
Follow up	12 months				
Study Methods	Method of randomisation	Sequential blocks of four using an automated telephone answering system			
	Method of allocation concealment	activation device concealed from patient and research team			
	Statistical method(s) used to analyse data	The main analyses were carried out with ANCOVA models adjusted for baseline severity. The analyses were firstly carried out on an intention to treat basis. The primary and secondary endpoints were repeated for the 'per protocol' set. Binary endpoints such as hospitalizations were compared by odds-ratios, the attendant 95% confidence interval and tested by Mantel- Haenszel chi-squared test.			
	Unit of allocation	Individual			
	Unit of analysis	Individual			
	Attrition	15% attrition and intent-to-treat	analysis		
Outcomes	Primary outcomes				
measures and effect size.		Domestic mechanical heat recovery ventilation (MHRV)	Placebo ventilation system		
	Asthma (asthma control questionnaires)	Adjusted mean difference betwee (95% CI): -0.25 (-0.57 to 0.08); p=not significant	een groups		
	Pulmonary physiology				

Bibliogra phic reference	Wright GR, Howieson S, McSharry C, et al. Effect of improved home ventilation on asthma control and house dust mite allergen levels. Allergy. 2009 Nov;64(11):1671-80				
	FEV1, % predicted (me	an ± SD)	Adjusted mean difference between groups (95%CI) 1.32 (-2.56 to 5.19)		
	Morning PEFR, I/min		adjusted difference between groups (95% Cl): 13.59 (-2.66 to 29.85); p=not significant		
	Evening PEFR, I/min		adjusted difference between groups (95% Cl): 24.56 (8.97 to 40.15); p=0.002; favours MHRV		
	Quality of life				
	SGRQ (mean ± SD)		Adjusted mean difference between groups (95%CI) -2.83 (-7.82 to 2.16)		
Risk of	Outcome	Judgement	Comments		
bias (ROB)	Random sequence generation	Low	Sequential blocks of four using an automated telephone answering system		
	Allocation concealment	Low			
	Blinding of participants and personnel	Low	Patients blinded		
	Blinding of outcome assessment	Low	Outcomes assessors blinded		
	Incomplete outcome data	Low	Placebo used; 15% attrition and intent-to- treat analysis		
	Selective reporting	Low	No concerns over reporting		
	Other sources of bias	Low	No concerns		
Overall ROB	Low				
Source of funding	Chief Scientist's Office of the Scottish Executive Greater Glasgow Primary Care NHS Trust				
Comment s	No				

### D.2 Particulate matter

#### Noonan 2017

Bibliographic reference	Noonan CW, Semmens EO, Smith P et.al. 2017. "Randomized Trial of Interventions to Improve Childhood Asthma in Homes with Wood-burning Stoves". Environmental health perspectives 125(9):097010.
Registration	NCT00807183
Study type	Randomised controlled study

Bibliographic reference	Noonan CW, Semmens EO, Smith P et.al. 2017. "Randomized Trial of Interventions to Improve Childhood Asthma in Homes with Wood-burning Stoves". Environmental health perspectives 125(9):097010.				
Study dates	December 2008 to Jar	nuary 2015			
Objective	To test the hypothesis air-filtration units woul measures among child	that (a) improved d result in improv dren in participati	d-technology ements, relang ng homes.	y wood-bur ative to plac	ning stoves or (b) cebo, in asthma
Country/ Setting	United States				
Number of participants	115				
Participant characteristics	Demographic characteristics	Woodstove change out (n=22)	Air filter (	n =46)	Placebo (n=46)
	Age (years) Mean (SD)	12.3(3.1)	12.7(3.3)		12.2(2.5)
	Sex (female)	10(45.5)	25(54.5)		20(43.5)
	Ethnicity				
	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0(0.0)	4(9.8)		0(0.0)
	White	16 (76.2)	32 (78.1)	)	40 (88.9)
	Other	5(23.8)	5(12.2)		5(11.1)
	Socio-economic status (education)				
	Household post- secondary education	15 (75)	29(74)		31(69)
	Building characteristics	Not reported	Not repo	rted	Not reported
	Existing condition				
	Asthma Severity	20(90.9)	41(89.1)		40(87.0)
Exposure	Particulate matter (PN	1)			
Inclusion	Children with asthr	na			
Criteria	<ul> <li>Age 6–18 years</li> </ul>				
	• Residing in a non-tobacco-smoking household that used an older-model wood stove as their primary source of heating				
Exclusion criteria	Not reported				
Intervention	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Locat	tion	Details	
	Brief Name	P1		Improving in homes stoves	childhood asthma with wood-burning
	Rationale/theory/Goa	nale/theory/Goal P2		Investigate the impact improved-technology wood- burning stoves or air-filtration units on asthma among	

Bibliographic reference	Noonan CW, Semmens EO, Smith P et.al. 2017. "Randomized Trial of Interventions to Improve Childhood Asthma in Homes with Wood-burning Stoves". Environmental health perspectives 125(9):097010.			
			children in participating	
	Materials used	P2	Woodstove change out Air filter	
	Procedures used	P2	The woodstove-intervention group received improved- technology wood burning appliances (EPA-certified woodstoves) Air-filter group received functioning air-filtration devices	
	Provider	-	Not applicable	
	Method of delivery	-	Not applicable	
	Location	P2	Intervention delivered at home	
	Duration	P2	5 years	
	Intensity	-	Not applicable	
	Tailoring/adaptation	-	Not applicable	
	Modifications	-	Not applicable	
	Planned treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable	
	Actual treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable	
	Other details	-	None	
Comparison	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Location	Details	
	Brief Name	P1	Improving childhood asthma in homes with wood-burning stoves	
	Rationale/theory/Goal	P2	Investigate the impact improved-technology wood- burning stoves or air-filtration units on asthma among children in participating homes	
	Materials used	P2	Sham air-filtration devices	
	Procedures used	-	Not applicable	
	Provider	-	Not applicable	
	Method of delivery	-	Not applicable	
	Location	-	Intervention delivered at home	
	Duration	P2	5 years	
	Intensity	-	Not applicable	
	Tailoring/adaptation	-	Not applicable	

Bibliographic reference	Noonan CW, Semmens EO, Smith P et.al. 2017. "Randomized Trial of Interventions to Improve Childhood Asthma in Homes with Wood-burning Stoves". Environmental health perspectives 125(9):097010.					
	Modifications -				Not applic	able
	Planned treatment fidelity		-		Not applic	able
	Actual treatment fidelity		-		Not applic	able
	Other details		-		None	
Follow up	12 months					
Study Methods	Method of randomisation		Not reported			
	Method of allocation concealment	on	Not reported			
	Statistical method used to analyse da	(s) ata	Linear mixed e for age and ger only for the inte	effects moo nder. Final ercept	del that incl model inclu	uded fixed effects ded random effect
	Unit of allocation		Individual			
	Unit of analysis		Individual			
	Attrition Number of particic completing the st 98		ticipants study:	Reasons for not completing the study: not reported		
Outcomes measures and	Post intervention mean changes (95% confidence interval [CI]) relative to placebo adjusted for age and gender (n= 114 participants)					
effect size.	Health outcome	Placebo Woo chan		Woodsto change c	ve out	Air filter
	Paediatric Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (PAQLQ) scores	0.29 (0.01,0.58) 0.18 (-		0.18 (-0:	33, 0.69)	-0:07 (-0:47, 0.34)
	Evening FEV1 % predicted	-3:0	(-8:7, 2.6)	2.9 (-7:3	, 13)	0.24 (-7:8, 8.3)
	Morning FEV1 % predicted	-2:6	(-8:4, 3.1)	3.6 (-6:8	, 14)	-0:71 (-8:9, 7.5)
	Evening PEF % predicted	-7:0	(-12, -1:7)	7.1 (-2:3	, 16)	2.4 (-5:0, 9.9)
	Morning PEF % predicted	-6:7	(-12, -1:4)	7.8 (-1:6	, 17)	3.4 (-4:1, 11)
Risk of bias	Outcome		Judgem	ent	Comments	
(ROB)	Random sequence generation		High		Not reported	
	Allocation concealm	nent	High		Not reported	
	Blinding of participa and personnel	nts	Unclear		Blinding was not possible for the homes receiving the wood stove intervention	
	Blinding of outcome assessment	1	Unclear		Field staff collecting health dat	responsible for exposure and a were not blinded

Bibliographic reference	Noonan CW, Semmens EO, Smith P et.al. 2017. "Randomized Trial of Interventions to Improve Childhood Asthma in Homes with Wood-burning Stoves". Environmental health perspectives 125(9):097010.				
	Incomplete outcome data	Low	14% total loss to follow up		
	Selective reporting	Low	Pre-specified outcomes reported in analysis		
	Other sources of bias	None	None		
Overall ROB	High risk				
Source of funding	Study was funded by the National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIH/NIEHS) 1R01ES016336- 01and3R01ES016336-02S1. Additional support was provided by NIGMS (1U54GM104944 and P30GM103338) and NICHD (1UG1HD090902).				
Comments					
Additional references	Noonan Curtis W, and Ward Tony J. 2012. "Asthma randomized trial of indoor wood smoke (ARTIS): rationale and methods". Contemporary clinical trials 33(5):1080-7. Ward Tony J, Semmens Erin O, Weiler Emily, Harrar Solomon, and Noonan Curtis W. 2017. "Efficacy of interventions targeting household air pollution from residential wood stoves". Journal of exposure science & environmental epidemiology 27(1):64-71.				

#### Park 2017

Bibliographic reference	Park H K, Cheng K C, Tetteh A O et.al. 2017. "Effectiveness of air purifier on health outcomes and indoor particles in homes of children with allergic diseases in Fresno, California: A pilot study". Journal of Asthma 54(4):341-346.			
Registration	Not reported			
Study type	Randomised controlled s	tudy		
Study dates	April 2015 until July 2015	5		
Objective	To examine whether the use of air purifiers reduces the levels of PM2.5 in a highly polluted city P341			
Country/ Setting	United States			
Number of participants	16			
Participant	Demographic	Active (n = 9)	Control (n = 8)	
characteristics	characteristics	n (%)	n (%)	
	Age Mean (± SEM)	10.20 (±0.98)	14.40 (±2.50)	
	Sex (male)	5 (55.5)	3 (37.5)	
	Ethnicity	Not reported	Not reported	
	Socio-economic status (education)	Not reported	Not reported	
	Building characteristics	Not reported	Not reported	
	Existing condition			
	Asthma only	3 (33.3)	5 (62.5)	
	Allergic rhinitis	3 (33.3)	1 (12.5)	

	Park H K, Cheng K C, Te	tteh A O et.al. 2017	. "Effectiveness of air purifier on		
reference	in Fresno, California: A pi	oor particles in nom lot study". Journal d	es of children with allergic diseases of Asthma 54(4):341-346.		
	Asthma with allergic rhinitis	3 (33.3)	2 (25)		
Exposure	Particulate matter (PM) 2	.5			
Inclusion	Children with asthma and	/or allergic rhinitis			
criteria	Between the ages of 6 ar	id 18 years			
Exclusion criteria	Active respiratory infection Use of systemic corticosteroids Smoking Use of air purifiers at home				
	department				
	Any other serious chronic	illnesses			
Intervention	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Location	Details		
	Brief Name	P341	Air purifier on health outcomes and indoor particles in homes of children with allergic diseases		
	Rationale/theory/Goal	P341	To examine whether the use of air purifiers reduces the levels of PM2.5 in a highly polluted city P341		
	Materials used	P341	Air purifiers with a high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filter		
	Procedures used	P342	At week 0, 2 air purifiers (model AX9000 and AX7000, Samsung, Suwon, Korea) with high-efficacy HEPA filters (>99.95% for 0.3 $\mu$ m) were placed in the living rooms and bedrooms of the active group, respectively		
	Provider	P342/346	Samsung Electronics, Ltd., Suwon, Korea		
	Method of delivery	-	Not applicable		
	Location	P342	Intervention delivered at home		
	Duration	P342	12 weeks		
	Intensity	-	Not applicable		
	Tailoring/adaptation	-	Not applicable		
	Modifications	-	Not applicable		
	Planned treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable		
	Actual treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable		
	Other details	-	None		
Comparison	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Location	Details		
	Brief Name	P341	No intervention		
	Rationale/theory/Goal	P341	-		

Bibliographic reference	Park H K, Cheng K C, Tetteh A O et.al. 2017. "Effectiveness of air purifier on health outcomes and indoor particles in homes of children with allergic diseases in Fresno, California: A pilot study". Journal of Asthma 54(4):341-346.					
	Materials used	P342		No air pu	rifiers were installed	
	Procedures used	-		Not applicable		
	Provider	-		Not applicable		
	Method of delivery	-		Not applicable		
	Location	P342		Interventi	on delivered at home	
	Duration	P342		12 weeks		
	Intensity	-		Not applicable		
	Tailoring/adaptation	-		Not applicable		
	Modifications	-		Not applicable		
	Planned treatment fidelity	-		Not applicable		
	Actual treatment fidelity	-		Not applicable		
	Other details	-		None		
Follow up	12 weeks					
Study Methods	Method of randomisation	Not reported				
	Method of allocation concealment	Not reported				
	Statistical method(s) used to analyse data	Differences between the 2 groups at 6 and 12 weeks were analysed by the Student's t-test. When not distributed normally, differences were analysed by the Mann–Whitney U-test. Differences with P values of less than .05 (2-tailed) were considered statistically significant				
	Unit of allocation Individual					
	Unit of analysis	Individual	Individual			
	Attrition	Number of participants completing the study: 17	•	Reasons for not completing the study: not reported		
Outcomes measures and	Mean ± SEM for nasal symptom scores in allergic rhinitis patients during the study periods.					
effect size.	Health outcome	Active Group			Control Group	
	Total Nasal Symptom Score	5.5 ± 0.5			8.6 ± 0.9	
Risk of bias (ROB)	Outcome	Judgement	Со	Comments		
	Random sequence generation	High	No	Not reported		
	Allocation concealment	High	No	Not reported		
	Blinding of participants and personnel	High	No	Not reported		
	Blinding of outcome assessment	High	No	t reported		

Bibliographic reference	Park H K, Cheng K C, Tetteh A O et.al. 2017. "Effectiveness of air purifier on health outcomes and indoor particles in homes of children with allergic diseases in Fresno, California: A pilot study". Journal of Asthma 54(4):341-346.					
	Incomplete outcome data	Low	1 person (5.5%) missing from final analysis			
	Selective reporting	Low	Pre-specified outcomes reported			
	Other sources of bias	None	None			
Overall ROB	High					
Source of funding	Study was supported by Health Home funding from Samsung Electronics, Ltd., Suwon, Korea and the Sean N. Parker Centre of Allergy and Asthma Research at Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA.					
Comments	Authors report that was not possible to perfectly match the control group homes to the active group homes in terms of PM levels at baseline due to differences in home design, size, and the differences in the frequency and types of indoor activities of the families living in each home					

### D.3 NO2

#### Howden-Chapman 2008

Bibliographic reference	Howden-Chapman P, Pierse N, Nicholls S et.al. 2008. "Effects of improved home heating on asthma in community dwelling children: randomised controlled trial". BMJ (Clinical research ed.) 337:a1411.						
Registration	Not reported						
Study type	Cluster randomised controlled study						
Study dates	June 2005 to winter of 2007						
Objective	To assess whether non-polluting, more effective home heating (heat pump, wood pellet burner, flued gas) has a positive effect on the health of children with asthma.						
Country/ Setting	New Zealand						
Number of participants	409 children with asthma						
Participant characteristics	Demographic characteristics	Intervention group (n = 175)	Control group (n = 174)				
		n (%)	n (%)				
	Age (years) Mean (no)	10.06 (175)	10.02 (174)				
	Sex (male)	54.29 (95)	60.34 (105)				
	Ethnicity	city					
	Maori	34.86 (61)	37.36 (65)				
Bibliographic reference	Howden-Chapman P, Pierse N, Nicholls S et.al. 2008. "Effects of improved home heating on asthma in community dwelling children: randomised controlled trial". BMJ (Clinical research ed.) 337:a1411.						
-------------------------	--	---	--	--			
	Pacific peoples	13.14 (23)	7.47 (13)				
	Other	52.00 (91)	43.68 (76)				
	Socio-economic status (education)	Not reported	Not reported				
	Building characteristics						
	Gas heating before study	55.43 (97)	59.20 (103)				
	Existing condition						
	Family history of asthma	53.71 (94)	54.02 (94)				
Exposure	NO2						
Inclusion criteria	Family lived in a study are doctor diagnosed asthma Child slept at least four ni The house contained a le electric heaters) The family intended to live The homeowner agreed t	ea and had a child aged b and symptoms in the pas ghts a week in the house ss effective form of heatin e in the house over the tw hat the household could ta	etween 6 and 12 years with t 12 months g (Unflued gas or plug-in o winter periods ake part in the study				
Exclusion criteria	Not reported						
Intervention	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Location	Details				
	Brief Name	P1	Home heating on asthma				
	Rationale/theory/Goal	P2	Investigate the impact of a heating intervention on symptoms of asthma in children in homes that had been insulated before				
	Materials used	P2	A non-polluting, more effective replacement heater (heat pump, wood pellet burner, flued gas)				
	Procedures used		Homeowners chose a replacement heater (heat pump, wood pellet burner, flued gas) for their existing heaters				
	Provider	-	Not applicable				
	Method of delivery	-	Not applicable				
	Location	P2	Intervention delivered at home				
	Duration	P2	12 months				
	Intensity	-	Not applicable				
	Tailoring/adaptation	-	Not applicable				
	Modifications	-	Not applicable				

Bibliographic reference	Howden-Chapman P, Pierse N, Nicholls S et.al. 2008. "Effects of improved home heating on asthma in community dwelling children: randomised controlled trial". BMJ (Clinical research ed.) 337:a1411.					
	Planned treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable			
	Actual treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable			
	Other details	-	None			
Comparison	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Location	Details			
	Brief Name	P1	Home heating on asthma			
	Rationale/theory/Goal	P2	Investigate the impact of a heating intervention on symptoms of asthma in children in homes that had been insulated before			
	Materials used	P3	The control group received a replacement heater at the end of the trial			
	Procedures used	-	Not applicable			
	Provider	-	Not applicable			
	Method of delivery	-	Not applicable			
	Location	-	Intervention delivered at home			
	Duration	P2	12 months			
	Intensity	-	Not applicable			
	Tailoring/adaptation	-	Not applicable			
	Modifications	-	Not applicable			
	Planned treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable			
	Actual treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable			
	Other details	-	None			
Follow up	12 months					
Study Methods	Method of randomisation	Not reported				
	Method of allocation concealment	Not reported				
	Statistical method(s) used to analyse data	The binary information (for example, dry cough at night yes or no) was analysed using both standard generalised linear models and analysis of covariance (adjusting for outcome at baseline) generalised linear models with the logistic link function				
	Unit of allocation	House				
	Unit of analysis	Individual. Intra-cluster c reported	orrelation coefficient was not			
	Attrition	Number of participants completing the study: 349	Reasons for not completing the study: Moved			

Bibliographic	Howden-Chapman P, Pierse N, Nicholls S et.al. 2008. "Effects of improved home heating on asthma in community dwelling children: randomised controlled trial".					
reference	BMJ (Clinical research ed.) 337:a1411.					
			Non-contactable			
			Child moved			
			No children with asthma			
			Heating changed			
			Bereavement			
			Unknown withdrawals			
Outcomes	Effect of heating interven	tion on parent reported he	ealth outcomes in children			
measures and effect size.	Health outcome	% with outcome in control group	Adjusted Odds ratio (95% CI)			
	Attacks of wheezing	43	0.71 (0.45 to 1.11)			
	Dry cough at night	66	0.52 (0.32 to 0.83)			
Risk of bias	Outcome	Judgement	Comments			
(ROB)	Random sequence generation	High	Not reported			
	Allocation concealment	High	Not reported			
	Blinding of participants and personnel	Unclear	Not possible to blind the participants to their allocation			
	Blinding of outcome assessment	Unclear	Not possible to blind field workers at home visits			
	Incomplete outcome data	Low	12.5 % loss to follow up in the intervention group and 16.7 % loss to follow up in the control group. Loss unlikely to affect estimate			
	Selective reporting	Low	Pre-specified outcomes reported in analysis			
	Other sources of bias	None	None			
Overall ROB	High risk					
Source of funding	Health Research Council of New Zealand, Contact Energy; Ministry for the Environment, Hutt Valley district health board, Capital and Coast district health board, Housing New Zealand, Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority, and the LPG Association					
Comments						
Additional references	Free S, Howden-Chapman P, Pierse N, Viggers H, Housing Heating, Health Study Research, and Team. 2010. "More effective home heating reduces school absences for children with asthma". Journal of epidemiology and community health 64(5):379-86.					
	Howden-Chapman P, Crane J, Matheson A, Viggers H, Cunningham M, Blakel T, O'Dea D, Cunningham C, Woodward A, Saville-Smith K, Baker M, and Waipara N (2005) Retrofitting houses with insulation to reduce health inequaliti Aims and methods of a clustered, randomised community-based trial. Social Science and Medicine 61(12), 2600-2610					

### D.4 Mould

Burr 2007						
	Burr M L, Matthews I P, Arthur R A, Watson H L, Gregory C J, Dunstan F D. J,					
Bibliographic	and Palmer S R. 2007. "	Effects on patients v	with asthma o	f eradicating visible		
reference	indoor mould: a randomi	sed controlled trial".	Thorax 62(9	):767-72.		
Registration	Not reported					
Study type	Cluster randomised cont	rolled study				
Study dates	Not reported					
Objective	To investigate whether the patients with asthma led	ne eradication of vis to any improvemen	ible mould fro t in their conc	om the houses of lition		
Country/ Setting	United Kingdom					
Number of participants	232 patients, 164 houses	5				
Participant characteristics	Demographic characteristics	Intervention group houses; n = 115)	(81	Control group (83 houses, n = 117)		
		n (%)		n (%)		
	Age (years) Mean (SD)	26.4 (16.2)		27.1 (16.0)		
	Sex (male)	44 (38.2)		49 (41.8))		
	Ethnicity	Not reported		Not reported		
	Socio-economic status (education)	Not reported		Not reported		
	Building characteristics			Not reported		
	Existing condition					
	Wheeze in last 4 weeks	85 (74)		95 (81)		
	Rhinitis	74 (64)		71 (61)		
Exposure	Mould					
Inclusion criteria	Symptoms of asthma in t	the last 12 months a	and indoor mo	buld		
Exclusion criteria	Not reported					
Intervention	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Location	Details			
	Brief Name	P767	Visible indo	or mould and asthma		
	Rationale/theory/Goal	P767	Eradicating visible indoor and its effect on people asthma			
	Materials used	P768	Positive ventilation fan Mould removal Fungal application			
	Procedures used	P768	Installation of (Drimaster) 2-step moul 1) application preparation containing of	of positive ventilation fan d removal process: on of aqueous (RLT Bactdet) letergent and fungicide		

Indoor air quality at home: evidence reviews for material and structural interventions FINAL January 2020

Bibliographic	Burr M L, Matthews I P, Arthur R A, Watson H L, Gregory C J, Dunstan F D. J, and Palmer S R. 2007. "Effects on patients with asthma of eradicating visible					
reference	indoor mould: a randomised controlled trial". Thorax 62(9):767-72.					
			<ul> <li>(sodium dichlorophen) to remove mould from surfaces;</li> <li>2) application of surface-penetrating aqueous preparation (RLT Halophen) containing fungicide (dialkyl dimethylammonium chloride)</li> </ul>			
	Provider	-	Householders			
	Method of delivery	-	Not applicable			
	Location	P768	Intervention delivered at home			
	Duration	P768	12 months			
	Intensity	-	Not applicable			
	Tailoring/adaptation	-	Not applicable			
	Modifications	-	Not applicable			
	Planned treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable			
	Actual treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable			
	Other details	-	None			
Comparison	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Location	Details			
	Brief Name	P767	Visible indoor mould and asthma			
	Rationale/theory/Goal	P767	Eradicating visible indoor and its effect on people asthma			
	Materials used	P768	Control group was offered an anti mould kit 1 year later			
	Procedures used	-	Not applicable			
	Provider	-	Not applicable			
	Method of delivery	-	Not applicable			
	Location	-	Intervention delivered at home			
	Duration	P768	12 months			
	Intensity	-	Not applicable			
	Tailoring/adaptation	-	Not applicable			
	Modifications	-	Not applicable			
	Planned treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable			
	Actual treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable			
	Other details	-	None			
Follow up	12 months					
Study Methods	Method of randomisation	Randomisation stratified according to the built form of the houses				

Bibliographic reference	Burr M L, Matthews I P, Arthur R A, Watson H L, Gregory C J, Dunstan F D. J, and Palmer S R. 2007. "Effects on patients with asthma of eradicating visible indoor mould: a randomised controlled trial". Thorax 62(9):767-72.						
	Method of allocation concealment	Serially numbered sealed envelopes					
	Statistical method(s) used to analyse data	I(s) A multilevel multinomial model, with subjects nested households to allow for the cluster sampling, was fitte using MLwiN Version 2.01 Households					
	Unit of allocation						
	Unit of analysis	Individual					
	Attrition	Number of pa completing th	articipants ne study: 211	Reasons for not completing the study: Not reported.			
Outcomes	Changes in variability of	peak expirator	y flow rate (PEFR)	) at 12 months			
measures and		Intervention	Control	Difference (95% CI)			
effect size.		Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)				
	CV of morning PEFR	-1.62 (6.47)	-2.08 (5.96)	0.46 (-1.58, 2.50)			
	CV of evening PEFR	-1.30 (6.04)	-2.72 (6.30)	1.42 (-0.58 to 3.43)			
Risk of bias	Outcome	Judgement	Comments				
(ROB)	Random sequence generation	High	Not reported				
	Allocation concealment	Low	Serially numbered sealed envelopes				
	Blinding of participants and personnel	Unclear	Not possible to blind the participants to their allocation in the trial				
	Blinding of outcome assessment	High	Not reported				
	Incomplete outcome data	High	19% loss to follow up in the intervention group and 30% loss to follow up in the control group. Loss to follow up likely to affect estimate.				
	Selective reporting	Low	Pre-specified outcomes reported in analysis				
	Other sources of bias	None	None				
Overall ROB	High risk						
Source of funding	Funding was received from Research Council (grant and Development (grant	om Asthma UK number G990 number S01/0	(grant number 01 0679) and the Wel 01)	/025), the Medical sh Office of Research			
Comments	None						

#### Howden-Chapman 2007

Bibliographic reference	Howden-Chapman P, Matheson A, Crane J et.al. 2007. Effect of insulating existing houses on health inequality: cluster randomised study in the community. BMJ, doi:10.1136/bmj.39070.573032.80
Registration	Not reported

	Howden-Chapman P. Matheson A. Crane J et al. 2007. Effect of insulating					
Bibliographic	existing houses on health inequality: cluster randomised study in the community.					
reference	BMJ, doi:10.1136/bmj.39070.573032.80					
Study type	Cluster randomised contr	olled study				
Study dates	2001 to 2002					
Objective	To assess whether retrofitting houses with insulation increased the indoor temperature and lowered the relative humidity, energy consumption and mould growth in the houses, as well as improved the health and well-being of the occupants					
Country/ Setting	New Zealand					
Number of participants	1350 households (4407 p	people)				
Participant characteristics	Demographic characteristics	Intervention group 2262)	Control group (n = 2145)			
		n (%)		n (%)		
	Age (years)					
	0-4	294/2262 (13)		248/2145 (12)		
	5-14	565/2262 (25)		522/2145 (24)		
	15-24	230/2262 (10)		236/2145 (11)		
	25-44	594/2262 (26)		590/2145 (28)		
	45-64	391/2262 (17)		362/2145 (17)		
	≥65	188/2262 (8)		187/2145 (9)		
	Sex (female)	1185/2262 (52)		1112/2145 (52)		
	Ethnicity					
	Maori	1106/2196 (50)		1001/2109 (48)		
	Pacific peoples	501/2196 (23)		578/2109 (27)		
	Other	877/2196 (40)		826/2109 (39)		
	Socio-economic status (education)	Not reported		Not reported		
	Building characteristics	Not reported		Not reported		
	Existing condition					
	Health rated fair or poor	445/2243 (20)		437/2131 (21)		
Exposure	Mould growth					
Inclusion	House had to be uninsula	ated				
criteria	At least one person in each household with some respiratory disease, most commonly asthma, or chronic bronchitis and emphysema with preference being given to households with severe symptoms Households had to be planning to stay in their house for the next two winters					
Exclusion criteria	Not reported					
Intervention	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Locatio n	Details			
	Brief Name	P1	Effect c health i	f insulating existing houses on nequality		

Bibliographic reference	Howden-Chapman P, Matheson A, Crane J et.al. 2007. Effect of insulating existing houses on health inequality: cluster randomised study in the community. BMJ, doi:10.1136/bmj.39070.573032.80				
	Rationale/theory/Goal	P1	To determine whether insulating existing houses increases indoor temperatures and improves occupants' health and wellbeing.		
	Materials used	P3	Retrofitting insulation package consisting of insulation in the ceiling, draught-stopping, sisalated paper (insulated foil) and a polyethylene covering		
	Procedures used	P3	Installing ceiling insulation, draught stopping around windows and doors, and fitting sisalated paper beneath floor joists and a polythene moisture barrier on the ground beneath the house		
	Provider	-	Not reported		
	Method of delivery	-	Not applicable		
	Location	P3	Intervention delivered at home		
	Duration	P3	12 months		
	Intensity	-	Not applicable		
	Tailoring/adaptation	-	Not applicable		
	Modifications	-	Not applicable		
	Planned treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable		
	Actual treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable		
	Other details	-	None		
Comparison	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Locatio n	Details		
	Brief Name	P1	Effect of insulating existing houses on health inequality		
	Rationale/theory/Goal	P1	To determine whether insulating existing houses increases indoor temperatures and improves occupants' health and wellbeing.		
	Materials used	P3	Households in the control group were insulated for equity at the end of the study after all data had been collected		
	Procedures used	-	Not applicable		
	Provider	-	Not applicable		
	Method of delivery	-	Not applicable		
	Location	P3	Intervention delivered at home		
	Duration	P3	12 months		
	Intensity	-	Not applicable		
	Tailoring/adaptation	-	Not applicable		

Bibliographic reference	Howden-Chapman P, Matheson A, Crane J et.al. 2007. Effect of insulating existing houses on health inequality: cluster randomised study in the community. BMJ, doi:10.1136/bmj.39070.573032.80					
	Modifications	-	Not app	olica	able	
	Planned treatment fidelity	-	Not app	olica	able	
	Actual treatment fidelity	-	Not app	olica	able	
	Other details	-	None			
Follow up	24 months					
Study Methods	Method of randomisation	Not reported				
	Method of allocation concealment	Not reported				
	Statistical method(s) used to analyse data	Data analysed on an intention-to-treat basis. The analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) conducted controlling for the clustering of individuals within households and households within regions. Authors adjusted variables for age, sex, region, and baseline values				
	Unit of allocation	Houses				
	Unit of analysis	Individual. Intra-cluster correlation coefficient was not reported				
	Attrition	Number of participants completing the study: 1128 households (3312 people)		cipants Reasons for not completing study: the study: s Moved Health reasons Unknown		
Outcomes	Health outcomes in trial of insulating houses after intervention					
measures and	Health outcome	Intervention group			Control group	
enect size.	Wheezing in past 3 months (participants with data for both years)	412 (1409)		544 (1366)		
Risk of bias	Outcome	Judgement	Commer	nts		
(ROB)	Random sequence generation	High	Not repo	rted		
	Allocation concealment	High	Not repor	rted		
	Blinding of participants and personnel	Unclear	Households knew their houses had been insulated		knew their houses had ed	
	Blinding of outcome assessment	Low	Interviewers and the researchers did not know which households had been assigned to each group		and the researchers did ich households had been each group	
	Incomplete outcome data	Low	25% tota	l los	ss to follow up	
	Selective reporting	Low	Pre-specified outcomes reported in analysis		d outcomes reported in	
	Other sources of bias	None	None			
Overall ROB	High risk					

Bibliographic reference	Howden-Chapman P, Matheson A, Crane J et.al. 2007. Effect of insulating existing houses on health inequality: cluster randomised study in the community. BMJ, doi:10.1136/bmj.39070.573032.80
Source of funding	The Health Research Council of New Zealand, the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority, the Ministry of Health, Solid Energy, Orion, Christchurch City Council, Environment Canterbury, Hutt Mana Community Trust, MARIA, Eastern Bay of Plenty Energy Trust, Wellington City Council, and Housing New Zealand Corporation.
Comments	None

### D.5 CO2

#### Kovesi 2009

Bibliographic reference	Kovesi T, Zaloum C, Stocco C, Fugler D, Dales R E, Ni A, Barrowman N, Gilbert N L, and Miller J D. 2009. "Heat recovery ventilators prevent respiratory disorders in Inuit children". Indoor air 19(6):489-99.					
Registration	Not reported					
Study type	Randomised controlled st	tudy				
Study dates	October 2006 and March	2007				
Objective	To evaluate the effect of health of young Inuit child	Heat recovery ventilators Iren in Qikiqtaaluk Region	(HRVs) on the respiratory			
Country/ Setting	Canada					
Number of participants	68 homes					
Participant characteristics	Demographic characteristics	Active heat recovery ventilator	Placebo heat recovery ventilator			
		n (%)	n (%)			
	Age (months) Mean (SD)	30.5 (14.8)	22.4 (15.1)			
	Sex (male)	16/26 (61.5)	16/25 (64.0)			
	Ethnicity	Not reported	Not reported			
	Socio-economic status (education)	Not reported	Not reported			
	Building characteristics					
	Detached	19/25 (76.0)	18/23 (78.3)			
	Duplex	6/25 (24)	5/23 (21.7)			
	Type of heating					
	Forced air	18/25 (72.0)	20/23 (87.0)			

Bibliographic reference	Kovesi T, Zaloum C, Stoc N L, and Miller J D. 2009. in Inuit children". Indoor a	co C, Fugler D, E "Heat recovery v ir 19(6):489-99.	Dales R E, Ni A, Barrowman N, Gilbert ventilators prevent respiratory disorders						
	Radiator heat	7/25 (28.0)	3/23 (13.0)						
	Existing condition								
	Reported wheeze with colds prior to study	12/26 (46.2)	7/25 (28.0)						
Exposure	CO2								
Inclusion criteria	Infants and children below Communities with a high p systems, rather that electr	v 6 years of age proportion of hous ic baseboard or he	ses heated using ducted heating ot water radiator systems						
Exclusion criteria	Not reported								
Intervention	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Locatio n	Details						
	Brief Name	P489	Heat recovery ventilators in preventing respiratory disorders in children						
	Rationale/theory/Goal	P490	To evaluate the effect of Heat recovery ventilators (HRVs) on the respiratory health of young children						
	Materials used	P490	Active heat recovery ventilators						
	Procedures used	P490	Active HRVs were programmed by Venmar Ventilation Inc. to provide ventilation rate of 25–30 l/s						
	Provider	P490	Venmar Constructo 1.0 heat recovery ventilators (Venmar Ventilation Inc., Drummondville, QC, Canada)						
	Method of delivery	-	Not applicable						
	Location	P490	Intervention delivered at home						
	Duration	P489	6 months						
	Intensity	-	Not applicable						
	Tailoring/adaptation	-	Not applicable						
	Modifications	-	Not applicable						
	Planned treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable						
	Actual treatment fidelity	-	Not applicable						
	Other details	-	None						
Comparison	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Locatio n	Details						
	Brief Name	P489	Heat recovery ventilators prevent respiratory disorders in children						
	Rationale/theory/Goal	P490	To evaluate the effect of Heat recovery ventilators (HRVs) on the respiratory health of young children						
	Materials used	P490	Non-active (placebo) heat recovery ventilators						

Bibliographic reference	Kovesi T, Zaloum C N L, and Miller J D. in Inuit children". Inc	, Stoo 2009. door a	cco C, Fugler D, . "Heat recovery air 19(6):489-99.	Dales R E ventilator	E, Ni A, Bar s prevent re	rowman N, Gilbert espiratory disorders		
	Procedures used		P490	Placebo circulat to incre outside	o units were e air within ase the sup air to the h	e configured to the house, but not oply of fresh, nouse.		
	Provider		P490	Venma ventilat Drumm	r Construct ors (Venma ondville, Q	o 1.0 heat recovery ar Ventilation Inc., C, Canada)		
	Method of delivery		-	Not app	olicable			
	Location		P490	Interve	ntion delive	red at home		
	Duration		P489	6 mont	6 months			
	Intensity		-	Not app	olicable			
	Tailoring/adaptation		-	Not ap	olicable			
	Modifications	-	Not ap	olicable				
	Planned treatment fidelity	-	Not app	olicable				
	Actual treatment fid	elity	-	Not ap	olicable			
	Other details		-	None				
Follow up	6 months							
Study Methods	Method of randomisation	Random numb	ers table					
	Method of allocation concealment	Allocation cond out randomisat	cealment v tion off-site	vas achieve e	ed by carrying			
	Statistical method(s used to analyse dat	The odds of reporting symptoms of wheezing, cough, and upper respiratory tract infection in each group were analysed over time using a logistic marginal model with generalized estimating equations using an exchangeable working correlation matrix						
	Unit of allocation		Houses					
	Unit of analysis		Children					
	Attrition		Number of par completing the	ticipants study:	Reasons the study:	for not completing		
			not reported		6 houses River) 3 families 3 families & dismant HRV 4 children 1 HRV mo	not powered (Clyde withdrew consent withdrew consent tled or removed moved/adopted		
Outcomes measures and	Odds ratios (OR) fo placebo heat recove	r rhini ery ve	tis and wheezing ntilators	g for childi	ren in hous	es with active or		
effect size.	Health outcome	HR∖	/ (OR)	Placebo	(OR)	OR HRV/placebo (95%Cl)		
	Wheezing	0.00		1.00		0.00 (0.0074, 0.36)		

Bibliographic reference	Kovesi T, Zaloum C, Stoo N L, and Miller J D. 2009 in Inuit children". Indoor a	Kovesi T, Zaloum C, Stocco C, Fugler D, Dales R E, Ni A, Barrowman N, Gilbert N L, and Miller J D. 2009. "Heat recovery ventilators prevent respiratory disorders in Inuit children". Indoor air 19(6):489-99.									
	Rhinitis 1.00	)	1.67	0.60 (0.083, 3.86)							
Risk of bias	Outcome	Judgement	Comments								
(ROB)	Random sequence generation	Low	Random numbers table								
	Allocation concealment	Low	Achieved by carrying out randomisation off-site								
	Blinding of participants and personnel	Low	Unit installation nor which units were active or placebos, were relayed by th study engineers to the occupants during the study								
	Blinding of outcome assessment	Low	Unit installation nor which units were active or placebos, were relayed by th study engineers to the study personn or research assistants during the study								
	Incomplete outcome data	Low	51 houses included in the intention-to treat analysis								
	Selective reporting	High	Symptoms were monitored for 6 months but authors only reported significant results in month 1 and 4								
	Other sources of bias	None	None								
Overall ROB	Low										
Source of funding	Venmar Ventilation Inc. p provided technical expert purchased the parts and Program of Energy Rese Canada Mortgage and He	rovided the HR\ ise to our engin units and paid a arch and Develo ousing Corporat	Vs at the cost of man eers on a pro bono b Il shipping costs usin opment (Natural Reso ion	ufacture and asis. The project ig funding from the ources Canada) and							
Comments	None										

#### Pet dander

Francis 2003	
Bibliographic reference	Francis H, Fletcher G, Anthony C, et al. Clinical effects of air filters in homes of asthmatic adults sensitized and exposed to pet allergens. Clin Exp Allergy 2003 ;33(1):101-5.
Registration	Not reported
Study type	RCT
Study dates	Not reported

Bibliographic reference	Francis H, Fletcher G, Anthony C, asthmatic adults sensitized and ex ;33(1):101-5.	et al. Clinical effects of air t posed to pet allergens. Clir	filters in homes of h Exp Allergy 2003				
Objective	To assess the effect of using air clo over HEPA vacuum cleaners alone	eaners in addition to HEPA of asthma outcomes.	vacuum cleaners				
Country/ Setting	UK/home						
Number of participants	30						
Participant characteristics	Demographic characteristics	HEPA air cleaner and HEPA vacuum	HEPA vacuum alone				
	Age, mean (95% CI):	36.8 (29.3 to 44.3)	41.6 (34.4 to 48.9)				
	% Male:	23.2% (not reported by g	oups)				
	Race:	Not reported in the study					
	Homeownership:	Not reported in the study					
	Geographic environment:	Not reported in the study					
	Clinical factors						
	Sensitization: (skin prick test positive):						
	Can f 1:	N=29/30					
	Fel d 1: N=29/30						
	FEV1 % predicted, mean (95% CI):	cted, mean (95% 87.3 (80.3 to 94.2)					
	PC20, geometric mean (95% CI):	0.19 (0.07 to 0.56)	0.23 (0.08 to 0.68)				
	Current smoker, n:	1	3				
	Atopy						
	Alternaria:	N=25/30					
	HDM	N=30/30					
	Grass pollen:	N=30/30					
Exposure	Pet dander						
Inclusion criteria	<ul><li>Adults with asthma between 18 a</li><li>Own a dog or cat against medica</li></ul>	and 65 years of age, al advice					
	<ul> <li>Have a positive skin prick test (w control value) to the relevant anim</li> </ul>	real of 3mm or more after c mal.	orrection or negative				
Exclusion criteria	Not reported						
Intervention	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Location	Details				
	Study details extracted from the Ag (AHRQ) comparative effectiveness Management of Asthma 2018	gency for Healthcare Resea review on 'Indoor Allerger	arch and Quality Reduction in				
	Brief Name	-	HEPA air cleaner and HEPA vacuum				
	Rationale/theory/Goal	-					
	Materials used	-	Air cleaners were placed in living				

Bibliographic reference	Francis H, Fletcher G, Anthony C, asthmatic adults sensitized and ex ;33(1):101-5.	Francis H, Fletcher G, Anthony C, et al. Clinical effects of air filters in homes of asthmatic adults sensitized and exposed to pet allergens. Clin Exp Allergy 2003 ;33(1):101-5.							
			rooms and bedrooms, and participants were instructed to vacuum carpets at least twice per week						
	Procedures used	-	NA						
	Provider	-	NA						
	Method of delivery	-	NA						
	Location	-	NA						
	Duration	-	NA						
	Intensity	-	NA						
	Tailoring/adaptation	-	NA						
	Modifications	-	NA						
	Planned treatment fidelity	-	NA						
	Actual treatment fidelity	-	NA						
	Other details	-	NA						
Comparison	TIDieR Checklist criteria	Paper/Location	Details						
	Brief Name	-	HEPA vacuum alone						
	Rationale/theory/Goal	-	NA						
	Materials used	-	NA						
	Procedures used	-	NA						
	Provider	-	NA						
	Method of delivery	-	NA						
	Location	-	NA						
	Duration	-	NA						
	Intensity	-	NA						
	Tailoring/adaptation	-	NA						
	Modifications	-	NA						
	Planned treatment fidelity	-	NA						
	Actual treatment fidelity	-	NA						
	Other details	-	NA						
Follow up	12 months								
Study	Method of randomisation	Not reported							
Methods	Method of allocation concealment	Not reported							
	Statistical method(s) used to analyse data	Improvement in asthma outcome was compared using X <sup>2</sup> test							
	Unit of allocation	Individual							
	Unit of analysis	Individual							
	Attrition	0%							

Bibliographic reference	Francis H, Fletcher G, Anthony C, et al. Clinical effects of air filters in homes of asthmatic adults sensitized and exposed to pet allergens. Clin Exp Allergy 2003 ;33(1):101-5.								
Outcomes	Primary outcomes								
measures and effect size.		HEPA air cleaner and HEPA vacuum	HEPA vacuum alone						
	FEV1, L, mean (SD) at 12 months:	2.84 (0.87) N = 15	2.59 (0.89) N = 15						
Risk of bias	Outcome	Judgement	Comments						
(ROB)	Random sequence generation	Unclear	Insufficient description of randomization;						
	Allocation concealment	Unclear	Insufficient description of allocation concealment						
	Blinding of participants and personnel	High	Patients not blinded;						
	Blinding of outcome assessment	Low	-						
	Incomplete outcome data	Low	All patients completed follow-up						
	Selective reporting	Low	-						
	Other sources of bias	Low							
Overall ROB	Low								
Source of funding	Not reported								
Comments	No								

## **Appendix E: Forest plots**

No forest plots were created for this evidence review

53

## **Appendix F:GRADE profiles**

#### F.1 House dust mite

			Quality asses	sment			No of participants			Absolute	
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerati ons	intervention	Control	Relative effect (95% Cl)	effect	Quality
Atopic d	ermatitis (cor	tinuous) (Bet	ter indicated by I	lower values) – r	nattress and pillo	ow cover					
Tan 1996	randomised trials	serious risk of bias <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency <sup>2</sup>	no serious indirectness <sup>3</sup>	no serious imprecision <sup>4</sup>	none	28	20		MD 4.2 lower (6.7 to 1.7 lower)	MODERATE
Quality o	uality of life (follow-up 12 months; Better indicated by lower values) – HMRV										
Wright 2009	randomised trials	no serious risk of bias⁵	no serious inconsistency <sup>6</sup>	no serious indirectness <sup>3</sup>	very serious imprecision <sup>8</sup>	none	60	59		MD 2.83 lower (7.82 lower to 2.16 higher)	LOW
Asthma	control quest	ionnaire (ACQ	) (follow-up 12 n	nonths; Better in	dicated by lower	values) – Hl	MRV				
Wright 2009	randomised trials	no serious risk of bias⁵	no serious inconsistency²	no serious indirectness <sup>6</sup>	serious imprecision <sup>7</sup>	none	60	59		MD 0.25 lower (0.57 lower to 0.08 higher)	MODERATE
Respirat	ory health eff	ect for examp	le symptoms, pu	Imonary physiol	ogy (follow-up 1	2 months; B	etter indicated	l by higher v	values) – HMRV	1	
Wright 2009	randomised trials	no serious risk of bias⁵	no serious inconsistency <sup>2</sup>	no serious indirectness <sup>6</sup>	very serious imprecision <sup>8</sup>	none	60	59		MD 1.32 higher (2.56 lower to 5.19 higher)	LOW

Indoor air quality at home: evidence reviews for material and structural interventions FINAL January 2020

<sup>1</sup> Downgraded once due to concerns over unequal attrition rate between groups (6.7% vs 33.3%)

<sup>2</sup> Not applicable as a single study

<sup>3</sup> Not downgraded as study met eligibility criteria as per protocol

<sup>4</sup>Not downgraded as the lower and upper confidence intervals excludes the default MID effect size of 0.5.

<sup>5</sup> Not downgraded as study was judged to be of low risk of bias

<sup>6</sup> Not applicable as a single study

<sup>7</sup> Downgraded once as the lower confidence interval crosses the effect size of 0.5

<sup>8</sup> Downgraded twice as the lower and upper confidence intervals crosses the effect size of 0.5

#### F.2 Particulate matter

	Quality assessment							cipants	Relative Effect	Absolute	Quality	
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Intervention	Control	(95% CI)	effect	Quality	
Quality	Quality of life (follow-up 12 months; Better indicated by lower values) – improved technology wood burning stove											
Noonan 2017	randomised trials	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency <sup>2</sup>	no serious indirectness <sup>3</sup>	very serious <sup>4</sup>	none	22	23	-	MD 0.18 lower (0.33 lower to 0.69 higher)	VERY LOW	
Quality	of life (follov	v-up 12 m	nonths; Better ir	ndicated by lov	ver values) –	air filtration devi	се					
Noonan 2017	randomised trials	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency <sup>2</sup>	no serious indirectness <sup>3</sup>	Serious⁵	none	46	23	-	MD 0.07 lower (0.47 lower to 0.34 higher)	LOW	
Respirat technolo	tory health e	effect for urning sto	example sympto	oms, pulmona	ry physiology;	(follow-up 12 m	onths; Bette	r indicat	ed by higher valu	ies) – impro	ved	

55

			Quality as	sessment			No of participants		Relative Effect	Absolute	Quality
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Intervention	Control	(95% CI)	effect	Quanty
Noonan 2017	randomised trials	serious <sup>6</sup>	no serious inconsistency²	no serious indirectness <sup>3</sup>	serious imprecision <sup>7</sup>	none	22	23	-	MD 3.6 higher (6.8 lower to 14 higher)	LOW
Respirat filtration	espiratory health effect for example symptoms, pulmonary physiology; (follow-up 12 months; Better indicated by higher values) – functioning air										
Noonan 2017	randomised trials	very serious <sup>6</sup>	no serious inconsistency²	no serious indirectness <sup>3</sup>	serious imprecision <sup>7</sup>	none	46	23	-	MD 0.71 lower (8.9 lower to 7.5 higher)	VERY LOW
Respirat	ory health e	ffect for	example sympto	oms, pulmonai	y physiology;	(follow-up 12 w	eeks; Better	indicate	d by higher value	s) – HEPA fi	ilter
Park 2017	randomised trials	serious <sup>6</sup>	no serious inconsistency <sup>2</sup>	no serious indirectness <sup>3</sup>	no serious imprecision <sup>8</sup>	none	9	8	-	MD 3.10 lower (5.12 to1.08 lower)	MODERATE

<sup>1</sup> Downgraded once for lack of randomisation and allocation concealment

<sup>2</sup> Not applicable as a single study

<sup>3</sup> Not downgraded as study met eligibility criteria as per protocol

<sup>4</sup> Downgraded twice as the upper and lower confidence interval includes 0.32 (calculated from 0.5 SD of the control group)

<sup>5</sup> Downgraded once as the lower confidence interval includes calculated MID for this outcome measure 0.32 (calculated from 0.5 SD of the control group)

<sup>6</sup> Downgraded once for lack of randomisation, allocation concealment. Due to the type of intervention, the committee did not consider blinding to be of importance)

56

<sup>7</sup> Downgraded once as the upper confidence interval includes 9.49 (calculated from 0.5 SD of the control group) <sup>8</sup> Not downgraded as the upper and lower confidence interval does not include1.27 (calculated from 0.5 SD of the control group)

#### F.3 Gases (NO2, CO2)

	Quality assessment								Polativo Effoct	Absolute		
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other consideration s	Interventio n	Control	(95% CI)	effect	Quality	
Respiratory	spiratory health effect for example symptoms, pulmonary physiology; (follow-up mean 12 months) – replacement heater											
Howden- chapman 2008	randomised trials	serious¹	no serious inconsistency <sup>2</sup>	no serious indirectness <sup>3</sup>	serious imprecision <sup>7</sup>	none	175	174	OR 0.71 (0.45 to 1.11)	Number of events not reported	LOW	
Respiratory	health effect	for example	symptoms, pulme	onary physiolog	y; (follow-up m	ean 6 months) –	mechanica	l heat rec	overy ventilator			
Kovesi 2009	randomised trials	no serious risk of bias⁵	no serious inconsistency <sup>2</sup>	no serious indirectness <sup>3</sup>	no serious imprecision <sup>4</sup>	none	31	37	OR 0.00 (0.0074 to 0.36)	Number of events not reported	HIGH	
Rhinitis (foll	ow-up 6 mon	ths) – heat r	ecovery ventilator	ſ								
Kovesi 2009	randomised trials	no serious risk of bias⁵	no serious inconsistency²	no serious indirectness <sup>3</sup>	very serious imprecision <sup>6</sup>	none	31	37	OR 0.06 (0.083 to 3.86)	Number of events not reported	LOW	

<sup>1</sup> Downgraded once for lack of detail on randomisation and allocation concealment

<sup>2</sup> Not applicable as a single study

<sup>3</sup> Not downgraded as study met eligibility criteria as per protocol

<sup>4</sup> Not downgraded as confidence interval excludes appreciable harm and benefit

<sup>5</sup> Not downgraded as study was judged to be of low risk of bias

<sup>6</sup> Downgraded twice as confidence interval includes appreciable benefit (0.80) and harm (1.25)

<sup>7</sup> Downgraded once as confidence interval crosses line of no effect and includes appreciable benefit (0.80)

#### F.4 Mould

Quality assessment					No of participants		Relative	Absolute effect	Quality		
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Intervention	Control	(95 % CI)		Quanty
Respirato	ry health eff	ect (follo	w-up 12 months	s; Better indic	ated by lowe	r values) – posit	ive ventilatio	on fan, m	nould and fui	ngal removal	
Burr 2007	randomised trials	serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency <sup>2</sup>	no serious indirectness <sup>3</sup>	no serious imprecision <sup>4</sup>	none	81	83	-	MD 0.46 higher (1.58 lower to 2.50 higher)	MODERATE
Respirato	ry health eff	ect (follo	w-up 24 months	s) – retrofitting	g insulation p	ack	•			•	
Howden- Chapman 2007	randomised trials	serious⁵	no serious inconsistency <sup>2</sup>	no serious indirectness <sup>3</sup>	no serious imprecision <sup>6</sup>	none	2775	544	OR 0.62 (0.53 to 0.73)	107 fewer per 1000 (from 72 fewer to 139 fewer)	MODERATE

<sup>1</sup> Downgraded once for lack of randomisation. Due to the type of intervention, the committee did not consider blinding to be of importance

<sup>2</sup> Not applicable as a single study

<sup>3</sup> Not downgraded as study met eligibility criteria as per protocol

<sup>4</sup> Not downgraded as the lower and upper confidence interval crosses does not include 3.05 in either direction (calculated from 0.5 SD of the control group)

<sup>5</sup> Downgraded once for lack of randomisation. Due to the type of intervention, the committee did not consider blinding to be of importance

<sup>6</sup> Not downgraded as confidence interval excludes appreciable benefit (0.80) and harm (1.25)

#### F.5 Pet dander

Quality assessment	No of participants	Relative Effect	Absolute effect	Quality
--------------------	--------------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------

58

No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Intervention	Control	(95% CI)		
Respira	tory health	effect (f	ollow-up 12 mo	onths) (Better	indicated by	y higher values)	– HEPA clea	ner and	l vacuum		
Francis 2003	randomised trials	no serious risk of bias <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency <sup>2</sup>	no serious indirectness <sup>3</sup>	serious <sup>4</sup>	none	15	15	-	MD 0.25 higher (0.38 lower to 0.88 higher)	MODERATE

59

<sup>1</sup> Not downgraded as study was judged to be of low risk of bias
 <sup>2</sup> Not applicable as a single study
 <sup>3</sup> Not downgraded as study met eligibility criteria as per protocol
 <sup>4</sup> Downgraded as the upper confidence interval crosses includes 0.45 (calculated from 0.5 SD of the control group)

# Appendix G: Economic evidence study selection

# Appendix H: Health economic evidence tables

# Appendix I: Health economic evidence profiles

## Appendix J:Health economic analysis

## **Appendix K: Excluded studies**

### K.1 Public health studies

Bibliography	Reason for exclusion
Aas K. 1971. "Hyposensitization in house dust allergy asthma. A double-blind controlled study with evaluation of the effect on bronchial sensitivity to house dust". Acta paediatrica scandinavica 60(3):264-268.	Study not concerned with material and structural interventions but with hyposensitisation injection treatment
Abbott J, Cameron J, and Taylor B. 1981. "House dust mite counts in different types of mattresses, sheepskins and carpets, and a comparison of brushing and vacuuming collection methods". Clinical Allergy 11(6):589-595.	Not RCT. Study concerned with reducing house dust mite allergen concentration and does not address pre-specified health outcomes
Antonicelli L, Bilo M B, Pucci S, Schou C, and Bonifazi F. 1991. "Efficacy of an air-cleaning device equipped with a high efficiency particulate air filter in house dust mite respiratory allergy". Allergy 46(8):594-600.	Cross-over study. Already included parallel RCTs addressing same intervention
Arshad S H, Bateman B, and Matthews S M. 2003. "Primary prevention of asthma and atopy during childhood by allergen avoidance in infancy: a randomised controlled study". Thorax 58(6):489-93.	Study concerned with behavioural interventions and not on structural or material interventions
Barn P, Gombojav E, Ochir C et.al. 2018. "The effect of portable HEPA filter air cleaners on indoor PM2.5 concentrations and second hand tobacco smoke exposure among pregnant women in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia: The UGAAR randomized controlled trial". Science of the Total Environment 615:1379-1389.	Study concerned with reducing particulate matter (PM) concentration but country not similar to the UK. We have included studies on PM with health outcomes
Batterman S, Du L, Mentz G, Mukherjee B, Parker E, Godwin C, Chin J Y, O'Toole A, Robins T, Rowe Z, and Lewis T. 2012. "Particulate matter concentrations in residences: an intervention study evaluating stand-alone filters and air conditioners". Indoor air 22(3):235-52.	Study concerned with reducing particulate matter (PM) concentration and does not address pre-specified health outcomes. We have included studies on PM with health outcomes
Berings M, Jult A, Vermeulen H, Ruyck N, Derycke L, Ucar H, Ghekiere P, Temmerman R, Ellis J, Bachert C, Lambrecht Bn, Dullaers M, and Gevaert P. 2017. "Probiotics-impregnated bedding covers in house dust mite allergic rhinitis patients: a double- blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, crossover clinical trial". Allergy 72:23-23.	Conference abstract only
Bernstein J A, Brandt D, Rezvani M, Abbott C, and Levin L. 2009. "Evaluation of cleaning activities on respiratory symptoms in asthmatic female homemakers". Annals of Allergy, and Asthma and Immunology 102(1):41-46.	Not RCT. Study concerned with cleaning activities and not on structural or material interventions

Bibliography	Reason for exclusion
Bessot Jc, Moreau G, Lenz D et.al. 1974. "A double blind comparative desensitization trial with house dust and mite extracts". Revue francaise d'allergologie ET d'immunologie clinique 15:73-80.	Study not in English language
Bowler S D, Mitchell C A, and Miles J. 1985. "House dust control and asthma: a placebo-control trial of cleaning air filtration". Annals of allergy 55(3):498-500.	Not RCT
Bryant-Stephens T, Kurian C, Guo R et.al 2009. "Impact of a household environmental intervention delivered by lay health workers on asthma symptom control in urban, disadvantaged children with asthma". American journal of public health 99 Suppl 3:S657-65.	Study concerned with evaluating the changes in participant behaviour
Burr M L, Dean B V, Merrett T G et.al 1980. "Effects of anti-mite measures on children with mite-sensitive asthma: a controlled trial". Thorax 35(7):506-12.	Study interested in behavioural changes to remove mites from beddings
Celano MP, Holsey CN, and Kobrynski LJ. 2012. "Home-based family intervention for low-income children with asthma: a randomized controlled pilot study". Journal of family psychology: JFP: journal of the Division of Family Psychology of the American Psychological Association (Division 43) 26(2):171- 8.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with repeated home visits by trained specialists
Chan-Yeung M, Ferguson A, Watson W, Dimich- Ward H, Rousseau R, Lilley M, Dybuncio A, and Becker A. 2005. "The Canadian Childhood Asthma Primary Prevention Study: outcomes at 7 years of age". Journal of allergy and clinical immunology 116(1):49-55.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with behavioural strategies to reduce house dust mite
Chan-Yeung Moira, Ferguson Alexander, Dimich- Ward Helen, Watson Wade, Manfreda Jure, and Becker Allan. 2002. "Effectiveness of and compliance to intervention measures in reducing house dust and cat allergen levels". Annals of allergy, asthma & immunology: official publication of the American College of Allergy, Asthma, and & Immunology 88(1):52-8.	Study concerned with reducing house allergen concentration and does not address pre- specified health outcomes
Chuang Hsiao-Chi, Ho Kin-Fai, Lin Lian-Yu, Chang Ta-Yuan, Hong Gui-Bing, Ma Chi-Ming, Liu I Jung, and Chuang Kai-Jen. 2017. "Long-term indoor air conditioner filtration and cardiovascular health: A randomized crossover intervention study". Environment international 106:91-96.	Country not similar to the UK Cross-over study. Already included parallel RCTs addressing same intervention
Cloosterman S G, Hofland I D, Lukassen H G et.al. 1997. "House dust mite avoidance measures improve peak flow and symptoms in patients with allergy but without asthma: a possible delay in the manifestation of clinical asthma?" The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology 100(3):313-9.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with house dust mite avoidance measures.

Bibliography	Reason for exclusion
Colloff M J, Lever R S, and McSharry C. 1989. "A controlled trial of house dust mite eradication using natamycin in homes of patients with atopic dermatitis: effect on clinical status and mite populations". The British journal of dermatology 121(2):199-208.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with chemical intervention (natamycin spray)
Cote J, Cartier A, Robichaud P et.al. 2000. "Influence of asthma education on asthma severity, quality of life and environmental control". Canadian respiratory journal 7(5):395-400.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with education programs based on self- management
Corver K, Kerkhof M, Brussee J E, Brunekreef B, Van Strien , R T, Vos A P, Smit H A, Gerritsen J, Neijens H J, De Jongste , and J C. 2006. "House dust mite allergen reduction and allergy at 4 yr: Follow up of the PIAMA-study". Pediatric Allergy and Immunology 17(5):329-336.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but on behavioural intervention
Cox Jennie, Isiugo Kelechi, Ryan Patrick, Grinshpun Sergey A, Yermakov Michael, Desmond Colleen, Jandarov Roman, Vesper Stephen, Ross James, Chillrud Steven, Dannemiller Karen, and Reponen Tiina. (2018). Effectiveness of a portable air cleaner in removing aerosol particles in homes close to highways. Indoor Air, 28(6), pp.818-827.	Cross-over study. Already included parallel RCTs addressing same intervention
Crisafulli D, Almqvist C, Marks G, and Tovey E. 2007. "Seasonal trends in house dust mite allergen in children's beds over a 7-year period". Allergy: European Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 62(12):1394-1400.	Study concerned with reducing house dust mite allergen concentration and does not address pre-specified health outcomes. We have included studies on HDM with health outcomes
Cui X, Li F, Xiang J, Fang L, Chung M K, Day D B, Mo J, Weschler C J, Gong J, He L, Zhu D, Lu C, Han H, Zhang Y, and Zhang J J. 2018. "Cardiopulmonary effects of overnight indoor air filtration in healthy non-smoking adults: A double- blind randomized crossover study". Environment International 114:27-36.	Country not similar to the UK. Cross-over study. Already included parallel RCTs addressing same intervention
Custovic A, Simpson B M, Murray C S et.al. Asthma N A. C. Manchester, Allergy Study, and Group. 2002. "The National Asthma Campaign Manchester Asthma and Allergy Study". Pediatric allergy and immunology: official publication of the European Society of Pediatric Allergy and Immunology 13 Suppl 15:32-7.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with multicomponent interventions. Health outcomes for the randomised subgroup not reported
Custovic A, Simpson B M, Simpson A et.al. 2000. "Manchester Asthma and Allergy Study: low- allergen environment can be achieved and maintained during pregnancy and in early life". The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology 105(2 Pt 1):252-8.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with multicomponent interventions. Health outcomes for the randomised subgroup not reported

Bibliography	Reason for exclusion
de Vries MP, van den Bemt L, Aretz K, et al. House dust mite allergen avoidance and self-management in allergic patients with asthma: randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2007 Mar;57(536):184-90. PMID: 17359604	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but on behavioural intervention
Dharmage S, Walters EH, Thien F, et al. Encasement of bedding does not improve asthma in atopic adult asthmatics. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2006 Jan;139(2):132-8.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but on behavioural intervention
Edwards R T, Neal R D, Linck P et.al 2011. "Enhancing ventilation in homes of children with asthma: cost-effectiveness study alongside randomised controlled trial". The British journal of general practice: the journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners 61(592):e733-41.	Study concerned with improving air exchange rates and not on material and structural interventions
Eggleston P A, Butz A, Rand C et.al. 2005. "Home environmental intervention in inner-city asthma: A randomized controlled clinical trial". Annals of Allergy, and Asthma and Immunology 95(6):518- 524.	Study concerned with behavioural interventions
Fukuie T, Nomura I, Narita M, Suzuki T, Tajima I, and Natsume O. 2013. "A randomized, open-label, parallel group study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of proactive management in pediatric subjects with moderate to severe atopic dermatitis". Journal of allergy and clinical immunology. 131(2 suppl. 1):Ab101.	Conference abstract only
Gehring U, de Jongste J C, Kerkhof M et.al. 2012. "The 8-year follow-up of the PIAMA intervention study assessing the effect of mite-impermeable mattress covers". Allergy 67(2):248-56.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but on behavioural intervention
Gillespie-Bennett J, Pierse N, Wickens K et.al. 2008. "Sources of nitrogen dioxide (NO2) in New Zealand homes: findings from a community randomized controlled trial of heater substitutions". Indoor air 18(6):521-8.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with sources and concentration of NO2
Glasgow NJ, Ponsonby AL, Kemp A, et al. Feather bedding and childhood asthma associated with house dust mite sensitisation: a randomised controlled trial. Arch Dis Child. 2011 Jun;96(6):541- 7.	Intervention not of interest
Glover Mt, and Atherton Dj. 1991. "A double-blind controlled trial of hyposensitisation to the house dust mite in childhood atopic eczema". British journal of dermatology 125(Suppl 38):87.	Conference abstract only
Gutgesell C, Heise S, Seubert S, Seubert A, Domhof S, Brunner E, and Neumann C. 2001. "Double-blind placebo-controlled house dust mite control measures in adult patients with atopic dermatitis". The British journal of dermatology 145(1):70-4.	Study concerned with reducing house dust mite allergen concentration and does not address pre-specified health outcomes. We have included studies on HDM with health outcomes

Bibliography	Reason for exclusion
Halken Susanne, Host Arne, Niklassen Ulla et.al. 2003. "Effect of mattress and pillow encasings on children with asthma and house dust mite allergy". The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology 111(1):169-76.	Data not usable. Only p values reported
Halmerbauer G, Gartner C, Schierl M et.al. 2003. "Study on the Prevention of Allergy in Children in Europe (SPACE): Allergic sensitization at 1 year of age in a controlled trial of allergen avoidance from birth". Pediatric Allergy and Immunology 14(1):10- 17.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with educational advice on food allergy and mite prevention
Harving H, Korsgaard J, and Dahl R (1994) Clinical efficacy of reduction in house-dust mite exposure in specially designed, mechanically ventilated "healthy" homes. Allergy 49(10), 866-70	Not RCT
Hide D W, Matthews S, Tariq S, and Arshad S H. 1996. "Allergen avoidance in infancy and allergy at 4 years of age". Allergy 51(2):89-93.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with breast feeding and low allergen diet
Holm L, Bengtsson A, van Hage-Hamsten , M , Ohman S, and Scheynius A. 2001. "Effectiveness of occlusive bedding in the treatment of atopic dermatitisa placebo-controlled trial of 12 months' duration". Allergy 56(2):152-8.	Not RCT
Htut T, Higenbottam T W, Gill G W, Darwin R, Anderson P B, and Syed N (2001) Eradication of house dust mite from homes of atopic asthmatic subjects: a double-blind trial. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology 107(1), 55-60	Data not usable
Hughes S C, Bellettiere J, Nguyen B, Liles S, Klepeis N E, Quintana P J. E, Berardi V, Obayashi S, Bradley S, Hofstetter C R, and Hovell M F. 2018. "Randomized Trial to Reduce Air Particle Levels in Homes of Smokers and Children". American Journal of Preventive Medicine 54(3):359-367.	Study concerned with reducing air particle concentration and does not address pre-specified health outcomes. We have included studies on particulate matter with health outcomes
Hyndman S J, Vickers L M, Htut T, Maunder J W, Peock A, and Higenbottam T W. 2000. "A randomized trial of dehumidification in the control of house dust mite". Clinical and experimental allergy: journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology 30(8):1172-80.	Study concerned with reducing house dust mite concentration and does not address pre- specified health outcomes
Iversen M, Bach E, and Lundqvist G R. 1986. "Health and comfort changes among tenants after retrofitting of their housing". Environment International 12(1-4):161-166.	Not RCT (Controlled observational design)
Jirapongsananuruk O, Malainual N, Sangsupawanich P, Aungathiputt V, and Vichyanond P. 2000. "Partial mattress encasing significantly reduces house dust mite antigen on bed sheet surface: A controlled trial". Annals of Allergy, and Asthma and Immunology 84(3):305- 310.	Country not similar to the UK

Bibliography	Reason for exclusion
Joseph KE, Adams CD, Cottrell L et.al. 2003. "Providing dust mite-proof covers improves adherence to dust mite control measures in children with mite allergy and asthma". Annals of allergy, asthma & immunology: official publication of the American College of Allergy, Asthma, and & Immunology 90(5):550-3.	RCT was abandoned before publication of results
Kajbafzadeh M, Brauer M, Karlen B et.al. 2015. "The impacts of traffic-related and wood smoke particulate matter on measures of cardiovascular health: a HEPA filter intervention study". Occupational and environmental medicine 72(6):394-400.	Study concerned with PM2.5 concentration and not on pre- specified health outcomes
Karottki D G, Spilak M, Frederiksen M et.al. 2013. "An indoor air filtration study in homes of elderly: cardiovascular and respiratory effects of exposure to particulate matter". Environmental health: a global access science source 12:116.	Cross-over study. Already included parallel RCTs addressing same intervention
Kercsmar Carolyn M, Dearborn Dorr G, Schluchter Mark, Xue Lintong, Kirchner H Lester, Sobolewski John, Greenberg Stuart J, Vesper Stephen J, and Allan Terry (2006) Reduction in asthma morbidity in children as a result of home remediation aimed at moisture sources. Environmental health perspectives 114(10), 1574-80	Data not usable Data on symptom days report in graph format only
Kim J, Kim H, Lim D et.al. 2016. "Effects of Indoor Air Pollutants on Atopic Dermatitis". International journal of environmental research and public health 13(12).	Country not similar to the UK
Kniest Fm, Young E, Praag Mc et.al. 1991. "Clinical evaluation of a double-blind dust-mite avoidance trial with mite-allergic rhinitic patients". Clinical and experimental allergy 21(1):39-47.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with chemical agent and not on structural or material interventions
Koopman L P, van Strien R T, Kerkhof M et.al. Prevention, Incidence of, Asthma, Mite Allergy, and Study. 2002. "Placebo-controlled trial of house dust mite-impermeable mattress covers: effect on symptoms in early childhood". American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 166(3):307- 13.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention
Kolokotroni Maria, and Littler John. (1995). Effectiveness of Extractor Fans in Reducing Airborne Moisture in Homes. Indoor Air, 5(1), pp.69-75.	Not RCT
Lajoie P, Aubin D, Gingras V et.al 2015. "The IVAIRE projecta randomized controlled study of the impact of ventilation on indoor air quality and the respiratory symptoms of asthmatic children in single family homes". Indoor air 25(6):582-97.	Study concerned with improving air exchange rates and not on material and structural interventions
Lee IS. Effect of bedding control on amount of house dust mite allergens, asthma symptoms, and	Study not concerned with material and structural

Bibliography	Reason for exclusion
peak expiratory flow rate. Yonsei Med J. 2003 Apr 30;44(2):313-22. PMID: 12728474.	intervention but with boiling bed covers and exposing them to sunlight
Lee Yj, Bang Js, Oh Yj, Lee Jw, Sung Tj, Lee Kh, and Lee Hr (2015) Effect of vacuuming mattresses on allergic rhinitis symptoms in children. Allergy: European journal of allergy and clinical immunology. 70, 301	Conference abstract
Li H, Cai J, Chen R et.al. 2017. "Particulate Matter Exposure and Stress Hormone Levels: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Crossover Trial of Air Purification". Circulation 136(7):618-627.	Country not similar to the UK
Lioy P J, Yiin L M, Adgate J, Weisel C, and Rhoads G G. 1998. "The effectiveness of a home cleaning intervention strategy in reducing potential dust and lead exposures". Journal of exposure analysis and environmental epidemiology 8(1):17-35.	Not RCT. Study concerned with lead loading
Luczynska C, Tredwell E, Smeeton N, et al. A randomized controlled trial of mite allergen- impermeable bed covers in adult mite-sensitized asthmatics. Clin Exp Allergy. 2003 Dec; 33(12):1648-53.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but on behavioural intervention
McNamara M L, Thornburg J, Semmens E O, Ward T J, and Noonan C W. 2017. "Reducing indoor air pollutants with air filtration units in wood stove homes". Science of the Total Environment 592:488-494.	Study concerned with reducing endotoxin and PM2.5 concentration and does not address pre-specified health outcomes
Mihrshahi S, Marks G B, Criss S, Tovey E R, Vanlaar C H, Peat J K, and Team Caps. 2003. "Effectiveness of an intervention to reduce house dust mite allergen levels in children's beds". Allergy 58(8):784-9.	Study concerned with reducing house dust mite concentration and does not address pre- specified health outcomes. Studies on mattress covers with health outcomes already included
Min K T, Lundrigan P, Sward K, Collingwood S C, and Patwari N. (2018). Smart home air filtering system: A randomized controlled trial for performance evaluation. Smart Health, pp.	Study concerned with particulate matter concentration and does not address pre- specified health outcomes
Munir A K, Einarsson R, and Dreborg S K. 1993. "Vacuum cleaning decreases the levels of mite allergens in house dust". Paediatric allergy and immunology: official publication of the European Society of Paediatric Allergy and Immunology 4(3):136-43.	Study concerned with reducing mite allergen concentration and does not address pre-specified health outcomes
Murray A B, and Ferguson A C. 1983. "Dust-free bedrooms in the treatment of asthmatic children with house dust or house dust mite allergy: a controlled trial". Pediatrics 71(3):418-22.	Not RCT
Murray CS, Foden P, Sumner H, et al. Preventing severe asthma exacerbations in children: a	Study not concerned with material and structural

Bibliography	Reason for exclusion
randomised trial of mite impermeable bedcovers. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2017	intervention but on behavioural intervention
Nambu M, Shirai H, Sakaguchi M, Aihara M, and Takatori K. 2008. "Effect of house dust mite-free pillow on clinical course of asthma and IgE level - A randomized, double-blind, controlled study". Pediatric Asthma, and Allergy and Immunology 21(3):137-143.	Data on pre-specified health outcomes not reported
Nelson H S, and Skufca R M. 1991. "Double-blind study of suppression of indoor fungi and bacteria by the PuriDyne biogenic air purifier". Annals of allergy 66(3):263-6.	Not RCT
Neumayr A, Niebauer E, Weber N, and Haussinger K. 2011. "Reduction of house dust mite allergens by using a silver-doped sleeping system". Pravention und Rehabilitation 23(2):75-84.	Study not in English language
Newton Da, Maberley Dj, and Wilson R. 1978. "House dust mite hyposensitization". British journal of diseases of the chest 72(1):21-28.	Study concerned with behavioural interventions
Nogrady S G, and Furnass S B. 1983. "Ionisers in the management of bronchial asthma". Thorax 38(12):919-22.	Cross-over study. Already included parallel RCTs addressing same intervention
Oosting A, de Bruin-Weller MS, Terreehorst I et.al. 2002. "Effect of mattress encasings on atopic dermatitis outcome measures in a double-blind, placebo-controlled study: the Dutch mite avoidance study". The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology 110(3):500-6.	Data not usable. Median and ranges reported
Osman L M, Ayres J G, Garden C, Reglitz K, Lyon J, and Douglas J G. 2010. "A randomised trial of home energy efficiency improvement in the homes of elderly COPD patients". The European respiratory journal 35(2):303-9.	Study concerned with energy efficiency improvement not on indoor air pollutants
Paulin L M, Diette G B, Scott M et.al 2014. "Home interventions are effective at decreasing indoor nitrogen dioxide concentrations". Indoor air 24(4):416-24.	Study concerned with reducing NO <sub>2</sub> concentration. We have included studies on NO <sub>2</sub> with health outcomes.
Popplewell EJ, Innes VA, Lloyd-Hughes S, et al. The effect of high-efficiency and standard vacuum- cleaners on mite, cat and dog allergen levels and clinical progress. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 2000; 11(3):142-8.	Data not usable. Only p values reported
Postma Julie, Karr Catherine, and Kieckhefer Gail. 2009. "Community health workers and environmental interventions for children with asthma: a systematic review". The Journal of asthma: official journal of the Association for the Care of Asthma 46(6):564-76.	Systematic review concerned with community health workers and environmental interventions
Rabito FA, Carlson JC, He H, et al. A single intervention for cockroach control reduces cockroach exposure and asthma morbidity in	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with insecticide

Bibliography	Reason for exclusion
children. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2017 Jan 10; S0091-6749(16):31349-5.	bait by pest control professionals
Ramsey Cd, Chan E, Chooniedass R, DyBuncio A, Rousseau R, Becker A, and Chan-Yeung M. 2013. "The canadian asthma primary prevention study (CAPPS): outcomes at 15 years of age". American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 187.	Abstract on behavioural interventions
Reisman R E, Mauriello P M, Davis G B et.al. 1990. "A double-blind study of the effectiveness of a high- efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filter in the treatment of patients with perennial allergic rhinitis and asthma". The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology 85(6):1050-7.	Data not usable. Only p values reported
Rijssenbeek-Nouwens LH, Oosting AJ, de Bruin- Weller MS, et al. Clinical evaluation of the effect of anti-allergic mattress covers in patients with moderate to severe asthma and house dust mite allergy: a randomised double blind placebo controlled study. Thorax. 2002 Sep;57(9):784-90.	Data not usable. Median and ranges reported
Schonberger HJAM, Maas T, Dompeling E et.al 2004. "Compliance of asthmatic families with a primary prevention programme of asthma and effectiveness of measures to reduce inhalant allergensa randomized trial". Clinical and experimental allergy: journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology 34(7):1024-31.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with educational and behavioural interventions with the assistance of a special care group
Scott M, Roberts G, Kurukulaaratchy RJ et.al. 2012. "Multifaceted allergen avoidance during infancy reduces asthma during childhood with the effect persisting until age 18 years". Thorax 67(12):1046-51.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with breast feeding and low allergen diet
Sheikh A, Hurwitz B, Sibbald B, et al. House dust mite barrier bedding for childhood asthma: randomised placebocontrolled trial in primary care [ISRCTN63308372]. BMC Fam Pract. 2002 Jun 18;1-6. PMID: 12079502	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but on behavioural intervention
Singh M, and Jaiswal N. (2013). Dehumidifiers for chronic asthma. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2013(6), pp.CD003563.	Systematic review. Studies checked for possible inclusion
Sporik R, Hill D J, Thompson P J, Stewart G A, Carlin J B, Nolan T M, Kemp A S, and Hosking C S. 1998. "The Melbourne House Dust Mite Study: long-term efficacy of house dust mite reduction strategies". The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology 101(4 Pt 1):451-6.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with reducing house dust mite concentration and does not address pre- specified health outcomes
Stillerman A, Nachtsheim C, Li W et.al. 2010. "Efficacy of a novel air filtration pillow for avoidance of perennial allergens in symptomatic adults". Annals of allergy, asthma & immunology: official publication of the American College of Allergy, Asthma, and & Immunology 104(5):440-9.	Cross-over study. Already included parallel RCTs addressing same intervention
Bibliography	Reason for exclusion
--	--
Sulser C, Schulz G, Wagner P, et al. Can the use of HEPA cleaners in homes of asthmatic children and adolescents sensitized to cat and dog allergens decrease bronchial hyperresponsiveness and allergen contents in solid dust? Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2008 Dec;148(1):23-30	Data not usable. Only p values and delta changes reported
Takaro Tim K, Krieger James W, and Song Lin. 2004. "Effect of environmental interventions to reduce exposure to asthma triggers in homes of low-income children in Seattle". Journal of exposure analysis and environmental epidemiology 14 Suppl 1:S133-43.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with behavioural interventions with the assistance of community health workers
Tempels-Pavlica Z, Oosting A J, Terreehorst I, van Wijk , R Gerth, Bruijnzeel-Koomen C A. F. M, de Monchy , J G R, and Aalberse R C. 2004. "Differential effect of mattress covers on the level of Der p 1 and Der f 1 in dust". Clinical and experimental allergy: journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology 34(9):1444-7.	Study concerned with reducing house dust mite concentration and does not address pre- specified health outcomes. We have included studies on HDM with health outcomes
Terreehorst I, Hak E, Oosting AJ et.al. 2003. "Evaluation of impermeable covers for bedding in patients with allergic rhinitis". The New England journal of medicine 349(3):237-46.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but on behavioural intervention
Thiam D G, Tim C F, Hoon L S, Lei Z, and Bee- Wah L. 1999. "An evaluation of mattress encasings and high efficiency particulate filters on asthma control in the tropics". Asian Pacific journal of allergy and immunology 17(3):169-74.	Country not similar to the UK
Tsurikisawa N, Saito A, Oshikata C, Nakazawa T, Yasueda H, and Akiyama K. 2013. "Encasing bedding in covers made of microfine fibers reduces exposure to house mite allergens and improves disease management in adult atopic asthmatics". Allergy, and Asthma and Clinical Immunology 9(1):44.	Country not similar to the UK
Tsurikisawa N, Saito A, Oshikata C, et al. Effective allergen avoidance for reducing exposure to house dust mite allergens and improving disease management in adult atopic asthmatics. J Asthma. 2016, 53(8):843-53	Country not similar to the UK
van den Bemt L, de Vries MP, Cloosterman S, et al.(2007) Influence of house dust mite impermeable covers on health-related quality of life of adult patients with asthma: Results of a randomized clinical trial. J Asthma. 44(10):843-8.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but on behavioural intervention
van den Bemt , Lisette , van Knapen , Lieke , de Vries , Marjolein P, Jansen Margreet, Cloosterman Sonja, van Schayck , and Constant P. 2004. "Clinical effectiveness of a mite allergen- impermeable bed-covering system in asthmatic mite-sensitive patients". The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology 114(4):858-62.	Data on pre-specified health outcomes not reported

Bibliography	Reason for exclusion
van der Heide S, Kauffman HF, Dubois AE, et al. Allergen reduction measures in houses of allergic asthmatic patients: effects of air-cleaners and allergen-impermeable mattress covers. Eur Respir J. 1997 Jun; 10(6):1217-23.	Study concerned with reducing allergen concentration and does not address pre-specified health outcomes. We have included studies on pet allergen reduction with health outcomes
Verrall B, Muir D C, Wilson W M, Milner R, Johnston M, and Dolovich J. 1988. "Laminar flow air cleaner bed attachment: a controlled trial". Annals of allergy 61(2):117-22.	Crossover study and intervention not of interest
Weeks J, Oliver J, Birmingham K, Crewes A, and Carswell F. 1995. "A combined approach to reduce mite allergen in the bedroom". Clinical and experimental allergy: journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology 25(12):1179- 83.	Study concerned with reducing house dust mite allergen concentration and does not address pre-specified health outcomes. We have included studies on HDM reduction with health outcomes
Weichenthal S, Mallach G, Kulka R et.al. 2013. "A randomized double-blind crossover study of indoor air filtration and acute changes in cardiorespiratory health in a First Nations community". Indoor air 23(3):175-84.	Cross-over study. Already included parallel RCTs addressing same intervention
Wickman M, Nordvall S L, Pershagen G, Korsgaard J, Johansen N, and Sundell J. 1994. "Mite allergens during 18 months of intervention". Allergy 49(2):114-9.	Not RCT
Wickman M, Paues S, and Emenius G. 1997. "Reduction of the mite-allergen reservoir within mattresses by vacuum- cleaning. A comparison of three vacuum-cleaning systems". Allergy: European Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 52(11):1123-1127.	Not RCT
Winn Amber K, Salo Paivi M, Klein Cynthia, Sever Michelle L, Harris Shawn F, Johndrow David, Crockett Patrick W, Cohn Richard D, and Zeldin Darryl C. 2016. "Efficacy of an in-home test kit in reducing dust mite allergen levels: results of a randomized controlled pilot study". The Journal of asthma: official journal of the Association for the Care of Asthma 53(2):133-8.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but with strategies to reduce dust mite and does not address pre-specified health outcomes
Woodcock A, Forster L, Matthews E, et al. Control of exposure to mite allergen and allergen- impermeable bed covers for adults with asthma. N Engl J Med. 2003 Jul 17; 349(3):225-36.	Study not concerned with material and structural intervention but on behavioural intervention
Woodfine L, Neal RD, Bruce N et.al. 2011. "Enhancing ventilation in homes of children with asthma: pragmatic randomised controlled trial". The British journal of general practice: the journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners 61(592):e724-32.	Study concerned with improving air exchange rates and not on material and structural interventions

Bibliography	Reason for exclusion
Wood R A, Johnson E F, Van Natta , M L, Chen P H, and Eggleston P A. 1998. "A placebo-controlled trial of a HEPA air cleaner in the treatment of cat allergy". American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 158(1):115-20.	Not RCT
Yodying J, and Phipatanakul W (2009) Effects of improved home heating on asthma in community dwelling children: Randomised controlled trial. Pediatrics 124(SUPPL. 2), S145	Commentary on a RCT

## K.2 Economic studies

Please see health economic report

## **Appendix L: Research recommendations**

## L.1.1 Effective interventions to improve air quality in people without pre-existing health conditions

What is the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of interventions to improve indoor air quality at home in people without pre-existing health conditions?

Population	Adults and children without pre-existing health conditions
Intervention	<ul> <li>Interventions to prevent exposure to volatile organic compounds (VOCs)</li> <li>Interventions to prevent exposure to NO2</li> <li>Interventions to prevent exposure to damp and mould</li> </ul>
Comparison	Other intervention or standard of care
Outcomes	<ul> <li>Respiratory health outcomes</li> <li>Allergic health outcomes</li> <li>Cardiac health outcomes</li> <li>Pregnancy related health outcomes</li> <li>Cancer health outcomes</li> <li>Health related quality of life</li> </ul>
Study design	<ul><li>Randomised controlled trials</li><li>Cohort studies</li></ul>
Time frame	At least 1 year follow up

Rationale: Studies included in the evidence reviews only included people with asthma or other health conditions. These studies showed that different interventions are cost-effective in improving health outcomes for people with pre-existing health conditions as they can lead to savings for the NHS. However, as there was no evidence for people with no pre-existing health condition, we do not know if there are health benefits for these people. Also, it is not clear if these interventions are cost-effective in groups who do not have the same level of interaction with the NHS.

## L.1.2 Effective intervention to prevent, identify and fix damp and mould

How can damp and mould in the home be prevented?

How is damp and mould in the home best identified?

How is damp and mould in the home best fixed?

How can tenants be best made aware of whose responsibility it is to make any changes needed as a result of damp and mould in the home?

Population	Adults and children without pre-existing health conditions
Intervention	Interventions to identify damp and mould
	Interventions to remedy damp and mould
	Interventions to prevent damp and mould

Population	Adults and children without pre-existing health conditions
Comparison	Other intervention or standard of care
Outcomes	<ul> <li>Respiratory health outcomes</li> <li>Allergic health outcomes</li> <li>Cardiac health outcomes</li> <li>Pregnancy related health outcomes</li> <li>Cancer health outcomes</li> <li>Health related quality of life</li> </ul>
Study design	<ul><li>Randomised controlled trials</li><li>Cohort studies</li></ul>
Time frame	At least 1 year follow up

Rationale: Studies included in the evidence reviews only included people with asthma or other health conditions. These studies showed that different interventions are cost-effective in improving health outcomes for people with pre-existing health conditions as they can lead to savings for the NHS. However, as there was no evidence for people with no pre-existing health condition, we do not know if there are health benefits for these people. Also, it is not clear if these interventions are cost-effective in groups who do not have the same level of interaction with the NHS.